UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Appearance is everything

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0v6858t0>

Journal

Business Strategy Review, 23(4)

ISSN

0955-6419

Authors

Cable, D
Elsbach, K

Publication Date

2012-12-01

DOI

10.1111/j.1467-8616.2012.00899.x

Peer reviewed

Non-traditional work arrangements, such as telecommuting, have effects that are often not recognised, according to Daniel Cable and Kimberly Elsbach. Their research over the last decade shows that merely showing up at the office affects your standing at work.

According to the US Bureau of Labour Statistics, on a typical workday in 2010 about 24 per cent of employed people did some or all of their work from home. A UK Labour Force Survey showed that, at the end of 2009, one in eight working people worked mostly at or from home. Another 20 per cent did so for fewer than three days per week. A poll, reported in *Telecommuter News* in June, claimed that one in five workers worldwide telecommute.

After decades of debate about changing work practices, the impact of technology, flexible working, hot desking and so on, telecommuting is undoubtedly here to stay.

Since telecommuting is now a common workplace occurrence, it's important to note that there are advantages for both employers and employees from such arrangements. However, employees working away from the office also need to know about the potential disadvantages.

We have found that remote workers are often evaluated differently from their in-office colleagues, all because they are not physically seen in the workplace.

Passive face time

Over a decade of research with hundreds of corporate employees, both in management and other company ranks, we have come to realise the importance of what we call passive face time — which we define, simply, as being observed in the office. Unconscious judgements are being made about employees based on this observation, judgements that do not have to do with their level of success or productivity. We have found that supervisors may

unconsciously assess remote and in-office employees differently based on this principle of passive face time.

For our studies, we used techniques incorporating casual interviews, observation and controlled experiments in order to gather data about how passive face time affects employee ratings. Three key trends emerged:

- 01 **Two kinds of passive face time occur.** Expected face time is what we call being seen at work by colleagues or supervisors during regular business time frames. Extracurricular face time is being observed in the workplace outside normal hourly parameters — arriving early or working voluntarily in the office on weekends or evenings. This emphasis on amount of time seen at work leads to our second trend.
- 02 **Evaluations differ due to the different kinds of face time.** The two types of passive face time play a role in 'trait inferences' or determinations of one's character

SHOWING UP, MOVING UP

According to the US Bureau of Labour Statistics, on a typical workday in 2010 about 24 per cent of employed people did some or all of their work from home.

APPEARANCE IS EVERYTHING

and attributes as a person. We found that expected face time puts the idea in people's heads that an employee is 'dutiful' and 'dependable'. Simply being in the office and being physically noticed leads co-workers to think well of you. Other traits are attributed to you when you perform extracurricular face time, however. As opposed to being 'dependable', your mental evaluation by others is bumped up to levels such as 'committed' and 'dedicated'. One manager told us that, in his workplace, there seems to be a norm that anyone hoping to move up in the management ranks needs to be in the office late at night and on the weekends. He noted that this behaviour sends the message that, as Jack and Suzy Welch have put it: "Work is my top priority. I'm committed to this company. I want to lead. And I can."

- 03 **Managers may not be aware of biases resulting from face time.** Our data lead us to conclude that

supervisors' judgements based on face time are not intentional, but unconscious. This supports other research findings that people create trait inferences without realising it. One individual we interviewed said that he thought that a manager, particularly in crisis mode, would find it irritating if an employee weren't available. Later the manager might have a negative feeling about that employee, even if he couldn't identify why he had the feeling. In order to test our findings, we held a number of controlled experiments with managers from 12 industries. The managers were asked to remember individuals' characteristics after reading written descriptions of them. If a manager said that a trait had been listed in a description of someone who worked late in the office (when it had not), they were said to have unconsciously inferred that trait. Our results were consistent across all the samples: managers were nine

per cent more likely to attribute the traits 'dependable' and 'responsible' to people who put in expected face time in the workplace. And respondents were 25 per cent more likely to unconsciously add attributes such as 'committed' or 'dedicated' to people who worked additional business hours.

If you work at home...

What does this mean for people working from home? A few suggestions emerged from our in-person interviews:

- 01 **When in the office, be extra visible.** Point out to colleagues and supervisors when you do things such as miss lunch and breaks in order to meet a deadline. Meet with the supervisor whenever in the office to make sure he sees you, and you can update him on what you have accomplished.
- 02 **Get others to talk you up.** Talk to your peers and supervisors when you see them. Make sure they know who you are and say a little about

what you are working on. The more they see you, the better they will remember you at appraisal time.

- 03 **Utilise 'virtual' face time to level the playing field.** Keep in mind that you are competing against employees usually working in the physical workplace; thus, to boost your workplace standing to ensure you're not unfairly overlooked:
- Make regular phone or email status reports. When you work from home, send email progress reports to your colleagues. Try to make them aware that you are working while they left at the regular time.
 - Send emails or leave voicemails early or late in the day. The message will indicate the time it was left and where it originated, which provides the cue that you are working hard even though out of the office.
 - Be immediately available. Respond immediately to emails, so that no one can say they always have to wait for a response from you. If you are on the phone, check messages regularly and respond as soon as possible.

If you are a manager...

What does the new popularity of telecommuting mean for managers? We believe that managers should take the following steps so that all employees are fairly evaluated.

Don't use trait-based evaluations. Resist the temptation to evaluate employees based on their 'leadership skills' or 'ability to work in a team'. Current research on performance appraisal suggests there are numerous flaws with these assessments — there may be no association with a company's corporate outlook or culture, and they do not help people truly understand what to change about their work habits. Further, our findings emphasise the hidden effect that the mere physical presence of someone in an office can have. Just because someone is not in the office on a daily basis does not mean that they lack leadership or the ability to work well in a team.

As much as possible, use objective output measures. Critics of working away from the office believe that remote workers lose the benefits of interaction with their colleagues,

such as impromptu help or hallway conversations. Our findings highlight the additional danger that employees' workplace stability and success can also be perceived negatively. To avoid these unconscious pitfalls, managers should revise their employee performance evaluations to measure objective outputs, such as the meeting of numeric goals or defined tasks completed.

Consider work arrangements when using peer feedback. Many companies incorporate '360-degree' appraisals. Such evaluation techniques judge individuals based on feedback not only from their bosses and peers but also from employees they supervise. We found that employees can just as easily incorporate unconscious trait judgements. Managers, thus, must filter out any 360-degree feedback that is biased simply because the person being evaluated principally works outside the office.

Does it work for you?

Earlier this year, a British telecommunications company, O2, permitted 3,000 employees from the head office in Slough to telecommute. This was a planned experiment that required technology upgrades and special employee guidance.

News reports on the event were all-around favourable. According to ABC News: "Eighty-eight per cent of the home-based workers said they were as productive as they normally were in the office, while 36 per cent said they were even more so. There were other reported perks: 15 per cent caught up on sleep and 14 per cent bonded with their families. They saved 2,000 hours of travelling time, which translates into \$14,000 in commuting costs."

Yet, the decision on whether to become a telecommuter is more normally left to the employee. Our final suggestion is that, if you are offered an opportunity to work away from the office, be aware that this will affect your face time with your work associates and may affect your subsequent evaluations by your supervisors. Be sure that the advantages of working from home are greater than the possible ramifications of absence from the office. Decide if you can afford to miss the conversations on Monday morning around the water cooler. ■

THE AUTHORS

DANIEL CABLE
DCABLE@LONDON.EDU

Cable is Professor of Organisational Behaviour of London Business School.

KIMBERLY ELSBACH
KDELSBACH@UCDAVIS.EDU

Elsbach is Professor of Management at the Graduate School of Management of the University of California, Davis.

The research which forms the basis of this article has been published in the *Sloan Management Review* (June 2012) and *Human Relations* (63, number 6, 2010).