Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SINGLE-SURFACE BASIS FOR TOPOLOGICAL PARTICLE THEORY

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/10d8t5xq>

Authors

Chew, G.F.
Poenaru, V.

Publication Date

1985-04-01

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Physics Division

RECEIVED
LIBRARY
BERKELEY LABORATORY

JUL 1 1985

To be submitted for publication

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

SINGLE-SURFACE BASIS FOR TOPOLOGICAL
PARTICLE THEORY

G.F. Chew, V. Poénaru

April 1985

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks*

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SINGLE-SURFACE BASIS FOR TOPOLOGICAL PARTICLE THEORY

G. F. Chew

*Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and
Department of Physics
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720, U.S.A.*

and

V. Poénaru

*Département de Mathématique
Univ. de Paris-Sud
91405 Orsay Cedex, France
and
Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques
35 route de Chartres
91440 Bures sur Yvette, France*

Abstract

Orientations of the embellished 2-dimensional bounded manifold that embeds the Feynman graph in topological particle theory (TPT) are shown capable of representing all discrete particle properties; there is no need for a second surface. By recognizing more fully than heretofore the patch structure of the surface, a representation is found for quark generation and lepton generation as well as for spin-chirality. "Color" is given a representation similar to that found earlier for isospin. Previously-developed representations of baryon number, electric charge and "quark" chirality remain essentially unchanged. The proposed modifications of topological-particle theory leave hadron dynamics unaltered while facilitating TPT extension to electroweak interactions.

*This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research,
Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics
of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.*

I. INTRODUCTION

In evolution since 1978 has been a continuing effort to associate all discrete particle properties with orientations of 1- and 2- dimensional Feynman-graph "embellishments", with the aim of removing arbitrariness from particle theory.⁽¹⁻⁶⁾ Rather than starting with a Lagrangian, a graphical S-matrix expansion is taken as fundamental in this approach -- which we call "topological particle theory" or TPT. Convergence of the topological expansion to a unitary S matrix must eventually be verified, but a generalization of Feynman's rules for topological amplitudes satisfies unitarity order by order within the expansion. [Ref. (7) and Appendix C.]

How are expansion components ordered? TPT extends the Feynman graph from a 1-dimensional entity to something 2-dimensional by embedding the graph in a surface. The reason for so "thickening" is to endow the embellished graph with an unambiguous complexity or "entropy". Each embellished graph is characterized by a set of nonnegative integers that describe its entropy (Appendix A); when graphs are combined through connected sums, entropy cannot decrease, so components of the topological expansion may be arranged in a sequence of increasing entropy. Entropy integers such as genus stem from the topology of the embedding 2-dimensional manifold; numbers of embedding dimensions higher than 2 are unsuitable for entropy bookkeeping.

Hope for convergence of the topological expansion is based on the tendency, recognized in the early seventies^(8,9) and now familiar in so-called "1/N expansions", for Feynman amplitudes to diminish in multiplicity with increasing entropy. For strong-interaction topologies the effective value of N has turned out in some cases to be as large as 2^{10} , corresponding to ten 2-valued orientations of Feynman-graph embellishments.⁽¹⁰⁾ (Appendix C.) This paper will describe the most economical and consistent oriented embellishments so far recognized.

Two different categories of consistency requirements may be identified: (1) Although Feynman's original expansion did not contemplate graph contraction -- whereby certain graphs are recognized as equivalent to other graphs (contraction is often characterized as "duality") -- a single term of the topological expansion represents all embellished graphs that can be contracted to the same structure.⁽³⁾ Contractions maintain orientations together with entropy indices and control dynamics at the level of zero entropy -- where amplitudes are self generating ["bootstrap"; Ref. (11) and Appendix B.] A consistent set of entropy indices and associated contraction rules places severe demands on those Feynman-graph embellishments that connect with the Poincaré group; Poincaré embellishments will remain almost unaltered in this paper, although we shall slightly extend those established earlier so as to describe spin as well as chirality. But "extra-Poincaré" embellishments are less constrained by consistency with contraction, and it is here that we shall be proposing modifications.

(2) A second category of constraints arises from the need to provide a basis for classical momentum measurement. Elementary hadrons are self generated at zero entropy, but it is necessary, in order to achieve meaning for the "asymptotic" momentum measurements on which the S matrix is based, to postulate massless photons not generated by hadrons but coupled to a conserved electric charge that can be carried by hadrons.^{F1} [Stapp⁽¹²⁾ has shown how classical electromagnetism emerges from coherent soft-photon collections generated through Feynman rules.] Photons must be accommodated within the same 2-dimensional oriented manifold that describes hadrons, and this requirement constrains embellishments related to electric charge. We shall, in this paper, tamper only slightly with earlier charge-related embellishments of the Feynman graph, which required elementary photons to be accompanied by 7 other elementary massless "gauge" bosons⁽¹⁴⁾ and endowed many terms of the topological expansion with an SU(2) isospin symmetry.⁽¹⁵⁾

Our tampering will relate chiefly to "quark color" and "quark generation". The oriented 2-dimensional manifold has no room for SU(3) color gauge symmetry; TPT accommodates no vector gluons in the sense of QCD.^{F2} Nevertheless, as will be seen in Sec. III, "topological quarks" have a 3-valued degree of freedom reminiscent of color in pre-QCD quark models.

Both "quark color" and "quark generation" have previously been associated in TPT with a second surface⁽³⁾ -- transverse to the Feynman graph -- but this paper will find a natural place for these degrees of freedom within the surface that houses all other particle features. Certain lines and patches within this surface, that previously were unoriented, will be given orientations of the kind already associated with electric charge⁽⁴⁾ and with chirality.⁽⁶⁾ The location of these newly-oriented lines and patches decouples their orientations from Poincaré transformations and allows their interpretation as "color" and generation.

Why was it felt for so many years (since 1978) that a second, transverse surface was needed? Historically the TPT need for "color" was inferred from contraction consistency before introduction of the lines that are now proposed as carriers of "color". [These lines were introduced in 1981, not to carry "color" but to control chirality,⁽⁶⁾ in the sense reviewed in Sec. V. A.] Consistent contraction rules required an ordering of line segments incident on cubic vertices along the "belt" -- the boundary of the surface that embedded the Feynman graph. A natural way to achieve such ordering was to thicken the belt into a transverse 2-dimensional manifold called the "quantum surface".⁽³⁾ A triangulation of the quantum surface, dual to the belt, then allowed association of elementary hadrons with triangulated disks on the transverse surface, and orientations of hadron-disk perimeters became interpreted as quark generation. We have thus far found no inconsistency in such a quantum-surface representation of "quark" "color" and generation and, indeed, purely strong-

interaction Feynman rules remain unchanged by the representation to be proposed in this paper.

Why then might elimination of the quantum surface constitute an advance for TPT? Our motivation relates to electroweak interactions of hadrons. For "gauge" bosons the quantum surface is irrelevant, a situation that extends to leptons and to a nonhadron family of "horizontal" (H) scalar bosons predicted by TPT.⁽¹⁶⁾ In consequence there is no visible source of "quark"-generation symmetry breaking so long as this degree of freedom resides on the quantum surface. Our proposal to locate "quark" generation on the same surface as leptons and H bosons opens the door to "quark"-generation mixing and symmetry breaking.

The proposals of the present paper, furthermore, are economical. Beyond representing "color" and "quark" generation, the quantum surface has never yielded fruit. All interesting action has occurred on the "classical" surface housing the Feynman graph. Entropy indices, for example, have derived exclusively from the classical surface. The threeness of "color", which heretofore has been associated with quantum-surface triangles,⁽³⁾ equally well associates with the classical-surface feature that all nontrivial vertices along the belt are cubic. We shall recognize in Sec. III that representation of "color" gives a new meaning to quark triality: $3 = 1 + 2$, where 2 is the number of orientations of a "color" carrying line and 1 is the number of Feynman lines close to a belt cubic vertex.

Also worth anticipating in this introduction is that the representation to be proposed for "quark" generation is parallel to that for lepton generation while at the same time being distinct. There are 4 "quark" generations in 1 to 1 correspondence with 4 lepton generations and mechanisms for symmetry breaking are similar. Mixing of lepton generations will nevertheless be impossible, while mixing of "quark" generations appears as an option.

II. FEYNMAN AND FINKELSTEIN LINES; MOMENTUM AND ELECTRIC CHARGE

In TPT any open connected Feynman graph F with N external lines (carrying momentum in the usual sense) is embedded in a 2-dimensional bounded, connected, oriented surface Σ with F ends located along the surface boundary $\partial\Sigma$ at separate points e_i , $i = 1 \dots N$. An F line we shall denote f . The surface Σ has in previous literature been called the "classical surface". The (closed) 1-dimensional $\partial\Sigma$ decomposes into disconnected "external" and "internal" parts. The external part we shall refer to as the "belt", following the terminology of Ref. (3). Internal parts of $\partial\Sigma$ touch no F ends but relate to internal f 's in a way to be described in Sec. IV. The belt decomposes exhaustively into connected particle portions π_i , each π housing within its interior (not at a π endpoint), exactly one F end; i.e., an elementary particle i belongs to a π_i which includes e_i .

Forthcoming embellishments of Σ will decorate each π so as uniquely to establish a particle type. This section will review the charge decoration of π 's for elementary mesons and gauge bosons as an illustration of general TPT principles related to momentum and electric charge.

Fig. 1 shows how a 3-meson, single-vertex F is embedded in an oriented disk whose circular belt (here the entire $\partial\Sigma$) divides into 3 π 's, each π containing one e . Notice that F embedding within an oriented Σ implies a cyclic ordering of f 's incident on any F vertex.

When a graph F is built by connected sum of two other graphs F' and F'' , there is a corresponding connected sum of the embedding surfaces

$$\Sigma = \Sigma' \# \Sigma''$$

made by identifying and erasing certain segments of the π_i' and π_i'' (within $\partial\Sigma'$ and $\partial\Sigma''$) that belong to an intermediate Feynman line f_i . The identification -- called a "particle plug" -- is made so as to perpetuate the global orientation of Σ and also those

local orientations -- to be described in the course of this paper -- that establish particle identity. Fig. 2 shows how global Σ orientation is perpetuated when two cubic meson vertices are connected by a single intermediate meson $\pi_i' \leftrightarrow \pi_i''$. In this example the entire π_i' identifies with the entire π_i'' . Generally we require some segment from each "half" of a π to be identified and erased in a particle plug, recognizing that e_i divides any π_i into 2 halves. (The possibility that certain segments of π_i not be identified and erased in a particle plug will be related in Sec. V to Lorentz transformations and the attendant nonconservation of particle spin and chirality.) The plugging rule is to mismatch, in identified segments of $\partial\Sigma'$ and $\partial\Sigma''$, the orientations induced by the respective Σ' and Σ'' orientations. Feynman end points e_i' and e_i'' are always identified and erased in a plug of particle i .

The global orientation of Σ implies alternating $+, -$ indices on successive belt segments, as we shall see immediately below. The plugging mismatch rule then translates into a rule that $+$ segments of the belt are to be identified with $-$ segments. The relation between $+$ and $-$ boundary segments is like that between particle and antiparticle or between "ingoing" particle and "outgoing" particle. Both these notions in TPT connect with the global Σ orientation.

We have noted how Feynman's momentum-transporting graph F divides each π into two halves; further boundary segmentation results from oriented lines, beginning and ending on the belt and not crossing F or each other, that were proposed by Finkelstein and collaborators⁽⁴⁾ in order to represent electric charge. Here we shall denote a Finkelstein line by the symbol τ , because these lines subsequently become recognized as the TPT repository of isospin.⁽¹⁵⁾ Fig. 3 adds τ 's to Fig. 1 and thereby carves the belt into a total of 12 segments. We have attached $(+, -)$ indices to these segments according to the rule that, following the sense of the global Σ orientation, any boundary segment immediately following a point of F contact has a

$(-)$ index. This rule is general and we shall generally use the symbol β for any segment of $\partial\Sigma$. In Fig. 3 there are 6 β^- 's and 6 β^+ 's.

The orientation of each τ allows algebraic characterization by a 2-valued index -- defined by comparing τ orientation to $(+, -)$ labels induced on the τ ends by Σ orientation in conjunction with F . Following the sense of Σ orientation along the belt, the first τ end encountered after an e carries a $(-)$ label, with subsequent alternation of $(+, -)$ labels on τ ends along the belt. If the two ends of a τ locate on the same belt component (as in Fig. 3), they necessarily carry opposite $(+, -)$ labels, because of the rule that no τ crosses any other line within Σ . If the two ends of a τ locate on different belt components (as in Fig. 4), we require the $(+, -)$ labels to be opposite. A c index is attached to a τ whose orientation is directed from its $(-)$ end toward its $(+)$ end; otherwise we give the τ an index n . In particle plugs τ orientation is continuous, so the (c, n) index corresponds to a conserved quantum number.

The (c, n) index is transferrable to certain β 's along the belt which touch τ ends. The (c, n) index transfers from a τ end to the contacting β whose $(+, -)$ label agrees with that of the τ end. Any $\beta_c^\pm$ can be said to carry ± 1 unit of electric charge while $\beta_n^\pm$ is electrically neutral; total electric charge is thus the number of β_c^+ minus the number of β_c^- .

The reason a $\beta_c^\pm$ can be described as "charged" is that only such β 's couple to photons; a photon π consists of β_c^+ and β_c^- , as shown in Fig. 4 where a photon couples to a pair of charged mesons. Here the photon occupies an entire (closed) 2-segment disconnected component of the belt while each meson occupies a 4-segment (open) belt portion. Appendix A reviews the status of Fig. 3 within the topological expansion, and Ref. (17) deals with Fig. 4. Here we invoke these special topologies, without explanation, as simple examples of general principles.

Ref. (15) explains that the orientation of each τ in Figs. 3 and 4 is independently reversible, so each meson and gauge boson carries an ordered pair of (c,n) labels -- each such particle corresponding to the element of a 2×2 matrix in charge space. One may say more generally that isospin has been topologized; Ref. (15) shows SU(2) isospin symmetry to be a feature of any Feynman amplitudes whose topology permits reversibility of individual Finkelstein orientations. In TPT all internal symmetries and quantum numbers are analogously to be understood -- as will emerge from what follows.

A topological quark or antiquark is usefully defined as a 2-8 continuous belt interval divided at its midpoint by a τ and touched at exactly one end by F, as shown in Fig. 5. Each "quark" or antiquark" carries a c or n label^{F3} (i.e., has isospin $\pm 1/2$).

An elementary meson, such as appears in Figs. 3 and 4, belongs to a π which is quark attached to antiquark by an e. See Fig. 6. The belt of Fig. 3 is composed of 3 such meson π 's while one of the two disconnected components of the belt in Fig. 4 consists of 2 meson π 's.

We have associated the electric charge (isospin) of a quark with one of its two halves -- the half that touches F. Such a segment of $\partial \Sigma$ -- touching an f at one end and a τ at the other -- we shall designate as a "fermion unit", called ϕ_i , in anticipation of Sec. V which shows that any ϕ -- isolated in Fig. 7 -- carries spin 1/2 as well as isospin.

The gauge boson of Fig. 4 [(discussed at length in Ref. (17)] combines ϕ^+ and ϕ^- units together with the intervening e: a "quark" (Fig. 5) combines a ϕ with one other β (to be given a name in Sec. IV) but does not include an e. Because they do not carry momentum, "quarks" are not particles. Any particle has an e inside its π .

We remark that the τ bisecting a quark may be called a "quark line", although it does not carry momentum. Readers may have noted how in Fig. 3 τ 's occupy the position of Harari-Rosner quark lines.⁽¹⁹⁾

Because of f and τ continuity, ϕ^+ 's always plug into ϕ^- 's, and we may identify a conserved fermion number f which is the number of ϕ^+ 's minus the number of ϕ^- 's. Fermion number is zero for mesons and gauge bosons but will not be zero for baryons and leptons. For all hadrons, "quark number" is equal to fermion number since fermion units in hadrons appear exclusively as "halves of quarks". We further remark in anticipation of Sec. IV that fermion (ϕ) units of $\partial \Sigma$ constitute the exclusive TPT repository of spin and chirality. All other boundary units carry zero spin and may be described as "bosonic".

This section has introduced no changes in previous TPT but merely given some new language to describe existing features. The new language is appropriate to changes that will follow. In anticipation of one such change we now independently orient each f as well as each τ . Such an orientation has previously been thought to be physically meaningless -- merely telling the direction of flow of a 4-momentum p_μ^i attached to the line. Reversing the orientation of f_i can be compensated by changing p_μ^i to $-p_\mu^i$. Nevertheless, with a p_μ^i and an orientation attached to each f_i , continuity of Feynman orientation in particle plugs relates to momentum conservation in a sense similar to that by which continuous Finkelstein orientation relates to charge conservation. (An important difference is that no vertices occur along τ 's). Furthermore simultaneous reversal of all f orientations corresponds to TCP; one may now identify this symmetry as topological. (Appendix D.) Henceforth every f as well as every τ is to be understood as oriented.

III. JUNCTION LINES AND "COLOR" LINES; BARYON NUMBER AND LEPTON NUMBER

The surface Σ generally is "feathered" -- locally a bounded smooth surface but with a finite number of junction lines J_i . Appendix C reviews the TPT consistency consideration that requires junction lines. A junction line can be either a (bounded) segment, with ends on the belt, or a circle within the Σ interior, and along such a line 3 pieces of smooth surface meet. When Σ is disconnected along its junction lines it becomes decomposed into a number of connected smooth "sheets" S_k ; junction lines lie along sheet boundaries ∂S_k which generally are built from portions of $\partial \Sigma$ plus junction lines. Each S_k has an orientation unambiguously correlated with that of other sheets by demanding a unique induced orientation for each junction line. Fig. 8(a), which omits Finkelstein lines, shows the global orientation of a 3-sheeted Σ with a single junction-line segment, embedding a baryon-antibaryon, meson single-vertex Feynman graph. Fig. 8(a) can be misleading either by implying that Σ is embedded in a 3-dimensional manifold, or that there is cyclic ordering of the 3 sheets meeting at a junction line. Fig. 8(b) is more accurate -- showing that J segments along the boundaries of each of 3 sheets are to be identified, but without any immediate cyclic ordering. (A cyclic ordering will be effectively provided by "color" lines described below.) Fig. 8(b) also locates Finkelstein lines; in this example there are 4 (Harari-Rosner) "quark" lines together with one additional τ "close to" the junction line and touching the ends of the baryon and antibaryon f 's. This extra Finkelstein line, an example of a type to be denoted τ_j , is motivated immediately below; Appendix B gives a further reason for this τ_j while explaining the different shading of patches in Fig. 8(b). Fig. 8 illustrates the general rule that no lines (within the Σ interior) ever touch a junction line.

Those four oriented lines in Fig. 8(b) that each connect a boundary point to a trivial vertex inside Σ , are called "momentum-copy" lines f_c . Each junction-line end j

is accompanied by the ends of exactly two f_c 's and exactly one e , as shown in Fig. 9. A belt segment β separates j from the ends of each of these accompanying lines. Notice how, in the j neighborhood, distinction between f and f_c is provided by the τ_j -- which contacts f .

Momentum-copy lines transport neither momentum nor electric charge but each f_c plays a role parallel to that of an associated f . Almost all statements about an f in Sec. II above apply also to an f_c . The exception is the rule that each particle- π contains exactly one e . A π may contain 0, 1 or 2 j 's and, correspondingly, 0, 2 or 4 f_c ends. In Fig. 8 the meson π contains no j 's or f_c ends, while baryon and antibaryon portions of $\partial \Sigma$ each contain a single j and 2 f_c ends. The reason no π can contain more than 2 j 's is that each j is separated by a single boundary segment from exactly 1 e . An e can be adjacent to at most 2 j 's.

Let us pause here to expand on the useful general notion implied by the foregoing that the belt is a closed graph with cubic and trivial vertices joined by β 's. The cubic vertices coincide uniquely with j 's. Any end of a τ , f or f_c lying along the belt coincides with some trivial belt vertex. Additional trivial vertices occur at dividing points between adjacent hadron belt portions where a "quark" connects with a "mated antiquark". The belt graph corresponding to Fig. 8(b) is shown in Fig. 10, where line ends are indicated by line symbols. Here there are 2 cubic vertices and 19 trivial vertices.

Along any belt branch which lies along the boundary of a single sheet, $(+,-)\beta$ indices alternate according to the rule of Sec. II, but the 3 β 's touching the same cubic vertex all carry the same $(+,-)$ label -- which associates with the j located at that vertex. The induced orientation of a junction line points from a $j^{(-)}$ toward a $j^{(+)}$, as shown in Fig. 10 together with the induced belt orientation. The reader should remember that the junction line is not part of the belt but lies within the interior of Σ .

Section II introduced the notion of "quarks" and of fermion units of $\partial\mathcal{E}$. Let us here add the notion of Y unit (see Fig. 9) -- which includes 3 β 's joined by a j , together with endpoints of each β where either a τ or an f_c impinges. As in defining "quark" and fermion units, we do not include an e within a Y unit. The $\partial\mathcal{E}$ of Figs. 8 and 10 may then be described as built from a Y^+ and Y^- , 4 q 's, 4 $\bar{q}$'s and 3 e 's.

Figure 11 shows the $(3q, Y^-) \rightarrow \pi$ belonging to an elementary baryon. Here we do not show the (2-dimensional) neighboring interior of $\mathcal{E}$, as we did in Fig. 6 for the meson β , but indicate the global orientation of $\mathcal{E}$ by the $(-)$ label on the j .

As explained at the end of Sec. II, the f orientation in Fig. 11 could be assigned in either sense, but we require as a new feature of TPT (exemplified by Fig. 11) that the two f_c 's arriving near the end of any junction line be oppositely oriented. A 2-valued index generally attaches to each f_c in a sense similar to that of the (c,n) index on a τ ; this index will be transferrable to certain "quarks" where it will be described as "quark color". Let us now make precise the definition of topological color.

Lines f_c are admitted only in continuously-oriented sequences that end on the belt adjacent to j 's. We shall call such a sequence of f_c 's a "color line". The end of a color line inherits a $(+,-)$ label from the j which it accompanies and we require the two ends of the same color line always to carry opposite $(+,-)$ labels. An index #2 is attributed to a color line whose orientation is directed from $(-)$ toward $(+)$. Otherwise the color line carries index #3. Each j is accompanied by the ends of exactly one #2 and one #3 color line, as in Fig. 12.

If a "quark" touches F we say it carries "color" #1. If it touches an $f_{c,2(3)}$ we say the "quark" carries "color" #2 (#3). These "quark color" assignments are illustrated in Fig. 11. Every "quark" carries one of 3 "colors".

Consistency of the foregoing requires that f_c orientation be continuous in particle plugs as well as at (trivial) vertices along color lines inside $\mathcal{E}$. Conserved "color" quantum numbers are implied, but we shall see below that they are redundant

with baryon and lepton number conservation. It is never possible independently to reverse f_c orientations, so there is no $SU(2)$ [or $SU(3)$] "color" symmetry. However, simultaneous reversal of all f_c orientations, amounting to a global $2 \leftrightarrow 3$ "color" transformation, is a symmetry of strong-interaction topologies.

The Y plugging rule formulated in Ref. (5) is to be maintained. This rule recognizes a Y^+ or Y^- belt unit attached to each end of a junction line, as shown in Fig. 12, with the 3 legs of each Y being distinguishable. Leg #1 touches the coincident ends of 2 lines from the interior of $\mathcal{E}$ while opposite orientations of single incident lines distinguish legs #2 and #3. A plug identifies Y^+ with Y^- so as to achieve continuity of all 4 line orientations. At the end of leg #1, where 2 oriented lines impinge, the Y plug maintains orientations of tangent lies in the sense of Fig. 13.

Although never crossing f 's or f_c 's, τ 's may thus have points of tangency. Ref. (5) describes how qq plugs are made independently of Y plugs, not necessarily preserving quark "color". "Quark color" switching is allowed.

Consistent contraction rules require distinguishability for all 3 legs of a Y. Heretofore TPT has employed the transverse quantum surface to define "colors" #2 and #3; no orientation has previously been given either to f 's or f_c 's. Adding these orientations and the Finkelstein-Feynman points of contact near junction lines are the only changes of Feynman-graph embellishment so far presented in this paper.

The number of Y^+ belt units minus the number of Y^- units is an absolutely-conserved quantum number which has been called "boson number" and designated by the symbol b .⁽²⁰⁾ Baryon number B is related to fermion number f and boson number b by the formula

$$B = 1/2 f + 1/2 b \quad (1)$$

Inspection of Fig. 11 shows a baryon to have $f = +3$ and $b = -1$, so $B = +1$, while according to Fig. 4, mesons and gauge bosons have $b = f = 0$ and hence $B = 0$. It is

proposed in Ref. (17) that a lepton π is $Y + \phi^-$, Fig. 14, with $f = -1$ and $b = +1$, so leptons also have zero baryon number. Fig. 14 corresponds to the definition of lepton number

$$L \equiv 3/2 b + 1/2 f . \quad (2)$$

The π of Fig. 14 is seen to have $L = +1$, while all hadronic π 's have $L = 0$.

Continuity of "color" lines implies conservation of "color" quantum numbers but each unit of boson number b is accompanied by exactly one unit of each "color". Therefore conservation of

$$b = L - B \quad (3)$$

is redundant with "color" conservation.^{F4} This feature of "color" has remained unchanged from the quantum-surface representation, as have Feynman rules for "quark-color" switching. In fact all purely strong-interaction Feynman rules⁽⁷⁾ are unaffected by the TPT changes proposed in this paper.

We close this section with 3 remarks:

- (1) As with f_c 's, TPT freezes the orientation of any τ_j -- a τ with at least one end adjacent to a j . Long established has been freezing in the c direction of hadronic τ_j orientations.^(3,4) Ref. (17) will explain why nonhadronic τ_j 's have orientation frozen in the n sense.
- (2) "Color" lines, although similar in some respects to τ 's, always have vertices inside Σ ; an f_c never has both ends on the belt, although it may have both ends inside Σ . τ 's never terminate inside Σ although they may have points of tangency with other lines.
- (3) The continuous sequence of f_c 's building a "color" line is in 1 to 1 correspondence with some collection of f 's. (In strong-interaction topologies, this collection forms a continuous sequence.) Even though momentum is not transported by a "color" line, a momentum p_μ^i attaches to each momentum-copy line. We shall characterize the

union of F with all momentum-copy lines and their linking vertices as the extended momentum graph M (with lines designated μ).

IV. ORIENTED SPINOR AND SCALAR PATCHES

The collection of Feynman lines, "color" lines and isospin (Finkelstein) lines divide the surface Σ into patches which may be differentiated as either "spinor" or "scalar". What is the topological meaning of such adjectives, which usually associate with behavior of indices in Lorentz transformations. We have seen in Secs. II and III how each "color" or isospin line inherits a "sense" -- expressed through $(+,-)$ labels on its ends -- from the orientation of Σ in conjunction with the Feynman graph. Facing along any τ or f_c in a direction from $(-)$ to $(+)$ there is a patch of Σ immediately to the "left" and another immediately to the "right", where "left" and "right" are defined by the orientation of Σ . Rather than using these adjectives we choose to employ the terms "spinor" and "scalar", as shown by Fig. 15. Our choice of terminology here stems from the consequence of our rule for $(+,-)$ indices [e.g., Figs. 3, 4 and 8(b)] that any patch touching a Feynman line is "spinor" according to Fig. 15. We shall find in Sec. V. A that patches adjacent to F generate indices which transform as spinors under Lorentz transformation. Patches which are "scalar" according to Fig. 15 never touch F and do not generate indices involved in Lorentz transformations. Scalar patch-generated indices are discussed in Secs. V. C and V. D. It is a general TPT principle that the Feynman graph always locates inside a spinor region of Σ . Figures 8(b), 19 and 23 illustrate this principle.

The boundary segments designated by ϕ in Sec. II (Fig. 7) are seen to locate exclusively along the boundaries of spinor patches. We shall find all other β 's to locate exclusively along the boundaries of scalar patches. A characterization of ϕ as "fermionic" and all other β 's as "bosonic" is appropriate when one recalls the S-matrix connection between spin and statistics established by Stapp.⁽²¹⁾ As used in this paper the two adjectives "spinor" and "fermion" are to be understood as synonymous -- both implying spin 1/2 and Fermi statistics. The two adjectives "scalar" and "boson" here both imply spin 0 and Bose statistics.

A long-standing TPT postulate has associated chirality with a ϕ label induced by an orientation of the adjacent spinor patch. Section V. A will extend the chirality label on ϕ 's to a double label which includes spin, after the present section has given general rules for which Σ patches are to be oriented and how. The notion of ϕ as a fermionic "boundary unit" will be accompanied by two bosonic boundary units -- either a single β (Sec. V. B) or a Y-shaped β triplet (Sec. V. C). We shall associate quark and lepton generation, respectively, with patch-induced labels on these two types of bosonic boundary unit.

Any patch whose boundary includes a β we shall designate as σ_i with boundary $\partial\sigma_i$. We orient each σ_i and, independently, each disconnected component $(\partial\sigma_i)_d$ of $\partial\sigma_i$, with these orientations to propagate coherently to the new patch formed when a $\beta^+\beta^-$ pair is identified and erased in a particle plug. That is, if the symbol σ_i is understood to mean an oriented patch together with its oriented boundary, such a plug amounts to a connected sum

$$\sigma = \sigma' \# \sigma''.$$

Fig. 16 shows the connected sum of a patch σ' that has a single boundary component and a patch σ'' that has two boundary components. The indicated orientations are local; the global Σ orientation must simultaneously be perpetuated -- as in Fig. 2 (and Fig. 20, below). In this example σ' carries 2 (local) orientations while σ'' and σ both have 3 orientations. All patches within purely strong-interaction topologies are disks like σ' -- with single boundary components and thus 2 orientations, but Rev. (17) will show purely-electroweak topologies to require cylindrical patches like σ'' -- with 2 disconnected boundary components and thus 3 orientations associated with the patch.

Particle quantum numbers will stem from σ -induced labels on $\partial\Sigma$ "units"; each unit belongs to exactly one boundary component of some σ . The orientation of its σ will endow any $\partial\Sigma$ unit with one 2-valued label and the orientation of its boundary component will supply a second.

All 6 patches of Fig. 3 (3 spinor and 3 scalar) and all 5 patches of Fig. 4 (3 spinor and 2 scalar) are disks, each with a pair of $\partial\mathcal{E}$ units among boundary segments; each of these σ 's is "doubly oriented". Fig. 8(b) at first sight might appear to contain 12 patches, but one of these (spinor, in the center, bounded entirely by 1 τ and 2 μ 's) has no ∂ along its boundary. Such a patch is not a σ and will not be oriented; such a patch will be characterized as "white".^{F5}

The junction line in Fig. 8(b) further influences the patch structure so as to reduce the number of σ 's; a related effect is the occurrence, at each of the J ends, of a Y-shaped boundary unit. The requirement that no line inside $\mathcal{E}$ ever touch a J has always in TPT been accompanied by a rule that local areas of $\mathcal{E}$ connected by a J, as well as the boundaries of these areas, are coherently oriented -- in the sense of Fig. 17. We maintain these rules and correspondingly speak of a (single) σ_J as any collection of patches (on separate $\mathcal{E}$ sheets) that touch the same J. The boundary of any σ_J contains, on a single component, "mated" Y^+ and Y^- $\partial\mathcal{E}$ units for each bounded J "within" the σ_J . These two units -- Y^+ and Y^- -- inherit common labels from the σ_J . The two notions of σ_J and mated Y boundary units may be captured by thinking of a junction line as lying inside σ_J even though it places its mated Y^+Y^- pair on a single boundary component. All σ_J 's are scalar. Examining Fig. 8(b) with the foregoing in mind reveals a total of 9 doubly-oriented σ 's, -- 4 spinor and 5 scalar, one of the latter being a σ_J with a single boundary component.

At this stage we need to describe the "internal" part of $\partial\mathcal{E}$ -- which is entirely fermionic, consisting of $\phi^+\phi^-$ circular components belonging to oppositely-oriented spinor $\partial\sigma$ components. An internal component of $\partial\mathcal{E}$ contains no e's (no π 's) but is tangent to a μ and also to a τ as shown in Fig. 18; a "gauge hole" here has appeared within $\mathcal{E}$. Ref. 17 will explain this term. A strong-interaction example of a gauge hole is given in Fig. 19 -- where 4 external mesons and 1 intermediate meson line appear in a 2-vertex embellished Feynman graph. All 9 patches here are σ 's (5 spinor and 4

scalar) and we have designated by σ_1 and σ_2 the two spinor patches that touch the internal component of $\partial\mathcal{E}$. These two patches are independently oriented but their boundaries are not. The ϕ^+ and ϕ^- bounding a gauge hole in $\mathcal{E}$ always belong to oppositely-oriented $(\partial\sigma)_d$'s; otherwise the hole would be contracted to zero -- with two patches becoming a single patch, as in the upper part of Fig. 19.

The patch structure of Fig. 18 arises when the meson plug of Fig. 2 involves a quark-antiquark joining where ϕ^+ and ϕ^- belong to oppositely-oriented patch boundaries (one clockwise and one anticlockwise) and so may not be identified. See Fig. 20. As reviewed in Sec. V. A, the possibility of such mismatches for the fermionic halves of "quarks" is required by unitarity. In electroweak topologies, as explained by Ref. (17), gauge holes relate to conserved currents (to the maintenance of zero photon mass).

In Ref. (6) gauge holes were collapsed to "chiral-switch lines" within $\mathcal{E}$. For describing chirality in strong interactions, switch lines and gauge holes are equivalent, but to represent electroweak interactions gauge holes are more convenient.

It has been remarked above that all strong-interaction σ 's are doubly-oriented; the orientations of σ and $\partial\sigma$ here behave in a parallel fashion under connected sums. Either can be represented by an orientation induced in a $\partial\mathcal{E}$ unit. In Ref. (6) only one of the two orientations was recognized and was physically identified for spinor patches with chirality. The chosen orientation was that of a patch but could just as well have been the patch boundary. No attempt was made in Ref. (6) to topologize spin.

Labels attached to a $\partial\mathcal{E}$ unit depend on comparing its σ and $(\partial\sigma)_d$ orientations to orientations already introduced in Sec. II. We compare σ orientation to global $\mathcal{E}$ orientation; if these two orientations agree (disagree), we attach a U(D) label to the $\partial\mathcal{E}$ unit. Global $\mathcal{E}$ orientation further induces in any $\partial\mathcal{E}$ unit an orientation to which a $(\partial\sigma)_d$ orientation can be compared; if these orientations agree (disagree) we attach

an O(P) label to the $\partial\Sigma$ unit. Every boundary unit thereby acquires both a (U,D) and an (O,P) label.

V. INTERPRETATION OF LABELS ON BOUNDARY UNITS

A. Spin and Chirality

Section II defined a "fermionic" β to be a segment of $\partial\Sigma$ lying along the boundary of a spinor patch; such a β we have called a ϕ . The present section explains the algebraic sense in which a ϕ is a fermion unit.

The (U,D) and (O,P) labels on a belt ϕ we propose to interpret, respectively, as spin and chirality. On any ϕ these labels correspond to a Dirac 4-spinor index. (U,D) associates with spin $(+\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ carried by a belt ϕ in the sense of a Stapp M-function index,⁽²¹⁾ while O(P) corresponds in the Weyl basis for Dirac 4-spinors to the "upper" ("lower") projection $[1 + \gamma_5]/2$ ($[1 - \gamma_5]/2$). Under Lorentz transformations the 4-valued (U,D) $\times$ (O,P) index on any ϕ^- transforms like ψ_α while that on any ϕ^+ transforms like $\psi_\alpha \equiv (\psi^\dagger \gamma_0)_\alpha$.

In hadronic belt portions, ϕ units appear exclusively as "halves" of "quarks". We shall explain in the following paragraphs how the topological proposals of this paper lead to the quark-line Feynman rules of Ref. (7) and give them a detailed representation. As a preliminary we note that a "quark" invariably appears "mated" with an "antiquark" in a continuous 4- β interval along $\partial\Sigma$ that begins and terminates at the M ends touching the mated pair of ϕ 's, but the ϕ^+ and ϕ^- may or may not belong to the same σ . In Figures 3 and 8(b) both members of every mated (ϕ^+, ϕ^-) pair belong to the same component of a $\partial\sigma$, while in Figure 19 one of the (ϕ^+, ϕ^-) mated pairs along the belt is divided between two oppositely-oriented $\partial\sigma$'s by a gauge hole in Σ .

If (the belt) ϕ^+ and ϕ^- of a quark line belong to the same disk σ , then both carry the same (O,P) and (U,D) labels; hence the 4×4 Dirac matrix connecting indices on ϕ^+ and ϕ^- is diagonal. Symmetry under reversal of σ and $\partial\sigma$ orientations then implies a unit Dirac matrix in the corresponding Feynman rule. This unit matrix, as discovered independently by Mandelstam⁽²²⁾ and by Stapp,⁽²³⁾

allows topological contraction ("duality") in the presence of quark spin and chirality; the unit Dirac matrix is central to the algebra of hadronic supersymmetry. (Appendix B.)

On the other hand when the ϕ^+ and ϕ^- at the ends of a quark line belong to two different disks with oppositely-oriented boundaries as in Fig. 18, their (U,D) labels are independent while (O,P) labels disagree. The Feynman rule of Ref. (7), in conformity with Lorentz invariance, uniquely represents the connection between ϕ^+ and ϕ^- indices for such a topology by the Dirac matrix (Weyl basis)

$$\not{p}/m_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \cdot p/m_0 \\ \tilde{\sigma} \cdot p/m_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where p is the momentum carried by the intermediate Feynman (or momentum-copy) line tangent to the gauge hole separating the two patches (see Fig. 18). The parameter m_0 is the elementary-hadron mass. Ref. (17) will generalize such association of a gauge hole with a 4-vector -- associating the pair of spin indices on the (nonbelt) ϕ^+, ϕ^- bounding the gauge hole with the 4 values of a Lorentz tensor index.

The full residue of any elementary hadron pole thus gets a familiar Feynman factor $1 + \not{p}/m_0$ for each quark line that accompanies an intermediate momentum line. Quark lines thereby satisfy the Dirac equation on shell ($p^2 = m_0^2$, chirality here being suppressed because $1/2 (1 + \not{p}/m_0)$ is a projection operator), but within the Feynman factor we recognize the unit matrix as representing single disk-patch quark propagation, while $\not{p}/m_0$ represents 2-patch propagation. Unless chirality reverses, spin cannot change. If chirality reverses, spin may or may not change. The foregoing unsymmetrical posture of spin and chirality -- implicit in the Dirac "switch" matrix (4) -- parallels the inequivalent (2 and 1- dimensional) manifolds underlying these particle attributes.

Historically, before any topology for spin was introduced, it was understood that Lorentz invariance and unitarity require the switch matrix (4). Once Feynman rules are given (including phase factors for closed loops^(23,24)) strong-interaction theory is complete, so readers may wonder why it has been thought necessary to topologize spin. There are two reasons: (1) The theory must be extended beyond strong interactions to situations where the Feynman rules remain to be discovered. We anticipate the sole topological repository of any particle's spin and chirality to be its ϕ content. (2) Topologizing spin as well as chirality may be a step toward eventually topologizing the full Poincaré group. A continuing defect of TPT is that, although momentum flows through the Feynman graph, there so far has appeared no way to give topological meaning to quantity of momentum. Presence of spinor patches on both sides of each Feynman line and association of momentum factors with gauge holes invite developments beyond those of this paper.

Ref. (17) will discuss a general requirement of Lorentz invariance (going beyond strong-interactions) that the boundary of any patch, whether connected or not, either includes no ϕ 's or exactly one $\phi^+\phi^-$ pair carrying a single (U,D) label. Furthermore any $\partial\sigma$ that includes a ϕ must not include β 's other than ϕ 's. We have here another statement that each σ must be purely fermionic or purely bosonic.

B. Quark Generation

A "quark" as defined by Fig. 5 is a 2- β connected belt interval -- a (4-spinor, 2-isospinor) ϕ plus a companion β lying along the boundary of a scalar patch and never touching the Feynman graph. Such a belt segment appears only within "quarks" and will be designated δ . A δ carries no (c,n) index [no isospin, because its (+, -) label disagrees with that of the contacting τ] but has a 4-valued (U,D) $\times$ (O,P) label. We shall designate the label on a δ by an index $G = 1 \dots 4$ according to Table I.

TABLE I: Topological Generation Index

G		
1	O	D
2	P	D
3	P	U
4	O	U

Disconnection of δ 's from F we interpret as disconnecting G from Poincaré transformations. In other words we interpret G when attached to a δ as an internal "quark" degree of freedom, and we call it "topological quark generation". The δ boundary unit is bosonic.

The door is open to mixing of topological quark-generations when hadrons interact with nonhadrons. In all examples presented in this paper, each δ^- is mated with a δ^+ along the boundary of a disk σ whose orientation is reversible and whose boundary orientation also is reversible. The indices on δ^+ and δ^- are connected in Feynman rules for such topologies by a 4×4 unit matrix; there is no breaking of G symmetry and no G mixing. But if the two members of a mated $\delta^+ \delta^-$ pair belong to different σ 's, there will be non-diagonal 4×4 matrices acting on the quark-generation space and "physical" quark generations will be those (generally inequivalent) linear superpositions of the four topological quark generations that diagonalize these matrices. G mixing for "quarks" is probably inconsistent for pure strong interactions and for gauge-boson coupling to hadrons, as will be explained elsewhere, but is plausible in hadron coupling to the H neutral scalar bosons predicted by TPT.⁽²⁵⁾ There is furthermore expectation of coupling between topological quark generation and the lepton generation to be described in Sec. VII.

Notice how the isospin-carrying Finkelstein line in Fig. 5 divides any "quark" into a fermion half -- carrying a 4-valued Dirac index -- and a boson half -- carrying a

4-valued generation index. Because the fermion half touches M , the "quark" Dirac index interacts with momentum and is affected by Lorentz transformations. A lepton (Fig. 14) also divides into a fermion half and a boson half, although the boson half here is a Y (not a δ), and Sec. VII will reveal 4 lepton generations corresponding to $G = 1 \dots 4$. Leptons and "quarks" in TPT bear certain similarities but the parallelism does not go as deep as in standard theory. Because the two halves of a TPT "quark" are not separated by an e, a TPT "quark" does not carry momentum, and because lepton generation associates with a junction line, there will be 4 separately-conserved lepton numbers. G-mixing for "quarks" is not accompanied by G mixing for leptons.

The earlier topological representation of quark generation -- based on the transverse surface Σ_Q -- also corresponded to a 4-valued index because two independent orientations were responsible.⁽³⁾ Since the transverse surface attached only to hadrons and never played any role in electroweak interactions, however, no Σ_Q motivation ever was found for quark-generation switching.

C. Lepton Generation

Finally we turn attention to the Y-shaped boundary unit (Fig. 12) that touches the end of a junction line J. We have already noted that each mated $Y^+ Y^-$ pair carries a single 4-valued $(O,P) \times (U,D)$ label. We may designate this label by the symbol G already defined by Table I; when attached to a Y as to a δ , G cannot interact with momentum or undergo Lorentz transformations and therefore represents an internal particle degree of freedom. One reason a Y, even though contacting M , is bosonic (scalar) rather than fermionic is because G is continuously preserved along any junction line; fermionic attributes -- spin and chirality -- must have capacity to switch.

Defining a quantum number b_G as the number of Y_G^+ minus the number of Y_G^- , each of 4 b_G 's is exactly conserved. Our previously-defined boson number b is

equal to $\sum_{G=1}^4 b_G$. According to Figure 14 each lepton contains one Y^+ , so each lepton carries +1 unit of a b_G . To connect b_G with lepton number for generation G , it is necessary to recall the "freezing" of all labels on hadronic Y 's. From the beginning of TPT no degrees of freedom have attached to hadronic Y 's,⁽³⁾ and we do not propose now to tamper with this principle, which will be reviewed below. We extend the convention of Ref. (3) that hadronic Y 's carry a frozen 0 label by requiring them also to carry a frozen U label. In other words, according to Table I, hadronic Y 's always carry $G = 4$. In tabulating elementary-hadron quantum numbers one may ignore the single-valued G index carried by hadronic Y 's while recognizing each quark as carrying a 4-valued G index. At the same time Ref. (17) will stress that lepton coupling to hadrons through junction lines breaks lepton- G symmetry because this coupling is possible only for $G = 4$.

Even though leptons couple to hadrons through G , there remains for each G an absolutely-conserved lepton number:

$$L_G \equiv b_G + B\delta_{G4}. \quad (5)$$

Remembering that $B = 0$ for all elementary particles except baryons, and that for hadrons $b_G = -B\delta_{G4}$, we see that $L_G = b_G$ for leptons while $L_G = 0$ for all hadrons. The previously-defined G -independent lepton number $L = b + B$ (Eq. 3) is equal to

$$\sum_{G=1}^4 L_G.$$

Notice that the label G corresponds to physical lepton generation but not to physical quark generation. Physical quark generations are superpositions of different G 's, and there is no absolutely-conserved "quark" number that carries a G label.

The conserved quantum number L_G is nonzero not only for leptons but for H bosons,²⁵ whose closed $Y+Y^-$ belt portion is shown in Fig. 21. Each of these two (nonmated) Y 's carries a separate G label. If Y^+ carries G' and Y^- carries G'' , then the H has $L_{G'} = +1$ and $L_{G''} = -1$.

For reasons related to photon masslessness that are explained in Ref. (17), the Finkelstein orientation of any nonhadronic Y is frozen in the n sense -- nonhadronic Y 's being electrically neutral. Both hadronic and nonhadronic Y 's touch the extended Feynman graph M at the ends of all 3 Y "legs", with the end which touches F also contacting a τ_J . The difference between hadronic and nonhadronic Y lies partly in the opposite τ_J orientation and partly in the freezing of hadronic (O,P) and (U,D) labels. The latter difference relates to the contractibility of zero-entropy hadronic topologies; intermediate elementary hadrons may be indistinguishable from "bound states" of several elementary hadrons while collections of nonhadrons are never equivalent to a single nonhadron. (A nonhadron always is accompanied by nonzero entropy.) Zero-entropy contractions begin by collapsing mated "quark-antiquark" $\partial\Sigma$ intervals together with the attached σ 's. Rules for such collapse depend entirely on "quark" orientations; hadronic Y 's are invisible in strong interactions because $Y+Y^-$ mated pairs are not adjacent to each other along $\partial\Sigma$. Boundary contact between different hadrons occurs exclusively through "quark" content (See Figs. 3 and 8).

No principle has here been proposed forbidding a scalar-patch boundary from including both a Y and a δ . Such inclusion could couple lepton and quark generations and appears natural when H bosons interact with hadrons; Ref. (17) will provide examples.

Although we now forbid patches of mixed bosonic-fermionic character, such as were employed by Ref. (18) in discussing how parity asymmetry can arise from junction lines, a source of parity asymmetry from junction lines has survived through our tying the meaning of (O,P) indices to orientations of $\partial\sigma$'s that may be built

partially from τ_j 's. Freezing of τ_j orientation not only breaks isospin symmetry but now has the potential to break parity symmetry.

VI. SUMMARY

This paper has simplified topological particle theory (TPT) by restricting Feynman-graph embellishments to a single 2-dimensional surface. Strong-interaction Feynman rules have remained unaffected by this change, while quark and lepton generations have been placed on a basis that, although common, forbids lepton-generation mixing at the same time as allowing generation mixing for "topological quarks". The four values taken by a generation index have been connected to the four values of a Dirac spinor index, while preserving the scalar (internal) character of the generation index.

Topological representation of isospin has remained unchanged while that of "color" has become similar to that of isospin. [There continues, however, to be no SU(3) "color" symmetry.] The form of TPT proposed here recognizes each elementary particle as "built" from a momentum-carrying Feynman-line end surrounded by 3 types of "unit" -- a fermionic unit ϕ and two bosonic units, δ and Y . Each unit carries a $(+,-)$ index, which alternates within a particle. Gauge bosons are ϕ^+, ϕ^- , leptons (antileptons) are Y^+, ϕ^- (ϕ^+, Y^-) and H bosons are Y^+, Y^- . (The comma here locates the Feynman-line end.) Hadrons are built from Y -units plus "quarks" q , a $q(q)$ being $\phi^+ \delta^-$ ($\delta^+ \phi^-$). Mesons are $q, \bar{q}$, baryons (antibaryons) are $q, Y - qq$ ($Y^+ \bar{q} \bar{q}, \bar{q}$), and hexons are $qq Y^-, Y^+ \bar{q} \bar{q}$. A "quark", like a lepton, now has a fermion half and a boson half, with generation located in the latter, but a "quark" continues, as in previous versions of TPT, not to carry momentum.

ϕ units carry, in addition to a Dirac 4-spinor index, a (c,n) isospin index. Y units carry a frozen c or n index (this freezing being a source of symmetry breaking) as well as a 4-valued, strictly-conserved, G index. δ units carry only a G index whose conservation may be violated in hadron-nonhadron interactions.

Acknowledgments:

The proposals of this paper have been influenced by discussions with F. Capra, B. Dougherty, D. Issler, J. Finkelstein and H.P. Stapp. The precise topology of spin, in particular, is the result of a suggestion from Stapp.

This research was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098.

Appendices: For completeness we here reproduce certain essential TPT ingredients from Ref. (3), with minor adjustments required by the present paper.

APPENDIX A. Entropy Indices

An S-matrix connected part M is a superposition of amplitudes M_γ

$$M = \sum_{\gamma} M_{\gamma} , \quad (A.1)$$

each M_γ belonging to an embellished Feynman graph γ . Each γ is characterized by a set $g_i(\gamma)$ of nonnegative integers -- called "entropy indices" -- which allow terms within the topological expansion (A.1) to be ordered according to increasing topological complexity. (The first-appearing γ 's not only have the smallest number of Feynman vertices but the lowest entropy.) Multi-vertex γ 's are connected sums of single-vertex γ 's

$$\gamma = \gamma' \# \gamma'' \# \dots \quad (A.2)$$

In any connected sum an entropy index $g_i(\gamma)$ cannot decrease and usually increases. Entropy indices exhibit either "strong-entropy"

$$g_i(\gamma) \geq g_i(\gamma') + g_i(\gamma'') + \dots \quad (A.3)$$

or, at least, "weak entropy"

$$g_i(\gamma) \geq \max [g_i(\gamma') , g_i(\gamma'') , \dots] . \quad (A.4)$$

There are four entropy indices g_i . Two of these, g_1 and g_2 , which we describe as "entropy of the first kind", were identified already in 1973 by Veneziano⁽⁹⁾ and relate to $th(F)$ -- the infinitesimal thickening of the Feynman graph. The genus of $th(F)$ is g_1 while g_2 also depends on genus but further involves the number b of disconnected components of $\partial th(F)$ that contain ends e of F :

$$g_2 = g_1 + b - 1 . \quad (A.5)$$

The index g_1 is "strong" in the sense of (A.3) while g_2 is "weak" in the sense of (A.4).

An embellished graph γ with $g_1 = g_2 = 0$ is described as "planar" (graphs with $g_1 = 0, g_2 = 1$ are called "cylindrical"). Strong-interaction dynamics is dominated by planar γ because of high quark multiplicity. Each quark line has a multiplicity 2^5 (2 spins, 2 chiralities, 2 isospins and 4 generations), and only for planar γ can each closed Feynman loop be accompanied by 2 closed quark loops. The multiplicity of embellished strong-interaction Feynman graphs generally decreases rapidly with increasing g_2 .

The remaining entropy indices, g_3 and g_4 , we describe as "entropy of the second kind". For strong interactions the index g_3 is the number of gauge holes within Σ , while g_4 is the number of independent Möbius bands within Σ -- closed paths which cross junction lines. Both g_3 and g_4 are "strong" and both relate to quark-line complexity. The index g_3 counts the number of "chiral-switches" along quark lines while g_4 counts "color switches". Ref. (10) has emphasized that indefinitely-large values of g_3 and g_4 make important contributions to strong-interaction dynamics; graph multiplicity does not necessarily decrease with increasing g_3 and g_4 .^{F7}

Zero-entropy γ 's -- with $g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = g_4 = 0$ -- exhibit a 2^{10} (bosonic) $\times$ 2^5 (fermionic) supersymmetry^(26,27) which gradually becomes broken with increasing entropy. Elementary hadrons constitute a zero-entropy supermultiplet (Appendix B). Any embellished graph that includes nonhadrons (external or internal) necessarily has nonzero entropy.

Ref. (17) discusses further entropy indices that become significant for nonhadrons. An example is g_5 , defined as the number of nonhadronic Feynman loops.

APPENDIX B. Zero Entropy

Contraction rules -- unchanged from Ref. (3) -- imply that any multivertex zero-entropy γ is equivalent to a single vertex. Also unchanged is the rule that any zero-entropy belt is a "necklace of beads"; a 3-beaded example is given in Fig. 22. Each bead has the same structure -- containing no e 's but along each branch, that connects the bead's mated j^+j^- pair, there is a mated pair of τ ends and a mated pair of f_c ends. A separate 3-patch (2-scalar, 1-spinor) sheet of Σ , without any portion of F , belongs to each branch of a zero-entropy bead -- as shown by the single-bead zero entropy example of Fig. 8(b); the "color" lines on the two sheets belonging to the same bead are oppositely oriented.

A typical zero-entropy sheet carrying the Feynman graph S_F , corresponding to the 3 junction lines implied by Fig. 22, is the disk shown in Fig. 23, where spinor and scalar patches are distinguished by different shading. The shading in Fig. 8(b) has the same significance. There are no "color" lines on a zero-entropy S_F . It is immediately verifiable that all 4 entropy indices are zero for the example of Figs. 22 and 23.

The combined content of Figs. 22 and 23 is economically expressible by the shorthand quark-line diagram of Fig. 24, where each "colored" quark line carries a 2^5 -valued index. (The "color" labels in Fig. 24 could be omitted.) Each quark line in Fig. 24 associates with a τ (not a τ_j) that connects q and q intervals of $\partial\Sigma$. The arrows on a quark line correspond to the $(+, -)$ indices on the ends of a τ (pointing from -- toward +). The Feynman graph could be omitted from the quark-line diagram without loss of information, but we include F in Fig. 24 to remind readers that TPT "quarks" do not carry momentum.

Contraction rules imply a single mass m_0 for all elementary hadrons. Fig. 25 gives the shorthand representation for the four categories of elementary hadron. Readers should compare Fig. 25 to Figs. 24 and 23 and should note the meaning of

"diquark" implied by these figures. The diquark notion is central to TPT hadron dynamics.⁽¹⁰⁾ All strong-interaction embellished Feynman graphs are built by connected sum of zero-entropy γ 's. Strong-interaction Feynman rules are given by Ref. (7) in terms of zero-entropy vertex functions.

APPENDIX C. Embellished Landau Graphs

An embellished Landau graph $L_i \gamma' \gamma'' \dots$ is a connected sum of embellished Feynman graphs $\gamma', \gamma'', \dots$ not accompanied by erasure of identified $\partial \Sigma$ segments. Instead the identified segments remain within $L_i \gamma' \gamma'' \dots$ as discontinuity lines. (Discontinuity lines are not patch boundaries.) Fig. 26 shows $L_i \gamma' \gamma''$ for the connected sum of Fig. 20. This example, because of the gauge hole, has nonzero entropy, so the corresponding γ (Fig. 19) is not contractible, while any zero-entropy connected sum (of zero-entropy γ 's) is contractible to a single-vertex γ . Nevertheless, the amplitude M_γ belonging to any γ , regardless of entropy content, is an analytic function of momentum with singularities which associate with that set of $L_i \gamma' \gamma'' \dots$ belonging to connected sums which by contraction are equivalent to γ . Rules developed by Landau and by Cutkosky associate the location, nature and strength of each M_γ singularity with some $L_i \gamma' \gamma'' \dots$. S-matrix unitarity is thereby assured, level by level, within the topological expansion (A.1).

Landau-Cutkosky rules provide the dynamical equations of zero entropy. These equations are equivalent to planar discontinuity formulas for spinless elementary particles, but for each closed loop of a zero-entropy $L_i \gamma' \gamma'' \dots$ there is a multiplicity factor N_0 , where

$$N_0 = 2^{10} - 2^5. \quad (C.1)$$

Because of Fermi statistics⁽²⁴⁾ each zero-entropy quark loop brings a factor -2^5 while each diquark loop brings a factor $(-2^5)^2$. Fig. 27 gives a sample shorthand representation of zero-entropy Landau graphs with quark and diquark loops.

Formula (C.1) reveals the need for junction lines in TPT. Without junction lines (and attendant "diquarks") N_0 would be negative and a consistent S matrix probably could not be achieved, because strong-interaction vertex functions would not

be Hermitian analytic.⁽²¹⁾ Hermitian analyticity -- $M(p) = M^\dagger(p^\dagger)$ -- is a property of the connected parts of an analytic unitary S matrix.

APPENDIX D. P,C,T

The effect of time reversal, charge conjugation and parity inversion on a topological amplitude M_γ corresponds to certain orientation reversals. Let R denote the reversal of all orientations, both global and local. Under R every boundary unit undergoes $+ \leftrightarrow -$ and in $\leftrightarrow$ out interchange, but all labels, (c,n), (O,P), (U,D), (1,2,3) remain unaltered. If R_p denotes reversal of all Feynman-line orientations, R_p^σ reversal of all spinor-patch orientations and $R_p^{\partial\sigma}$ orientation reversal of all spinor-patch boundaries (the subscript p is a reminder that these orientations relate to the Poincaré group), then

$$P = R_p^{\partial\sigma} \quad (D.1)$$

$$C = R R_p R_p^\sigma \quad (D.2)$$

$$T = R R_p^\sigma R_p^{\partial\sigma} \quad (D.3)$$

It follows that

$$CP = R R_p R_p^\sigma R_p^{\partial\sigma} \quad (D.4)$$

corresponds to reversal of all "non-Poincaré" orientations. It also follows that

$$PCT = R_p \quad (D.5)$$

REFERENCES

1. G.F. Chew, J. Finkelstein, J.P. Sursock, G. Weissmann, Nucl. Phys. B136, 493 (1978).
2. G.F. Chew, Nucl. Phys. B151, 237 (1979).
3. G.F. Chew and V. Poénaru, Z. Phys. C11, 59 (1981).
4. G.F. Chew, J. Finkelstein, R.M. McMurray, Jr., and V. Poénaru, Phys. Rev. D24, 2287 (1981).
5. J. Finkelstein, Z. Phys. C13, 157 (1982).
6. G.F. Chew and J. Finkelstein, Z. Phys. C13, 161 (1982).
7. G.F. Chew and M. Levinson, Z. Phys. C20, 19 (1983).
8. G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B72, 461 (1974).
9. G. Veneziano, Nucl. Phys. B74, 365 (1974); Phys. Lett. 52B, 220 (1974).
10. G.F. Chew, D. Issler, B. Nicolescu and V. Poénaru, Proc. of the 19th Rencontre de Moriond, Hadronic Session, Ed., Tran Thanh Van, p. 143, Ed., Frontières, France (1984).
11. R. Espinosa, "Topological Zero-Entropy Bootstrap", thesis, University of California, Department of Physics, Berkeley (1983).
12. H.P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. D28, 1386 (1983).
13. G.F. Chew, Phys. Rev. D27, 976 (1983).
14. G.F. Chew and J. Finkelstein, Phys. Rev. Letters 50, 795 (1983).
15. G.F. Chew and J. Finkelstein, Phys. Rev. D28, 407 (1983).
16. G.F. Chew, J. Finkelstein, B. Nicolescu and V. Poénaru, Z. Phys. C14, 289 (1982).
17. D. Issler, manuscript in preparation, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, 1985.
18. G.F. Chew and V. Poénaru, Phys. Rev. D30, 1579 (1984).
19. H. Harari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 562 (1969).
J. Rosner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 689 (1969).
20. G.F. Chew, J. Finkelstein and D. Issler, Lawrence Berkeley Lab. preprint 17189 (1983).
21. H.P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. 125, 2139 (1962).
22. S. Mandelstam, Phys. Rev. D1, 1745 (1970).
23. H.P. Stapp, Phys. Rev. D27, 2445 and 2478 (1983).
24. C.E. Jones and P. Finkler, Phys. Rev. D31, 1393 and 1404 (1985).
25. G. F. Chew, J. Finkelstein, B. Nicolescu and V. Poénaru, Z. Phys. C14, 289 (1982).
26. P. Gauron, B. Nicolescu, S. Ouvry, Phys. Rev. D24, 2501 (1981).
27. G.F. Chew, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 764 (1981).

FOOTNOTES

- F1: Ref. (13) has described the generation from TPT hadron dynamics of a nonhadronic weakly-interacting scalar boson that shares some characteristics of photons and thereby gives promise of a future TPT connection between the fine structure constant and the dimensionless hadronic coupling constant.
- F2: Dependence of TPT on zero-entropy contractions excludes massless gauge-vector strongly-interacting analogues of photons -- such as the gluons of QCD. Massless gauge-vector bosons require contraction-forbidding non-zero entropy in spin-chiral topological structure (Appendix A).
- F3: The meaning of fractional electric charge for TPT "quarks" has been discussed most recently in Ref. (18).
- F4: Ref. (15) points out that b is proportional to hypercharge -- the difference between electric charge and the 3 component of isospin -- so topological color relates to hypercharge.
- F5: We shall describe elsewhere how white spinor patches become σ 's when gauge bosons are inserted therein.
- F6: Chiral switch lines were called "topological gluons" in Ref. (6).
- F7: According to Ref. (10), entropy of the second kind is responsible for dynamical development of a GeV strong-interaction scale starting from a zero-entropy TeV scale.
- F8: According to Ref. (10), m_0 lies in the TeV range.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Single-vertex, 3-meson Feynman graph embedded in a disk.
2. Single-meson connected sum of two single-vertex disks.
3. Finkelstein-line embellishment of the Fig. 1 disk. (The shading will be explained by Fig. 15.)
4. Embellished Feynman graph for photon interaction with pair of charged mesons.
5. Two-segment belt portions corresponding to "quark" and "antiquark".
5. Four-segment, qq, belt portion corresponding to a meson.
7. Fermionic belt segments.
8. (a) Single-vertex, meson-baryon-antibaryon Feynman graph embedded in a feathered surface.
(b) Embellishment of the surface of Fig. 8(a) with Finkelstein and "color" lines.
9. Location of "color"-line ends along the belt.
10. The belt graph for Fig. 8(b), with attached junction line.
11. Baryon belt portion (9 segments, comprising 3 "quarks" plus a $Y^{(-)}$.)
12. Y units of the belt.
13. Identification of Y legs in a connected sum
14. Lepton Y^+, ϕ^- belt portion.
15. Separation of scalar from spinor patch by a Finkelstein or "color" line.
16. Connected sum of two patches. Orientation of patch-boundary components is indicated by arrows along β 's (segments of $\partial\Sigma$ -- which lack intrinsic orientation).
17. A junction-line patch σ_j .
18. Gauge hole.
19. Gauge hole inside an embellished 2-vertex, 4-meson Feynman graph.

20. Two single-vertex 3-meson embellished Feynman graphs whose connected sum generates the gauge hole of Fig. 19.
21. $Y^{(+)}Y^{(-)}$; belt portion of an H (horizontal) boson. The $j^{(+)}$ and $j^{(-)}$ are not mated by a junction line.
22. A 3-beaded zero-entropy belt graph. Only those trivial vertices on one bead are shown.
23. A zero-entropy sheet embedding a 6-hadron Feynman graph. The belt is that of Fig. 22.
24. Shorthand quark line diagram corresponding to Fig. 23.
25. Shorthand representation of elementary hadrons.
26. Embellished Landau graph generated by the connected sum of Fig. 20.
27. Shorthand examples of quark and diquark closed loops.

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9

Figure 10

Figure 11

Figure 12

Figure 13

Figure 14

Figure 15

Figure 16

Figure 17

Figure 18

Figure 19

Figure 20

Figure 21

Figure 22

Figure 23

Figure 24

Figure 25

Figure 26

Figure 27

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

Reference to a company or product name does not imply approval or recommendation of the product by the University of California or the U.S. Department of Energy to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*