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#### Abstract

Radiative corrections are calculated for the interaction of two nucleons through pseudoscalar coupled mesons. After renormalization these are very large for the usual magnitude of coupling constant but do not change the range of the potential or its shape at large distances.

The tensor part of the nuclear force is relatively insensitive to these corrections. For the self action terms the relevant expansion parameter in the perturbation theory is $\mathrm{g}^{2} / 4 \pi$ with none of the factors of $\mu / 2 \mathrm{M}$ which result when such terms are neglected.
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## Introduction

The terms in the perturbation expansion of the Smatrix describing the interaction of two nucleons through a meson field are of two types:
(a) In the Feynman diagrams representing the process the only mesons present are those which are exchanged between the two nucleons, viz., graphs (1), (4), (5), and (7) of Fig. 1.
(b) The graphs include radiative corrections which involve either closed nucleon loops or meson lines which begin and end on the same nucleon line, viz., (2), (3), (4abc), and (6) of Fig. I. These lead to divergences which must be handled by the standard renormalization procedures.

The pseudoscalar meson theory with pseudoscalar coupling requires a large coupling constant; $\mathrm{g}^{2} / 4 \pi / \hbar c$ is usually taken between 4 and 40 . It has been pointed out ${ }^{1,2}$ that even for a coupling constant as large as 10 terms of type (a) should corverge.

After a canonical transformation ${ }^{3,4}$ charge symmetric pseudoscalar coupling becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi & \psi \frac{g}{2 M} \sigma_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \tau_{i} \phi_{i}+\frac{g^{2}}{2 M} \phi^{2}-\frac{1}{M}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{2 M}\right)^{2} \phi^{4} \\
& \left.-\frac{g^{3}}{4 M^{3}} \sigma_{\mu}\left[\phi^{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \tau_{i} \emptyset_{i}\right] \quad \cdots\right\} \psi \tag{I}
\end{align*}
$$

$\phi^{2}=\sum_{i} \phi_{i}^{2} ; \tau_{i}$ is the nucleon isotopic spin operator and $M$ is the nucleon mass.

The first term of (1) gives rise to the interaction represented
in graph (1) of Fig. 1 :
$v_{2}=-\left(\frac{\mu}{2 M}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \frac{\tau^{(1)} \cdot \mathcal{T}^{(2)} \sigma^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \sigma^{(2)} \cdot \nabla}{\mu^{2}}\left(\frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}\right)$

The second term yields the major part of the potential represented by graphs (4) and (5) of Fig. 1. According to Lepore ${ }^{4}$ it is
$\nabla_{4} \approx-\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{2 M}\right)^{2} \frac{3 \mu}{\pi\left(\mu_{r}\right)^{2}} \int_{\mu}^{\infty} k_{0}^{\infty}(2 \lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda$.
A contact term has been omitted. Graph (4) is a reducible Feynman diagram; it contains higher order corrections to the $g^{2}$ potential and the contribution to the scattering from the second Born approximation of the potential $\nabla_{2}$ from graph (1). The latter is automatically included when $\nabla_{2}$ is put into the Schroedinger equation and should not be included in $V_{4^{\circ}}$ However it is easily shown that for $r \sim \hbar / \mu_{c}$ the iteration of $V_{2}$ in the Born approximation is
$\sim(\mu / M)^{2} V_{4}$. For sufficiently large $r$ it therefore should not be necessary to make use of the Bethe-Salpeter ${ }^{5}$ equation to extract the contribution to the potential from graph (4). Similarly the effect of successive interactions of the potentials from graphs (1) and (3) is $\sim(\mu / M)^{4}$ smaller than the scattering represented in the reducible graph (Lc) if the effect of the various potentials is restricted to $r \gtrsim \pi / 2 \mu c$. For the low energy properties of the nuclear force a good approximation is obtained for the contributions of graphs (1) - (6) merely by taking for the potential the Fourier Transform of the S-matrix. This is not true for graph (7) but it has a negligible effect unless $r \sim 2 \hbar / \mathrm{Mc}$ 。

## Radiative Corrections

The $g^{4}$ radiative corrections to (2) (graphs (2) and (3) of Fig. 1) ) ${ }^{6}$ have been shown to be small next to the (a) type terms of graphs (4) and (5) of Fig. 1. As long as the transfer of momentum is small the matrix element for the nucleon line $p_{1}-p_{2}^{8}$ may be written ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle p_{2}^{\prime}\right| \tau_{i} \gamma_{5}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle\left[\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \ln \left\{1+\frac{q^{2} x(1-x)}{M^{2}}\right\}_{(4)}^{q}=p_{\sim}^{\prime}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This matrix element is of order

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{M}}\right) \gamma_{5} \sim\left(-\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)\left(\frac{\mu}{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \gamma_{5}
$$

since we are concerned only with the low energy properties of the nuclear force for large $r$. The insertion of a closed nucleon loop into a meson line of momentum $q$ multiplies the meson propagation
function by minus the bracket in (4). Its'contribution is therefore small.

The expression (4) is correct only if $p_{2}^{\prime}$ and $p_{2}$ refer to free nucleons. If $\left\langle p_{2}^{\prime}\right|$ does not refer to a free nucleon state one must add to (4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle p_{2}^{\prime}\right|\left(p_{2}-M\right) A \quad \gamma_{5} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{j}| | p_{1}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \approx-\frac{1}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{0}^{1-s} d t\left[\frac{(t-1) M-s q}{(1-t)^{2} M+(1-t) s_{\sim}} \cdot \frac{q}{2}-2 p_{1} \cdot q s\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For radiative corrections to higher order meson exchange diagrams the expression (5) is large. For example in graph (4c) of Fig. 1 , if $k_{2}$ is small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle p_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\left\langle p_{2}\right| \gamma_{5}\left(p_{2}-k_{2}-M\right)^{-1} \approx\left\langle p_{2}\right| \gamma_{5}(-2 M)^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left({\underset{\sim}{2}}_{2}-M\right)$ of (5) becomes $-2 M$ instead of zero. In addition there exist important radiative corrections from diagrams which can not be described as an iteration of lower order processes ${ }^{8}$, viz., Fig. 1 graph (La)...

Let ${\underset{\sim}{c}}$ and ${\underset{\sim}{2}}_{2}$ be the 4 -momentum for the two mesons which are exchanged in graph (4); ${\underset{\sim}{\sim}}_{1}$ and ${\underset{\sim}{N}}_{2}$ are the momenta of one of the nucleons of graph (4) and (5) before and after the exchange of the meson pair.

The matrix element for this nucleon line is

$$
\begin{align*}
& -i\left\langle p_{2}\right| \tau_{j} \gamma_{5}\left(p_{1}+{\underset{\sim}{1}}^{k_{1}}-\right)^{-1} \gamma_{5} \tau_{i}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle  \tag{8}\\
& \gamma_{5}^{2}=-1 ; \quad \underset{\sim}{p}-M\left|p_{1}\right\rangle=0
\end{align*}
$$

For those mesons which contribute to the low energy properties of the nuclear force at large distances. $k_{l}$ is small next to $\underset{\sim}{p} \sim \gamma_{4} M$ and (8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{i}{2 M}\left\langle p_{2}\right| \tau_{j} \tau_{i}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this approximation the sum of the matrix elements from graphs (4) and (5), Fig。l gives just the nuclear force which arises from the second term of (1) alone, i.e., $\nabla_{4}$ of (3). In computing the potential to terms in $g^{4}$ the error introduced by neglecting $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}$ in the nucleon line is equivalent to the neglect of a repulsive term in the potential ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)^{2} \frac{\mu^{2}}{2 M}\left(\frac{\mu}{2 M}\right)^{2} \frac{(1+\mu r)^{2}}{(\mu r)^{4}} e^{-2 \mu r} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is $\mu / 2 M$ smaller than the dominant attractive term.

The correction to the nuclear force from Fig. I, graph (La) is finite. The matrix element for the nucleon line corresponding to (8) is


$$
\begin{equation*}
x \tau_{i}\left(p_{1}-k-M\right)^{-1} \tau_{m}\left(k^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)^{-1}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sum of graphs (4) and (5) yields a charge independent interaction even if ${\underset{\sim}{n}}$ and ${\underset{\sim}{2}}^{p}$ do not refer to free nucleons, the sum of graph (Ha) and the analogous (5a) is also charge independent. The sum on $m$ gives a factor three. The integration in (11) can be performed in a straight forward manner using the parametric representation of Feynman to give?

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i 3 g^{2}}{8 \pi}\left\langle p_{1}\right| \int_{0}^{1} d t \int_{0}^{1-t} d x \int_{0}^{1-t-x} d y \frac{{\underset{\sim}{1}}^{p}+\underset{\sim}{\sim}+3 Q-2 M}{\Delta} \\
& +\frac{Q\left(p_{1}+k_{1}-\Phi+M\right) Q}{\Delta^{2}}\left|p_{2}\right\rangle  \tag{12}\\
& {\underset{\sim}{Q}}^{Q}=t{\underset{\sim}{p}}_{1}+t{\underset{\sim}{k}}_{1}+\mathbf{y}{\underset{\sim}{\sim}}_{1}+(1-t-x-y){\underset{\sim}{\sim}}_{2} \\
& \Delta=Q^{2}-\left(2 p_{1}{ }^{\circ} k_{1}+k_{1}^{2}\right) t+\mu^{2} \mathrm{x} \cdot
\end{align*}
$$

For small $k_{1}^{\prime}$, and neglecting $\mu$ next to $M_{2}$ (12) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{1}{4 \pi M}\left(\frac{3 g_{g}^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \quad p_{2}\left|\tau_{j} \tau_{i}\right| p_{2}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (13) and (9) and taking into account that the radiative correction contained in graph (Ha) must be applied to both nucleon lines, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{6}^{a} \sim\left(-\frac{3}{\pi}\right)\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) v_{4} \cdot \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sixth order potential from graph (La) is repulsive and has the same range as the fourth order terms from graphs (4) and (5). No factors of $\mu / \mathrm{M}$ enter into the ratio $\nabla_{6}{ }^{a}$ to $\nabla_{4}$.
The radiative correction from (4b) is

$$
\left(3 g^{2}\right)\left\langle p_{2}\right| \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \gamma_{5} \tau_{j}\left(p_{1}+\underset{\sim}{k}-M\right)^{-1} \gamma_{5}\left(p_{1}+k_{1}-\underset{\sim}{k}-M\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\times \quad \gamma_{5}\left(p_{1}+k_{1}-M\right)^{-1} \gamma_{5} \tau_{i}\left(k^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)^{-1} / p_{1}| \rangle \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is finite after charge and nucleon mass renormalization. For small $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ the renormalized matrix element is
$\frac{3 i}{4 \pi}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right)\left\langle p_{2}\right| \gamma_{5} \tau_{5}\left(p_{1}+\frac{k}{1}-M\right) \gamma_{5} \tau_{i}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle\left[1-\eta \ln \eta_{t}-\eta \ln \eta\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{ \pm}=\frac{2 p \cdot k}{M^{2}} \pm 2\left(\left[\frac{p \cdot k}{M^{2}}\right]^{2}-\left[\frac{\mu}{M}\right]^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Terms in $(\mu / M)^{2} \ln (\mu / M)$ have been omitted. Comparing (16) and (8) the contribution of graph (Hb) applied to both nucleon lines gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{6}^{b} \sim\left(-\frac{3}{2 \pi}\right)\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) V_{4} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of the vertex term of graph (Lc) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.+\mathrm{g}^{2}\right\rangle & <p_{2} \mid \\
& \int_{(2 \pi)^{4}} \frac{d^{4} k}{\left(2 \gamma_{j}\right.} \gamma_{5}\left(p_{2}-k-M\right)^{-1} \gamma_{5} \tau_{m}\left(p_{1}+k_{1}-k-m\right)^{-1}  \tag{18}\\
& \times \gamma_{5} \tau_{i}\left(p_{1}+k_{1}-M\right)^{-1} \gamma_{5} \tau_{m}^{\left(k^{2}-\mu^{2}\right)^{-1}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle}
\end{align*}
$$

For small $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{k}}$ Eq. (18), by virtue of (5) and (6), becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{i}{2 M}\left\langle p_{2}\right| \gamma_{j} \gamma_{i}\left|p_{1}\right\rangle\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by four for the four vertices and comparing with (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{6}^{c} \sim \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) V_{4} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The replacement of one of the meson lines in graphs (4) or (5) by graph (2) gives an interaction proportional to $(\mu / 2 M)^{2}\left(g^{2} / 4 \pi\right) V_{4}$. Therefore radiative corrections give a potential 10

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{6} \sim=\frac{5}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi}\right) \nabla_{4} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even for $\mathrm{g}^{2} / 4 \pi \sim 1$ this correction is large. In fact since the radiative correction gives a repulsion, the usual fourth order perturbation calculations overestimate the nuclear force and a larger coupling constant would be needed so that the perturbation calculation would be even less sensible.
$\nabla_{6}$ has also been estimated from the Bethe-Salpeter equation. For large $r$ the effective non-relativistic sixth order potential agrees with (21)。

## Conclusion

A small coupling constant $\left(g^{2} / 4 \pi \ll 1\right)$ for pseudoscalar coupling is in violent disagreement with experiment. For a large coupling radiative corrections from the self field of the nucleon, as given in Eq. (21) ${ }^{11}$ are big enough to invalidate the perturbation theory expansion even for $\because \mathrm{g}^{2} / 4 \pi \approx 1^{(12}$. For $\mathrm{r} \sim h / \mu \mathrm{c}$ the effect of these corrections is to multiply $V_{4}$ by some unknown constant while leaving $V_{2}$ unchanged. This is equivalent to redefining the coefficient of the second term of (1) so that the effective Hamiltonian for perturbation theory calculations involving mesons whose momentum is not large compared to $\mu c$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{+}\left\{\frac{g}{2 M} \sigma_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{\mu}} \tau_{i} \phi_{i}+\frac{f^{2}}{2 M} \phi^{2}\right\} \psi \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is an unknown function of $g$ which determines the ratio of ordinary to tensor force in the deuteron and the magnitude of low energy $\mathscr{I}$ - nucleon scattering.

This work was performed under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission.
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## FIGURE CAPTION

Figure 1 Representative Feynman diagrams for the two nucleon interaction.
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