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## THE NORM OF THE $L^{p}$-FOURIER TRANSFORM, II

BERNARD RUSSO

1. Introduction. Let $G$ be a locally compact separable unimodular group. The general theory [18] assigns to $G$ a measure space ( $\Lambda, \mu$ ) whose points $\lambda$ index a family of unitary factor representations of $G$ in such a way that if $U_{\lambda}$ corresponds to $\lambda$ and $U_{\lambda}(f)=\int_{G} f(x) U_{\lambda}(x) d x$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G}|f(x)|^{2} d x=\int_{\Lambda} \operatorname{tr}\left(U_{\lambda}(f)^{*} U_{\lambda}(f)\right) d \mu(\lambda) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}(G) \cap L^{2}(G)$. Here tr denotes the Murray-vonNeumann trace on the factor generated by the operators $U_{\lambda}(x), x \in G$.

In the case when $G$ is a group of type I the measure $\mu$, called Plancherel measure, is unique, the $U_{\lambda}$ are irreducible representations, and tr denotes the usual trace. The expression (1.1), which is called the Plancherel Formula for $G$, is proved in this case in [4, p. 328].

This paper, which is a continuation of [17], is concerned with the problem of sharpness in the Hausdorff Young inequality for the class of connected simply connected real nilpotent Lie groups. The inequality in question, stated for separable locally compact unimodular groups of type I is the assertion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\int_{\Lambda}\left\|U_{\lambda}(f)\right\|_{p^{\prime}}^{p^{\prime}} d \mu(\lambda)\right\}^{1 / p^{\prime}} \leqq\left\{\int_{G}|f(x)|^{p} d x\right\}^{1 / p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in L^{p}(G)([\mathbf{9} ; \mathbf{8}])$. Here of course $1<p \leqq 2,1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$, and $\left\|U_{\lambda}(f)\right\|_{p^{\prime}}{ }^{p \prime}=\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(U_{\lambda}(f)^{*} U_{\lambda}(f)\right)^{p^{\prime \prime 2}}\right)$. By rewriting (1.2) as $\|\bar{f}\|_{p^{\prime}} \leqq\|f\|_{p}$ and defining

$$
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\|=\sup _{\|f\|_{p \leqq 1}}\|\hat{f}\|_{p^{\prime}},
$$

one can express the Hausdorff Young theorem for $G$ by $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\| \leq 1$.
The work in [17] made it plausible that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\|<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any connected, non-compact, locally compact unimodular group $G$. In fact, using the remarkable theorem of Babenko $[\mathbf{2}]:\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(\mathbf{R})\right\|<1$ for $1<p<2$, it was shown in [17] that (1.3) holds whenever $G$ contains the real line $\mathbf{R}$ as a direct factor or $G$ contains $\mathbf{R}^{n}, n \geqq 1$, as a semi-direct factor with compact quotient.

According to a letter from J. Fournier (cf. [10]), (1.3) holds if and only if $G$
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has no compact open subgroups. This confirms a conjecture of the author [17]. However, Fournier's estimate, while universal, is very crude, on the order of $.999999 \ldots$ On the other hand, the estimates made in [17] are sharp enough to compute the number $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\|$ for the two classes of groups considered in [17].

Returning to the discussion of nilpotent groups, it follows from [17a, Proposition 13] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\| \leq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{l}\right)\right\|, \quad 1<p<2 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $G$ is a connected simply connected real nilpotent Lie group, where $l$ is the dimension of the center of $G$ and of course $l \geqq 1$. The present paper constitutes a step in the direction of the computation of $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}(G)\right\|$ in that (1.4) is improved, with one exception $\left(\Gamma_{5,4}\right)$, for all of the connected, simply connected, real nilpotent Lie groups whose Plancherel measures are explicitly known (to the author). This includes all the (non-commutative) examples of dimension $\leq 5$, denoted by $\Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}, \Gamma_{5,1}, \Gamma_{5,2}, \Gamma_{5,3}, \Gamma_{5,4}, \Gamma_{5,5}, \Gamma_{5,6}$ in [3, III], all Heisenberg groups $N_{k}, k \geqq 1$, which are of dimensions $2 k+1([\mathbf{1 1} ; \mathbf{1 6}])$ and the groups $G_{n}, n \geqq 3$ of real $n$ by $n$ triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal, which are of dimensions $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)([\mathbf{3}$, IV; $\mathbf{6} ; \mathbf{1 4}])$.

The improved inequality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}(G)\right\| \leq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|, \quad 1 \leq r \leq 2, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ is the defect of commutativity of $G$, and $q=n-2 p$ where $n$ is the dimension of $G$. This terminology is taken from [3, II].

Note that if $G$ is commutative then $p=0, n=q, G=\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and equality holds in (1.4) and (1.5). On the other hand, for $G$ non-commutative, $p+q \geqq l$ (see Table I) and evidence seems to indicate that equality does not hold even in (1.5) (cf. [17a, Proposition 9]).

The techniques of $[\mathbf{1 7}]$ for semi-direct products rely heavily on the compactness of the quotient and hence do not apply to a nilpotent group, which can always be written as a semi-direct product of a normal nilpotent group of smaller dimension whose quotient group is the real line $\mathbf{R}$. What works here for nilpotent groups and what is needed for other classes of groups is the explicit knowledge of the Plancherel measure for the group.

Except for Section 4, (Heisenberg groups) this paper depends heavily on the treatment of nilpotent groups given by Dixmier in [3, I-VI]. It seems possible that simplifications or improvements might be made using later treatments of the theory $([\mathbf{6} ; \mathbf{1 4} ; \mathbf{1 2}])$.

Future papers will deal with this problem for solvable groups (cf. $[\mathbf{1} ; \mathbf{1 5} ; \mathbf{5}]$ ) semi-simple groups (cf. [13; 19]) and non-unimodular groups (cf. [7]).
2. The three dimensional Heisenberg group. This group belongs to each of the classes of examples mentioned in Section 1, will be denoted by $\Gamma_{3}$ as in [3, III], and illustrates the method to be described in Section 3.

The underlying set of $\Gamma_{3}$ is $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ and the multiplication is given by $\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right)\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)=\left(\rho_{1}+\sigma_{2}, \rho_{2}+\sigma_{2}, \rho_{3}+\sigma_{3}-\rho_{2} \sigma_{3}\right)[\mathbf{3}$, III, p. 330]. According to [3, III, Proposition 3], for each $\lambda \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{R}$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $U_{\lambda}$ of $\Gamma_{3}$ on $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{\lambda}(\gamma) f\right)(\theta)=\exp i \lambda\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2} \theta\right) f\left(\theta+\rho_{1}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\gamma=\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) \in \Gamma_{3}, f \in L^{2}(\mathbf{R}), \theta \in \mathbf{R}$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma_{3}}|F(\gamma)|^{2} d \gamma=c_{3} \int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|U_{\lambda}(F)\right\|_{2}^{2}|\lambda| d \lambda \quad \text { for all } F \in L^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d \gamma$ denotes Lebesgue measure on $\mathbf{R}^{3}, U_{\lambda}(F)=\int_{\Gamma_{3}} F(\gamma) U_{\lambda}(\gamma) d \gamma$, and $c_{3}$ is a constant.
A routine calculation using (2.1) shows that $U_{\lambda}(F), F \in C_{c}{ }^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ is an integral operator on $L^{2}(\mathbf{R})$ with kernel $k_{\lambda}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{\lambda}\left(\rho_{1}, \theta\right)=\iint F\left(\rho_{1}-\theta, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right) \exp & \left(i \lambda \rho_{3}-i \lambda \rho_{2} \theta\right) d \rho_{2} d \rho_{3}  \tag{2.3}\\
& =2 \pi \cdot F\left(\rho_{1}-\theta, \cdot \cdot \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(\lambda \theta,-\lambda)
\end{align*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\left(U_{\lambda}(F) f\right)(\theta)=\int k_{\lambda}\left(\rho_{1}, \theta\right) f\left(\rho_{1}\right) d \rho_{1}, \quad \text { a.e. } \theta
$$

In order to determine the constant $c_{3}$ we first rewrite (2.2) for suitable $F$ in the form
(2.4) $\quad F(e)=c_{3} \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \operatorname{tr}\left(U_{\lambda}(F)\right)|\lambda| d \lambda, \quad e=$ identity element.

Next, since $\operatorname{tr}\left(U_{\lambda}(F)\right)=\int_{\mathbf{R}} k_{\lambda}(\theta, \theta) d \theta$, (2.3) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(e)=c_{3} \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} 2 \pi F(0, \cdot, \cdot)^{\wedge}(\lambda \theta,-\lambda) d \theta|\lambda| d \lambda \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting $F(\gamma)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\gamma\|^{2}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{1}{ }^{2}+\rho_{2}{ }^{2}+\rho_{3}{ }^{2}\right)\right)$ into (2.5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =c_{3} \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} 2 \pi F(0, \cdot, \cdot)^{\wedge}(\lambda, \theta) d \lambda d \theta \\
& =c_{3} \cdot 2 \pi \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda^{2}+\theta^{2}\right)\right) d \lambda d \theta=c_{3} \cdot 2 \pi \cdot(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $c_{3}=(2 \pi)^{-2}$.

By (1.2) and [17, Theorem 3] we obtain for $1<p \leqq 2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 \pi)^{2}\|\hat{F}\|_{p^{p^{p^{\prime}}}} & =\int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|U_{\lambda}(F)\right\|_{p^{p^{\prime}}}|\lambda| d \lambda \\
& \leqq \int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left(\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}| | k_{\lambda}^{*}| |_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}\right)^{1 / 2}|\lambda| d \lambda  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leqq\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}|\lambda| d \lambda\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0} \| k_{\lambda}^{*}| |_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}|\lambda| d \lambda\right\}^{1 / 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}=\int\left\{\int\left|k_{\lambda}\left(\rho_{1}, \theta\right)\right|^{p} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} \mid p} d \theta \\
&=\int\left\{\int\left|F\left(\rho_{1}-\theta, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(\lambda \theta,-\lambda)\right|^{p}(2 \pi)^{p} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p} d \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\lambda \neq 0}| | k_{\lambda}| |_{p, p^{p^{\prime}}}^{p^{\prime}}|\lambda| d \lambda \\
& \quad=(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}} \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left\{\int\left|F\left(\rho_{1}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(\lambda \theta,-\lambda)\right|^{p} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p} d \theta|\lambda| d \lambda  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad \leqq(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}}\left\{\int\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left|F\left(\rho_{1}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(\lambda \theta,-\lambda)\right|^{p^{\prime}}|\lambda| d \lambda d \theta\right\}^{p / p^{\prime}} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p}
\end{align*}
$$

(by Minkowski's integral inequality)

$$
=(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}}\left\{\int\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left|F\left(\rho_{1}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(\lambda, \theta)\right|^{p^{\prime}} d \lambda d \theta\right\}^{p / p^{\prime}} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p}
$$

(by change of variables as $|\lambda|=|\operatorname{Jacobian}(\lambda, \theta) \rightarrow(\lambda \theta, \lambda)|$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}+1}\left\{\int\left\|F\left(\rho_{1}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\right\|_{p^{\prime}} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p} \\
& \leqq(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}+1}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|^{p^{\prime}}\left\{\int\left\|F\left(\rho_{1}, \cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{p}^{p} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Hausdorff Young Theorem for $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(2 \pi)^{p^{\prime}+1}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|^{p^{\prime}}\left\{\int(2 \pi)^{-1} \int\left|F\left(\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}, \rho_{3}\right)\right|^{p} d \rho_{2} d \rho_{3} d \rho_{1}\right\}^{p^{\prime} / p} \\
& =\left.(2 \pi)^{2}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|\right|^{p^{\prime}}\|F\|_{p}^{p^{\prime}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By exactly the same argument

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|k_{\lambda}{ }^{*}\right\|_{p, p^{\prime}} p^{p^{\prime}}|\lambda| d \lambda \leqq(2 \pi)^{2}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|^{p^{\prime}}\|F\|_{p^{p^{\prime}}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) yields $\|\hat{F}\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|\|F\|_{p}$, and since $C_{c}{ }^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ is dense in $L^{p}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$ this proves:

Proposition 1. Let $\Gamma_{3}$ denote the unique (up to topological isomorphism) non-commutative connected simply connected real nilpotent Lie group of dimension 3. Then $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|$ for all $p, 1 \leqq p \leqq 2$. Hence $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{p}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)\right\|<1$ for all $p, 1<p<2$.
3. The method of integral operators. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected simply connected nilpotent real Lie group of dimension $n$. Write $n=2 p+q$ where $p$ is the defect of commutativity of $\Gamma$ and let $\mathscr{H}=L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p}\right)$. According to [3, II, Théorème 4] there is a (Zariski open) set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{q}$ and for each $\lambda=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right) \in \Omega$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $U_{\lambda}$ of $\Gamma$ on $\mathscr{H}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Gamma}|f(\gamma)|^{2} d \gamma=\int_{\Omega}\left\|U_{\lambda}(f)\right\|_{2}^{2}\left|F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{q}\right)\right| d \lambda_{1} \ldots d \lambda_{q} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}(\Gamma) \cap L^{2}(\Gamma)$, where $F$ is a real valued rational function with no singularities on $\Omega$. The underlying point set of $\Gamma$ is $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ and it is important for us that the Haar measure $d \gamma$ be chosen specifically. For simplicity, we take $d \gamma$ to be $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure (not normalized in any way). $C_{c}{ }^{\infty}(\Gamma)$ will denote the infinitely differentiable functions on $\Gamma$ with compact support.

For each $f \in C_{c}{ }^{\infty}(\Gamma), U_{\lambda}(f)$ is an integral operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p}\right)([\mathbf{1 4}, \mathrm{p} .108]$ or [3, $V$, Corollary 1]). Denote its kernel by $k_{\lambda}=k_{\lambda}(f): \mathbf{R}^{p} \times \mathbf{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Also write $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ as $f(\rho, \sigma, \mu), \rho, \sigma \in \mathbf{R}^{p}, \mu \in \mathbf{R}^{q}$.

Proposition 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected simply connected nilpotent real Lie group. With the notation of this section suppose that for all $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Gamma)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\lambda}(\rho, \theta)=(2 \pi)^{(p+q) / 2} f(\rho-\theta, \cdot, \cdot)^{\wedge}(T(\lambda, \theta)), \quad \rho, \theta \in \mathbf{R}^{p}, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{q} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: \mathbf{R}^{q+p} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{q+p}$ is a transformation with Jacobian $J_{T}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{T}(\lambda, \theta)\right|=(2 \pi)^{p+q}|F(\lambda)|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^{q}, \theta \in \mathbf{R}^{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}(\Gamma)\right\| \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|$ for all $r, 1 \leqq r \leqq 2$. Hence $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}(\Gamma)\right\|<1$ for all $r, 1<r<2$.

The proof is exactly the same as for Proposition 1. The only point to remember is that application of Babenko's theorem to $\mathbf{R}^{p+q}$ requires the proper normalization, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f(\rho, \cdot, \cdot \cdot)^{\wedge}\right\|_{r^{\prime}} \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|\|f(\rho, \cdot, \cdot)\|_{r} \text { means } \\
& {\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{p+q}}\left|f(\rho, \cdot, \cdot)^{\wedge}\right|^{r^{\prime}}(2 \pi)^{-(p+q) / 2} d \theta d \lambda\right]^{1 / r^{\prime}}} \\
& \\
& \quad \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|\left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{p+q}}|\mathrm{f}(\rho, \sigma, \tau)|^{\tau}(2 \pi)^{-(p+q) / 2} d \sigma d \tau\right]^{1 / r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 3. Let $\Gamma$ be a connected simply connected real nilpotent Lie group of dimension $\leqq 5$. Then $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}(\Gamma)\right\|<1$ for all $r, 1<r<2$. Precisely, $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}(\Gamma)\right\| \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|$ if $\Gamma \neq \Gamma_{5,4}$ and $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\Gamma_{5,4}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)\right\|$.

Proof. Up to a constant the Plancherel formula for each of these groups has been given in [3, III]. Table I summarizes the relevant information where we assume non-normalized $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure on each group.

| $\Gamma$ | $n$ | $p$ | $q$ | $\|F(\lambda)\| d \lambda$ | dimension of center |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | 3 | 1 | 1 | $C_{3}\|\lambda\| d \lambda$ | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | 4 | 1 | 2 | $C_{4} d \lambda d \mu$ | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{5,1}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | $C_{5,1} \lambda^{2} d \lambda$ | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{5,2}$ | 5 | 1 | 3 | $C_{5,2} d \lambda d \mu d \nu$ | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{5,3}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | $C_{5,3} \lambda^{2} d \lambda$ | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{5,4}$ | 5 | 1 | 3 | $C_{5,4} d \lambda d \mu d \nu$ | 2 |
| $\Gamma_{5,5}$ | 5 | 1 | 3 | $C_{5,5} \lambda^{-2} d \lambda d \mu d \nu$ | 3 |
| $\Gamma_{5,6}$ | 5 | 2 | 1 | $C_{5,6} \lambda^{2} d \lambda$ | 3 |

Table II

| $\Gamma$ | constant | $T(\lambda, \theta)$ | $\left\|J_{T}\right\|$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\Gamma_{3}$ | $(2 \pi)^{-2}$ | $(\lambda \theta,-\lambda)$ | $\|\lambda\|$ |
| $\Gamma_{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}(2 \pi)^{-3}$ | $\left(\frac{\mu}{2 \lambda}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \theta^{2}, \lambda \theta,-\lambda\right)$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5,1}$ | $(2 \pi)^{-3}$ | $\left(\lambda \theta_{1}, \lambda \theta_{2},-\lambda\right)$ | $\lambda^{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5,2}$ | $(2 \pi)^{-4}$ | $\left(-\frac{\mu \nu}{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}}+\lambda \theta,-\frac{\lambda \nu}{\lambda^{2}+\mu^{2}}+\mu \theta,-\lambda,-\mu\right)$ | 1 |
| $\Gamma_{5,3}$ | $(2 \pi)^{-3}$ | $\left(\lambda \theta_{2}, \lambda \theta_{1},-\lambda\right)$ | $\lambda^{2}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5,4}$ | $?$ | $?$ | $?$ |
| $\Gamma_{5,5}$ | $\frac{1}{6}(2 \pi)^{-4}$ | $\left(\frac{\nu}{3 \lambda^{2}}-\frac{\mu \theta}{2 \lambda}+\frac{\theta^{3} \lambda}{6}, \frac{\mu}{2 \lambda}-\frac{\lambda \theta^{2}}{2}, \lambda \theta,-\lambda\right)$ | $\left(6 \lambda^{2}\right)^{-1}$ |
| $\Gamma_{5,6}$ | $(2 \pi)^{-3}$ | $\left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \theta_{1}{ }^{2}+\lambda \theta_{2}, \lambda \theta_{1},-\lambda\right)$ | $\lambda^{2}$ |

Using the explicit formulas for the representations $U_{\lambda}$ given in [3, III] we determine as in Section 2 the constants $c_{3}, c_{4} \ldots c_{5,6}$ and the kernels $k_{\lambda}$ of the integral operators $U_{\lambda}(f), f \in C_{c}{ }^{\infty}(\Gamma)$. These kernels are shown to satisfy the properties (3.2) and (3.3) of Proposition 2. The details were carried out in Section 2 for $\Gamma_{3}$.

This procedure can be carried out for each of the groups in question except for $\Gamma_{5,4}$ which resists calculation. The straight forward calculations are omitted
and the results summarized in Table II. The validity of Proposition 3 for $\Gamma_{5,4}$ was shown in Section 1.
4. The Heisenberg groups. Let $N_{k}$ be the $2 k+1$ dimensional Heisenberg group, i.e. $N_{k}$ is the connected simply connected real nilpotent Lie group which can be characterized by the fact that its Lie algebra has a basis $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, z\right\}$ with $\left[x_{j}, y_{j}\right]=z, 1 \leqq j \leqq k$ and all other brackets are zero.

The Plancherel formula for $N_{k}$ is described as follows $([\mathbf{1 1} ; \mathbf{1 6}])$. In the notation of Section 3, $n=2 k+1, p=k, q=1$ and for each $\lambda \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{R}$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $U_{\lambda}$ of $N_{k}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{N_{k}}|f(\gamma)|^{2} d \gamma=c_{2 k+1} \int_{\lambda \neq 0}| | U_{\lambda}(f) \|_{2}^{2}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f \in L^{1}\left(N_{k}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(N_{k}\right)$. Again we take $d \gamma$ to be $(2 k+1)-$ dimensional Lebesgue measure and $U_{\lambda}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{\lambda}\left(\gamma_{0}\right) \varphi\right)(x)=\exp \left(i \lambda\left(u_{0}-\left\langle y_{0}, x\right\rangle+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle x_{0}, y_{0}\right\rangle\right)\right) \varphi\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma_{0}=\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, u_{0}\right), x, x_{0}, y_{0} \in \mathbf{R}^{k}, u_{0} \in \mathbf{R}, \varphi \in L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k}\right)$, and $\langle$,$\rangle is the$ usual inner product in $\mathbf{R}^{k}$.

The usual calculation using (4.2) shows that $U_{\lambda}(f)$ is an integral operator with kernel $k_{\lambda}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\lambda}\left(x^{\prime}, x\right)=(2 \pi)^{(k+1) / 2} f\left(x^{\prime}-x, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(x+x^{\prime}\right),-\lambda\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this differs in form from (3.2) in that the argument depends on $x, \lambda$ and $x^{\prime}$. Thus we cannot apply Proposition 2 directly. However, exactly as in Section 2 we determine that $c_{2 k+1}=(2 \pi)^{-k-1}$. Then arguing as in Section 2 starting with (2.6):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\lambda \neq 0}| | k_{\lambda} \|_{r, r^{\prime}} r^{r^{\prime}}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda=(2 \pi)^{r^{\prime}(k+1) / 2} \\
& \times \int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left\{\int\left|f\left(x^{\prime}-x, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(x+x^{\prime}\right),-\lambda\right)\right|^{r} d x^{\prime}\right\}^{r^{\prime / r}} d x|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda \\
& \leqq(2 \pi)^{r^{\prime}(k+1) / 2} \\
& \times\left\{\int\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left|f\left(-x^{\prime}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left(2 x-x^{\prime}\right),-\lambda\right)\right|^{r^{\prime}}|\lambda|^{k} d x d \lambda\right\}^{r^{\prime / r^{\prime}}} d x^{\prime}\right\}^{r^{\prime} / r} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{r^{\prime}(k+1) / 2}\left\{\int\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0} \int\left|f\left(-x^{\prime}, \cdot, \cdot \cdot\right)^{\wedge}(x, \lambda)\right|^{r^{\prime}} d x d \lambda\right\}^{r / r^{\prime}} d x^{\prime}\right\}^{r^{\prime} / \tau} \\
& \leqq(2 \pi)^{r^{\prime}(k+1) / 2}(2 \pi)^{(k+1) / 2}| | \mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right) \|^{r^{\prime}}\left\{\int\left\|f\left(-x^{\prime}, \cdot, \cdot\right)\right\|_{r^{\tau}} d x^{\prime}\right\}^{r^{\prime / r}} \\
& =(2 \pi)^{k+1}| | \mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right) \|^{r^{\prime}| | f \|_{r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}, \quad \text { and similarly }} \\
& \int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|k_{\lambda}^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda \leqq(2 \pi)^{k+1}| | \mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)\left\|_{r^{\prime}}\right\| f \|_{r^{r^{\prime}}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by (4.1), [17, Theorem 3], (4.4) and (4.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2 \pi)^{k+1}\|\hat{f}\|_{r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}=\int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|U_{\lambda}(f)\right\|_{r^{r^{\prime}}}^{r^{\prime}}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda \\
& \leqq \leqq \int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left(\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|_{r, r^{r^{\prime}}}\left\|k_{\lambda}{ }^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}\right)^{1 / 2}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda \\
& \leqq \\
& \left.\qquad \int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|k_{\lambda}\right\|_{r, r^{r^{\prime}}}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{\lambda \neq 0}\left\|k_{\lambda}^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{r^{\prime}}}|\lambda|^{k} d \lambda\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqq(2 \pi)^{k+1}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)\right\|\left\|^{r^{\prime}}\right\| f \|_{r}^{r^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves:
Proposition 4. Let $N_{k}$ be the $(2 k+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg nilpotent group. Then $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(N_{k}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{k+1}\right)\right\|$ for all $r, 1 \leqq r \leqq 2$. Hence $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(N_{k}\right)\right\|<1$ for all $r, 1<r<2$.
5. Nilpotent groups of triangular matrices. For $n \geqq 3$ let $G_{n}$ be the (connected simply connected nilpotent Lie) group of all $n$ real matrices $x=$ ( $\xi_{j k}$ ) such that $\xi_{j k}=0$ if $1 \leqq j<k \leqq n$, and $\xi_{j j}=1$ for $1 \leqq j \leqq n$. $M_{n}$ will denote the set of real $n$ by $n$ matrices, $\mathbf{R}^{j \times k}$ denotes the $j$ by $k$ real matrices, and $E_{n}$ will denote the set $\left\{\left(\xi_{j k}\right) \in M_{n}: \xi_{j k}=0\right.$ if $\left.j+k \neq n+1\right\}$.

The Plancherel formula for $G_{n}$ is described as follows [3, IV]. First $G_{n}$ is of dimension $\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$ and it is necessary to consider separately the cases of $n$ even or odd.

Suppose $n=2 m, m \geqq 2$. Then each $x \in G_{n}$ has the form

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
y & 0  \tag{5.1}\\
w & z
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $y, z \in G_{m}$ and $w \in M_{m}$. The set $\Omega$ can be taken to be

$$
\left\{e \in E_{m}: \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{3} \ldots \epsilon_{m} \neq 0\right\}
$$

where

$$
e=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& & & & \epsilon_{m}  \tag{5.2}\\
& 0 & & \cdot & \\
& & \cdot & \\
& \epsilon_{2} & & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

defines $\epsilon_{1}, \cdots, \epsilon_{m}$. For each $e \in \Omega$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $U_{e}$ of $G_{n}$ on $\mathscr{H}=L^{2}\left(G_{m} \times G_{m}\right)$ (Lesbesgue measure) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{e}(x) f\right)\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)=f\left(y^{\prime} y, z^{\prime} z\right) \exp \left(i \operatorname{tr}\left(e z^{\prime} w y^{-1} y^{\prime-1}\right)\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=\left[\begin{array}{ll}y & 0 \\ w & z\end{array}\right] \in G_{n}, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in G_{m}, f \in \mathscr{H}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{n}}|\Phi(x)|^{2} d x=(2 \pi)^{-m^{2}} \int_{\Omega}| | U_{e}(\Phi) \|_{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon_{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon_{3}{ }^{4} \ldots \epsilon_{m}{ }^{2(m-1)} d e \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\Phi \in L^{1}\left(G_{n}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G_{n}\right)$, where $\int_{\Omega} \ldots d e$ denotes $\int \ldots d \epsilon_{1} \ldots d \epsilon_{m}$.
This shows (in the notation of Section 3) that $p=m^{2}-m$, $q=m$ (so $p+q=m^{2}$ ) and $F(e)=(2 \pi)^{-m^{3}} \epsilon_{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon_{3}{ }^{4} \ldots \epsilon_{m}{ }^{2(m-1)} d \epsilon_{1} \ldots d \epsilon_{m}$. Also (5.3) implies that $U_{e}(\Phi)$ is an integral operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p}\right)$ (identified with $\mathscr{H}$ ) with kernel $k_{e}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{e}\left((y, z),\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right)\right)=(2 \pi)^{m^{2} / 2} \Phi\left(y^{\prime-1} y, z^{\prime-1} z, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(-y^{-1} e z^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A note of explanation might be in order here. The identification of $G_{m} \times G_{m}$ with $\mathbf{R}^{p}$ requires that the argument $-y^{-1} e z^{\prime}$ in (5.5) be interpreted as a vector in $\mathbf{R}^{m{ }^{2}}$ whose components are the entries of the matrix $-y^{-1} e z^{\prime}$.

Suppose now that $n=2 m+1, m \geqq 1$. Then each $x \in G_{n}$ has the form

$$
x=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
y & 0 & 0  \tag{5.6}\\
u & 1 & 0 \\
w & v & z
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $y, z \in G_{m}, w \in M_{m}, u \in \mathbf{R}^{1 \times m}, v \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times 1}$. The set $\Omega$ can be taken to be $\left\{e \in E_{m}: \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \ldots \epsilon_{m} \neq 0\right\}$ (see (5.2)). For each $e \in \Omega$ there is an irreducible unitary representation $U_{e}$ of $G_{n}$ on $\mathscr{H}=L^{2}\left(G_{m} \times G_{m+1}\right)$ (Lebesgue measure) given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{e}(x) f\right)\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=f\left(y^{\prime} y, z^{\prime} z, v^{\prime}+z^{\prime} v\right) \exp i \operatorname{tr}\left(e\left(v^{\prime} u+z^{\prime} w\right) y^{-1} y^{\prime-1}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$ for $x \in G_{n}$ (see (5.6)), $y^{\prime}, z^{\prime} \in G_{m}, v^{\prime} \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times 1}, f \in \mathscr{H}$; such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G_{n}}|\Phi(x)|^{2} d x=(2 \pi)^{-m^{2}-m} \int_{\Omega}| | U_{e}(\Phi)| |_{2}^{2}\left|\epsilon_{1 \epsilon_{2}}{ }^{3} \ldots \epsilon_{m}^{2 m-1}\right| d e \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\Phi \in L^{1}\left(G_{n}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(G_{n}\right)$, where $d e=d \epsilon_{1} \ldots d \epsilon_{m}$.
In this case (in the notation of Section 3) $p=m^{2}, q=m, p+q=m^{2}+m$, $F(e)=(2 \pi)^{-m^{2}-m} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}{ }^{3} \ldots \epsilon_{m}{ }^{2 m-1} d e$, and $U_{e}(\Phi)$ is an integral operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p}\right)$ (identified with $\mathscr{H}$ ) with kernel $k_{e}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{e}((y, z, v) & \left.\left(y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right)  \tag{5.9}\\
= & (2 \pi)^{\left(m^{2}+m\right) / 2} \Phi\left(y^{\prime-1} y, z^{\prime-1} z, v-v^{\prime}, \cdot, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(-y^{-1} e v^{\prime}-y^{-1} e z^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with the same interpretation as in (5.5).
Lemma. (i) The Jacobian $J_{T}$ of the transformation $T: \mathbf{R}^{m^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}$ determined by the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m} \times E_{m} \times G_{m} \ni\left(y^{\prime}, e, z^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow y^{\prime} e z^{\prime} \in M_{m} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{T}\right|=\epsilon_{2}{ }^{2} \epsilon_{3}{ }^{4} \ldots \epsilon_{m}{ }^{2(m-1)} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) The Jacobian $J_{S}$ of the transformation $S: \mathbf{R}^{m^{2}+m} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{m^{2}+m}$ determined by the correspondence

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{m} \times E_{m} \times G_{m} \times \mathbf{R}^{m \times 1} \ni\left(y^{\prime}, e, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(y^{\prime} e z^{\prime}, y^{\prime} e v^{\prime}\right) \in M_{m} \times \mathbf{R}^{m \times 1} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{S}\right|=\left|\epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2}^{3} \ldots \epsilon_{m}{ }^{2 m-1}\right| \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) is the content of [3, IV, Lemme 3] and (ii) follows from (i) since $J_{S}=J_{T} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(y^{\prime} e\right)$.

Proposition 5. Let $G_{n}$ be the group of all real $n$ by $n$ lower triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. Then $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(G_{n}\right)\right\| \leq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{p+q}\right)\right\|$ for all $r, 1 \leqq$ $r \leqq 2$. Hence $\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(G_{n}\right)\right\|<1$ for all $r, 1<r<2$ ( $p$ is the defect of commutativity of $G_{n}$ and $2 p+q=$ the dimension of $G_{n}$ ).

Proof. If $n$ is even, $n=2 m$ and $\Phi$ is conti nuous on $G_{n}$ with compact support, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \pi)^{m^{2}}\|\hat{\Phi}\|_{r^{r^{\prime}}}^{r^{\prime}}=\int_{E_{m}}\left\|U_{e}(\Phi)\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} F(e) d e \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(by (5.4))

$$
\leqq \int_{E_{m}}\left(\left\|k_{e}\right\|_{r, r^{r^{\prime}}}\left\|k_{e}{ }^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{r^{\prime}}}\right)^{1 / 2} F(e) d e
$$

(by [17, Theorem 3])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \leqq\left\{\int_{E_{m}}\left\|k_{e}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} F(e) d e\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\int_{E_{m}}\left\|k_{e}^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} F(e) d e\right\}^{1 / 2} . \\
& \int_{E_{m}}\left\|k_{e}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} F(e) d e=(2 \pi)^{m^{2} r^{\prime} / 2} \int_{E_{m}} \iint  \tag{5.15}\\
& \quad \times\left[\iint\left|\Phi\left(y^{\prime-1} y, z^{\prime-1} z, \cdot\right)^{\wedge}\left(-y^{-1} e z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r} d y d z\right]^{r^{\prime} / \tau} d y^{\prime} d z^{\prime} F(e) d e
\end{align*}
$$

(by (5.5))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqq(2 \pi)^{m^{2} r^{\prime} / 2}\left[\iint\right. \\
& \left.\times\left[\int_{E_{m}} \iint\left|\Phi(y, z, \cdot)^{\wedge}\left(-y^{-1} y^{\prime-1} e z^{\prime}\right)\right|^{r^{\prime}} d y^{\prime} d z^{\prime} F(e) d e\right]^{\tau / r^{\prime}} d y d z\right]^{r^{\prime \prime r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Minkowski's integral inequality and translations)

$$
\leqq(2 \pi)^{m^{2}\left(r^{\prime}+1\right) / 2}\left\|F_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}\right)\right\|^{r^{\prime}}\left[\iint\|\Phi(y, z, \cdot)\|_{r}^{r} d y d z\right]^{r^{\prime} / r}
$$

(by (5.11) and Hausdorff Young for $\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}$ )

$$
=(2 \pi)^{m^{2}\left(r^{\prime}+1-r^{\prime} / r\right) / 2}\left\|F_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}\right)\right\| \Phi\left\|_{r}^{r^{\prime}}=(2 \pi)^{m^{2}}\right\| \mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}\right)\left\|^{r^{\prime}}\right\| \Phi \|_{r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\int_{E_{m}}\left\|k_{e}^{*}\right\|_{r, r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} F(e) d e \leqq(2 \pi)^{m^{2}}\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}\right)\right\|\left\|^{r^{\prime}}\right\| \Phi \|_{r^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}}
$$

so by (5.14) $\|\hat{\Phi}\|_{r^{\prime}} \leqq\left\|\mathscr{F}_{r}\left(\mathbf{R}^{m^{2}}\right)\right\|\|\Phi\|_{r}$.
The proof for odd $n$ uses (5.8), (5.9) and (5.13) is exactly the same way that (5.4), (5.5) and (5.11) were used above. We omit the details.
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