

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Food Insecurity and Engagement in Transactional Sex Among Female Secondary Students in Rwanda.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0wc9z25x>

Journal

AIDS and Behavior, 28(1)

Authors

Izudi, Jonathan
Gatare, Emmyson
Packel, Laura
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2024

DOI

10.1007/s10461-023-04173-2

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed



Food Insecurity and Engagement in Transactional Sex Among Female Secondary Students in Rwanda

Lila A. Sheira¹ · Jonathan Izudi² · Emmyson Gatere³ · Laura Packel¹ · Laetitia Kayitesi³ · Felix Sayinzoga⁴ · Rebecca Hope⁵ · Sandra I. McCoy¹

Accepted: 9 September 2023 / Published online: 4 October 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The relationship between food insecurity and transactional sex is well recognized, but less is known about this relationship among adolescents. We analyzed cross-sectional baseline data from 3,130 female secondary students aged 12–19 enrolled in a three-arm, cluster randomized controlled trial to examine the association between food insecurity and transactional sex. The explanatory variable was food security and the outcome was ever engaging in transactional sex. Over one quarter (28.7%) reported any food insecurity and 1.9% of all participants (9.6% of sexually active participants) reported ever engaging in transactional sex. In adjusted models, ever experiencing any food insecurity was associated with a higher prevalence of ever transactional sex (PR: 1.60; 95% CI: 1.02, 2.49) compared to little to no food insecurity. These results provide insight into potential predictors of higher-risk sexual behavior in Rwanda; they also provide policy-makers with populations with whom to intervene on upstream determinants of transactional sex, notably poverty and food insecurity.

Resumen

La inseguridad alimentaria y el sexo transaccional están poco estudiados entre las adolescentes ruandesas. Analizamos datos de referencia transversales de 3,130 estudiantes secundarias de 12 a 19 años de edad inscritas en un ensayo controlado aleatorio por grupos de tres brazos para examinar la asociación entre la inseguridad alimentaria y el sexo transaccional. La variable independiente fue la seguridad alimentaria y la variable dependiente fue participación en sexo transaccional por lo menos una vez. Más de una cuarta parte (28,7%) informó alguna inseguridad alimentaria y el 1,9% de todos los participantes (9,6% de los participantes sexualmente activos) informaron haber tenido relaciones sexuales transaccionales alguna vez. En modelos ajustados, experimentar alguna inseguridad alimentaria alguna vez se asoció con una mayor relación sexual transaccional (PR: 1,60; IC del 95%: 1,02, 2,49) en comparación con poca o ninguna inseguridad alimentaria. Estos resultados brindan información sobre posibles predictores de comportamiento sexual de mayor riesgo en Ruanda; también brindan a los formuladores de políticas poblaciones para intervenir en los determinantes anteriores del sexo transaccional, en particular la pobreza y la inseguridad alimentaria.

Keywords Food security · Adolescent girls · Young women · Transactional sex · Rwanda

Introduction

Food insecurity (FI), defined as “the uncertain or limited availability of nutritionally adequate or safe food or the inability to procure food in socially acceptable ways,” [1] affected nearly 30% of the global population in 2021. [2] This crisis is heightened on the African continent, where 57.9% of households experienced any FI and nearly 1 out

of every 4 households experienced severe FI in 2021. [2] Further, in Sub-Saharan Africa and specifically East Africa, 63% and 67% of households, respectively, experienced FI in 2021. [2] Within households, adolescent girls and young women (AGYW) often bear a disproportionate burden of FI. [3].

Approximately 81% of Rwandans are food secure although the U.N. Food and Agricultural Organization estimates that 35% of Rwandans are undernourished, a distinct but related concept to food security. [4] FI is a well-documented driver of behaviors that place women, particularly

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

AGYW, at a higher risk for sexually transmitted infections, such as HIV, and unplanned pregnancy. [5–7] Despite a low prevalence of HIV in the general Rwandan population, comprehensive knowledge of HIV declined from 65 to 59% among Rwandan AGYW in the most recent Rwandan Demographic and Health Survey, [8] which also reported that half of sexually active AGYW were tested for HIV in the previous year. [8] FI has a demonstrated association with transactional sex, [9] a known driver of HIV. [6] Transactional sex is prevalent regionally, ranging from 14% among a sample of AGYW in South Africa [10] to 25% among university aged females in Uganda; [11] some estimates have neared 80%. [12] Nevertheless, the relationship between FI and transactional sex has been understudied among adolescents and specifically in Rwanda. Therefore and in this study, we examined the association between FI and transactional sex among in-school AGYW in Rwanda.

Methods

Data used for this study are from the baseline survey conducted among AGYW in secondary schools enrolled in a three-arm, cluster Hybrid Trial Type 2 Effectiveness-Implementation study [13] to evaluate the impact of CyberRwanda, a digital health intervention, which has been described elsewhere. [14].

Eligible secondary schools included: (1) those that were located ≤ 4.5 km of a participating pharmacy (as the intervention links to pharmacies); (2) were located ≥ 1.5 km from another secondary school to reduce spillover; (3) had ≥ 150 students; (4) were a day school; (5) had a minimum of 150 students; and (6) were willing to participate (determined by school leadership).

Students < 18 years of age were invited to participate via parental consent forms sent home. We created a sampling frame among students who had parental consent or those who self-consented (age 18–19). The study team randomly sampled approximately fifty students per sex per school, resulting in 6,079 eligible students at study baseline (February–May 2021). Baseline survey data from all 3,130 AGYW aged 12–19 were analyzed in this study.

Ethical approval was given by the Rwanda National Ethics Committee and the University of California, Berkeley Committee for Protection of Human Subjects. The trial is registered at the US National Clinical trials registry site (Registration # NCT04198272).

Variables and Measurements

The outcome of interest was (ever) transactional sex, defined as an affirmative response to the question “have you

ever had sex in exchange for money, food, gifts, drugs, alcohol, shelter, or other goods?”. AGYW who reported never having engaged in transactional sex, as well as those who had never engaged in any sex were coded as “no”. Participants with other non-affirmative responses (“don’t know” [n=18], “refuse to answer” [n=15], and “NA” [n=1]) were excluded.

The explanatory variable was household FI over the past thirty days, measured via the widely used and validated six-item household hunger scale. [15] The scale was categorized per standard scoring algorithms into: (1) a binary variable (any FI compared to little to no FI [reference]) and (2) a three-category variable (little to no [reference], moderate, and severe hunger) and analyzed in two models. Missing food security status data was minimal (1.5%) so a complete case analysis was conducted. The food security scale had a Cronbach’s alpha of 0.89 in the entire sample, indicating high internal consistency.

Potential confounders included were based on *a priori* knowledge of the relationship between food security and sexual health, including age of the participant and educational attainment of the participant’s mother (primary or less [reference], completed primary or greater, and unknown). Further, a wealth index was developed using household assets and dwelling characteristics (i.e. floor material) per standard scoring. [16].

Statistical Analysis

We summarized the participant demographic characteristics and assessed bivariate associations between food security status and transactional sex. We implemented covariate-adjusted generalized linear models with the log link, binomial family, and robust standard errors to account for clustering to obtain our parameter of interest, a prevalence ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI).

Results

We analyzed data on all AGYW (n=3,130); median age was 15 (interquartile range [IQR]: 14, 16), 20.2% of participants reporting being sexually active, and 28.7% reporting any FI (Table 1). Among sexually active participants, 9.3% reported ever engaging in transactional sex.

In bivariate analyses, any FI was associated with over two times the prevalence of transactional sex (unadjusted prevalence ratio [uPR]: 2.16, 95% CI: 1.37–3.41) compared to those with little to no hunger. In the second model using the three-part variable, moderate but not severe hunger were associated with a higher prevalence of transactional sex (uPR 2.11; 95% CI: 1.30–3.41; and uPR 2.72, 95% CI:

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the study sample at baseline, CyberRwanda (n=6,079)

	Total Sample (n=6,079)	Females (n=3,130)	Sexually Active Females (n=633)
	N (%) or Median (SD)		
Sex			
Female	3130 (51.5%)	--	--
Male	2949 (48.5%)	--	--
Age			
12	123 (2.0%)	77 (2.5%)	12 (1.9%)
13	534 (8.8%)	320 (10.2%)	45 (7.1%)
14	1187 (19.5%)	700 (22.4%)	110 (17.4%)
15	1532 (25.2%)	840 (26.8%)	150 (23.7%)
16	1261 (20.7%)	634 (20.3%)	133 (21.0%)
17	877 (14.4%)	378 (12.1%)	115 (18.2%)
18	433 (7.1%)	155 (5.0%)	57 (9.0%)
19	132 (2.2%)	26 (0.8%)	11 (1.7%)
Mother's Education			
Some primary or less	2396 (39.4%)	1251 (40.0%)	266 (42.1%)
Completed primary or more	2621 (43.2%)	1337 (42.7%)	265 (41.9%)
Unknown	1057 (17.4%)	540 (17.3%)	101 (16.0%)
Categorical Food Security Score			
Little to no hunger	4493 (74.0%)	2228 (71.3%)	436 (69.0%)
Moderate hunger	1464 (24.1%)	821 (26.3%)	179 (28.3%)
Severe hunger	113 (1.9%)	76 (2.4%)	18 (2.8%)
Binary Food Security Score			
Food Insecure	1577 (26.0%)	897 (28.7%)	197 (31.1%)
Sexually active			
No	4334 (71.3%)	2483 (79.3%)	0
Refuse to Answer	22 (0.4%)	14 (0.4%)	0
Yes	1723 (28.3%)	633 (20.2%)	633 (100%)
Ever transactional sex			
No	1590 (93.1%)	567 (90.4%)	557 (90.4%)
Yes	117 (6.9%)	60 (9.6%)	59 (9.6%) ¹

0.91–8.19, respectively) compared to the reference group (Table 2).

In the adjusted models, any experience of FI was associated with a 60% higher prevalence of transactional sex (adjusted [a]PR: 1.60, 95% CI: 1.02, 2.49). Using the three-part variable in a separate model, moderate (aPR 1.58; 95% CI: 0.99, 2.51) but not severe hunger (aPR: 1.79; 95% CI: 0.59, 5.43) was associated with transactional sex.

Table 2 Prevalence ratios assessing the relationship between food insecurity and ever engaging in transactional sex among female secondary students, Rwanda, 2021

	Bivariate (n=3,106)		Adjusted (n=3,104)	
	Prevalence ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	p-value	Prevalence ratio (95% Confidence Interval)	p-value
Dichotomous food security				
Food secure	Reference		Reference	
Food insecure	2.16 (1.37, 3.41)	0.001	1.60 (1.02, 2.49)	0.039
Categorical food security				
Little to no hunger	Reference		Reference	
Moderate hunger	2.11 (1.30, 3.41)	0.002	1.58 (0.99, 2.51)	0.054
Severe hunger	2.72 (0.91, 8.19)	0.074	1.79 (0.59, 5.43)	0.30

Discussion

In this study, over one-quarter of in-school Rwandan AGYW reported some level of FI. While the prevalence of FI was moderately higher than the national prevalence (19%), [4] this discordance may be reflective of intra-household food reporting dynamics whereby adults tend to underestimate FI and girls generally experience higher rates of FI than other household members. [3, 17] Notably, nearly one in ten of sexually active AGYW reported ever engagement in transactional sex, and we found a positive association between FI and transactional sex such that any FI was associated with a 60% higher prevalence of transactional sex.

To our knowledge this is the first study to quantify the relationship between FI and transactional sex among Rwandan AGYW and among the first to report a statistically significant association between FI and transactional sex among in-school AGYW. Our findings that nearly 10% of in-school, sexually active girls have ever engaged in transactional sex is of great public health concern. Although there is a vast literature on the consequences of transactional sex, such as unintended pregnancy and HIV, there are fewer studies of the upstream drivers. [18, 19] Among AGYW, there are numerous *qualitative* studies documenting food scarcity as a driver for engagement in transactional sex among AGYW, [20, 21] and a small but growing body of quantitative evidence documenting drivers of transactional sex for this population. FI has been found to be an important motivator for engaging in transactional sex among AGYW aged 20–24 in

South Africa [22] and AGYW aged 15–24 in Malawi. [9] Another study in South Africa found that among nulliparous AGYW (aged 11–25), being food secure was protective against transactional sex. [23] Our study is unique in that it extends this literature with its focus on in-school AGYW in the understudied Rwandan context, as well as its focus on food security. Successful interventions addressing transactional sex include cash transfers, which address its upstream drivers, such as FI; [24, 25] other behavioral interventions have not demonstrated impact. [26] Overall, these results underscore a need to mitigate poverty and FI and invest in their upstream drivers among in-school Rwandan AGYW as a means to reduce HIV transmission.

Our study purposefully chose to include girls who never engaged in sexual activity in our models and code them as never engaging in transactional sex. Given the hypothesis that food security status may predict transactional sex, if we had restricted to those who have never had sex, who by definition have also never had transactional sex, this sub-sample may suffer from selection bias, resulting in biased results. Another potential study concern is underreporting due to anticipated stigma and social desirability bias, particularly in regards to transactional sex. However, misclassification of the outcome is not a threat to the study's validity if not dependent on food security status (i.e., non-differential misclassification); differential misclassification can bias the estimate. To understand the potential impact of underreporting of transactional sex by food insecure participants, we conducted a hypothetical exercise examining underreporting of transactional sex by 10%, 15%, and 20% among food insecure participants. In these hypothetical scenarios, the PR ranged between 4.3 and 7.0, indicating that even in the presence of differential misclassification, the estimates presented in this study may be conservative.

This study is not without limitations. There is the potential for underreporting of both FI and engagement in transactional sex; however, we demonstrated that the theoretical impact of this is minimal. Given these are cross-sectional data, we cannot disentangle temporal relationships between FI and transactional sex. The parent impact study will collect this data prospectively, which is better suited to a causal interpretation of the relationship between food security and transactional sex upon conclusion of the trial. Nevertheless, the study has multiple strengths, primarily the substantial size and representativeness of the study sample.

Acknowledgements We would like to acknowledge the contributions of many partners. YLabs has led the project from start to date. Design research, prototyping, and solution development was conducted in collaboration with YTH Initiative, ETR, HDP Rwanda (Health, Development and Performance) and Society for Family Health - Rwanda. Society for Family Health - Rwanda and YLabs lead the implementation of the intervention. Rwanda Biomedical Center, University of Rwanda School of Public Health, Rwandan Ministry of Health, the Adolescent

and Sexual Reproductive Health and Health Promotion Technical Working Groups, District 1 local leaders and other key stakeholders have been responsible for providing critical guidance on implementation and study design. This work is made possible by a grant from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation and the generous support of the American people through the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) under the terms of the cooperative agreement number 7200AA18CA00047 and the Development Innovation Ventures program. The contents are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government. We would also like to acknowledge Rebecca Hémono and Lauren Hunter for research coordination and data oversight.

Authors' Contributions Conceptualization: SIM, LP, RH.

Data analysis: LAS.

Interpretation: LAS, JI, EG, LP, LK, FS, RH, SIM.

Writing: LAS.

Review: LAS, JI, EG, LP, LK, FS, RH, SIM.

Funding Funding for the initial design research, prototyping with youth, data collection and analysis, software development, and the impact evaluation came from The David and Lucile Packard Foundation. USAID Development Innovation Ventures (DIV) provided funding for design research and prototyping of service delivery innovations. USAID Direct to Consumer Approaches to Fertility Awareness and Reproductive Health Information for Adolescents provided funding for the development of provider training tools, development of the design and content of the educational platform, and implementation of the project in Rwanda. The funding bodies reviewed the final manuscript before submission but were not involved in the design of the study, the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Data Availability Given that the study is ongoing, data is not available. Upon study conclusion data will be stored on the Open Science Framework site for the CyberRwanda study (<https://osf.io/erd9z/>).

Declarations

Ethics approval Ethical approval was given by the Rwanda National Ethics Committee and the University of California, Berkeley Committee for Protection of Human Subjects.

Conflict of Interest The authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

Consent to participate Further described in the methods; students < 18 years of age were invited to participate via parental consent forms sent home; students aged 18–19 self-consented.

Consent for publication Not applicable as all data is deidentified.

Clinical trials registry site and number <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04198272>; Registration # NCT04198272.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted

use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Council N, Council N. Food insecurity and hunger in the United States: an assessment of the measure. Volume 10. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press doi; 2006. p. 11578.
- UNICEF; WFP/WHO. The state of food security and nutrition in the world 2022. 2022.
- Masa R, Khan Z, Chowa G. Youth food insecurity in Ghana and South Africa: prevalence, socioeconomic correlates, and moderation effect of gender. *Child Youth Serv Rev*. 2020;116:105180.
- Paridaens A, Jayasinghe S. Rwanda 2018 Comprehensive food security and vulnerability analysis. Kigali, Rwanda: National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda; 2018.
- Fielding-Miller R, Mnisi Z, Adams D, Baral S, Kennedy C. There is hunger in my community: a qualitative study of food security as a cyclical force in sex work in Swaziland. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14(1):1–10.
- Miller CL, Bangsberg DR, Tuller DM, Senkungu J, Kawuma A, Frongillo EA, et al. Food insecurity and sexual risk in an HIV endemic community in Uganda. *AIDS Behav*. 2011;15(7):1512–9.
- Weiser SD, Leiter K, Bangsberg DR, Butler LM, Percy-de Korte F, Hlanze Z, et al. Food insufficiency is associated with high-risk sexual behavior among women in Botswana and Swaziland. *PLoS Med*. 2007;4(10):e260.
- National Institute of Statistics of Rwanda - NISR. Ministry of Health - MOH, ICF. Rwanda demographic and health survey 2019-20. Kigali, Rwanda and Rockville, Maryland, USA: NISR/MOH/ICF; 2021.
- Gichane MW, Moracco KE, Pettifor AE, Zimmer C, Maman S, Phanga T et al. Socioeconomic predictors of transactional sex in a cohort of adolescent girls and Young Women in Malawi: a longitudinal analysis. *AIDS Behav*. 2020:1–9.
- Ranganathan M, Heise L, Pettifor A, Silverwood RJ, Selin A, MacPhail C, et al. Transactional sex among young women in rural South Africa: prevalence, mediators and association with HIV infection. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2016;19(1):20749.
- Choudhry V, Östergren P-O, Ambresin A-E, Kyagaba E, Agardh A. Giving or receiving something for sex: a cross-sectional study of transactional sex among ugandan university students. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(11):e112431.
- Moore AM, Biddlecom AE, Zulu EM. Prevalence and meanings of exchange of money or gifts for sex in unmarried adolescent sexual relationships in sub-saharan Africa. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2007;11(3):44.
- Curran GM, Bauer M, Mittman B, Pyne JM, Stetler C. Effectiveness-implementation hybrid designs: combining elements of clinical effectiveness and implementation research to enhance public health impact. *Med Care*. 2012;50(3):217.
- Nolan C, Packel L, Hope R, Levine J, Baringer L, Gatere E, et al. Design and impact evaluation of a digital reproductive health program in Rwanda using a cluster randomized design: study protocol. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1–15.
- Ballard T, Coates J, Swindale A, Deitchler M. Household hunger scale: indicator definition and measurement guide. Washington, DC: Food and nutrition technical assistance II project, FHI. 2011;360:23.
- Rutstein SO. Steps to constructing the new DHS Wealth Index. Rockville, MD: ICF International; 2015.
- Landry MJ, van den Berg AE, Asigbee FM, Vandyousefi S, Ghaddar R, Davis JN. Child compared with parent perceptions of child-level food security. *Curr Developments Nutr*. 2019;3(10):nzz106.
- Wamoyi J, Stobeanau K, Bobrova N, Abramsky T, Watts C. Transactional sex and risk for HIV infection in sub-saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2016;19(1):20992.
- Austrian K, Soler-Hampejsek E, Duby Z, Hewett PC. When he asks for sex, you will never refuse: transactional sex and adolescent pregnancy in Zambia. *Stud Fam Plann*. 2019;50(3):243–56.
- Selikow T-A, Mbulaheni T. I do love him but at the same time I can't eat love: Sugar daddy relationships for conspicuous consumption amongst urban university students in South Africa. *Agenda*. 2013;27(2):86–98.
- Zamudio-Haas S, Auerswald C, Miller L, Amboka S, Agot I, Kadede K, et al. Seeking a Sponyo: insights into motivations and risks around intergenerational transactional sex among adolescent boys and girls in Kenya. *J Adolesc Health*. 2021;68(5):930–6.
- Duby Z, Jonas K, McClinton Appollis T, Maruping K, Vanleeuw L, Kuo C, et al. From survival to glamour: motivations for engaging in Transactional Sex and Relationships among adolescent girls and Young Women in South Africa. *AIDS Behav*. 2021;25(10):3238–54.
- Cluver L, Rudgard WE, Toska E, Orkin M, Ibrahim M, Langwenya N, et al. Food security reduces multiple HIV infection risks for high-vulnerability adolescent mothers and non-mothers in South Africa: a cross-sectional study. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2022;25(8):e25928.
- Cluver L, Boyes M, Orkin M, Pantelic M, Molwena T, Sherr L. Child-focused state cash transfers and adolescent risk of HIV infection in South Africa: a propensity-score-matched case-control study. *The Lancet Global Health*. 2013;1(6):e362–e70.
- Stoner MC, Kilburn K, Godfrey-Faussett P, Ghys P, Pettifor AE. Cash transfers for HIV prevention: a systematic review. *PLoS Med*. 2021;18(11):e1003866.
- Rosenberg NE, Gichane MW, Vansia D, Phanga T, Bhushan NL, Bekker L-G, et al. Assessing the impact of a small-group behavioral intervention on sexual behaviors among adolescent girls and young women in Lilongwe Malawi: a quasi-experimental cohort study. *AIDS Behav*. 2020;24:1542–50.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Authors and Affiliations

Lila A. Sheira¹ · Jonathan Izudi² · Emmyson Gatare³ · Laura Packel¹ · Laetitia Kayitesi³ · Felix Sayinzoga⁴ · Rebecca Hope⁵ · Sandra I. McCoy¹

✉ Lila A. Sheira
lsheira@berkeley.edu

Jonathan Izudi
jonahzd@gmail.com

Emmyson Gatare
emmyson.gatare256@gmail.com

Laura Packel
lpackel@berkeley.edu

Laetitia Kayitesi
laetitiakayitesi@gmail.com

Felix Sayinzoga
felix.sayinzoga@gmail.com

Rebecca Hope
rebecca.hope@ylabsglobal.org

Sandra I. McCoy
smccoy@berkeley.edu

¹ Division of Epidemiology, School of Public Health,
University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, USA

² African Population and Health Research Center, Nairobi,
Kenya

³ YLabs, Kigali, Rwanda

⁴ Rwanda Biomedical Center, Ministry of Health, Kigali,
Rwanda

⁵ YLabs, Berkeley, CA, USA