# **UC San Diego**

**UC San Diego Previously Published Works** 

### Title

THE LIMITS OF CUSTODIAL SYMMETRY

### Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/0wq439f8

### Journal

International Journal of Modern Physics A, 25(27n28)

## ISSN

0217-751X

### **Authors**

CHIVUKULA, R SEKHAR FOADI, ROSHAN SIMMONS, ELIZABETH H <u>et al.</u>

### **Publication Date**

2010-11-10

### DOI

10.1142/s0217751x10050871

Peer reviewed

#### The Limits of Custodial Symmetry<sup>a</sup>

R. Sekhar Chivukula, Stefano Di Chiara, Roshan Foadi, and Elizabeth H. Simmons Department of Physics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

We introduce a toy model implementing the proposal of using a custodial symmetry to protect the  $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$  coupling from large corrections. This "doublet-extended standard model" adds a weak doublet of fermions (including a heavy partner of the top quark) to the particle content of the standard model in order to implement an  $O(4) \times U(1)_X \sim SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times P_{LR} \times U(1)_X$ symmetry that protects the  $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$  coupling. This symmetry is softly broken to the gauged  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  electroweak symmetry by a Dirac mass M for the new doublet; adjusting the value of M allows us to explore the range of possibilities between the O(4)-symmetric  $(M \to 0)$  and standard-model-like  $(M \to \infty)$  limits.

#### 1 Introduction

Agashe<sup>2</sup> et al. have shown that the constraints on beyond the standard model physics related to the  $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$  coupling can, in principle, be loosened if the global  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  symmetry of the electroweak symmetry breaking sector is actually a subgroup of a larger global symmetry of both the symmetry breaking and top quark mass generating sectors of the theory. In particular, they propose that these interactions preserve an  $O(4) \sim SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times P_{LR}$  symmetry, where  $P_{LR}$  is a parity interchanging  $L \leftrightarrow R$ . The O(4) symmetry is then spontaneously broken to  $O(3) \sim SU(2)_V \times P_{LR}$ , breaking the elecroweak interactions but protecting  $g_{Lb}$  from radiative corrections, so long as the left-handed bottom quark is a  $P_{LR}$  eigenstate.

In this talk we report on the construction of the simplest O(4)-symmetric extension of the SM.<sup>1</sup>, the doublet-extended standard model or DESM.

#### 1.1 The Model

We extend the global  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  symmetry of the Higgs sector of the SM to an  $O(4) \times U(1)_X \sim SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times P_{LR} \times U(1)_X$  for both the symmetry breaking and top quark mass generating sectors of the theory. As usual, only the electroweak subgroup,  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ , of this global symmetry is gauged; our model does not include additional electroweak gauge bosons. The global O(4) spontaneously breaks to  $O(3) \sim SU(2)_V \times P_{LR}$  which will protect  $g_{Lb}$  from radiative corrections,<sup>2</sup> provided that the left-handed bottom quark is a parity eigenstate:  $P_{LR}b_L = \pm b_L$ . The additional global  $U(1)_X$  group is included to ensure that the light t and b eigenstates, the ordinary top and bottom quarks, obtain the correct hypercharges.

We therefore introduce a new doublet of fermions  $\Psi \equiv (\Omega, T')$ . The left-handed component,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Speaker at conference: R. Sekhar Chivukula. This report is a shortened version of previously published work.<sup>1</sup>

	$t'_L$	$b_L$	$\Omega_L$	$T'_L$	$t'_R$	$b_R$	$\Omega_R$	$T'_R$
$T_L^3$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
$T_R^3$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	-1	0	0
Q	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$
Y	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{7}{6}$
$Q_X$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{7}{6}$

Table 1: Charges of the fermions under the various symmetry groups in the model. Note that, as discussed in the text, other  $T_R^3$  and  $Q_X$  assignments for the  $\Omega_R$  and  $T'_R$  states are possible.

 $\Psi_L$  joins with the top-bottom doublet  $q_L \equiv (t'_L, b_L)$  to form an  $O(4) \times U(1)_X$  multiplet

$$Q_L = \begin{pmatrix} t'_L & \Omega_L \\ b_L & T'_L \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} q_L & \Psi_L \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (1)$$

which transforms as a  $(2,2^*)_{2/3}$  under  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ . The parity operation  $P_{LR}$ , which exchanges the  $SU(2)_L$  and  $SU(2)_R$  transformation properties of the fields, acts on  $Q_L$  as:

$$P_{LR}Q_L = -\left[\left(i\sigma_2\right)Q_L\left(i\sigma_2\right)\right]^T = \begin{pmatrix} T'_L & -\Omega_L \\ -b_L & t'_L \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

exchanging the diagonal components, while reversing the signs of the off-diagonal components. The t' and T' states mix to form mass eigenstates corresponding to the top quark (t) and a heavy partner (T).

We assign the minimal right-handed fermions charges that accord with the symmetrybreaking pattern we envision: the top and bottom quarks will receive mass via Yukawa terms that respect the full  $O(4) \times U(1)_X$  symmetry, while the exotic states will have a dimension-three mass term that explicitly breaks the large symmetry to  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$ . The charges of all the fermions are listed in Table 1.

Now, let us describe the symmetry-breaking pattern and fermion mass terms explicitly. Spontaneous electroweak symmetry breaking proceeds through a Higgs multiplet that transforms as a  $(2, 2^*)_0$  under  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_X$ :

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} v + h + i\phi^0 & i\sqrt{2} \phi^+ \\ i\sqrt{2} \phi^- & v + h - i\phi^0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(3)

Again, the parity operator  $P_{LR}$  exchanges the diagonal fields and reverses the signs of the offdiagonal elements. When the Higgs acquires a vacuum expectation value, the longitudinal Wand Z bosons acquire mass and a single Higgs boson remains in the low-energy spectrum. The Higgs multiplet has an  $O(4) \times U(1)_X$  symmetric Yukawa interaction with the top quark:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{Yukawa}} = -\lambda_t \text{Tr} \left( \overline{\mathcal{Q}}_L \cdot \Phi \right) t'_R + \text{h.c.}$$
(4)

that contributes to generating a top quark mass.<sup>b</sup>

Next we break the full  $O(4) \times U(1)_X$  symmetry to its electroweak subgroup. We do so first by gauging  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ . In addition, we wish to preserve the O(4) symmetry of the top quark mass generating sector in all dimension-4 terms, but break it softly by introducing a dimension-3 Dirac mass term for  $\Psi$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -M \ \bar{\Psi}_L \cdot \Psi_R + h.c. \tag{5}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Here we neglect  $m_b$  and any other Yukawa interactions.<sup>1</sup>

that explicitly breaks the global symmetry to  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ . Note that we therefore expect that any flavor-dependent radiative corrections to the  $Zb_L\bar{b}_L$  coupling will vanish in the limit  $M \to 0$ , as the protective parity symmetry is restored; alternatively, as  $M \to \infty$ , the larger symmetry is pushed off to such high energies that the resulting theory looks more and more like the SM.

#### 1.2 Mass Matrices and Eigenstates

When the Higgs multiplet acquires a vacuum expectation value and breaks the electroweak symmetry, masses are generated for the top quark, its heavy partner T and the exotic fermion  $\Omega$  through the mass matrix:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mass}} = -\begin{pmatrix} t'_L & T'_L \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ m & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t'_R \\ T'_R \end{pmatrix} - M\bar{\Omega}_L \Omega_R + \text{h.c} , \qquad (6)$$

where

$$m = \frac{\lambda_t v}{\sqrt{2}} . \tag{7}$$

Diagonalizing the top quark mass matrix yields mass eigenstates t (corresponding to the SM top quark) and T (a heavy partner quark), with corresponding eigenvalues

$$m_t^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^4}{M^4}} \right] M^2 + m^2 , \qquad m_T^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4m^4}{M^4}} \right] M^2 + m^2 . \tag{8}$$

The mass eigenstates are related to the original gauge eigenstates through the rotations whose mixing angles are given by

$$\sin \theta_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1 - 2m^2/M^2}{\sqrt{1 + 4m^4/M^4}}} , \quad \sin \theta_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + 4m^4/M^4}}} . \tag{9}$$

From these equations the decoupling limit  $M \to \infty$  is evident:  $m_t$  approaches its SM value as in Eq. (7), the t-T mixing goes to zero, and T becomes degenerate with  $\Omega$ . Conversely, in the limit  $M \to 0$ , the full  $O(4) \times U(1)_X$  symmetry is restored and only the combination  $T'_L + t'_L$ couples to  $t_R$  with mass m. For phenomenological discussion, it will be convenient to fix  $m_t$  at its experimental value and express the other masses in terms of  $m_t$  and the ratio  $\mu \equiv M/m$ .

#### **2** $\delta g_{Lb}, \alpha S, \text{ and } \alpha T$

We now display the value of the  $Z\bar{b}_L b$  coupling,  $g_{Lb}$ , in our model<sup>1</sup> (as a function of  $\mu$  for fixed  $m_t$ ), and compare with the values given by experiment and the SM, as illustrated in Fig. (1). The (solid blue) curve shows how  $g_{Lb}$  varies with  $\mu$  in our model; we required  $g_{Lb}$  to match the SM value with  $m_t = 172$  GeV and v = 246 GeV as  $\mu \to \infty$ . We see that  $g_{Lb}$  in our model is slightly more negative than (i.e. slightly farther from the experimental value than) the SM value for  $\mu > 1$ , agrees with the SM value for  $\mu = 1$ , and comes within  $\pm 1\sigma$  of the experimental value only for  $\mu < 1$ . Given the shortcomings of the small- $\mu$  limit, this is disappointing.

Furthermore, in Figure 2 we show the DESM predictions<sup>1</sup> for the oblique parameters 5,6,7  $[\alpha S^{th}(\mu), \alpha T^{th}(\mu)]$  using  $m_h = 117$  GeV, and illustrating the successive mass-ratio values  $\mu = 3, 4, ..., 20, \infty$ ; the point  $\mu = \infty$  corresponds to the SM limit of the DESM and therefore lies at the origin of the  $\alpha S - \alpha T$  plane. From this figure, we observe directly that the 95%CL lower limit on  $\mu$  for  $m_h = 115$  GeV is about 20, while for any larger value of  $m_h$  the DESM with  $\mu \leq 20$  is excluded at 95%CL. In other words, the fact that a heavier  $m_h$  tends to worsen the fit of

Figure 1: The solid (blue) curve shows the DESM model's prediction for  $g_{Lb}$  The thick horizontal line corresponds to  $g_{Lb}^{ex} = -0.4182$ , while the two horizontal upper and lower solid lines bordering the shaded band correspond to the  $\pm 1\sigma$  deviations<sup>4</sup>. The SM prediction is given by the dashed horizontal line. The leading-log contribution is shown by the dotted curve.



Figure 2: The dots represent the theoretical predictions of the DESM (with  $m_h$  set to the reference value 115 GeV), showing how the values of  $\alpha S$  and  $\alpha T$  change as  $\mu$  successively takes on the values 3, 4, 5, ..., 20,  $\infty$ . The three ellipses enclose the 95%CL regions of the  $\alpha S$  -  $\alpha T$  plane for the fit to the experimental data performed in<sup>3</sup>; they correspond to Higgs boson mass values of  $m_h = 115$  GeV, 300 GeV, and 1 TeV. Comparing the theoretical curve with the ellipses shows that the minimum allowed value of  $\mu$  is 20, for  $m_h = 117$  GeV.



even the SM ( $\mu \to \infty$ ) to the electroweak data is exacerbated by the new physics contributions within the DESM. The bound  $\mu \ge 20$  corresponding to a DESM with a 115 GeV Higgs boson also implies, at 95%CL, that  $m_T \ge \mu m_t \cong 3.4$  TeV, so that the heavy partners of the top quark would likely be too heavy for detection at LHC.

#### References

- R. Sekhar Chivukula, S. Di Chiara, R. Foadi and E. H. Simmons, Phys. Rev. D 80, 095001 (2009) [arXiv:0908.1079 [hep-ph]].
- K. Agashe, R. Contino, L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, Phys. Lett. B 641, 62 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0605341].
- 3. C. Amsler et al. [Particle Data Group], Phys. Lett. B 667, 1 (2008).
- [ALEPH Collaboration and DELPHI Collaboration and L3 Collaboration and ], Phys. Rept. 427, 257 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ex/0509008].
- 5. M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D 46, 381 (1992).
- 6. G. Altarelli and R. Barbieri, Phys. Lett. B 253, 161 (1991).
- G. Altarelli, R. Barbieri and S. Jadach, Nucl. Phys. B 369, 3 (1992) [Erratum-ibid. B 376, 444 (1992)].