UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/10t6t15x

Journal

Pediatric Clinics of North America, 64(3)

ISSN

0031-3955

Authors

Mousa, Hayat Hassan, Maheen

Publication Date

2017-06-01

DOI 10.1016/j.pcl.2017.01.003

Peer reviewed

<u>eScholarship.org</u>

Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease



Hayat Mousa, мD^{a,b,*}, Maheen Hassan, мD^{a,b}

KEYWORDS

- Gastroesophageal reflux
 Endoscopy
 Impedance
 Proton pump inhibitors
- Lifestyle changes Extraesophageal symptoms Pediatrics

KEY POINTS

- Gastroesophageal reflux is a normal physiologic process that does not require treatment.
- In infants, reducing feeding volumes, offering smaller, more frequent meals, thickening feeds, and positioning can reduce reflux episodes; these infants should not be placed on acid suppression.
- Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) occurs when reflux of gastric contents causes troublesome symptoms or complications. First-line treatment in children and adolescents includes lifestyle modification and acid suppression.
- GERD can have atypical presentations, such as recurrent pneumonia, upper airway symptoms, nocturnal or difficult to control asthma, dental erosions, and Sandifer syndrome.
- Diagnosis of GERD is largely based upon history and physical, but endoscopy and pH impedance can be used to help support the diagnosis in atypical presentations.

INTRODUCTION

Gastroesophageal reflux (GER) is a normal physiologic process. It is defined as the involuntary flow of stomach content back into the esophagus.¹ Most episodes of reflux are into the distal esophagus, brief, and asymptomatic. GER disease (GERD) occurs when reflux causes troublesome symptoms or complications.²

PHYSIOLOGY

Multiple mechanisms are in place to protect from reflux: the antireflux barrier, esophageal clearance, and esophageal mucosal resistance. The antireflux barrier is composed of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES), the angle of His, the crural

^a University of California, San Diego, 3020 Children's Way, MOB 211, MC 5030, San Diego, CA 92123, USA; ^b Division of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Rady Children's Hospital, 7960 Birmingham Way, Room 2110, MC 5030, San Diego, CA 92123, USA * Corresponding author.

E-mail address: hmousa@ucsd.edu

Pediatr Clin N Am 64 (2017) 487–505 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pcl.2017.01.003 0031-3955/17/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

pediatric.theclinics.com

Disclosure Statement: The authors have no commercial or financial conflicts of interest or any funding sources to disclose.

diaphragm, and the phrenoesophageal ligament.³ The LES consists of tonically contracted circular smooth muscles, composed of the intrinsic muscles of the distal esophagus and the sling fibers of the proximal stomach.⁴ The crural diaphragm forms the esophageal hiatus and encircles the proximal LES. The phrenoesophageal ligament anchors the distal esophagus to the crural diaphragm. A small portion of the LES, up to 2 cm in adults, is intraabdominal. The LES resting pressure is higher than the intraabdominal pressure, and this prevents reflux of gastric contents into the distal esophagus. The angle of His is an acute angle between the great curvature of the stomach and the esophagus, and acts as an antireflux barrier by functioning like a valve. Esophageal clearance limits the duration of contact between luminal contents and esophageal lumen, and salivary and esophageal secretions neutralize acid. Esophageal mucosal resistance comes into play when acid contact time is prolonged, and this is determined genetically.

MECHANISMS OF GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX

Anything that interferes with these lines of defense can lead to GER. Inappropriate transient LES relaxation is among the most important causes of GERD in children.^{5,6} Increased intraabdominal pressure relative to LES resting pressure permits the reflux of gastric contents into the distal esophagus.⁶ Increased intraabdominal pressure can be caused by medications, the Valsalva maneuver, the Trendelenburg position, or lifting. Position and posture influence the angle of His, with esophageal acid exposure greater in the right side sleeping position than in the left position. Esophageal clearance is also delayed in the right position.¹ Although little is known about the angle of His in infants, it is hypothesized that this angle is less acute in young infants and becomes acute after 1 year of age; this would predispose their stomach to a more vertical lie and therefore increased ease of reflux.¹ In sliding hiatal hernias, there is a weakness of the phrenoesophageal ligament leading to an upward displacement of the LES into the lower mediastinum. As a result, the defense of the LES, angle of His, and the diaphragm are compromised.³ The LES and crural diaphragm no longer overlap, and the LES length and pressure are reduced. Another proposed mechanism by which hiatal hernia leads to GER is by creating a hernia sac between the LES proximally and the crural diaphragm distally.⁷ This sac has increased acid exposure and impaired clearance, and can reflux during subsequent swallow relaxations of the LES.7

DISTINGUISHING GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX FROM GASTROESOPHAGEAL REFLUX DISEASE

Whereas GER is a normal physiologic process, GERD occurs when reflux of gastric contents causes troublesome symptoms or complications.² In infants, crying and fussiness are often attributed to GERD, but are nonspecific and difficult to distinguish from other causes. GERD can cause infants to associate feeding with pain, and as a result feeding aversion, anorexia, and failure to thrive can develop.² Respiratory complications are less common, but recurrent pneumonia and interstitial lung disease secondary to reflux can occur owing to aspiration of gastric contents.⁸ Reflux worsening asthma symptoms has also been reported.^{9,10} Histologic changes can also help distinguish the two, with esophageal biopsies in GERD typically showing findings of basal zone hyperplasia, papillary lengthening, and neutrophil infiltration.^{11,12}

EPIDEMIOLOGY

There are few pediatric-specific data on GER and GERD epidemiology with incidence and prevalence based on questionnaires. The incidence of GERD in pediatrics was estimated to be 0.84 per 1000 person-years.¹³ After 1 year of age, the incidence of GERD decreases with until age 12, and then reaches a maximum at age 16 to 17. The prevalence varies by study and age. It is estimated that 10% of all children have GER¹⁴ and 1.8% to 8.2% have GERD.^{14,15} The estimated prevalence of GERD in infants 0 to 23 months, children 2 to 11 years old, and adolescents 12 to 17 years old is 2.2% to 12.6%, 0.6% to 4.1%, and 0.8% to 7.6%, respectively.

PRESENTING SYMPTOMS Infancy

Daily regurgitation in healthy infants is physiologic and common, with the prevalence being highest in the first 3 to 4 months of life, at between 41% and 73%.^{16–18} A large proportion of these infants regurgitate more than 4 times a day. Prevalence decreases to 14% at 7 months of age,¹⁷ and to less than 5% after 12 months of age.^{16,18} GERD can be difficult to diagnose in infants because they present with nonspecific symptoms that can be difficult to distinguish from other conditions (**Box 1**).^{19,20} These symptoms include choking, gagging, irritability, regurgitation, refusal to feed, and poor weight gain. Crying, irritability, and vomiting are often attributed to GERD,¹⁸ but can be indistinguishable from milk protein allergy^{21,22} and do not correlate well with reflux on pH impedance studies,^{23,24} or improve after trials of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs).^{25,26} A history and physical examination should be done to rule out warning signals that require further investigation (**Box 2**),¹⁹ before attributing them to GERD.

Childhood

GERD is often diagnosed in adults based on a history of substernal, burning pain, with or without regurgitation.² The diagnosis of GERD can similarly be made in adolescents.²⁷ However, history is unreliable in children under the age of 12, and these children can also present with different symptoms. In addition to the aforementioned typical GERD symptoms, 21% of children reported nausea or vomiting.¹³ Abdominal pain and cough are also reported frequently.²⁸ In children with erosive esophagitis, cough, anorexia, and feeding refusal were found to be more frequent and severe in children ages 1 to 5 years of age, as compared with older children, while heartburn was less severe. Symptoms have not been found to be predictive of mucosal damage.

Children with certain underlying disorders are at high risk for developing severe and chronic GERD (Table 1).¹⁹

Atypical Presentations

An association between asthma and reflux measured by pH or impedance has been reported,²⁹ although the etiology is not established. Proposed mechanisms of GERD contributing to asthma include aspiration of gastric acid resulting in airway inflammation and causing vagally mediated bronchial or laryngeal spasm.³⁰ Alternatively, asthma may contribute to GERD. Pulmonary hyperinflation occurs as a result of chronic asthma. This hyperinflation causes the diaphragm to flatten, displacing the LES into the thoracic cavity, which has a negative atmosphere pressure, and thereby reduces the LES resting pressure and eliminates the angle of His. Studies have shown that the majority of children with asthma have an abnormal pH impedance study³¹; however, the use of a PPI in unselected patients with wheezing or asthma is of

Box 1

Differential diagnosis for emesis is an infant or child

Gastrointestinal obstruction

- Esophageal web
- Esophageal stricture
- Tracheoesophageal fistula
- Pyloric stenosis
- Duodenal atresia
- Malrotation with intermittent volvulus
- Intestinal duplication
- Antral/duodenal web
- Hirschsprung disease
- Foreign body/bezoar
- Incarcerated hernia
- Imperforate anus
- Other gastrointestinal disorders
- Celiac disease
- Milk/soy protein allergy
- Achalasia
- Gastroparesis
- Peptic ulcer
- Eosinophilic esophagitis/gastroenteritis
- Inflammatory bowel disease
- Appendicitis
- Pancreatitis
- Cholecystitis/choledocholithiasis

Neurologic

- Intracranial mass
- Hydrocephalus
- Subdural hematoma
- Intracranial hemorrhage
- Infant migraine
- Chiari malformation

Infectious

- Meningitis
- Gastroenteritis
- Sinusitis
- Urinary tract infection
- Pneumonia
- Otitis media

- Hepatitis
- Sepsis
- Metabolic/endocrine
- Galactosemia
- Hereditary fructosemia
- Urea cycle defects
- Amino and organic acidemias
- Fatty acid oxidation disorders
- Lysosomal storage disorders
- Congenital adrenal hyperplasia
- Diabetic ketoacidosis

Renal

- Obstructive uropathy
- Nephrolithiasis
- Renal tubular acidosis
- Renal insufficiency

Other

- Self-induced vomiting
- Cyclic vomiting syndrome
- Rumination
- Overfeeding
- Autonomic dysfunction
- Munchausen syndrome by proxy
- Medication/vitamin/drug toxicity
- Child abuse

Adapted from Vandeplas Y, Rudolph CD, Di Lorenzo C, et al. Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN). J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009;49(4):498–547; and Chandran L, Chitkara M. Vomiting in children: reassurance, red flag, or referral? Pediatr Rev 2008;29(6):183–92.

limited benefit.³² Patients who may benefit from GERD treatment include those with heartburn, nocturnal asthma symptoms, or steroid-dependent and difficult-to-control asthma.^{9,10}

Recurrent pneumonia and interstitial lung disease may be complications of GERD owing to aspiration of gastric contents.⁸ Although an abnormal esophageal pH study may increase the probability of GERD causing recurrent aspirations, there is no definitive test that can prove GERD's causal role.³³

Upper airway symptoms attributed to GERD include hoarseness, chronic cough, or a sensation of a lump in the throat,³⁴ although there are no strong data to support this claim.³⁵ Laryngoscopic findings attributed to reflux include erythema, edema, cobble-stoning, and nodularity, although with low sensitivity and specificity^{36,37} and poor correlation with pH probe studies.³⁸

| Box 2 Warning signals that require investigation in infants with vomiting | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Bilious emesis | | | |
| Gastrointestinal bleeding: hematemesis, coffee ground emesis, hematochezia | | | |
| Choking, gagging, coughing with feeds | | | |
| Forceful emesis | | | |
| Onset of emesis after 6 months of life | | | |
| Failure to thrive | | | |
| Diarrhea/constipation | | | |
| Fever | | | |
| Lethargy | | | |
| Hepatosplenomegaly | | | |
| Bulging fontanelle | | | |
| Microcephaly or macrocephaly | | | |
| Seizures | | | |
| Abdominal tenderness or distention | | | |
| Suspected genetic syndrome | | | |

Studies revealed a cause and effect relationship between GERD and dental erosions,³⁹ with worse dental erosions when GERD symptoms are present. Other contributing factors to dental erosions include drinking juice, bulimia, racial and genetic factors affecting the characteristic of enamel and saliva, and children with neurologic impairment.

| Table 1 Medical conditions at high risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Condition | Contributing Factors | | | |
| Neurologic impairment | Decreased esophageal clearance • Supine position • Abnormal swallow • Abnormal muscle tone Increased reflux episodes • Heightened gag reflex • Delayed gastric emptying • Constipation • Skeletal abnormalities • Medication side effects | | | |
| Obesity | | | | |
| Esophageal atresia | Esophagus is congenitally dysmotile After surgery, a hiatal hernia is often present | | | |
| Chronic respiratory disorders • Bronchopulmonary dysplasia • Cystic fibrosis • Idiopathic interstitial fibrosis | Unknown | | | |
| Lung transplantation | Pneumonectomy contributes to esophageal and gastric motor dysfunction | | | |

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Rady Childrens Hospital from ClinicalKey.com/nursing by Elsevier on September 10, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2018. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. Sandifer syndrome, in which there is spasmodic torsional dystonia with arching of the back and rigid opisthotonic posturing of the neck and back, is an uncommon but specific presentation of GERD.² It must be distinguished from seizures, dystonia, or infantile spasms.⁴⁰ When related to GERD, it improves with antireflux treatment.

An apparent life-threatening event (ALTE) was first defined in 1986 as an episode that is frightening to the observer and that is characterized by some combination of apnea, color change, marked change in muscle tone, choking, or gagging.⁴¹ The term ALTE was recently replaced by the term "brief resolved unexplained event," which is characterized by a sudden, brief, and resolved episode occurring in an infant under 1 year of age that consists of one of more of the following: cyanosis or pallor; absent, decreased, or irregular breathing; marked change in tone; and altered level of responsiveness. Because the change was recently made, published studies have evaluated GERD association with the ALTE definition. The results are conflicting. Although most series fail to demonstrate a temporal relationship between the two, ^{29,42} multiple studies do show that there is an association.⁴³⁻⁴⁵ If other causes have been ruled out and GER is suspected, the diagnosis can be better evaluated by recording synchronous symptoms on multichannel intraluminal impedance (MII)/pH esophageal monitoring in combination with cardiorespiratory monitoring. When using esophageal manometry in conjunction with cardiorespiratory monitoring, infants with ALTE were found to have swallowing as the most frequent esophageal event associated with spontaneous respiratory events. This suggests a dysfunctional regulation of the swallow-respiratory interactions, and needs to be investigated further.⁴⁶ When using polysomnography with esophageal pH and impedance monitoring, apnea was seldom associated with reflux. When it was, the predominant sequence of events was obstructive or mixed apnea followed by reflux, suggesting against reflux as a cause of apnea.⁴⁷

Apnea and sleep quality have similarly been evaluated by a combination of polysomnography with esophageal pH and impedance monitoring. The data, again, are conflicting. In some, GER was found unlikely to be related to apneic events and rarely seemed to cause sleep awakening.^{48,49} Instead, awakening and arousal was precipitating GER. Another group has shown that acid and non–acid reflux was associated with sleep interruption in infants,⁵⁰ and acid reflux was associated with sleep interruption in obese children.⁵¹

DIAGNOSIS

The diagnosis of GERD can largely be based on history and physical examination alone. There are several tools, however, to help make the diagnosis when there is an atypical presentation and to assess the severity and consequence of GERD.

Endoscopy

On endoscopy, visualizing endoscopic breaks in the mucosa is the most reliable evidence of reflux esophagitis.¹⁹ The classic histologic findings of GERD are basal zone hyperplasia, papillary lengthening, and neutrophilic infiltration.¹² Although the histologic findings are not specific to GERD alone and have not correlated well with symptom severity of GERD in children,⁵² they can help to support the diagnosis. The sensitivity of histology increases if multiple biopsies are taken, sampling in the mid and distal esophagus.^{11,53} If using this method, the sensitivity of histology was 96% in patients with erosive esophagitis and 76% with nonerosive reflux disease.⁵³ The additional usefulness of pursuing endoscopy includes ruling out other disorders that can masquerade as GERD, such as eosinophilic esophagitis; identifying complications of reflux disease; and evaluating for empirical treatment failure.⁵⁴

pH and Impedance

Twenty-four-hour esophageal pH monitoring measures the frequency and duration of acid esophageal reflux. This test can be performed by either placing a nasal catheter, or by clipping a wireless sensor to the esophageal mucosa via endoscopy. A decrease in the intraesophageal pH to less than 4 is considered acidic exposure. For criteria to diagnose acid reflux, please refer to the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition-European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition consensus paper from 2009.¹⁹ The main indications for pH monitoring include evaluating endoscopy-negative patients for abnormal esophageal acid exposure if they are being considered for antireflux procedures and evaluating patients who are refractory to PPI therapy.⁵⁵ There are limitations to standard pH monitoring. It is a poor detector of weakly acidic (pH of 4-7) reflux⁵⁶ and can also overestimate acid exposure by picking up "pH-only" episodes, in which there is no reflux.⁵⁷ In infants and children, weakly acidic GER is more prevalent than in adults,^{57,58} which can explain why abnormal esophageal pH monitoring does not correlate with symptom severity in infants.⁵⁹ Abnormal esophageal pH is observed more frequently in adults and children with erosive esophagitis.^{60,61}

MII uses change in impedance to measure the anterograde and retrograde movement of fluid, solids, and air in the esophagus. Dual pH-MII is able to detect reflux regardless of pH value, detect anterograde versus retrograde flow thereby distinguishing between swallows and GER, determine the height of refluxate, and differentiate between liquid, gas, or mixed refluxate.⁶² Nonacid pH is defined as a pH of greater than 4 and the reflux index is defined as the percentage of time the pH drops to less than 4. Tables 2 and 3 provide the reflux parameter definitions and normal values for reflux per 24 hours in infant and children. Normal values for infants and children with nonacid and acid reflux were determined by Mousa and colleagues⁶³ in a multicenter study evaluating multiple parameters of reflux via pH/MII in a very clean population. The infant and children selected had no evidence of acid reflux or symptoms associated with regurgitation. They were also off antireflux medications at the time of the procedure and did not have a fundoplication. Based on the study, in infants, more than 48 acid reflux episodes or more than 67 nonacid reflux episodes in 24 hours are considered pathologic. With children, more than 55 acid reflux episodes or more than 34 nonacid reflux episodes in 24 hours is considered pathologic.

In infants and children, pH-MII optimizes the yield of the GER–symptom association.⁶⁴ Indications for pH-MII include (1) evaluating the efficacy of antireflux therapy, (2) endoscopy-negative patients with symptoms concerning for reflux despite PPI therapy in whom documentation of nonacid reflux will alter clinical management,^{55,62} (3) evaluating tube fed patients for reflux, because the majority of refluxate during tube feeding is nonacidic,⁶² and (4) differentiating aerophagia from GER.

Motility Testing

Findings on esophageal manometry are not sensitive or specific enough to make the diagnosis of GERD, but can identify alternate motor disorders that may present similar to GERD.^{19,54} Esophageal dysmotility is present in a proportion of patients with GERD,⁶⁵ with motor dysfunctions of both the LES and esophageal body being the major factors predicting medical refractoriness of reflux disease in children.⁶⁶ However, patients with gastroparesis are at increased risk for GERD,⁶⁷ and there are studies that show that infants and young children with delayed gastric emptying tend to be more symptomatic,⁶⁸ gastric emptying studies do not confirm the diagnosis of GERD⁶⁹ and are not recommended for its routine evaluation.

| Table 2 Reflux parameters on pH-multichannel intraluminal impedance | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Definitions | | | | |
| Liquid reflux | Drop in impedance of \leq 50% of baseline value with subsequent recovery, in \geq 2 of the distal-most channels | | | |
| Acid GER | pH decreases and remains <4 for \geq 5 s; if pH was already <4, it decreases by \geq 1 pH unit for \geq 5 s; with or without a decrease in impedance of \leq 50% of baseline value | | | |
| Nonacid GER | pH increases, remains unchanged, or decreases by ≥ 1 pH unit while remaining ≥ 4 , with a retrograde decrease in impedance of $\leq 50\%$ of baseline value in ≥ 2 of the distal-most channels | | | |
| Gas reflux | Simultaneous and rapid increases in impedance in \geq 2 channels (>3000 Ohms) of the distal-most channels | | | |
| Extent of reflux migration | | | | |
| Localized to distal esophagus | Height of reflux is confined to the 2 most distal impedance channels (channels 5 and 6) | | | |
| Proximal | Height of refluxate reaches either or both of the most proximal channels (channels 1 and/or 2) | | | |
| Parameters of symptom association | | | | |
| Reflux index | Percent of time pH is <4 | | | |
| Symptom index | Percent of symptoms episodes that are related to reflux ([no. of reflux-related symptom episodes ÷ total no. of symptom episodes] × 100) Positive when >50% | | | |
| Symptom sensitivity index | Percent of symptom associated reflux episodes ([no. of reflux-related symptom episodes ÷ total no. of reflux episodes] × 100) positive when >10% | | | |
| Symptoms associated probability | Statistical probability that symptoms and GER events are associated • Positive when >95% | | | |

Abbreviation: GER, gastroesophageal reflux.

Upper gastrointestinal studies

Although GERD is reported commonly on upper gastrointestinal studies, the correlation between reflux reported on upper gastrointestinal studies and 24-h pH monitoring is poor.^{70,71} Therefore, upper gastrointestinal studies should be reserved for defining anatomic abnormalities and not reflux.

Diagnostic Trial of Acid Suppression

Because GERD is diagnosed primarily based on symptoms alone in older children and adolescents, responding to an empirical trial of PPI therapy helps to support, although it cannot confirm, a diagnosis of GERD.⁷² In both children⁷³ and adolescent²⁷ patients with endoscopically proven GERD, a 4- to 8-week course of PPI improves symptoms significantly. There are limitations to performing a PPI trial to diagnose GERD. It does not control for placebo effect, spontaneous resolution of symptoms, and the possibility that other conditions may improve on PPI treatment. Additionally, it does not differentiate between healing esophagitis and reflux symptoms.⁵⁴ PPI therapy is more apt to resolve esophagitis than GERD symptoms, so a negative PPI trial does not exclude GERD as a diagnostic possibility. A trial of acid suppression in infants and young children is not warranted, because symptoms suggestive of GERD are less specific.²⁶

Table 3

Normal values for acid and nonacid reflux on pH/multichannel intraluminal impedance per 24 hours in infants and children

| | Infants | | Children | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | Median (IQR) | 95th Percentile | Median (IQR) | 95th Percentile |
| Index of acid regurgitation (%) | 0.6 (0.3–0.9) | 1.4 | 0.4 (0.2–0.8) | 1.3 |
| No. of acid regurgitation episodes in 24 h | 20 (11–26) | 48 | 14 (11–15) | 55 |
| Index of nonacid regurgitation (%) | 0.7 (0.5–1.2) | 2.5 | 0.1 (0–0.3) | 1.0 |
| No. of nonacid regurgitation episodes in 24 h | 32 (16–45) | 67 | 6 (3–11) | 34 |
| Index of GER episodes (%) | 1.4 (0.9–1.2) | 2.9 | 0.6 (0.3–1.2) | 2.4 |
| No. of GER episodes in 24 h | 54 (33–69) | 93 | 21 (11–41) | 71 |

Abbreviations: GER, gastroesophageal reflux; IQR, interquartile range.

Adapted from Mousa H, Machado R, Orsi M, et al. Combined multichannel intraluminal impedancepH (MII-pH): multicenter report of normal values from 117 children. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2014;16(8):400; and Vandenplas Y, Rudolph CD, Di Lorenzo C, et al. Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN). J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009;49(4):498–547.

Bronchoalveolar Lavage and Pepsin (for Evidence of Microaspiration with Reflux or Swallowing Disorder)

Evaluating pulmonary aspirates for pepsin has been investigated as a biomarker for GERD. Although studies support the association of the 2 conditions,^{74–76} problems with prior studies include pepsin assays not being specific to pepsin A, the isoform found exclusively in the stomach.⁷⁷ Other pepsin isoforms, mainly pepsin C, are also produced in the lungs, pancreas, and seminal vesicles, thereby limiting specificity. Prospective studies evaluating children with chronic cough, asthma,⁷⁸ and GERD⁷⁹ have found that lung pepsin does not predict pathologic esophageal reflux, nor does it correlate with extraesophageal symptoms or quality of life score. Lung pepsin did, however, correlate with lung inflammation, suggesting a role for pepsin as a biomarker for reflux-related lung disease.⁷⁸

TREATMENT Infant

Infant regurgitation is common and largely physiologic, peaking at 3 to 4 months of age, and resolving by 12 to 13 months of age.¹⁸ In thriving infants in whom symptoms of regurgitation are likely secondary to physiologic GER, management should focus on parental education and support.⁸⁰ For formula-fed infants, reducing feeding volumes in overfed infants, or offering smaller, more frequent meals, can decrease reflux episodes.¹⁹ Changing the infant's body position while awake can be effective. The prone and left side down positions are associated with fewer reflux episodes,^{81,82} but should be recommended only in awake infants under the age of 1 to decrease the risk of sudden infant death syndrome. Thickening feeds helps to reduce the visual symptoms of GER,^{83,84} although it does not esophageal reflux frequency, as shown by pH monitoring.^{85,86}

PPI use has been increasing steadily in infants with the most common reasons for use being identified as GER (59%) and poor feeding (23%).⁸⁷ The mean age of use, between 4 and 8 months of age, correlates with the timing of physiologic reflux. The

majority of infants who are being placed on antireflux drugs do not meet the criteria for GERD.⁸⁸ PPIs have not been shown to benefit infant symptoms attributed to GER over placebo,^{25,26} and discontinuing antireflux medications in this age group has not shown to cause a significant difference in symptoms. Therefore, antireflux medications are not recommended for infants with GER.

Milk protein sensitivity can be difficult to differentiate from GER symptoms with no diagnostic tools to differentiate between the 2 entities.⁸⁹ A prospective study found that 85 of 204 patients with documented GER by pH impedance testing had milk protein sensitivity.²¹ Therefore, infants with recurrent vomiting and persistent symptoms may benefit from a 2- to 4-week trial of an extensively hydrolyzed protein formula.^{22,90}

Children and Adolescents

Lifestyle changes

Recommendations for lifestyle changes are derived from adult data. Although there is some physiologic evidence that various foods as well as alcohol and tobacco affect the pressure of the LES, targeted interventions have not shown any benefit in clinical trials.^{91,92} Patients should avoid foods and beverages that trigger their personal GERD symptoms. The only beneficial measures documented are weight loss in obese patients,⁹³ avoidance of late night eating,⁹⁴ elevation of the head of the bed, and prone or left-sided sleeping position.⁹⁵

Acid suppression

Histamine-2 receptor antagonists Parietal cells secrete acid in response to 3 stimuli: histamine at the H2 histamine receptor, acetylcholine, and gastrin. Histamine 2 receptor agonists (H2RAs) suppress gastric acid secretion by competitively inhibiting histamine at the parietal cell's H2 receptor. In adequate doses, H2RAs are effective in the treatment of peptic disease⁹⁶ and healing erosive esophagitis compared with placebo.^{97,98} However, patients requiring more than occasional use can develop to rapid tachyphylaxis.⁹⁹ Dosage requirements vary by age, but children require a relative higher dose than adults.⁹⁶

Proton pump inhibitors PPIs are the most potent acid suppressants. They work by blocking the final step in acid secretion: the gastric H^+/K^+ -adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase), which causes resorption of K^+ ions and secretion of H^+ ions. Compared with H2RAs and placebo, PPIs provide faster and increased relief of symptoms and are more effective in healing erosive esophagitis.^{97,100,101} After erosive esophagitis is healed, there is a low rate of relapse and recurrence of GERD symptoms.¹⁰² Thus, prolonged courses of PPI are not recommended without continued diagnosis.

There is increasing evidence of side effects from prolonged acid suppression, resulting from hypochlorhydria. For this reason, the smallest effective dose of acid suppression for only the necessary period of time should be used.⁵⁴ Hypochlorhydria impairs vitamin B₁₂, calcium, and iron absorption. PPI therapy has been associated with fractures in adults with osteoporosis, as well as fractures in young adults.¹⁰³ This same association has not been seen in children younger than 18 years of age. Long-term acid suppression also has increased infectious risks. In neonates, H2RA therapy is associated with higher rates of necrotizing enterocolitis.¹⁰⁴ Long-term hypochlorhydria is hypothesized to alter the intraluminal environment, promoting the growth of small bowel bacteria.¹⁰⁵ This leads to small bowel bacterial overgrowth, a condition in which the bacteria cause excessive fermentation, resulting in symptoms of bloating, abdominal pain, and diarrhea. The reduction in gastric acid secretion allows pathogen colonization from the upper gastrointestinal tract. In PPI users, a significant positive dose–response relationship has been observed between PPI use

and increased risk of community-acquired pneumonia.^{106,107} There was a similar increased risk, although no dose–response relationship, seen with H2RA use. With both PPI and H2RA use, there is an increased risk of gastroenteritis¹⁰⁷ as well as community-acquired *Clostridium difficile* infection.¹⁰⁸ Gastric polyps and nodules can be noted after prolonged PPI therapy, but these changes are benign.⁵⁴

Antacids Antacids are compounds containing different combinations, such as calcium carbonate, sodium bicarbonate, aluminum, and magnesium hydroxide. They provide rapid but short-term symptom relief by buffering gastric acid, and in high doses are as effective as H2RAs.^{109,110} These drugs have no efficacy in healing erosive esophagitis.¹¹¹ Dosing of these medications is based on age and weight (Table 4).

SURGICAL MANAGEMENT

Fundoplication is an antireflux surgery that may benefit children with confirmed GERD who have failed optimal medical therapy, who are dependent on medical therapy over a long period of time, or who have life-threatening complications of GERD.¹⁹ Although studies are needed to confirm which cohort of GERD patients are most likely to benefit from a fundoplication, it is suggested for those with respiratory complications, including asthma or recurrent aspiration related to GERD.

Despite its value in preventing GERD, fundoplication has other consequences including gas bloat syndrome, impaired gastric accommodation, gastric hypersensitivity, rapid gastric emptying, retching, or dysphagia.¹¹² Children with neurologic

| Table 4 Pharmacologic agents for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Medication | Dose | Age | | | |
| Proton pump inhibitors | | | | | |
| Omeprazole | 0.7–3.3 mg/kg/d, max 20 mg/d | ≥2 y | | | |
| Lansoprazole | 0.7–3 mg/kg/d | ≥1 y | | | |
| Esomeprazole | <20 kg: 10 mg/d ≥20 kg: 10-20 mg/d | ≥1 y | | | |
| Pantoprazole | ≥15 kg to <40 kg: 20 mg/d ≥40 kg: 40 mg/d | Pediatric indication for erosive esophagitis in \ge 5 y | | | |
| Histamine-2 receptor antagonists | | | | | |
| Famotidine | 1 mg/kg/d divided in 2–3 doses, max 20 mg bid | ≥1 mo | | | |
| Ranitidine | 5–10 mg/kg/d divided in 2–3 doses, max 300 mg/d | ≥1 mo | | | |
| Cimetidine | 400 mg 4×/d | No pediatric indication | | | |
| Antacids | | | | | |
| Calcium carbonate | 2–5 yo: 375–400 mg PRN; max 1500 mg/d 6–11 yo: 750–800 mg PRN; max 3000 mg/d ≥12 yo: 500–3,000 mg PRN; max 7500 mg/d | ≥2 y | | | |
| Sucralfate | | No pediatric indication for independent treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease | | | |

Abbreviations: max, maximum; PRN, as needed; yo, years old.

impairments suffer from many conditions, such as scoliosis and epilepsy, which decrease the success rate of antireflux therapy. In this group of children, fundoplication is associated with an high recurrence rate and significant morbidity and mortality, with a 40% surgical failure rate, 12% to 30% rate of recurrent reflux, 59% experiencing postoperative complications, and a 1% to 3% mortality rate. Surgery done in early infancy also has a higher failure rate and greater risk for surgical mortality.¹¹³

Transpyloric feeds have been proposed as an alternative to fundoplication in patients with GERD who are medically complex. Reflux can still occur during transpyloric feeds, and is thought to be a result of increased transient LES relaxations when fat is instilled into the small bowel.¹¹⁴ Despite this phenomenon, the number of reflux events and the percentage of full-column events during transpyloric feeds are lower when compared with gastric feeds. Studies comparing transpyloric feeds and fundoplication are few, but suggest that there is a trend toward more major complications with fundoplication compared with gastrojejunal feeds in neurologically impaired children.¹¹⁵ The 2 therapies have comparable rates in decreasing aspiration pneumonia, although neither eliminates the risk completely.¹¹⁶

REFERENCES

- Vandenplas Y, Hassall E. Mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux and gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2002;35(2):119–36.
- Sherman PM, Hassall E, Fagundes-Neto U, et al. A global, evidence-based consensus on the definition of gastroesophageal reflux disease in the pediatric population. Am J Gastroenterol 2009;104(5):1278–95 [quiz: 1296].
- 3. Mikami DJ, Murayama KM. Physiology and pathogenesis of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Surg Clin North Am 2015;95(3):515–25.
- 4. Mittal RK, Balaban DH. The esophagogastric junction. N Engl J Med 1997; 336(13):924–32.
- Kawahara H, Dent J, Davidson G. Mechanisms responsible for gastroesophageal reflux in children. Gastroenterology 1997;113(2):399–408.
- Werlin SL, Dodds WJ, Hogan WJ, et al. Mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux in children. J Pediatr 1980;97(2):244–9.
- Herregods TV, Bredenoord AJ, Smout AJ. Pathophysiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease: new understanding in a new era. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2015; 27(9):1202–13.
- Boesch RP, Daines C, Willging JP, et al. Advances in the diagnosis and management of chronic pulmonary aspiration in children. Eur Respir J 2006;28(4): 847–61.
- 9. Khoshoo V, Le T, Haydel RM Jr, et al. Role of gastroesophageal reflux in older children with persistent asthma. Chest 2003;123(4):1008–13.
- Kiljander TO, Harding SM, Field SK, et al. Effects of esomeprazole 40 mg twice daily on asthma: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2006;173(10):1091–7.
- Schneider NI, Plieschnegger W, Geppert M, et al. Validation study of the Esohisto consensus guidelines for the recognition of microscopic esophagitis (histoGERD Trial). Hum Pathol 2014;45(5):994–1002.
- Ismail-Beigi F, Horton PF, Pope CE 2nd. Histological consequences of gastroesophageal reflux in man. Gastroenterology 1970;58(2):163–74.
- Ruigomez A, Wallander MA, Lundborg P, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children and adolescents in primary care. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010;45(2): 139–46.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Rady Childrens Hospital from ClinicalKey.com/nursing by Elsevier on September 10, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2018. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- Martigne L, Delaage PH, Thomas-Delecourt F, et al. Prevalence and management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in children and adolescents: a nationwide cross-sectional observational study. Eur J Pediatr 2012;171(12):1767–73.
- 15. Nelson SP, Chen EH, Syniar GM, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux during childhood: a pediatric practice-based survey. Pediatric Practice Research Group. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 2000;154(2):150–4.
- 16. Hegar B, Dewanti NR, Kadim M, et al. Natural evolution of regurgitation in healthy infants. Acta Paediatr 2009;98(7):1189–93.
- 17. Nelson SP, Chen EH, Syniar GM, et al. Prevalence of symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux during infancy. A pediatric practice-based survey. Pediatric Practice Research Group. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med 1997;151(6):569–72.
- 18. Martin AJ, Pratt N, Kennedy JD, et al. Natural history and familial relationships of infant spilling to 9 years of age. Pediatrics 2002;109(6):1061–7.
- 19. Vandenplas Y, Rudolph CD, Di Lorenzo C, et al. Pediatric gastroesophageal reflux clinical practice guidelines: joint recommendations of the North American Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (NASPGHAN) and the European Society for Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition (ESPGHAN). J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2009;49(4):498–547.
- 20. Chandran L, Chitkara M. Vomiting in children: reassurance, red flag, or referral? Pediatr Rev 2008;29(6):183–92.
- Iacono G, Carroccio A, Cavataio F, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux and cow's milk allergy in infants: a prospective study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1996;97(3): 822–7.
- 22. Vandenplas Y, Gottrand F, Veereman-Wauters G, et al. Gastrointestinal manifestations of cow's milk protein allergy and gastrointestinal motility. Acta Paediatr 2012;101(11):1105–9.
- 23. Funderburk A, Nawab U, Abraham S, et al. Temporal association between reflux-like behaviors and gastroesophageal reflux in preterm and term infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016;62(4):556–61.
- 24. Heine RG, Jordan B, Lubitz L, et al. Clinical predictors of pathological gastrooesophageal reflux in infants with persistent distress. J Paediatr Child Health 2006;42(3):134–9.
- Moore DJ, Tao BS, Lines DR, et al. Double-blind placebo-controlled trial of omeprazole in irritable infants with gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr 2003; 143(2):219–23.
- 26. Orenstein SR, Hassall E, Furmaga-Jablonska W, et al. Multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial assessing the efficacy and safety of proton pump inhibitor lansoprazole in infants with symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr 2009;154(4):514–20.e4.
- Gold BD, Gunasekaran T, Tolia V, et al. Safety and symptom improvement with esomeprazole in adolescents with gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007;45(5):520–9.
- 28. Gupta SK, Hassall E, Chiu YL, et al. Presenting symptoms of nonerosive and erosive esophagitis in pediatric patients. Dig Dis Sci 2006;51(5):858–63.
- 29. Tolia V, Vandenplas Y. Systematic review: the extra-oesophageal symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease in children. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2009; 29(3):258–72.
- **30.** Malfroot A, Dab I. Pathophysiology and mechanisms of gastroesophageal reflux in childhood asthma. Pediatr Pulmonol Suppl 1995;11:55–6.
- **31.** Scarupa MD, Mori N, Canning BJ. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in children with asthma: treatment implications. Paediatr Drugs 2005;7(3):177–86.

- **32.** Stordal K, Johannesdottir GB, Bentsen BS, et al. Acid suppression does not change respiratory symptoms in children with asthma and gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. Arch Dis Child 2005;90(9):956–60.
- **33.** Cucchiara S, Santamaria F, Minella R, et al. Simultaneous prolonged recordings of proximal and distal intraesophageal pH in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease and respiratory symptoms. Am J Gastroenterol 1995;90(10): 1791–6.
- 34. Koufman JA. The otolaryngologic manifestations of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): a clinical investigation of 225 patients using ambulatory 24-hour pH monitoring and an experimental investigation of the role of acid and pepsin in the development of laryngeal injury. Laryngoscope 1991;101(4 Pt 2 Suppl 53): 1–78.
- Wo JM, Grist WJ, Gussack G, et al. Empiric trial of high-dose omeprazole in patients with posterior laryngitis: a prospective study. Am J Gastroenterol 1997; 92(12):2160–5.
- **36.** Branski RC, Bhattacharyya N, Shapiro J. The reliability of the assessment of endoscopic laryngeal findings associated with laryngopharyngeal reflux disease. Laryngoscope 2002;112(6):1019–24.
- Hicks DM, Ours TM, Abelson TI, et al. The prevalence of hypopharynx findings associated with gastroesophageal reflux in normal volunteers. J Voice 2002; 16(4):564–79.
- **38.** McMurray JS, Gerber M, Stern Y, et al. Role of laryngoscopy, dual pH probe monitoring, and laryngeal mucosal biopsy in the diagnosis of pharyngoesophageal reflux. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2001;110(4):299–304.
- **39.** Pace F, Pallotta S, Tonini M, et al. Systematic review: gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dental lesions. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008;27(12):1179–86.
- 40. Kabakus N, Kurt A. Sandifer Syndrome: a continuing problem of misdiagnosis. Pediatr Int 2006;48(6):622–5.
- 41. National Institutes of Health consensus development conference on infantile apnea and home monitoring, Sept 29 to Oct 1, 1986. Pediatrics 1987;79(2):292–9.
- Cendon RG, Jiménez MJ, Valdés JA, et al. Intraluminal impedance technique in the diagnosis of apparent life-threatening events (ALTE). Cir Pediatr 2008;21(1): 11–4 [in Spanish].
- Newman LJ, Russe J, Glassman MS, et al. Patterns of gastroesophageal reflux (GER) in patients with apparent life-threatening events. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1989;8(2):157–60.
- 44. Semeniuk J, Kaczmarski M, Wasilewska J, et al. Is acid gastroesophageal reflux in children with ALTE etiopathogenetic factor of life threatening symptoms? Adv Med Sci 2007;52:213–21.
- **45.** Gorrotxategi P, Eizaguirre I, Saenz de Ugarte A, et al. Characteristics of continuous esophageal pH-metering in infants with gastroesophageal reflux and apparent life-threatening events. Eur J Pediatr Surg 1995;5(3):136–8.
- **46.** Hasenstab KA, Jadcherla SR. Respiratory events in infants presenting with apparent life threatening events: is there an explanation from esophageal motility? J Pediatr 2014;165(2):250–5.e1.
- 47. Arad-Cohen N, Cohen A, Tirosh E. The relationship between gastroesophageal reflux and apnea in infants. J Pediatr 2000;137(3):321–6.
- 48. Jaimchariyatam N, Tantipornsinchai W, Desudchit T, et al. Association between respiratory events and nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux events in patients with coexisting obstructive sleep apnea and gastroesophageal reflux disease. Sleep Med 2016;22:33–8.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Rady Childrens Hospital from ClinicalKey.com/nursing by Elsevier on September 10, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2018. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- 49. Qureshi A, Malkar M, Splaingard M, et al. The role of sleep in the modulation of gastroesophageal reflux and symptoms in NICU neonates. Pediatr Neurol 2015; 53(3):226–32.
- 50. Machado R, Woodley FW, Skaggs B, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux causing sleep interruptions in infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2013;56(4):431–5.
- Machado RS, Woodley FW, Skaggs B, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux affects sleep quality in snoring obese children. Pediatr Gastroenterol Hepatol Nutr 2016;19(1):12–9.
- 52. Quitadamo P, Woodley FW, Skaggs B, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux in young children and adolescents: is there a relation between symptom severity and esophageal histological grade? J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2015;60(3):318–21.
- Zentilin P, Savarino V, Mastracci L, et al. Reassessment of the diagnostic value of histology in patients with GERD, using multiple biopsy sites and an appropriate control group. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100(10):2299–306.
- 54. Kahrilas PJ, Shaheen NJ, Vaezi MF, et al. American Gastroenterological Association Medical Position Statement on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Gastroenterology 2008;135(4):1383–91, 1391.e1–5.
- 55. Hirano I, Richter JE. ACG practice guidelines: esophageal reflux testing. Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102(3):668–85.
- **56.** Rosen R, Lord C, Nurko S. The sensitivity of multichannel intraluminal impedance and the pH probe in the evaluation of gastroesophageal reflux in children. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2006;4(2):167–72.
- 57. Woodley FW, Mousa H. Acid gastroesophageal reflux reports in infants: a comparison of esophageal pH monitoring and multichannel intraluminal impedance measurements. Dig Dis Sci 2006;51(11):1910–6.
- 58. Wenzl TG, Schenke S, Peschgens T, et al. Association of apnea and nonacid gastroesophageal reflux in infants: investigations with the intraluminal impedance technique. Pediatr Pulmonol 2001;31(2):144–9.
- **59.** Salvatore S, Hauser B, Vandemaele K, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux disease in infants: how much is predictable with questionnaires, pH-metry, endoscopy and histology? J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2005;40(2):210–5.
- 60. Cucchiara S, Staiano A, Gobio Casali L, et al. Value of the 24 hour intraoesophageal pH monitoring in children. Gut 1990;31(2):129–33.
- Vandenplas Y, Franckx-Goossens A, Pipeleers-Marichal M, et al. Area under pH 4: advantages of a new parameter in the interpretation of esophageal pH monitoring data in infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1989;9(1):34–9.
- 62. Mousa HM, Rosen R, Woodley FW, et al. Esophageal impedance monitoring for gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011;52(2):129–39.
- Mousa H, Machado R, Orsi M, et al. Combined multichannel intraluminal impedance-pH (MII-pH): multicenter report of normal values from 117 children. Curr Gastroenterol Rep 2014;16(8):400.
- 64. Loots CM, Benninga MA, Davidson GP, et al. Addition of pH-impedance monitoring to standard pH monitoring increases the yield of symptom association analysis in infants and children with gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr 2009; 154(2):248–52.
- **65.** Patcharatrakul T, Gonlachanvit S. Gastroesophageal reflux symptoms in typical and atypical GERD: roles of gastroesophageal acid refluxes and esophageal motility. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2014;29(2):284–90.
- 66. Cucchiara S, Campanozzi A, Greco L, et al. Predictive value of esophageal manometry and gastroesophageal pH monitoring for responsiveness of reflux disease to medical therapy in children. Am J Gastroenterol 1996;91(4):680–5.

- **67.** Fass R, McCallum RW, Parkman HP. Treatment challenges in the management of gastroparesis-related GERD. Gastroenterol Hepatol (N Y) 2009;5(10 Suppl 18):4–16.
- **68.** Argon M, Duygun U, Daglioz G, et al. Relationship between gastric emptying and gastroesophageal reflux in infants and children. Clin Nucl Med 2006; 31(5):262–5.
- **69.** Knatten CK, Avitsland TL, Medhus AW, et al. Gastric emptying in children with gastroesophageal reflux and in healthy children. J Pediatr Surg 2013;48(9): 1856–61.
- **70.** Serna-Gallegos D, Basseri B, Bairamian V, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux reported on esophagram does not correlate with pH monitoring and high-resolution esophageal manometry. Am Surg 2014;80(10):1026–9.
- **71.** Aksglaede K, Pedersen JB, Lange A, et al. Gastro-esophageal reflux demonstrated by radiography in infants less than 1 year of age. Comparison with pH monitoring. Acta Radiol 2003;44(2):136–8.
- 72. Numans ME, Lau J, de Wit NJ, et al. Short-term treatment with proton-pump inhibitors as a test for gastroesophageal reflux disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic test characteristics. Ann Intern Med 2004;140(7):518–27.
- Tolia V, Bishop PR, Tsou VM, et al. Multicenter, randomized, double-blind study comparing 10, 20 and 40 mg pantoprazole in children (5-11 years) with symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2006; 42(4):384–91.
- 74. Reder NP, Davis CS, Kovacs EJ, et al. The diagnostic value of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) symptoms and detection of pepsin and bile acids in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and exhaled breath condensate for identifying lung transplantation patients with GERD-induced aspiration. Surg Endosc 2014;28(6):1794–800.
- **75.** Starosta V, Kitz R, Hartl D, et al. Bronchoalveolar pepsin, bile acids, oxidation, and inflammation in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease. Chest 2007;132(5):1557–64.
- 76. Njere I, Stanton M, Davenport M. Identification of pepsin in bronchoalveolar fluid (BAL) as a new test for the detection of pulmonary aspiration associated with gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr Surg 2006;41(10):1787 [author reply: 1787–8].
- 77. Kia L, Pandolfino JE, Kahrilas PJ. Biomarkers of reflux disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14(6):790–7.
- Rosen R, Johnston N, Hart K, et al. The presence of pepsin in the lung and its relationship to pathologic gastro-esophageal reflux. Neurogastroenterol Motil 2012;24(2):129–33, e84–5.
- **79.** Dy F, Amirault J, Mitchell PD, et al. Salivary pepsin lacks sensitivity as a diagnostic tool to evaluate extraesophageal reflux disease. J Pediatr 2016;177:53–8.
- Orenstein SR, McGowan JD. Efficacy of conservative therapy as taught in the primary care setting for symptoms suggesting infant gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr 2008;152(3):310–4.
- Loots C, Kritas S, van Wijk M, et al. Body positioning and medical therapy for infantile gastroesophageal reflux symptoms. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2014;59(2):237–43.
- Corvaglia L, Rotatori R, Ferlini M, et al. The effect of body positioning on gastroesophageal reflux in premature infants: evaluation by combined impedance and pH monitoring. J Pediatr 2007;151(6):591–6, 596.e1.

Downloaded for Anonymous User (n/a) at Rady Childrens Hospital from ClinicalKey.com/nursing by Elsevier on September 10, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission. Copyright ©2018. Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

- **83.** Craig WR, Hanlon-Dearman A, Sinclair C, et al. Metoclopramide, thickened feedings, and positioning for gastro-oesophageal reflux in children under two years. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2004;(4):CD003502.
- **84.** Moukarzel AA, Abdelnour H, Akatcherian C. Effects of a prethickened formula on esophageal pH and gastric emptying of infants with GER. J Clin Gastroenterol 2007;41(9):823–9.
- 85. Bailey DJ, Andres JM, Danek GD, et al. Lack of efficacy of thickened feeding as treatment for gastroesophageal reflux. J Pediatr 1987;110(2):187–9.
- Khoshoo V, Ross G, Brown S, et al. Smaller volume, thickened formulas in the management of gastroesophageal reflux in thriving infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2000;31(5):554–6.
- 87. Barron JJ, Tan H, Spalding J, et al. Proton pump inhibitor utilization patterns in infants. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2007;45(4):421–7.
- **88.** Khoshoo V, Edell D, Thompson A, et al. Are we overprescribing antireflux medications for infants with regurgitation? Pediatrics 2007;120(5):946–9.
- 89. Nielsen RG, Bindslev-Jensen C, Kruse-Andersen S, et al. Severe gastroesophageal reflux disease and cow milk hypersensitivity in infants and children: disease association and evaluation of a new challenge procedure. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2004;39(4):383–91.
- **90.** Vandenplas Y, De Greef E. Extensive protein hydrolysate formula effectively reduces regurgitation in infants with positive and negative challenge tests for cow's milk allergy. Acta Paediatr 2014;103(6):e243–50.
- **91.** Eherer A. Management of gastroesophageal reflux disease: lifestyle modification and alternative approaches. Dig Dis 2014;32(1–2):149–51.
- **92.** Meining A, Classen M. The role of diet and lifestyle measures in the pathogenesis and treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. Am J Gastroenterol 2000;95(10):2692–7.
- Ness-Jensen E, Hveem K, El-Serag H, et al. Lifestyle intervention in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2016;14(2):175–82.e1-3.
- **94.** Piesman M, Hwang I, Maydonovitch C, et al. Nocturnal reflux episodes following the administration of a standardized meal. Does timing matter? Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102(10):2128–34.
- Kaltenbach T, Crockett S, Gerson LB. Are lifestyle measures effective in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease? An evidence-based approach. Arch Intern Med 2006;166(9):965–71.
- 96. Kelly DA. Do H2 receptor antagonists have a therapeutic role in childhood? J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 1994;19(3):270–6.
- Khan M, Santana J, Donnellan C, et al. Medical treatments in the short term management of reflux oesophagitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(2):CD003244.
- 98. Sabesin SM, Berlin RG, Humphries TJ, et al. Famotidine relieves symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease and heals erosions and ulcerations. Results of a multicenter, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study. USA Merck Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Study Group. Arch Intern Med 1991;151(12): 2394–400.
- **99.** McRorie JW, Kirby JA, Miner PB. Histamine2-receptor antagonists: rapid development of tachyphylaxis with repeat dosing. World J Gastrointest Pharmacol Ther 2014;5(2):57–62.
- 100. Chiba N, De Gara CJ, Wilkinson JM, et al. Speed of healing and symptom relief in grade II to IV gastroesophageal reflux disease: a meta-analysis. Gastroenterology 1997;112(6):1798–810.

- 101. van Pinxteren B, Sigterman KE, Bonis P, et al. Short-term treatment with proton pump inhibitors, H2-receptor antagonists and prokinetics for gastrooesophageal reflux disease-like symptoms and endoscopy negative reflux disease. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;(11):CD002095.
- **102.** Boccia G, Manguso F, Miele E, et al. Maintenance therapy for erosive esophagitis in children after healing by omeprazole: is it advisable? Am J Gastroenterol 2007;102(6):1291–7.
- 103. Freedberg DE, Haynes K, Denburg MR, et al. Use of proton pump inhibitors is associated with fractures in young adults: a population-based study. Osteo-poros Int 2015;26(10):2501–7.
- 104. Guillet R, Stoll BJ, Cotten CM, et al. Association of H2-blocker therapy and higher incidence of necrotizing enterocolitis in very low birth weight infants. Pediatrics 2006;117(2):e137–42.
- 105. Hegar B, Hutapea EI, Advani N, et al. A double-blind placebo-controlled randomized trial on probiotics in small bowel bacterial overgrowth in children treated with omeprazole. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2013;89(4):381–7.
- 106. Laheij RJ, Sturkenboom MC, Hassing RJ, et al. Risk of community-acquired pneumonia and use of gastric acid-suppressive drugs. JAMA 2004;292(16): 1955–60.
- 107. Canani RB, Cirillo P, Roggero P, et al. Therapy with gastric acidity inhibitors increases the risk of acute gastroenteritis and community-acquired pneumonia in children. Pediatrics 2006;117(5):e817–20.
- 108. Dial S, Delaney JA, Barkun AN, et al. Use of gastric acid-suppressive agents and the risk of community-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated disease. JAMA 2005;294(23):2989–95.
- 109. Cucchiara S, Staiano A, Romaniello G, et al. Antacids and cimetidine treatment for gastro-oesophageal reflux and peptic oesophagitis. Arch Dis Child 1984; 59(9):842–7.
- 110. Iacono G, Carroccio A, Montalto G, et al. Magnesium hydroxide and aluminum hydroxide in the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux. Minerva Pediatr 1991; 43(12):797–800 [in Italian].
- 111. Behar J, Sheahan DG, Biancani P, et al. Medical and surgical management of reflux esophagitis. A 38-month report of a prospective clinical trial. N Engl J Med 1975;293(6):263–8.
- 112. Hauer JM. Does Nissen fundoplication decrease reflux-related problems including respiratory illness in children with neurological impairment? JAMA Pediatr 2014;168(2):188.
- 113. Kubiak R, Spitz L, Kiely EM, et al. Effectiveness of fundoplication in early infancy. J Pediatr Surg 1999;34(2):295–9.
- 114. Rosen R, Hart K, Warlaumont M. Incidence of gastroesophageal reflux during transpyloric feeds. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011;52(5):532–5.
- 115. Livingston MH, Shawyer AC, Rosenbaum PL, et al. Fundoplication and gastrostomy versus percutaneous gastrojejunostomy for gastroesophageal reflux in children with neurologic impairment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pediatr Surg 2015;50(5):707–14.
- **116.** Srivastava R, Downey EC, O'Gorman M, et al. Impact of fundoplication versus gastrojejunal feeding tubes on mortality and in preventing aspiration pneumonia in young children with neurologic impairment who have gastroesophageal reflux disease. Pediatrics 2009;123(1):338–45.