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Abstract
The process of performing qualitative analysis can be a daunting task. Technology can be employed to ease the burden of the work; however, the researcher may not fully appreciate how and when computer software can assist in conducting qualitative analysis. In this, the third installment of our “how-to” series on qualitative research methods, we describe basic concepts and approaches to using both simple word processing programs and specific qualitative research software programs to assist in coding and analysis. We hope that the concepts put forth in this paper will help qualitative researchers become more familiar with available technological approaches and that they will, in turn, enhance the efficiency of the research process as well as the depth, clarity and richness of research findings.

Keywords: education research, qualitative analysis, qualitative research methods, software

APPRAOCHES TO CODING: THE INTERSECTION OF SUBSTRATE AND ANALYTIC APPROACH

Qualitative research is defined by a multiplicity of approaches.1,2 Data collection, analysis, and interpretation are mutually influenced by the researcher’s epistemologic and ontologic stance, the nature of the research question, and the implicit and explicit influence of theoretical frameworks.3 While the traditions and approaches that guide qualitative research vary widely, a common thread is this: to conduct qualitative analysis, the researcher must first arrive at a system for organizing, displaying, and coding data.3

The primary substrate for qualitative research is words organized into text, whether in the form of field notes, transcripts of interviews and focus groups, or open-text responses to survey questions. The researcher organizes text into “chunks”—words, phrases, sentences, or paragraphs that refer to a specific idea or theme—and assigns descriptive codes to chunks to signify meaning (please see parts 1 and 2 of our series for further details on qualitative interviewing and coding).3-5

To avoid confusion, when we use the term “coding” in this paper, we are referring to the process of organizing qualitative data into meaningful themes that can be analyzed to shed light on the research question, not to the process of generating computer code for software development. The process of coding signifies the initiation of analysis, which Marshall and Rossman6 describe as “the process of bringing order, structure and meaning” to collected data.7

USING SOFTWARE TO ASSIST IN CODING AND ANALYSIS: WHY AND WHICH TYPE?

The process of coding can be done by hand, but the use of software offers a number of advantages. It allows for the fast retrieval and comparison of chunks of text and for assigning and keeping track of codes. Software also allows the researcher to easily cluster codes for the purpose of comparison, enabling the recognition of patterns within data and laying the foundation for higher-order analysis and theory generation.8
What type of software is best for qualitative coding? The answer lies in the confluence of the research goals, analytic approach, and the length and complexity of the data to be coded. Text that is brief or that is bounded by specific themes and questions (as in a survey) may be parsed with little need for specific qualitative coding software. Lengthy or less structured interviews, focus groups with multiple participants, or detailed field notes in ethnographic research, on the other hand, will inevitably produce text that requires significant detailed analysis to organize and draw meaningful comparisons and conclusions. Similarly, studies that seek to describe phenomena in broad terms may not require the functionality of qualitative analysis software, whereas studies that rely on granular analysis (e.g., frequency coding) will benefit strongly from this technology. In the following sections we will discuss two software-enabled approaches to coding; their respective indications; and pros and cons based on the study goals, analytic strategy, and data to be analyzed (Table 1).

## A SIMPLE APPROACH TO “DIY” CODING

To be clear, coding software is not a requirement for using technology to assist in conducting qualitative research. Here we describe a simple “do it yourself (DIY)” approach to coding using word processing programs such as Microsoft Word, Excel, and Google Docs.

For thematic analysis, a word processing program such as Microsoft Word is often sufficient. Text can be chunked by theme using highlighting, with each theme represented by a color. Chunks corresponding to a given theme can then be moved to a second document where they can be compared. Detailed and collaborative coding can be performed using the “comments” function. The DIY approach also has the advantage of using software that is familiar and readily available, limiting issues of cost and learning a new program. Further description of general approaches to coding can be found in part 2 of our “how-to” series.

### USING QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS SOFTWARE

Computer-assisted qualitative data analysis software (CAQDAS, also called QDAS) has existed since the 1980s and has seen prolific growth over the past two decades. Over a dozen software programs exist for the purpose of conducting qualitative and mixed-methods research, all of which share the common functions of searching and linking, coding, mapping, and annotating qualitative data. Features of commonly used programs can be found in Table 2.

CAQDAS offers a number of purported advantages to the researcher, chief among which is that it improves the efficiency of data organization and coding. The time saved in the clerical work of data preparation frees the researcher to engage in the more meaningful work of analysis. CAQDAS programs allow the researcher to easily create simultaneous codes (multiple codes applied to the same text) and subcodes (codes within sections of text that have already been coded). CAQDAS also increases the auditability of data, and programs that allow for collaborative coding by multiple investigators may serve to strengthen the overall rigor and trustworthiness of research findings.

These strengths, however, must be weighed against the expense of purchasing a software license and the time needed to master a new program. It has also been suggested that CAQDAS programs carry the risk of “disengagement from the data,” in which the researcher focuses more on technique rather than meaning. On a practical level, it offers few advantages over manual coding when dealing with small data sets with brief text. CAQDAS programs may also be a poor fit for analytic strategies

---

**Table 1** General indications for choosing a “do-it-yourself” (DIY) approach to coding with general word processing software versus CAQDAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DIY coding with word processing programs</th>
<th>CAQDAS software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goals of study</td>
<td>Description, comparison</td>
<td>Theory generation and/or testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analytical approach</td>
<td>Broad, thematic</td>
<td>Granular (e.g., grounded theory)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data sources</td>
<td>Brief and/or tightly bound to specific research questions (e.g., survey responses)</td>
<td>Lengthy interviews and focus group transcripts, detailed/multiple field notes, open-ended and nuanced text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advantages</td>
<td>Easy and intuitive to use, inexpensive, widely available</td>
<td>Supports multiple data file types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Easy to import text files</td>
<td>Allows for easy organization and searching between multiple documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can search text within documents</td>
<td>Provides enhanced coding abilities, annotation and memo generation, visual representations of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantages</td>
<td>Can’t search between documents</td>
<td>Steeper learning curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More labor intensive to keep multiple documents organized</td>
<td>Many require a paid license to use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential risk of overcoding (losing the forest through the trees)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: CAQDAS, computer-assisted qualitative analysis software.
that are heavily focused on the situated interpretation of meaning or nuanced structure of language. The following is an overview of the functionality of NVivo, the CAQDAS program used most commonly by academics and researchers worldwide. Our selection of NVivo as an example is not intended as an endorsement of this particular CAQDAS, but rather a reflection of its prominence within the field. In addition to the worked example using NVivo in this article, a number of instructional manuals, workshops, and online videos are available to the novice.

### ORGANIZING AND CODING DATA

NVivo has the ability to quickly import and organize files of almost any type: text, audio, and visual files; emails; and social media posts as well as spreadsheets and data files from statistical analysis packages. The current iteration of NVivo can transcribe audio files into text. The ability to import and organize (as well as transcribe) data files from multiple sources holds the potential for significant time and cost savings in the early stages of a research project. Further detail on data organization is provided in Figures 1-3.

NVivo allows assignment of codes freely or according to coding schemes constructed by the researcher a priori (Figures 4 and 5). Easily searching and performing both open and axial (crosslinking) coding supports reflexivity and constant comparison in the research process.

### BUILDING TRANSPARENCY AND TRUSTWORTHINESS

CAQDAS programs such as NVivo hold advantages beyond the organization and initial coding of qualitative data. Data-querying functions, as well as the ability to create memos and annotations, provide depth and clarity to the process of qualitative analysis (Figures 6 and 7). Trustworthiness, the central argument supporting the validity of qualitative research findings, rests on researchers' "demonstrating...

---

### TABLE 2 Comparison of commonly used CAQDAS programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program name</th>
<th>Platform</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Capabilities</th>
<th>Uses</th>
<th>Learning curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATLAS.ti</td>
<td>MacOS, Windows, iOS, Android, Cloud</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data, data visualization, transcription, collaborative analysis (cloud version), multilanguage</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis</td>
<td>More difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DeDoose</td>
<td>Cloud</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data, data visualization, collaborative analysis</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis, mixed-methods research</td>
<td>Easier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXQDA</td>
<td>MacOS, Windows, iOS, Android</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data, data visualization, transcription, collaborative analysis, statistical analysis, multilanguage</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis, mixed-methods research</td>
<td>More difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVivo</td>
<td>MacOS, Windows, Cloud</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data, data visualization, transcription, collaborative analysis</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis, mixed-methods research</td>
<td>More difficult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QDA Miner Lite</td>
<td>Windows (can run on MacOS with supplemental software)</td>
<td>Free</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis</td>
<td>Easier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quirkos</td>
<td>MacOS, Windows, Linux, Cloud</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Coding, aggregation, searching and querying data, data visualization, collaborative analysis</td>
<td>Grounded theory, ethnography, discourse analysis, mixed-methods research</td>
<td>Easier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Multiple software packages are available to assist in analyzing data obtained by qualitative research methods. Abbreviation: CAQDAS, computer-assisted qualitative analysis software.
their understanding of their context and data (credibility), showing consistency and lack of bias in data analysis (confirmability), providing enough detail for possible replication (dependability), and allowing for assessment of a study’s outcomes in relation to other contexts (transferability). NVivo and similar CAQDAS programs help researchers demonstrate trustworthiness by examining coding specificity, auditability within and between researchers, and illuminating patterns that lead to higher-order coding.20

**FIGURE 1** Importing files and assigning attributes. The first step in creating a project is uploading data files into the program. NVivo supports many file types (Word documents, pdfs, audio and visual files, Excel spreadsheets), which can simply be dragged and dropped into the program. The investigator can assign attributes (descriptive information, such as the date and location of an interview) to the files to further describe them.

**FIGURE 2** Creating cases. NVivo considers the unit of analysis in a qualitative project to be a “case.” Cases can refer to individuals (e.g., department chair), sets of interviews or focus groups, or documents that represent a particular facet of a project. In this example, “Experiences of outside rotators in the Emergency Department” (a focus group transcript) will be assigned as a case.
SUPPORTING THEORY GENERATION

CAQDAS programs such as NVivo have been used for inferential coding and theory generation in a number of qualitative approaches, most familiarly grounded theory. NVivo can support the generation of theory by allowing the creation of detailed memos and data displays (Figure 7). Memos are an essential part of theory generation in grounded theory in that they allow the researcher to illustrate ideas, add clarification, and draw connections between categories to direct further data gathering and coding. NVivo allows the researcher to create memos linked to particular nodes and "sets" of memos that link similar categories. NVivo also allows researchers to create concept maps and visual models that help them explore and describe connections among categories (Figure 8). Taken together, these tools assist the researcher in recognizing and articulating emerging theory.

CONCLUSION

In this article we have addressed the respective pros and cons of two technological adjuncts to coding qualitative data. We encourage both novice and experienced researchers to consider first the nature of their research question, their analytic strategy,
FIGURE 5 Code displays. Text corresponding to particular codes can be viewed separately to facilitate further analysis.

FIGURE 6 Querying data. As analysis progresses, the investigator can query (ask questions of) the data in a number of ways, such word counts and crosstabs. Collectively, these tools assist the researcher in finding linkages between codes and identifying patterns as they analyze the data.
and the characteristics of the data to be analyzed prior to committing to a coding approach. While technology has the ability to strengthen and streamline the research process, it cannot replace the insight of the researcher in the critical work of qualitative analysis.
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