UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Phrasal Construction Tonology

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/11q0t7g5

Journal

Studies in Language, 38(4)

ISSN

0378-4177

Authors

Harry, Otelemate G Hyman, Larry M

Publication Date

2014-12-18

DOI

10.1075/sl.38.4.01hym

Peer reviewed

John Benjamins Publishing Company



This is a contribution from *Studies in Language 38:4* © 2014. John Benjamins Publishing Company

This electronic file may not be altered in any way.

The author(s) of this article is/are permitted to use this PDF file to generate printed copies to be used by way of offprints, for their personal use only.

Permission is granted by the publishers to post this file on a closed server which is accessible only to members (students and faculty) of the author's/s' institute. It is not permitted to post this PDF on the internet, or to share it on sites such as Mendeley, ResearchGate, Academia.edu. Please see our rights policy on https://benjamins.com/#authors/rightspolicy
For any other use of this material prior written permission should be obtained from the publishers or through the Copyright Clearance Center (for USA: www.copyright.com).
Please contact rights@benjamins.nl or consult our website: www.benjamins.com

Phrasal Construction Tonology

The case of Kalabari*

Otelemate Harry and Larry M. Hyman
University of the West Indies, Mona / University of California, Berkeley

Although it is common for "replacive" tonal patterns to be assigned by word-level morphological constructions, it is far less common for such overriding schemas to be assigned by specific phrase-level syntactic constructions. Kalabari, an Ijo language of Nigeria, does exactly this: Whenever the noun is preceded by a modifier, it loses its tones and receives different "melodies" depending on the constructional word class of the preceding specifier/modifier, either /HL/, /HLH/, or /L/. In this paper, we first document the assignment of these different syntactic melodies and then provide evidence for how they developed diachronically. We then present a brief survey of other linguistic phenomena which partially resemble the Kalabari system, but conclude that tone is the only phrasal phonological property that can be assigned by construction from word to word.

Keywords: tone, constructions, phrasal phonology

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to present an analysis of an unusual situation whereby phrasal tones are assigned by construction in Kalabari [kálábàrì], an Ijo language spoken in Nigeria (Harry 2004).¹ As seen in Table 1, Kalabari distinguishes H(igh) vs. L(ow) lexical tones which exhibit the following patterns on mono-, bi-, and trisyllabic words:²

In addition to H and L, $^{\downarrow}$ represents a "downstepped" H tone, which is lower in pitch than the preceding H, but higher than the pitch of a L tone, hence $6\dot{a}^{\downarrow}r\dot{a}$ [-] 'hand' vs. $b\dot{e}l\dot{e}$ [-] 'light'. In what follows, we first present an unusual set of facts concerning the realization of these tones in different constructions and then present an account of how this situation arose diachronically. We then consider phenomena in other languages which are in part reminiscent, but not identical to the Kalabari system. We conclude that the assignment of phonological features by

mor	osylla	bic	bisyllab	oic		trisyllabic	trisyllabic		
L	sò	'go'	L-L	mènì	'flesh'	L–L-L	kpìkpìrì	'blunt'	
Н	só	'sky'	L-H	dàwś	'learn'	L-L-H	èrìsé	'storm'	
			Н-Н	kúró	'fall'	L-H-L	wònkírè	'now'	
			H-L	bélè	'light'	L-H-↓H	gìló [↓] lí	'lure'	
			H- [↓] H	6á [↓] rá	'hand'	Н-Н-Н	ákpákpá	'shell'	
						H-H- [↓] H	sálá [↓] má	'separate'	
						H-H-L	énémè	ʻoil palm'	
						H-L-H	wásàrá	'overflow'	

Table 1. Tone patterns in Kalabari

construction is a unique property of tone, and thus of considerable typological significance both for phonology and for how syntactic constructions can be marked.

While the examples in Table 1 illustrate lexical tones, we note that it is common for tone to be assigned instead by WORD-LEVEL morphological constructions. For example, as seen in (1), when Kalabari verbs are detransitivized, a /LH/ "melody" replaces their lexical tones:

(1)		transitive			intransitiv	re	
	a.	kán	Н	'tear,	kàán	LH	'tear, be
				demolish'			demolished'
		kòn	L	ʻjudge'	kòón	LH	'be judged'
	b.	ányá	H-H	'spread'	ànyá	L-H	'be spread'
		ɗimà	L-L	'change'	dîmá	L-H	'change'
		sá [↓] kí	H- [↓] H	'begin'	sàkí	L-H	'begin'
	c.	kíkímà	H-H-L	'hide, cover'	kìkìmá	L-L-H	'be hidden,
							covered'
		pákìrí	H-L-H	'answer'	pàkìrí	L-L-H	'be answered'
		gbóló [↓] má	H-H- [↓] H	'join, mix up'	gbòlòmá	L-L-H	'be joined,
							mixed up'

As the above examples illustrate, transitive verbs contrast a number of tone patterns which, when detransitivized, neutralize to monosyllabic LH, bisyllabic L-H, or trisyllabic L-L-H. The above is a classic case of what Welmers (1973:132-3) termed "replacive tone": A morphological process assigns a tone pattern which overrides the input lexical tones of the base. One can also view the /LH/ intransitive melody as templatic, i.e. not unlike inflectional or derivational features assigning different CV structures, as in Arabic (McCarthy 1981).

Far less common, however, is for such overriding schemas to be assigned by specific PHRASE-LEVEL syntactic constructions. Kalabari does exactly this: Within the noun phrase, the noun appears finally, potentially followed only by plural and definite markers or one of a few other isolated modifiers (see §4). Whenever the noun (N) is non-initial, it loses its tones and receives one of four different tonal "melodies" depending on the constructional word class of the preceding specifier/modifier. Thus compare the different realizations of the underlying /H-H/ tone pattern of /námá/ 'meat':

(2)		construction	phrasal tones	example	
	a.	N + N	HL	từ bờ námà	'the child's meat'
				child meat	
	b.	PossPro + N	$HLH (\rightarrow H-^{\downarrow}H)$	ìnà ná [↓] má	'their meat'
				3pl meat	
	c.	Determiner + N	LH	tò nàmá	'which meat?'
				which meat	
	d.	Quantifier + N	L	jà nàmà	'some meat'
				some meat	

As seen in (2a), a nominal possessor assigns a /HL/ melody to the possessed noun, while a pronominal possessor assigns a /HLH/ melody, realized as $H^{\downarrow}H$ (H followed by downstepped H) on the last two syllables in (2b). In (2c) a /LH/ melody is assigned by determiners such as the interrogative $t\dot{\sigma}$ which, while (2d) shows that a /L/ melody will be assigned by quantifiers (including numerals). The following shows that the five tonal patterns found on bisyllabic nouns neutralize and receive the indicated construction-specific tones ('garri' is a West African food made with cassava):

(3)						'the child's'	'their'	'which'	'some'
						(HL)	(HLH)	(LH)	(L)
	a.	námá	'meat'	Н-Н	\rightarrow	từ63 námà	ìnà ná [↓] má	tò nàmá	jà nàmà
	b.	pùlò	ʻoil'	L-L	\rightarrow	từ 63 púlò	ìnà pú [↓] ló	tò pùló	jà pùlò
	c.	bélè	ʻlight'	H-L	\rightarrow	từ 63 bélè	ìnà bé [↓] lé	tò bèlé	jà bèlè
	d.	gàrí	'garri'	L-H	\rightarrow	từ 65 gárì	ìnà gá [↓] rí	tò gàrí	jà gàrì
	e.	6á [↓] rá	'hand'	H- [↓] H	\rightarrow	từ 65 bárà	ìnà 6á [↓] rá	tò bàrá	jà 6àrà

Such data raise two types of questions. First, how should the constructional tones be analyzed synchronically? That is, how are they assigned, and how do they potentially interact with each other? Second, why does Kalabari have constructional tones? Specifically, how are they related to what is found in other languages, and where do they come from diachronically? In the following sections we will first

document the different constructional tones in further detail (§2), then consider how the different melodies interact (§3). This is followed by discussion of the few post-nominal modifiers (§4) and tone reduction in the verb phrase, including relative clauses (§5). After presenting a diachronic analysis which accounts for how the system arose (§6) we examine potentially related phenomena in other languages (§7) but conclude that tone is the only phonological property that can be assigned from word to word (§8).

The tonal melodies

As mentioned, there are four phrasal tonal melodies in all: /HL/, /HLH/, /LH/, and /L/. As we will see, these are found in well-defined constructions. In this section we follow Harry's (2004) two-step analysis involving tone deletion (TD) + assignment of a tonal melody.³ After illustrating how each of these affects a simple noun head in this section, we consider cases involving more complex noun phrase structures in §3.

2.1 Tone deletion + /HL/ melody: $N_1 + N_2$

In Kalabari, nominal possession consists of simple juxtaposition of the possessor before the possessed. The resulting sequence, which we indicate as N₁ + N₂, is superficially non-distinct from noun compounding.⁴ As was seen in (3), the possessor noun (N_1) keeps its lexical tones, while the second, possessed noun (N_2) receives a HL melody. The examples in (5) show that the same tone melody assignment applies independent of the tonal shape of N₁:⁵

The trisyllabic N₂ nouns in (6) show that the /HL/ links to last two syllables, suggesting for the moment that the melody targets the right edge of the second noun:

```
'sheath' L-L-L
                                      → tờôờ lùbúlù
(6)
         lùbùlù
                                                           'the child's sheath'
   a.
         6úrúmá 'indigo' H-H-H →
                                           từ bà bùrúmà 'the child's indigo'
         kúkàlí
                    'fruit'
                                           từ 63 kừ kálì
                                                           'the child's fruit'
                             H-L-H
                                       \rightarrow
         lùbùlù
                    'sheath'
                             L-L-L
                                           féní lúbúlù
                                                           'the bird's sheath'
     b
         búrúmá
                   'indigo' H-H-H → féní b<u>ú</u>rúmà
                                                           'the bird's oil'
         kúkàlí
                    'fruit'
                             H-L-H
                                      → féní k<u>ó</u>kálì
                                                           'the bird's light'
```

As will be confirmed by numerous further examples below, any syllable that does not receive a H or L tone from a constructional melody receives its output tone from what precedes it. Thus, when the $\rm N_1$ ends L in (6a), the underlined first syllable of the $\rm N_2$ nouns is realized L (either by spreading or as a default), while in (6b) the first syllable of $\rm N_2$ is realized H when the $\rm N_1$ ends H. In this case the H of /féní/'bird' has spread onto these syllables. Such H tone spreading is quite frequent and will be seen in many of the examples to follow.

Since nominal possession is recursive, the question naturally arises as to what happens in a $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ construction, as in the representative forms in (7).

As seen, the H of the HL melody goes on the last syllable N_2 , while the L goes on the first syllable of N_3 . Unmarked syllables receive their tone as in earlier examples: The tone of the first syllable of N_2 is L in (7a) vs. H in (7b), the latter resulting from H tone spreading from *féní*. It is not possible to tell if the unmarked final syllable of each N_3 is L by tone spreading or by default. The generalization which we will be able to draw from further examples is that a melodic H targets a word-final syllable, while a melodic L targets a word-initial syllable. This yields the assignments shown on N_2 and N_3 in (7).

2.2 Tone deletion + /HLH/ melody: PossPro + N

As was seen in (3), possessive pronouns assign a HLH tonal melody to the following noun. In the first two output columns in (8) we see that /HLH/ will map as H^{-1} H on a bisyllabic noun, whether the possessor ends H or L.⁶

(9)	ì nàmá [↓] á	'my meat'	wánà ná [↓] má	'our meat'
	í ná [↓] má	'your meat'	ómìnà ná [↓] má	'your (pl.) meat'
	ò nàmá [↓] á	'his meat'	ìnà ná [↓] má	'their meat'
	á ná [↓] má	'her meat'		
	ànì ná [↓] má	'its meat'		

In general, the HLH melody otherwise has mapping properties similar to HL. Thus, the HLH is right-aligned on trisyllabic nouns as seen in (10), the first syllable taking the same tone as the end tone of the preceding pronoun:

(10)						ʻyour (sg.)'	'their'	'my'
	a.	lùbùlù	'sheath'	L-L-L	\rightarrow	í lúbú [↓] lú	ìnà lùbú [↓] lú	i lubú [↓] lú
	b.	6úrúmá	'indigo'	Н-Н-Н	\rightarrow	í 6úrú [↓] má	ìnà 6ùrú [↓] má	i ɓurú [↓] má
	c.	kókàlí	'fruit'	H-L-H	\rightarrow	í kóká [↓] lí	ìnà kòká [↓] lí	ì kòká [↓] lí

Also as in the case of HL, the tones of the HLH melody separate over a $N_1 + N_2$ sequence. As seen in (11), the first H goes on the last syllable of the N_1 , the L on the first syllable of N_2 , and the second H on the last syllable of the N_2 :

$$(11) \ a. \ i + féní + námá \rightarrow i fèní nàmá 'my bird's meat' \\ L H-H H-H H L H \\ 1sg bird animal \\ b. \ i + mìnjì + kúkú \rightarrow i mínji kùkú 'your sg. water pot' \\ H L-L H-H H L H \\ 2sg bird animal$$

The same mapping is seen even more clearly when there is an N₃:

(12) a. ì + féní + mìnjì + kúkú
$$\rightarrow$$
 ì fèní mìnjì kùkú 'my bird's L H-H L-L H-H H L H water pot' lsg bird water pot b. í + tùb's + sírì + námá \rightarrow í túb's sìrì nàmá 'your H L-L H-L H-H H L H child's 2sg child leopard meat leopard meat'

These forms make it even clearer that the tones have a preference for mapping by word: The first H goes on the last syllable of N_1 , the L goes on the first syllable of N_2 , and the second H goes on the last syllable of N_3 . Otherwise, it would have been possible for the second H to map onto the last syllable of N_2 , incorrectly yielding *i fèní mìnjí kùkù. That the melodic tones are distributed by word is further evidence that we are dealing with a constructional phenomenon, not a purely phonological one (see further below).

2.3 Tone deletion + /LH/ melody: Determiner + N

In addition to the interrogative $t \ 2$ 'which' in (3), prenominal demonstratives, which distinguish sex-based gender in the singular, assign a LH melody to the following noun:⁸

The following shows that all bisyllabic nouns neutralize as L-H after demonstratives:

More complex structures show that the LH melody maps as a sequence of Ls followed by a single H tone:

This observation will be important for our diachronic account in §6.

2.4 Tone deletion + /L/ melody: Quantifiers/numerals + N

In addition to jà 'some', illustrated in (3), the quantifiers tòwá 'many', ìndé 'how many', and most numerals assign an all L melody to the following noun:⁹

(16)	a.	námá	'meat'	Н-Н	\rightarrow	sóná	'five animals'	tỳwá	'many animals'
						nàma		nàmà	
	b.	pùlò	'oil'	L-L	\rightarrow	sóná	'five oils'	tỳwá	'many oils'
						pùlò		pùlò	
	c.	bélè	ʻlight'	H-L	\rightarrow	sóná	'five lights'	tỳwá	'many lights'
						bèlè		bèlè	
	d.	gàrí	ʻgarri'	L-H	\rightarrow	sóná	'five garris'	tỳwá	'many garris'
						gàrì		gàrì	
	e.	6á⁴rá	'hand'	H- [↓] H	\rightarrow	sóná	'five hands'	tỳwá	'many hands'
						6àrà		6àrà	

Longer nouns show the same patterns. Thus, $/k\dot{0}k\dot{a}l\dot{i}/\dot{b}ruit \rightarrow s\dot{0}n\dot{a}k\dot{0}k\dot{a}l\dot{i}$ 'five fruits', tòwá kòkàlì 'many fruits'. However, as seen in the fuller table in (17), the numerals '1'-'3' are irregular in different ways:

(17)			féní 'bird'	sànì 'pepper'	bélè 'light'	gàrí 'garri'	wá [↓] rí 'house'
	'1'	gbśrú	fénì	sánì	bélè	gárì	wárì
	'2'	mà	fènì, féní	sànì	bélè (*bèlè)	gàrì, gàrí	wàrì, wá [↓] rí
	'3'	tírá	fénì, féní	sánì, sànì	bélè	gárì, gàrí	wá [↓] rí, wárì
	' 4'	íníá	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	' 5'	sóná	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	' 6'	sóníá	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	'7'	sónómá	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	'8'	níná	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	'9'	éséníá	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì
	'10'	óyíá	fènì	sànì	bèlè	gàrì	wàrì

That gbórú 'one' assigns HL, e.g. gbórú fénì 'one bird', suggests that it is a noun. 10 The numeral mà '2' either reduces all but /H-L/ nouns to all L or allows the base tones of the noun to be realized. The numeral tírá '3' either assigns HL to the noun or allows the latter to be realized with its base tone. The remaining numerals assign an all L tonal melody to the following noun.

2.5 Tone deletion + no melody assigned

In addition to the above melodies, a further tone pattern concerns four toneless kinship terms which are irregular after a possessive pronoun (Harry 2004:56). Rather than receiving the /HLH/ melody expected after a possessive, the tone of the possessive pronoun spreads onto them:11

```
(18) a.
                'father'
                            L
                                  → ì ɗà
                                              'my father'
                                                             í ɗá
                                                                      'your father'
         jìngì 'mother'
                            L-L \rightarrow i yingi 'my mother'
                                                             í yíngí 'your mother'
                'husband' L
                                  \rightarrow ì dì
                                              'my husband' í dí
                                                                      'your husband'
      d.
                 'wife'
                            L
                                  → ì tà
                                              'my wife'
                                                             í tá
          tà
                                                                      'your wife'
```

As in the preceding cases we assume that tonal deletion first applies. The difference here is that no tonal melody is assigned, thus making this construction distinct from the quantifier construction, which assigns a /L/ melody (§2.4). We will see in §5 below that a similar tone deletion + spreading pattern occurs between an object and a verb.

2.6 No tone deletion + variability

The last construction to be considered in this section concerns adjectives, which are very few in Kalabari as most lexemes that express property concepts are verbal.

As seen in (19), nouns generally occur in their base tones after the adjectives ὀρὰ 'big' and kálá 'small':

(19)				féní 'bird'	finì 'fire'	sírì 'leopard'	èkpé 'he-goat'	wá⁴rí 'house'
	'big'	òpù	+	fènì, féní	fìnì	sírì	èkpé	wá [↓] rí
	'small'	kálá	+	[↓] féní	fìnì	[↓] sírì	èkpé	[↓] wá [↓] rí

The one exception is that an all H noun tends to lower to all L after òpù (cf. búrúmá → ὀρὰ βὰrὰmà ~ ὀρὰ βάrάmá 'big indigo'). Failure to lower is less preferred, indicating emphasis on the noun. Since the adjective kálá 'small' imposes a downstep before H-initial nouns, we assume that it ends in a floating L, i.e. /kálá`/.

There is reason to believe that *òpù* and *kálá* are the only adjectives in Kalabari, as all other lexemes expressing qualities can be fully conjugated. Thus observe that the four major conjugational categories of the sentences on the left are also distinguished in the corresponding noun phrases on the right:

(20)	FACT	námá sìí [↓] ṁ	'the animal became	sì námà ¹²	'bad animal'
			bad, is bad'		
	PROG	námá sì árì	'the animal is	sì árì nàmà	ʻanimal
			becoming bad'		becoming bad'
	FUT	námá sìí bà	'the animal will	sìí 6à nàmà	'future bad
			become bad'		animal'
	PERF	námá sì tέ [↓] έ	'the animal has	sì tè námà	'completely bad
		animal bad TAM	become bad'		animal'

The forms to the right are nominalizations identical to subject relative clauses ('animal which is bad' etc.), which we will further discuss in §5. For now, note that the modified noun has all L tone in the progressive and future vs. a HL tone pattern in the factative and perfect. We attribute this to a H tonal morpheme in the case of the factative, and a floating H which follows the perfect marker $t \ge (cf. (51))$ and subsequent examples for comparable facts in other relative clauses). After the H tone adjectival verb *iw5* 'new', the only difference is that the perfect marker $t\dot{\epsilon}$ now has a H tone:

(21)	FACT	námá íwó [↓] ḿ	'the house became	íwó wárì	'new house'
			new, is new'		
	PROG	námá íwó árì	'the house is	íwó árì wárì	'house
			becoming new'		becoming new'
	FUT	námá íwó bà	'the house will	íwó 6à wárì	'future new
			become new'		house'
	PERF	námá íwó tέ [↓] έ	'the house has	íwó té wárì	'completely new
		animal new TAM	become new'		house'

We conclude that true adjectives tend not to affect the base tones (other than lowering an all H noun to all L), while verbal adjectives assign a tonal pattern according to their last tone: after L, the noun will be all L; after H it will receive a HL melody.13

Tone melodies in complex noun phrases

Having established the four tonal melodies in §2, each of which is assigned by a modifier to the following noun, the question we now ask is what happens if there is more than one such modifier. Table 2 summarizes the tone patterns we have seen thus far (recall that both féní 'bird' and námá 'meat' are H-H):

Construction	Tonal melodies	Example	
Noun + Noun	HL	từ 65 fèní nàmà H L	'the child's bird's meat'
PossPro + N	HLH	ì fèní nàmá H L H	'my bird's meat'
Determiner + N	LH	mí fènì nàmá L H	'this bird's meat'
Quantifier + N	L	sóná fènì nàmà L	'five birds' meat'

Table 2. The four tonal melodies in Kalabari

We already saw in (7), (11), and (12) that the respective HL or HLH melody is mapped over however many nouns may be in a genitive relationship. But what if the melodies conflict?14

For the purpose of addressing this question the word order possibilities of the elements we have seen thus far within the Kalabari noun phrase are schematized in (21).

(22) Dem / Poss + { Num₁ + Adj₁ } + Noun₁ + { Num₂ + Adj₂ } + Noun₂ + Def LH
$$\rightarrow$$
 HLH \rightarrow L \rightarrow HL \rightarrow L \rightarrow

As seen, demonstratives and possessive pronouns are mutually exclusive (indicated by the slash) and precede both numerals and adjectives. The latter two preferably occur in this order, although Adj + Num is also sometimes possible (as indicated by the braces). As also indicated, a Noun, can (only) be modified by a numeral and/or adjective. Thus, for descriptive purposes, one way to capture the recursive [(Num) (Adj) Noun] constituent is to recognize a determiner phrase (DP) and the following phrase structure rules in (23).

```
(where D = the definite marker and Pl = the
(23) a. DP \rightarrow Spec NP D
      b. NP → Num Adj N Pl (NP) plural marker àmέὲ or mámgbà 'all'; see §4)
      c. Spec \rightarrow Det / Poss
                                        (where Det = a demonstrative or j\lambda 'which')
```

Recall that adjectives are the only modifiers that can have no tonal effect on a following noun. It is thus interesting to observe that they occur closest to the modified noun. With this established, we can now ask the following two questions: (i) What happens if multiple modifiers precede the head noun? (ii) What happens if each noun is modified in an $N_1 + N_2$ construction? (The definite marker and other postnominal modifiers are treated in §4.)

The short answer is that the first word can usually assign its tone melody to what follows, but in some cases there are other possibilities. Consider first structures where there is a specifier plus a numeral or adjective. In (24) we observe that if the specifier is a demonstrative, the only acceptable resolution is for its LH melody to be assigned to the entirety of what follows:

(24) a.
$$\operatorname{Dem} + \operatorname{Num} + \operatorname{N} \operatorname{mil}^{\downarrow} \operatorname{n\acute{a}} + \operatorname{s\acute{o}n\acute{a}} + \operatorname{f\acute{e}n\acute{i}} \to \operatorname{mil}^{\downarrow} \operatorname{n\acute{a}} \operatorname{s\acute{o}n\grave{a}} \operatorname{f\acute{e}n\acute{i}}$$
 'these five $\operatorname{HLH} + \operatorname{H-H} + \operatorname{H-H} + \operatorname{L} + \operatorname{H} \operatorname{animals'}$ b. $\operatorname{Dem} + \operatorname{AdJ} + \operatorname{N} \operatorname{m\acute{i}} + \operatorname{\grave{o}p\grave{u}} + \operatorname{s\acute{i}r\grave{i}} \to \operatorname{m\acute{i}}$ $\operatorname{\grave{o}p\grave{u}} \operatorname{s\grave{i}r\acute{i}}$ 'this big $\operatorname{H} + \operatorname{L-L} + \operatorname{H-L} + \operatorname{L} + \operatorname{Heopard'}$ this big leopard

On the other hand, if the specifier is a possessive pronoun, either its HLH or a HL melody may be used:15

(25) a.
$$Poss + Num + N$$
: $i + sóná + féní \rightarrow i$ sòná fèní 'my five animals'
$$L \quad H-H \quad H-H \quad H \quad L \quad H$$

$$\sim i \quad sóná fèni \quad H \quad L$$
 b. $Poss + AdJ + N$: $iyè + ôpù + sírì \rightarrow iyè ôpú sìrí 'my big leopard'
$$L-L \quad L-L \quad H-L \quad H \quad LH$$

$$\sim iyè ôpú sìrì \quad H \quad L$$$

If the first word is a numeral and the second an adjective, either the numeral L is assigned or a HL melody is again possible:

As in (25b), (26) shows that although adjectives do not assign a tone melody to a following single head noun, they can themselves be targeted by a melody from the left. When followed by an $N_1 + N_2$, an adjective can either allow the N_1 to be realized with its base tones, as expected, or optionally allow a HL melody to be assigned to a $N_1 + N_2$ sequence:

There also is variation in $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ constructions, this time involving how the /HL/ melody assigned by N_1 is mapped onto what follows:

(28) a.
$$e^{\downarrow}ne$$
 + mìnjì + fo $^{\downarrow}ro$ \rightarrow $e^{\downarrow}ne$ mínjí fòrò 'rainwater smell' HLH L-L HLH HLH L-L HLH H L [[N₁-N₂]-N₃] rain water smell b. \sim $e^{\downarrow}ne$ mínjì fòrò H L c. $tò6\flat$ + $abajì$ + $nama$ \rightarrow $tò6\flat$ $abaji$ $nama$ 'child's ocean animal' L-L L-L-L H-H H L [N₁-[N₂-N₃]] child ocean animal d. \sim $tò6\flat$ $abajì$ $nama$ HL

In (28a,c) the HL melody assigned by the N_1 is mapped over N_2 and N_3 , as expected. However, (28b,d) show that it is also possible to assign the HL to the N_2 . As seen in the choice of examples, this occurs independently of whether the tripartite noun phrase is left- vs. right-branching and does not seem to have to do with different emphases or the distinction between possession and compounding.

While the above gives an idea of the range of variation found in relatively simple noun phrases, more possibilities arise with more complex structures. As an example, note the three possibilities in (29) where the compound 'water pot' is preceded by three modifiers:

As seen in all three variants, the demonstrative $m\acute{a}$ 'these' requires an immediate drop to L after it. The expected output is in (29a), where the L and H of the demonstrative LH melody are mapped to the first and last syllables of the entire string. In (29b) we see that the final H is unexpectedly missing, as it is in (28c), where a HL melody is assigned to the last two words. While further investigation of every potential phrase structure is needed, about all we can say is that after one exceeds a certain complexity, the two possibilities in (29b,c) become available: either failure of the final H of the LH melody to be realized or assignment of a default HL somewhere in the string (recall the second variants in (25) where the final H of the possessive HLH melody also failed to surface).

While the above concerns multiple modifiers on a single head noun, it is also possible that the two (or more) nouns of an $N_1 + N_2$ structure each have their own modifier(s). In this case the unexpected variants similar to those seen above are again observed. Thus, in (30), the final H of the demonstrative LH melody is not realized:

Similarly, the examples in (31) show that the final H of the possessive HLH melody is also not realized in comparable structures:

(31) a. ì + sóná + námá + kálá + wá
$$^{\downarrow}$$
rí \rightarrow
L H-H H-H H-H H-H house
ì sòná nàmà kàlà wàrì 'my five animals' small
H L house'

b. ì + kálá + námá + sóná + wá $^{\downarrow}$ rí \rightarrow
L H-H H-H H-H HLH
1sg small animal five house
ì + kàlá + nàmà + sònà + wàrì 'my small animal's five
H L houses'

It would appear that the recursive NP structure from (23b) somehow blocks the final H of both LH and HLH melodies, thereby suggesting that these final Hs may be related. In §6 we suggest that the final H of the possessive HLH melody has the same determiner origin as the final H of the demonstrative LH melody. ¹⁶

Postnominal modifiers

We have seen in the preceding sections that almost all modifiers precede the noun in Kalabari. Notable exceptions are the plural marker àméè, a few quantifiers such as mámgbà 'all', and the singular and plural definite markers which distinguish gender in the singular, as illustrated in (32).¹⁷

```
(32) námá bé 'the animal' (m.)
                                  námá má 'the animals'
     námá má 'the animal' (f.)
     námá mέ 'the animal' (n.)
```

As seen in (33), neither an initial noun nor the following modifier undergoes tonal reduction:

```
'definite'
                                                  'plural'
                                                                   'all'
(33)
                                           → námá áméè námá mámgbà námá mé
              námá 'meat' H-H
                                                                   pùlò mámgbà
        b.
              pùlò
                        'oil'
                                   L-L
                                            → pùlò àméè
                                                                                          pùlò mé
                                            → bélè àméè
                                                                   bélè mámgbà
                                                                                          bélè mέ
              bélè
                                  H-L
                        'light'
        c.
                                            → gàrí áméè
                                                                   gàrí <sup>↓</sup>mámgbà
                                                                                          gàrí <sup>↓</sup>mé
        d.
              gàrí
                        'garri' L-H
              6 \acute{a}^{\downarrow} r \acute{a} 'hand' H^{-\downarrow} H \rightarrow 6 \acute{a}^{\downarrow} r \acute{a} améè 6 \acute{a}^{\downarrow} r \acute{a} mámgbà 6 \acute{a}^{\downarrow} r \acute{a} mé
```

As also observed, the L tone of the initial vowel of the plural marker àméè assimilates to a preceding H tone, while in (33d) both mámgbà and mé undergo downstepping after gàrí. This latter change is due to a general rule in the language that inserts a downstep after a word-final L-H sequence which is followed by H in the next word. Since downstep is most commonly attributed to L tone (Clements & Ford 1979, Hyman 1979), we assume a floating L tone insertion rule, informally stated in (34).¹⁸

$$(34)$$
 Ø \rightarrow L / L H # H

The properties of the plural marker $\grave{am}\acute{\epsilon}\grave{k}$, which is rarely if ever required, can be summarized as follows: (i) It can only appear directly after a noun, potentially either noun in a N_1+N_2 construction; (ii) it does not undergo tone reduction; (iii) everything after it is realized L. Whether this should be identified with the L melody of quantifiers, or whether the subsequent Ls are simply the result of tone deletion, it is clear that no other tonal melody can be assigned across or after $\grave{am}\acute{\epsilon}\grave{k}$:

As seen in (35c), the HL of $\grave{a}m\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\epsilon}$ can be shortened to H, as is also optionally the case for monosyllabic and VCV nouns in N_2 position (cf. note 6). Since $\grave{a}m\acute{\epsilon}\grave{\epsilon}$ must directly follow a noun, we speculate that there might be a historical link between its HL tone and the HL assigned in $N_1 + N_2$ constructions (cf. $n\acute{a}m\acute{a}$ $\grave{a}m\acute{\epsilon}(\grave{\epsilon})$ $w\grave{a}r\grave{i}$ $\grave{a}m\acute{\epsilon}\acute{\epsilon}$ 'the animals' houses', where the plural marker appears after both nouns).

The examples in (36) show the same realizations of the plural marker after a possessive pronoun + noun:

The output in (36a) shows that the HLH possessive melody is mapped on the following noun 'animal', not on the combined 'animal + plural'. The forms in (36b) show that the final H of the HLH possessive melody is optionally not realized before àmέὲ. Similarly, the final H of the LH demonstrative melody is also optionally not realized before àmέὲ:

In (38) we see that $m\acute{a}mgb\grave{a}$ 'all' can also modify either noun of an N_1+N_2 construction.

As also seen in (38a), mámgbà does not itself undergo reduction. It is realized H-L in (38b), which is identical to its input tones. However, other examples clearly show that it does not participate in the mapping of tonal melodies. Thus, in i nàmá a mámgbà 'all my animals', the HLH possessive melody is realized on the last syllable of /námá/ as it would be without mámgbà (recall (8) and note 8). The two variants in (39) show that the final H of the LH demonstrative melody is optionally not realized before mámgbà:

(39a) also shows the application of the downstep insertion rule (34). Again we wonder if the H-L of *mámgbà* is diachronically related to the HL melody assigned by nouns.

The final postnominal modifiers are the definite markers listed earlier in the table in (32). As was seen in the examples in (33), these markers are all /H/ and do not undergo tone reduction. The main issue that comes up is whether the final H of LH and HLH melodies are realized when followed by a H tone definite marker. When the definite marker co-occurs with an initial demonstrative, two variants are regularly observed:

In (40a,c) the H of LH is realized and the rule in (34) results in the H of the definite marker $m\dot{\varepsilon}$ being downstepped. In the slightly dispreferred variants in (40b,d) the final H of the LH melody does not appear on the noun, although one interpretation is that it is realized via the H of the definite marker $m\dot{\varepsilon}$ (cf. §6).

When we turn to the possessive HLH melody, we find the following skewing:

As seen in (41a,b), when the noun is bisyllabic, the HLH possessive melody must be fully realized. However, as seen in (41c,d), when the noun trisyllabic (or longer), it can be realized with the HLH melody or (with a slight dispreference) with only HL. Again, one is tempted to propose that the H of the final definite marker is standing in for the last part of the HLH melody.

Finally, let us consider one other effect of the definite markers about which we shall have to speculate. As seen in (42), there sometimes appears to be an unaccounted for H tone assigned by the definite article.

$$(42) \quad a. \quad \grave{o}p\grave{u} \ + f\acute{e}n\acute{n} \ + m\acute{e} \ \rightarrow \grave{o}p\grave{u} \ f\acute{e}n\acute{n} \ m\acute{e} \ \ \text{'the big bird'} \\ L-L \quad H-H \quad H \quad H-H \\ \quad big \quad bird \quad DEF \\ b. \quad \qquad \sim \grave{o}p\grave{u} \ f\grave{e}n\grave{l} \ m\acute{e} \\ \quad L \\ c. \quad \qquad \sim \grave{o}p\grave{u} \ f\grave{e}n\grave{l} \ \ ^{l}m\acute{e} \\ \quad L H \\ d. \quad f\acute{e}n\acute{l} \ + p\grave{u}\grave{l}\grave{o} \ + m\acute{e} \ \rightarrow f\acute{e}n\acute{l} \ p\acute{u}\grave{l}\grave{o} \ m\acute{e} \ \ ^{l}the \ bird's \ oil' \\ \quad H-H \quad L-L \quad H \quad HL \\ \quad bird \quad oil \quad DEF \\ e. \quad \qquad \sim f\acute{e}n\acute{l} \ p\acute{u}\grave{l}\acute{o} \ \ ^{l}m\acute{e} \\ \quad H \ H$$

In each set, the first output is the one expected from the discussions in previous sections. In addition (42b) is also expected in that an all H noun can optionally lower to all L after the adjective $\partial p \dot{u}$ 'big' (cf. (19)). The output in (42c) is surprising in that there appears to be an extra floating H tone between the noun and the definite marker (which in turn undergoes downstepping by the rule in (34)). Perhaps even more intriguing is (42e), where the output is H-H with the definite marker again downstepping. To produce this output we would need the derivation in (43), where the first step is to insert a floating L by rule (34):

(43) ...
$$púlò + H + mέ \rightarrow púlò + H + L + mέ \rightarrow púló + `+ mέ [púló \ ^{\downarrow}mέ]$$

Whether this is motivated or not, what is significant is that the definite article is partially determining the output of what precedes, something which also arises in relative clause constructions (cf. note 23). If this were to develop further, Kalabari could become like Tommo So (Heath & McPherson 2013, McPherson 2012), where the triggers of tonal melodies could be either on the left or the right of their targets (cf. §7).

To conclude this section, let us briefly mention that the definite markers occur in absolute last position, potentially preceded by the plural marker, e.g. námá àméè má 'the animals'. No other combinations of post-nominal modifiers are grammatical.

Tone reduction in the verb phrase

While all of the preceding discussion has concerned cases where tone reduction and tonal melodies target the head noun within the noun phrase, we now show that the lexical verb of a verb phrase also undergoes tonal reduction. We illustrate this first in main clauses and then consider relative clauses.

As seen in (44a), bisyllabic verbs contrast the same five tone patterns we have seen in nouns, repeated in (44b).

(44)		a.	verbs		b.	nouns	
	L-L		sèlè	'choose'		pùlò	'oil'
	H-L		kámà	'increase'		bélè	ʻlight'
	L-H		d∂kí	'discover, find out'		gàrí	ʻgarri'
	H-H		έrí	'see'		námá	'meat'
	H- [↓] H /HLH/		kó [↓] kó	'keep'		wá [↓] rí	'house'

Kalabari is a head-final (OV) language. Whenever an object is present before the verb, the verb loses all of its tones and the last tone of the object spreads onto the verb:

(45)	a.	the object	ends L			έrí → ὲrì	(=sèlè, kàmà, đòkì,
							kòkò)
		pùlò	'oil'	L-L	\rightarrow	ò pùlò èrì té [↓] é	'he has seen the oil'
		bélè	ʻlight'	H-L	\rightarrow	ò bélè ὲrì tέ [↓] έ	'he has seen light'
		lùbùlù	'sheath'	L-L-L	\rightarrow	ò lùbùlù èrì té [↓] é	'he has seen the sheath'
	b.	the object	ends H	-H, H- [↓] I	Η	sèlè → sélé	(= kámá, đókí, érí, kókó)
		námá	'meat'	Н-Н	\rightarrow	ò námá sέlέ tέ [↓] έ	'he has chosen the meat'
		wá [↓] rí	'house'	H- [↓] H	\rightarrow	ò wá [↓] rí sέlέ tέ [↓] έ	'he has chosen the house'
		búrúmá	'indigo'	Н-Н-Н	\rightarrow	ò búrúmá sélé té [↓] é	'he has chosen the indigo'
	c.	the object	ends L-	Н		sèlè → [↓] sélé	(= [↓] kámá, [↓] dókí, [↓] érí, [↓] kókó)
		gàrí	'garri'	L-H	\rightarrow	ò gàrí [↓] sélé té [↓] é	'he has chosen the garri'
		kúkàlí	'fruit'	H-L-H	\rightarrow	ò kúkàlí [↓] sélε té [↓] é 3sg object verb τΑΜ	

In the above forms, all five verbs are realized all L in (45a) and all H in (45b), while the verbs in (45c) are realized as all H preceded by a downstep inserted by rule (34). 19

In our survey of the noun phrase, the only case of tone deletion with unbounded tone spreading concerned the four exceptional kinship terms in (18) which agree in tone with a preceding possessive pronoun. The process is, however, quite regular within the verb phrase. While the examples in (45) involve a simple noun object, the tone of the object NP must be calculated first before it can be determined what the tone of the verb will be. Thus compare the following examples involving the H-H noun féní 'bird', where the final tone of the object NP is different from the lexical final tone of the noun:

```
the object ends L
                                                                                   (=sèlè, kàmà, đòkì,
(46) a.
                                                                                    kòkò)
              sóná fènì 'five birds' \rightarrow ò sòná fènì èrì té^{\downarrow}é
                                                                                   'he has seen five birds'
                                                3sg five bird see TAM
        b. the object ends
                                                sèlè → sélé
                                                                                   (= kámá, ďókí, érí,
              H-<sup>↓</sup>H
                                                                                    kókó)
                     fé^{\downarrow}ní 'your bird' → òri í fé^{\downarrow}ní sélé té^{\downarrow}é
                                                                                   'he has chosen your
                                                3sg 2sg bird choose TAM bird'
                                                sèlè → <sup>↓</sup>sélé
                                                                                   (= <sup>↓</sup>kámá, <sup>↓</sup>ďókí, <sup>↓</sup>érí,
              the object ends L-H
                                                                                    <sup>↓</sup>kókó)
                                                                                   'he has chosen this
              mí fèní 'this bird' \rightarrow à mí fèní \stackrel{\downarrow}{}sélé té\stackrel{\downarrow}{}é
                                                3sg this bird choose TAM bird"
```

As seen, it is the derived tone of the last word of the object noun phrase that determines the tone of the verb. Thus, the verb is L-L after post-numeral L-L feni in (46a), H-H after post-possessive H- $^{\downarrow}$ H $fe^{\downarrow}ni$ in (46b) and $^{\downarrow}$ H-H (with downstep insertion) after post-demonstrative L-H fení in (46c).

It is only an object that can trigger the above effects. A preceding non-object does not cause tone reduction on the verb, e.g. the postpositional phrases involving polar tone $k \grave{\epsilon} \sim k \acute{\epsilon}$ 'with' (instrument, manner) and $n \grave{a} \sim n \acute{a}$ 'with' (comitative) seen in (47).

```
'he has cut with a knife'
(47) a. /pélé/
                    'cut' : òrì ògiè
                                        kὲ
                                              pέlέ
                                                      tέ<sup>↓</sup>έ
                            3sg knife with cut
                                                      TAM
      b. /s\/
                    'cook': ò
                                 pùlò
                                        kέ
                                              sà
                                                      tέ<sup>√</sup>έ
                                                            'he has cooked with oil'
                            3sg oil
                                        with cook TAM
                                              mέnjí tέ<sup>‡</sup>έ 'he has walked with the
          /ménjí/ 'walk' : òrì óyí65 nà
                                        with walk
                                                             man'
                            3sg man
                                                      TAM
                                                      tέ<sup>↓</sup>έ
      d. /dèrì/
                    'laugh': '
                                 từbà
                                        ná
                                              dèrì
                                                            'he has laughed with the
                            3sg child with laugh TAM
                                                             child'
```

The verb also remains unchanged when directly preceded by the subject, e.g. the verb sèlé 'be chosen' derived from /sèlè/ 'choose' by the detransitivizing process illustrated earlier in (1):

```
L-L → pùlò sèlé <sup>†</sup>té<sup>‡</sup>é
                                                                                   'the oil has been chosen'
(48)
               pùlò 'oil'
                                      H-L \rightarrow bélè sèlé ^{\downarrow}té^{\downarrow}é
                         'light'
                                                                                   'the light has been chosen'
         b. bélè

 c. námá 'meat' H-H → námá sèlé <sup>†</sup>té<sup>†</sup>é

                                                                                   'the meat has been chosen'
         d. w\acute{a}^{\dagger}r\acute{i} 'house' H^{-\dagger}H \rightarrow w\acute{a}^{\dagger}r\acute{i} sèlé ^{\dagger}t\acute{\epsilon}^{\dagger}\acute{\epsilon}
                                                                                   'the house has been chosen'
                          'garri' L-H → gàrí sèlé ↓té↓é
                                                                                   'the garri has been chosen'
               gàrí
                                                    subject be.chosen TAM
```

It should also be noted that each noun phrase constitutes a separate prosodic constituent. Thus, there is no tonal interaction between the subject and object:

Object + verb sequences exhibit the same reduction + unbounded spreading in any type clause, affirmative or negative, declarative or interrogative. This includes relative clauses. In the following examples, the verbs fúrú 'steal' and sèlè 'choose' undergo reduction and spreading from the preceding object, as before; be is the masculine definite singular marker:

```
(50)
                           L-L \rightarrow pùlò fùrù tớb bé 'the child that stole the oil'
            pùlò 'oil'
       b. bélè 'light' H-L → bélè fùrù tú65 bé 'the child that stole the light'
            námá 'meat' H-H → námá sélé túbò bé 'the child that chose the meat'
           wa^{\downarrow}ri 'house' H^{-\downarrow}H \rightarrow wa^{\downarrow}ri sélé túbò bé 'the child that chose the house'
            gàrí 'garri' L-H → gàrí \( \sigma sélé tú6\) bé 'the child that chose the garri'
                                      object verb child DEF
```

What is particularly significant is the H-L tone on /tòbò/ 'child'. It turns out that the head of the object relative construction regularly receives a H-L melody in the factative tense:

(51) a. từ bờ 'child' L–L
$$\rightarrow$$
 pù lờ fùr ਪ tư bờ bé 'the child that stole the oil'

b.	sírì	'leopard'	H-L	\rightarrow	pùlò fùrù sírì bé	'the leopard that
						stole the oil'
c.	féní	'bird'	H-H	\rightarrow	pùlò fùrù fénì bé	'the bird that stole
						the oil"
d.	pèlí [↓] á	'ladyfish'	L-H-↓H	\rightarrow	pùlò fùrù pèlíà 6é	'the ladyfish that
						stole the oil'
e.	kùkùrá	'fish (sp.)'	L-L-H	\rightarrow	pùlò fùrù kòkórà 6é	'the fish (sp.) that
					oil steal subject DEF	stole the oil'

Even though such relative clauses are possibly nominalizations ('the oil stealing child', 'the meat choosing child' etc.), this H-L should not be identified with the $\rm N_1$ + $\rm N_2$ HL melody. When we consider all four of the TAMs seen earlier in (20), the situation becomes clearer:

(52)	FACT	námá pùlò fùrú [↓] ḿ	'the animal stole the oil'	$/\ \dot{m}\ '/\rightarrow\ '$
		pùlò fùrù námà bé	'the animal that stole the oil'	
	PROG	námá pùlò furu árì	'the animal is stealing the oil'	/árì/
		pùlò fùrù árì nàmà bé	'the animal that is stealing the oil'	
	FUT	námá pùlò fùrú 6à	'the animal will steal the oil'	/'6à/
		pùlò fùrú 6à nàmà 6é	'the animal that will steal the oil'	
	PERF	námá pùlò fùrù té [↓] é	'the animal has stolen the oil'	$/t\acute{\epsilon}^{\downarrow}\acute{\epsilon}/\rightarrow t\grave{\epsilon}^{'}$
		pùlò fùrù tè námà bé	'the animal that has stolen the oil'	
	main:	animal oil steal там		
	rel:	oil steal там animal DI	EF	

The first line of each pair illustrates the TAM marking in the main clause, while the second line shows the corresponding object relative clauses. As seen, in the main clause, the factative and future place a H tone on the final syllable of the verb, which we set up as an initial floating H in the underlying representations / ' \dot{n} '/ and / ' \dot{b} a'/. In the corresponding relative clauses, the future continues to assign a H to the preceding syllable, this time the relativized verb, while both the factative and perfect assign a H tone onto the head noun, as seen earlier with adjectives in (20) and (21). As seen in the last column of (52), we consider this floating H to be from the form that the TAM marker takes in relative clauses. The same facts are seen in the following intransitive relative clauses involving the verb / d \dot{c} ri/ 'laugh' and the noun /t \dot{c} bár \dot{c} a' antelope':

(53) FACT đềrì từ bấr à bế 'the antelope that laughed'
PROG đềrì árì từ bấr à bế 'the antelope that is laughing'
FUT đềrí bấ từ bấr à bế 'the antelope that will laugh'

PERF đèrì tè tòbárà bé 'the antelope that has laughed' laugh TAM subject DEF

As in the case of transitive relatives, we consider the above to be nominalizations (the 'laughing antelope', 'the having laughed antelope' etc.). As seen, the H assigned by the factative and perfective maps onto the penult of the trisyllabic noun túbárà. When the subject noun is a compound, e.g. pìrì námà 'bush animal' (from pìrì 'bush' + námá 'animal'), the H goes on the final of the first noun and the L begins on second noun:

(54) FACT đèrì pìrí nàmà 6é 'the bush animal that laughed' PROG đèrì árì pìrì nàmà bé 'the bush animal that is laughing' FUT dèrì 6à pìrì nàmà 6é 'the bush animal that will laugh' PERF đèrì tè pìrí nàmà 6é 'the bush animal that has laughed'

This is rather significant, since such mapping is exactly what we reported in the case of a $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$ construction (cf. (7)).²¹ Since we have attributed this H to the TAM markers, relative clauses provide an important window into the origin of the tonal melodies. Specifically, the HL melody observed in the above factative and perfect relatives is from the floating H of the TAM marker + the L that results from tone reduction. Having established this rather clear case, we now turn to the question of diachronic origins in the next section.²²

6. Towards a diachronic account

In the past sections we have seen that Kalabari has a system whereby the tones of non-domain-initial words are deleted, and the tonal melodies /HL/, /HLH/, /LH/, and /L/ are assigned by construction. The tones of a verb are also deleted when preceded by an object and replaced by the last tone of the object NP. The questions which remain are the following:

- Why does Kalabari reduce non-initial tones in the above syntactic contexts? A partial answer we can give is that prosodic reduction frequently targets the lexical head of an XP constituent, e.g. the N of an NP, the V of a VP, whether a language is head-initial or head-final (cf. §7).
- ii. Why does Kalabari assign these different melodies? The answer we will propose in this section is that there has been a reanalysis of original syntactic markers.
- iii. Why does most of this happen in the noun phrase? Could another language assign different melodies to the verb, depending on what kind of complement

precedes (object, adpositional phrase, adverbial etc.) or perhaps different kinds of objects?

In answer to the third question, we would suggest yes, but it would require special diachronic sources parallel to those which we will now consider. We propose two such sources:

- The L of the /LH/ melody is the result of tone reduction. The H of this melody is a final determiner tone.
- The L of /HL/, /L/ and probably /HLH/ melodies again comes from reduction. The H of /HL/ is from a lost linker or "connective" particle, while the final /H/ may again originate as a mark of determination.

Starting with the source of the demonstrative LH melody, we saw in §4 that Kalabari has a system of final /H/ tone definite markers. We also saw that although an initial demonstrative assigns a LH melody, as in (55a), when a definite marker is present, the final H of the LH melody is usually not realized, as in (55b), but may also be, as in (55c).

- (55)/námá/ 'animal'
 - a. mí nàmá 'this animal'
 - b. mí nàmà mέ w/def. marker
 - c. mí nàmá [↓]mé *idem*.

Recall also from (24) that a demonstrative generally assigns a /LH/ melody in more complex noun phrases. We propose therefore to identify the H of the /LH/ melody with the mark of final determination. This could either mean that another /H/ tone morpheme was present or, more likely, that the final H seen on an overt determiner became generalized to noun phrases (or perhaps more aptly, determiner phrases) with an initial demonstrative or other determiner. The two-step development would have thus been to reduce everything after the initial determiner to L, followed by the assignment of a right-edge H.

A two-step reconstruction is also required for the HL and HLH melodies. This time the initial H comes from a lost or reanalyzed connective particle that occurred in the relevant constructions. As our first piece of evidence, we note that Kalabari currently maintains two overt connectives, $n\acute{a}$ and $b\acute{\epsilon}$, used in specific constructions only. As seen in (56), both have H tone and both make the following noun L:

(56) a. *ná* is used to mean 'associated with' a particular people or language Kálábàrí ná fènì 'Kalabari bird' (a bird that the Kalabaris have) H L (cf. féní 'bird')

 $b\dot{\varepsilon}$ is used to indicate something associated with a place (whether a proper or common noun)

```
'Degema food' wá^{\downarrow}rí b\varepsilon nùmè
Dégémà b \varepsilon fiè
                                                                'the house song'
                     (cf. fíé 'food')
           H L
                                                  Η
                                                       L
                                                                (cf. númé 'song')
```

To get the /HL/ melody of the $N_1 + N_2$ construction, we assume that there was a /H/ tone connective that has since been lost. After the N, was reduced to all L, the H of the connective was assigned to the N₂ to produce the observed /HL/ melody. We saw a similar development in factative and perfect tense relative clauses in (52)–(54), where a grammatical H tone combines with the L from tone deletion to form a HL melody.

The /L/ melody has the most direct source: all we need to say is that there was reduction to L after a quantifier or numeral. For this account to go through, we have to dismiss the possibility that there was a *L tone connective that caused the tonal changes. Recalling the numerals presented in (17), one might observe that all of them end in [a] except *gb5rύ* 'one' (which was said to be a noun). In addition, a number of other inherently plural morphemes also end in [a]: jà 'some', tòwá 'many', $mi^{\downarrow}n\acute{a}$ 'these', $m\acute{a}$ 'definite (pl.)'. There is good evidence that [a] was originally a plural prefix on nouns — cf. $\partial w \partial (m \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon})$ 'children' (sg. $t \dot{v} \dot{b} \dot{\sigma}$) and the general plural marker àmée, both with a-. In fact, Jenewari (1977: 193) writes it as a prefix: ìbì bùrù 'good yam', ìbì à-bùrù 'good yams'. However ìbià bùrù is also possible, since this a- cannot occur if the noun is initial or in isolation (*à-bùrù). Thus *aappears to have undergone resegmentation onto the preceding numeral, quantifier or determiner. In the case of numerals the final -a is always H tone in agreement with the preceding syllable, e.g. tírá 'three', íníá 'four', sóná 'five' (monosyllabic ma 'two' is L tone). Although the noun had an historical *a- prefix after numerals, we do not believe there was any connective. As a result, the melody is simply L from tone deletion.

This leaves the more complex /HLH/ melody assigned by possessive pronouns. We suggest a tripartite reconstruction of reduction to L both preceded and followed by a H: the first H would be the connective tone, the L from reduction, and the second H from the H of determination. In other words, the HL of /HLH/ is the same as the $N_1 + N_2$ HL melody, and the LH of HLH is the same melody as assigned by demonstratives and other initial determiners. Recalling from (23c) that possessive pronouns and demonstratives are mutually exclusive, both being spell-outs of the specifier of NP, we can perhaps view final H also as a specifier tone.

With this reconstruction we can now better address question (iii) above, whether a corresponding system could potentially arise in the verb phrase. We think so — and, in fact, it is already happening with respect to the different TAMs in relative clauses. Further distinctions could potentially arise from different postpositions marking objects, obliques etc. which could combine with a reduced all L
verb pattern. As mentioned, the head of an XP often undergoes tone reduction (cf.
§7), especially in the case of the verb, which may have fewer or no tonal contrasts
to begin with. This raises the interesting question of whether in such cases the prosodic reduction of heads begins historically in the verb phrase or the noun phrase
— with or without the additional complication of assigned melodies. What we can
conclude from the Kalabari case, at least, is that tone patterns can be assigned by
syntactic construction, something that rarely, if ever, occurs with other phonological features. For example, we know of no cases where, say, a possessive nominal
assigns penultimate stress and a demonstrative assigns final stress on a following
head noun. In the next section we compare Kalabari with other languages where
one word determines the tones of another.

7. Other systems resembling Kalabari

In the preceding sections we have proposed a two-step analysis of the facts under consideration: the tones of non-initial words in an XP are deleted, followed by the assignment of the specific tonal melodies HL, HLH, LH and L. Further evidence for this two-step approach comes from the closely related language Nkoroo. As Akinlabi, Connell & Obikudo (2009:449) show, the N_2 tones of an N_1 + N_2 compound neutralize as all L when the N_1 ends L:

```
(57)
         mìndì 'water'
                          + íkákí
                                   'tortoise' H-H-H → mìndì ìkàkì
                                                                       'turtle'
     a.
                 'farm'
                                   'ground' H-L
      h.
         dùà
                          + kírì
                                                     → dùà
                                                               kìrì
                                                                       'village'
         655k5 'chicken' + t5kú
                                   'child'
                                             L-H
                                                     → 6òòkò tòkù
                                                                       'chick'
         mìndì 'water'
                          + àbànà 'pit'
                                             L-L-L
                                                    → mìndì àbànà 'well'
```

When the N_1 ends H, however, the tones of N_2 all neutralize as H-L (pp. 449–451):

```
(58)
     a.
          fóní
                  'bird'
                            + bébé
                                       'mouth'
                                                  H-H
                                                         → fóní
                                                                     6é6è
                                                                             'beak'
          615
                  'leg'
                                        'ground'
                                                  H-L
                                                          → 615
                                                                     kírì
                                                                             'foot'
      b.
                            + kírì
          ánáná 'sheep'
                            + tòkú
                                       'child'
                                                  L-H
                                                         → ánáná tókù
                                                                             'lamb'
      c.
                                                  L-L
          615
                  'leg'
                            + tòngù
                                      'edge'
                                                         → 615
                                                                     tóngù 'heel'
          námá 'animal' + dí\(^\text{m\epsilon}\) 'hair'
                                                  H-<sup>↓</sup>H → námá dímè
                                                                             'fur'
```

The most straightforward interpretation is that N_2 reduces to all L followed by one-syllable H tone spreading from the N_1 . A much more widely known similar situation is found in Shanghai Chinese, where all but the first tone of nominal

constituents is deleted, with subsequent adjustments dependent on the nature of the first tone (see Zee & Maddieson 1980, Selkirk & Shen 1990, among others).

Concerning, however, the constructional nature of the tone assignments in Kalabari, which differs from other Ijo languages (see below), the only other clearly similar cases come from the Dogon languages recently surveyed by Heath & McPherson (2013). In Tommo So, for instance, adjectives and demonstratives cause a preceding head noun of an NP to become all L (McPherson 2012):

(59)	(59)				reduction to all L					no reduction		
		noun	gloss	tone			ʻblack		'this'		'three'	
	a.	gámmá	'cat'	Н-Н	\rightarrow	gàmmà	gέm	gàmmà	nó	gámmá	tààndú	
	b.	tàgá	'shoe'	L-H	\rightarrow	tàgà	gέm	tàgà	nó	tàgá	tààndú	
	c.	pállà	'cloth strip'	H-L	\rightarrow	pàllà	gέm	pàllà	nó	pállà	tààndú	

As the above examples show, the reduction process is anticipatory in Tommo So vs. perseverative in Kalabari. Another difference is that numerals do not condition tonal reduction in Tommo So vs. adjectives in Kalabari. As in Kalabari, intervening modifiers may also be affected by reduction:

Although numerals do not condition reduction, we see in (60b,c) that an adjective or demonstrative can cause both the numeral and the preceding noun to become all L. While the above examples are ambiguous between tone deletion and assignment of a L melody, possessive constructions support the latter interpretation. The examples in (61a,b) show that nouns and alienable possessive pronouns assign a L melody to the following noun (McPherson 2012: 14–15):

```
'the child's cat'
(61) a. gámmá 'cat'
                          H-H
                                  → íí=gε gàmmà L-L
                                                            (g\varepsilon = def.)
                                                            'his/her cat'
                                  → wómo gàmmà L-L
         jàndúlu 'donkey' L-H-Ø → sáná
                                            jàndùlù L-L-L
                                                           'Sana's donkey'
                                                            'my donkey'
                                  → mmɔ jàndùlù L-L-L
                                                            'my uncle'
     c. bàbé
                 'uncle'
                          L-H
                                     mí
                                            bábé
                                                    H-H
         ánígé
                 'friend'
                          H-H-H → mí
                                            ánìgè
                                                    H-L-L 'my friend'
```

However, as seen in (61c), inalienable possessive pronouns assign H-H to a bisyllabic noun, H-L-L to a trisyllabic noun. It is clear that Tommo So assigns melodies, as in Kalabari, although in this case the conditioning modifier/specifier can be on either side of the head noun.

While it is hard to find other examples of the Kalabari and Tommo So type, where different word classes or constructions assign different melodies, there are analogous systems where phrasal tone assignments are lexically conditioned, i.e. where the different melodies are assigned by words belonging to the SAME word class. In fact, this is the general case in Ijo, as reported by Williamson (1988): Within a noun phrase, all tones are deleted except those of the first word, which appears to assign an arbitrary (i.e. unpredictable) tone pattern to the remainder of the phrase. A case in point comes from the Bumo dialect of Izon for which Efere (2001: 158–9) sets up the following arbitrary tone classes A-D, where (L) indicates that a word can start with a L tone vowel:

```
(62) class schema
                         tone pattern determined by the A-D class of phrase-initial
      Α
            (L) H + H first word = all H followed by all H syllables in the phrase
      В
            (L) H + L
                        first word = all H; subsequent syllables = L
      C
            (L) HL + L first word keeps its HL drop, subsequent syllables = L
            (L) H + HL first word = all H, H on first syllable of second word;
      D
                         subsequent syllables = L
```

Classes A-D are illustrated below in the frame ... /náná kímí/ 'man who owns/ has...' (whose tones are deleted):

```
(63) A (L) H + H bélé 'pot(s)' \rightarrow bélé náná kímí (no L: H all the way to
                                                               the end)
       B (L) H + L wárí 'house' \rightarrow wárí nànà kìmì (L starts on \sigma_1 of second
                                                               word)
      C (L) HL + L sérì 'scarf' \rightarrow sérì nànà kìmì (L starts on \sigma_2 of first
                                                               word)
      D (L) H + HL ìkíć 'friend' \rightarrow ìkíć nánà kìmì
                                                              (L starts on \sigma_2 of second
                                                               word)
```

Ignoring the initial i- of 'friend' (which is irrelevant for tone assignment), classes A, B, and D have all H tones in isolation. However, their tonal effects on the rest of the phrase are different. From the descriptions to the right it is clear that the A-D distinctions have to do with where L tone begins in the phrase: Whereas class C begins its L on the first word itself, and class A does not impose a L, classes B and D assign a L to the first vs. second syllable of the following word. Once a L has been assigned, all successive syllables are L. Building on Efere's insights, we might propose a Japanese-like analysis with a HL "pitch-accent":

In this analysis, the first word contributes at most one HL pitch-accent to the phrase whose H is realized on the indicated syllable, the L beginning on the following syllable. Class A words have no pitch accent and are realized with default H tones. Class B words have pitch accent on their final syllable, while Class C consists of words that have a HL pitch accent on a non-final syllable (hence on either the first or second syllable or a trisyllabic word): together with class B they exploit all of the possible placements of the HL pitch-accent within the first word. Class D words have a floating HL pitch accent and are thus post-accenting: the H goes on the first syllable of the following word, the L on its second syllable. Any syllable following the L will be pronounced L; otherwise the default tone is H.

A similar situation is observed in the Move dialect of Yagaria, a Papuan language of Papua New Guinea. In this language, Ford (1993: 196–7) distinguishes between "stable" (S) and three types of "unstable" (U) adjective classes:

(65) a. S hógà 'left'
$$\rightarrow$$
 hógà kàyàlè 'left pig' (no change) + all L S fáipái 'white' \rightarrow fáipái kàyàlè 'white pig' (no change) + all L b. U₁ lòlé 'two' \rightarrow lòlè kàyàlé 'two pigs' (L-L + L-L-H) U₂ fèlá 'wild' \rightarrow fèlà kàyálé 'wild pig' (L-L + L-H-H) U₃ kòlí 'scared' \rightarrow kòlì káyálé 'scared pig' (L-L + H-H-H)

As seen in (65a), the stable adjectives $h\acute{o}g\grave{a}$ 'left' and $f\acute{a}ip\acute{a}i$ 'white' cause the following noun /kayálè/ 'pig' to become all L. In (65b), the unstable adjectives modify their /L-H/ to L–L and have three different tonal effects on the following noun. Here it would appear that the noun undergoes tonal deletion with the /LH/ of the adjective mapping differently according to tone class: the H starts immediately after U $_3$, on the second syllable after U $_2$, and on the third syllable after U $_1$. Perhaps we can attribute this to the distinct representations in (66).²³

(66)	U_3	U_2	U_1	
	koli	fela	lole	
	LH	LH	LH	

Again inspired by Japanese, unstable adjectives have a single underlying LH pitch accent either on the first syllable (U_3) , the second syllable (U_2) , or floating after the adjective (U_1) . For this to work we have to assume that that H links to the following syllable, followed by a rule of L tone spreading, as in (67).

In (67a) we begin with the LH assignments from (66) with the noun having undergone tonal deletion. In (67b) the H is assigned to the following syllable. In the case of the floating LH of U₁, both tones are assigned to the following noun. This is followed by a rule of L tone spreading, which extends the L to the following syllable. All we need to add is that syllables preceding the L are L and those following the H are H. Although this works, it is not clear if this analysis has merit. We cite it only to show that an analysis in terms of three different underlying representations does not seem terribly unreasonable.

While it is possible to account for Bumo and perhaps also Yagaria by assigning different underlying representations to the tonal classes, such an analysis is not always motivated. As a case in point, consider Urarina, an isolate spoken in Peru, in which most words are pronounced all L with one final H in isolation. However, in the right phrasal context the tonal effect can be quite different. In order to account for these differences, Olawsky (2006: 128) distinguishes tonal classes A-D, whose effects are indicated in (68).

- (68) class tone pattern determined by A-D class of the phrase-initial word
 - Α first word = L; H is assigned to the initial syllable of the following word
 - В first word = L; H is assigned to the second or third syllable of the following word depending on syllable weight
 - C first word = L; H is assigned to last syllable [mora?] of the final word of phrase
 - first word keeps its final H tone, the following word being all L D

Examples are given in (69).

Like Kalabari, Urarina is a head final (OV) language in which the tone of the verb is determined by the direct object. Although lexical classes A-D determine where a single H tone will be realized, it is not clear in this case how one might derive these facts by proposing different underlying representations for the four tone classes of nouns.

While the Bumo, Yagaria, and Urarina tonal outputs are determined by lexical properties of the initial word, not by its word class (or by tone spreading in the case of OV in Kalabari), the similarities are striking. What is clearly needed is a systematic comparison of all known systems where phrase-level tones are exclusively determined by a specific constituent within the respective phonological phrase. Recall that the above phenomena are relatively rare in the world's languages. Nevertheless, although rather limited, we summarize our survey to date in (70), where the numbers in parentheses refer where the examples are cited in the present paper:24

(70)
$$N \to N' / _ Y$$
 $N \to N' / Y _$ $V \to V' / _ Y$ $V \to V' / Y _$ Haya Kalabari (3) Haya Urarina (69) Tommo So (59) Bumo (63) Kalabari (45) Tommo So (61) Yagaria (65) Shanghai Barasana (72) N. Mao (74)

In the above table, Y stands for the element determining the tonal realization of a head N or V (indicated as a change to N' or V'). From the above we arrive at the following five generalizations, all of which need to be tested against a larger sample:

(i) Generalization 1: The tonal reductions and melodies mostly involve a modifier, specifier, or (less often) an object targeting the head N or V of an NP/VP. The only potential case we have found where a lexical head triggers a tonal change on a modifier comes from Urarina noun + adjective sequences (Olawsky 2006: 122), as seen in (71).

Although Olawsky mentions certain complexities concerning adjectives, lanaháj 'red' is listed as being deverbal and not obviously the head of the above construction. It may be significant that the example is a perseverative one, particularly with respect to the next two generalizations.

- ii. Generalization 2: The tonal effects are more commonly perseverative than anticipatory.
- iii. Generalization 3: The multiple melody cases are all perseverative. While the perseverative cases can assign melodies or condition simple tone reduction, the anticipatory cases (Haya, Tommo So) involve reduction/assignment of L tone only.
- iii. Generalization 4: The constructional cases may involve the assignment of distinct tonal melodies, while the lexical cases involve different placements of a tone (H, L).
- iv. Generalization 5: Only tone can do this. We now take this up in the final section.

Conclusion: What else, if anything, is like this?

We have seen that tone patterns can be assigned by syntactic construction, something that rarely, if ever, occurs with other phonological features. Whereas featural harmonies and stress assignment are almost exclusively word-bound (though possibly extending to "clitic groups"), the phenomena in Kalabari and the other cited languages provide further confirmation that tone can do much more than any other phonological property at the phrase level (Hyman 2011). This is true in two senses. First, there are phonological operations available only to tone, e.g. one word shifting its underlying tone(s) to an internal position in the next word, as we saw in the analysis of Izon in (64). Second, tone has greater versatility in marking phrasal syntactic properties. Since there does not seem to be anything else quite like the constructional and lexical tonal triggers described in the previous sections, we now raise the question of whether we can relate the above to other phenomena in grammar.

In presenting this work orally, we have posed this question to colleagues. The suggestions we have received is that we should try to relate the tonal melodies of Kalabari to: (i) clitics; (ii) agreement; (iii) case marking; (iv) construct state. The idea behind clitics is that different modifiers would each come in with potential enclitics, =HL, =HLH, =LH, =L, which vie with each other to be realized within the phrase. Since we know of no parallels involving segmental clitics, we do not find this interpretation appealing.²⁵

The Kalabari facts also do not seem to be what we expect of agreement, which should go from lexical head to modifier rather than the reverse. In fact, there are

rare cases of what might more aptly be identified as tonal agreement. As seen in the following table, in Barasana, a Tukanoan language of Colombia, possessive pronouns copy their tone, here H-H vs. H-L, on the possessed noun (Gomez-Imbert & Kenstowicz 2000: 438-9):²⁶

(72)
$$\sim$$
kúbú (H-H) 'shaman' \sim bídì (H-L) 'pet' \sim = nasal \sim bádí (H-H) 'our' \sim bádí \sim kúbú \sim bádí \sim bídì prosody \sim ídà (H-L) 'their' \sim ídà \sim kúbù \sim ídà \sim bídì

Clearly there is no such surface agreement in Kalabari or the other cited cases.

Another suggestion for comparison is case. Our hypothetical *H connective should of course be identified as a genitive case marker. But what about the other melodies? Again, where is the language in which nouns, possessive pronouns, numerals, and demonstratives assign different segmental case markers?

This leaves the idea of the construct state, where nouns have special forms in different syntactic configurations. Creissels (2009) surveys phenomena in African languages which he interprets as construct state, "a general label for noun forms that are obligatory in combination with certain types of noun dependents" (p. 74). This includes a tonal example from Tswana, where nouns ending H-H have an alternative H-L realization if followed by certain dependents, including a demonstrative, genitive, adjective, or relative clause (p. 80). He also considers the case of Mende where nouns have both a free and construct form. In the following examples, *ndopô* 'child' is in free form, while the second nouns appear in the construct state form:

Creissels (1994: 152ff) analyzes the free forms as having an underlying nasal prefix which is lacking in the construct state forms. Similar facts occur in closely related Kpelle (Welmers 1969). In the cases Creissels reports, it is the head noun that is affected, not a full phrasal domain. Unless we bring in different series of consonant gradation as found, say, in Fula (Arnott 1970), there is usually a binary contrast between two forms (cf. also N. Mao in the next paragraph). We thus again do not know of anything comparable to Kalabari whereby nouns (and intervening modifiers) have multiply distinct syllable shapes or other segmental realizations after another noun vs. possessive pronoun, numeral or demonstrative.

A rather interesting tonal construct state phenomenon is found in Northern Mao, an Omotic language spoken in Ethiopia, brought to our attention by Gwendolyn Hyslop and Mary Pearce. According to Ahland (2012:145), "... the [nine] citation melodies of two-syllable nouns (the vast majority of nouns in the language) collapse into three melodies when they are modified by any element: MM, ML and LL," as seen in the following table:

```
(74) Citation Tone Classes
                                         "Construct Noun Melody"
      H-H,
                                      > M-M
      M-M, L-L, H-L_1, M-H, M-L > M-L
      H-H<sub>2</sub>, H-L<sub>2</sub>, L-H
                                     > L-L
```

As indicated by the subscripts, there are two H-H and two H-L classes which have the same citation forms, but exhibit different behavior with respect to their construct noun tone. The above changes take place on the noun independent of the nature of the preceding modifier or its tone (Ahland 2012: 147–9):

```
(75) a. k'éts'é
                   'land'
                            H-H_1 \rightarrow i \int k'\bar{e}ts'\bar{e} M-M 'the land'
                                   → nà k'ēts'ē
                                                    M-M 'this land'
      b. p'īſē
                   'child'
                            M-M \rightarrow i \int p'i \hat{p}
                                                    M-L 'the child'
                                   → nà p'īſè
                                                    M-L 'this child'
      c. múnts'è 'woman' H-L → íſ mùnts'è L-L 'the woman'
                                   → nà mùnts'è L-L
                                                         'this woman'
```

However, this phenomenon is quite different: Whereas modifiers intervening between the initial word and the head noun are targeted by the tonal melodies in both Kalabari and Tommo So (recall (60)), "...only the head noun/nominal (i.e. whatever serves as the head of the NP) takes on the construct form". (Michael Ahland, personal communication). While it is possible to adopt the term, there is no known segmental parallel which distinguishes several different "construct forms". In this case one may ultimately attribute this to a quantitative, rather than qualitative difference between tonal and segmental marking. Cf. Hyman (2011:214):

"Tone is like segmental phonology in every way — only more so!

- QUANTITATIVELY more so: tone does certain things more frequently, to a greater extent, or more obviously (i.e. in a more straightforward fashion) than segmental phonology.
- QUALITATIVELY more so: tone can do everything segments and non-tonal prosodies can do, but segments and non-tonal prosodies cannot do everything tone can do."

Although it has not been our intention to provide a formal implementation of the above facts from Kalabari, let us conclude by briefly asking the question of how such phenomena should be analyzed. While Hayes' (1990) "precompiled phrasal phonology" seems related, it would be quite complex to apply it to the Kalabari case. In Hayes' framework certain rules apply lexically but come with a specific syntactic frame in which the derived forms must be lexically inserted. One might therefore be tempted, for example, to propose a morphological HLH tonal override which requires that the word be inserted after a possessive pronoun, e.g. /pulo/'oil' $\rightarrow p \acute{u}^{\downarrow} l\acute{o}$ /]_{PossPro} __. Recall, however, from (12) that this HLH melody is distributed over as many words as follows the possessive pronoun. In such cases it would seem counter-intuitive to apply separate lexical tone rules to each of these words. Rather, the assignment of the melody and its mapping are clearly phrasal.

Also seemingly related, and although applying at the phrase level, the above phenomena have all of the properties of lexical "co-phonologies" (see Inkelas 2011 and references therein). Setting up a set of constraints that have different rankings seems particularly appropriate in the Bumo, Yagaria, and Urarina cases, where different lexical items determine where a H tone is placed or a drop to L begins on the lexical head. One might therefore attempt to do the same with different constructions in conflict, each of which has its own "preferences" for how the phrasal tones should surface.

For us, what is interesting is how tone, more than any other phonological property, provides the linguistic GLUE holding together the various parts of the grammar. There is a wide range of tonal phenomena which seem related to morphology, which is why agreement, case, and construct state have been proposed as analogues to the Kalabari melodies (but not, presumably, to the lexical classes in §7). This presumably has to do with the medium (pitch), syntagmatic aspects of which speakers can and do package in a wider range of ways than any other phonological property. As seen above, this results in rather impressive phrase-level tonology, only some of which has been described, let alone analyzed at the present time.

Notes

* Our thanks to Sharon Inkelas for suggesting the connection to construction morphology (Booij 2010). Earlier versions of this paper were presented at the University of California, Berkeley (Feb. 27, 2012), the Fifth Conference on Tone and Intonation in Europe (TIE5), Oxford University (Sept. 8, 2012), the Laboratoire de Phonétique et Phonologie, Université Paris 3 (Oct. 19, 2012), the Universität Zürich (Nov. 2, 2012), and the University of Pennsylvania (March 28, 2013). Our thanks to the audiences at these presentations for their comments and suggestions, especially Laura McPherson, Mark Van de Velde, Balthasar Bickel, and Jeff Heinz. The first author thanks the Graduate Studies and University of the West Indies for research and travel support to Berkeley, Dec. 2011-February 2012 and May-June 2013, which made this collaboration possible. Thanks also to the editor, the associate editor, and two anonymous reviewers for their extensive comments on the original manuscript.

- 1. All of the data presented in this study are from the Asari dialect of Kalabari, as spoken by the first author. For further information on the grammar of Kalabari see especially Jenewari (1977).
- 2. In these and all examples an acute (') accent marks H(igh) tone, while a grave accent marks L(ow) tone. The raised descending arrow ($^{\downarrow}$) indicates a following downstepped H tone, a pitch level intermediate between H and L, which derives from an unlinked "floating" L tone wedged between two H tone syllables, e.g. /sá $\dot{k}i/ \rightarrow s\dot{a}^{\dagger}ki$ 'begin' in (1b). Kalabari has a system of nine vowels, eight of which are distinguished by their Advanced Tongue Root feature: /i, e, u, o/ are [+ATR], while /I, ε, υ, ɔ/ are [-ATR]. Due to a system of ATR vowel harmony which affects roots, affixes, and proclitics, vowels from the two sets generally do not co-occur. The vowel /a/, however, can occur with either set, hence $s\acute{a}^{\downarrow}k\acute{t}$ 'begin' vs. $p\acute{a}k\grave{r}r\acute{t}$ 'answer'. For more on the nature and areal distribution of African ATR systems see Casali (2003) and Clements & Rialland (2000); for more on Kalabari, see Jenewari (1977) and Akinlabi (1997).
- 3. Evidence for the logical separation of the two steps will be seen in §5, where verb tones are deleted after a direct object without a specific melody being assigned.
- 4. When N₂ is modified, the result is of course non-ambiguous. Thus, féní wárì can mean 'bird's house' or 'nest' (lit. 'birdhouse'), while féní ópú wàrì 'bird's big house' is unambiguously phrasal.
- 5. For the sake of simplicity we will generally avoid examples where the N₂ is monosyllabic or vowel-initial, which sometimes affect how the tonal melodies are mapped. For example, when the HL melody is assigned to a monosyllabic noun, either the L is not realized, or the vowel lengthens to accommodate it: /tὐ6ɔ/ 'child' + /sò/ 'sky, heaven, destiny' → tὐ6ɔ só ~ tὐ6ɔ sóò 'child's destiny'. Similar realizations are observed when the second noun has the shape VCV: /àkù/ 'bee' + /ásù/ 'odor' → àkù àsú ~ àkù àsú\ 'bee odor'. The expected form àk\ ás\ ás\ with HL assigned to the N₂ by underlying syllable can be heard only in very careful, perhaps unnatural speech. Similarly, melodies are assigned starting with the first CV of longer V-initial nouns: /tù6 δ / 'child' + / δ bìrì/ 'dog' \rightarrow tù6 δ òbírì 'child's dog'. We also ignore the fact that the first vowel of V+V sequences is normally elided. None of these minor complications affects the basic issues being discussed here, namely the assignment of tonal melodies by construction. For more discussion, see Harry (2004).
- 6. Differing slightly from the HL melody (note 6), HLH requires that the vowel of a monosyllabic or VCV noun lengthens: /sò/ 'sky, heaven' $\rightarrow i s \acute{o}^{\downarrow} \acute{o}$ 'my destiny'; /ílá/ 'intestines' $\rightarrow i \gamma \grave{e} i l \acute{a}^{\downarrow} \acute{a}$ 'my intestines'. The HLH melody skips over the initial vowel of longer V-initial nouns: /òbìrì/ 'dog' $\rightarrow i y \dot{e} \dot{o} b \dot{t}^{\dagger} r i$ 'my dog'. As seen in the last two examples, longer pronominal allomorphs are used before V-initial words. This does not affect the tonal assignments.
- 7. We have no explanation for this other than to note that it is as if the L tone V pronoun forms a prosodic constituent with the first syllable of the noun, i.e. $i + n \acute{a} m \acute{a} \rightarrow (i \text{ na})$ (ma), with the /HLH/ melody then being assigned to the remaining syllable (ma).
- 8. There is one exception to this statement. The possessive pronoun ani 'its', which was seen in (9), has a second function as a distal demonstrative. Thus, $\partial n = n \sin^2 n$ and $\partial n = n \sin n$ or

- 'that animal' (Harry 2004:67). Despite its innovative demonstrative function, ànì still assigns the possessive /HLH/ melody.
- 9. In order not to interfere with the tone marking, nasalization is transcribed by a tilde under the vowel, e.g. tòwá 'many'.
- 10. Certain higher numbers and bébé 'whole' also assign HL and are also presumably nouns: sí fénì 'twenty birds', bébé sánì 'whole pepper', etc.
- 11. We of course cannot distinguish between L tone spreading vs. default L assignment. Minimally, we have to say that the preceding H of 'your' spreads onto these nouns.
- 12. These categories are Factative (FACT), Progressive (PROG), Future (FUT), and Perfect (PERF). That the factative has a floating H that creates a HL melody directly supports the diachronic origin of HL that we propose in §6. It may not however always be present: another variant of 'bad animal' is sì nàma, where the noun is realized all L.
- 13. Another modifier which exceptionally does not affect the base tone of the following noun is jé 'another': jé féní 'another bird', jé fini 'another fire', jé bélè 'another light', jé gàrí 'another garri', ję wá[†]rí 'another house'. However, since ję́ occurs in absolute initial position and is mutually exclusive with both demonstratives and possessive pronouns, we consider that it is not an adjective, but rather a specifier within the DP (cf. (23a)).
- 14. In (11) and (12) we already saw that the HLH melody assigned by the possessive pronoun overrides the HL melody that an N₁ would have assigned to an N₂. Thus /i + féní + námá/ 'my bird's meat' is realized *ì fèní nàmá* and not **ì fé* $^{\downarrow}$ *ní námà*, where the pronoun assigns HLH to the N₁ 'bird', and 'bird' assigns HL to the N₂ 'meat'.
- 15. Although we have seen the HL melody to be associated with a $N_1 + N_2$ construction, the HL variants in (25) are the first indication that HL may be in the process of becoming an alternative default assignment in complex constructions. Re (25b), recall that the longer allomorphs of the possessive pronouns are required before a vowel, a detail of the language which has no effect on the assignment of melodies (cf. note 6).
- 16. Note that the phrases in (30) and (31) can in fact end with a final H% boundary tone, which marks emphasis on utterances that end with either underlying or derived L. Thus compare also the normal realization of the numeral L melody in sɔ̃ná ìbì wàrì 'five good houses' with emphatic sɔ̃ná ìbì wàrí. Perhaps related to this is that imperatives also end H, e.g. ye⁴é wá dɛ̀rí 'let's laugh!' (/dɛri/ 'laugh'). We ignore emphatic final H% in this study.
- 17. Higher numbers might also appear to be exceptions, e.g. *féní má sì* 'forty birds' (< /féní + mà + sì/; lit. 'birds two twenties'; cf. sí fénì 'twenty birds'), féní mákpà 'four hundred birds' (< /féní + mà + àkpà/). However, in these cases the head noun /féní/ acts as the modifier on the numerals, assigning them a HL melody, as expected.
- 18. Curiously, downstep insertion does not apply in $N_1 + N_2$ constructions. Thus recall èkpé námà 'he-goat's meat' from (5d). While downstep insertion is a very unusual rule whose history is not completely understood, we speculate that it has to do with protecting the H of word-final L-H, since a L-H-H sequence has a tendency to become L-L-H (Hyman & Schuh 1974: 98). This in fact does optionally occur in $N_1 + N_2$, hence the variant èkpè námà.

- 19. If the assimilation is interpreted as autosegmental spreading, this would mean that the L inserted by rule (34) would have to split up the multilinked H. This and similar such phenomena are discussed by Hyman (2014).
- 20. The full TAM forms are in fact found only in main clause affirmative declaratives, i.e. not in negative, interrogative, copular, or relative clauses.
- 21. Also like $N_1 + N_2 + N_3$, there is some flexibility in assigning the HL melody. Thus, the factative and perfect forms can also be realized dêrì pírì nàmà bé and dêrì tè pírì nàmà bé with the HL melody assigned to the first noun of the compound. This supports our claim in (28) that the two mappings of HL have nothing to do with left- vs. right-branching.
- 22. Although not discussed here for reasons of space, the final definite marker can condition the same H + $^{\downarrow}$ H sequence in relative clauses seen above in §4: $p\dot{u}l\dot{o}$ $f\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ $t\dot{o}b\dot{o}$ $b\dot{e} \sim p\dot{u}l\dot{o}$ $f\dot{u}r\dot{u}$ $t\dot{o}b\dot{o}$ $^{\downarrow}b\dot{e}$ 'the child that stole the oil'. What this potentially means is that there is a competition between the HL melody coming from the TAM marker vs. the LH that definiteness would like to impose. The downstep is from rule (34).
- 23. Unfortunately, Ford does not give enough information to determine if all unstable adjectives are L-H, or what the tonal possibilities are of stable adjectives. Neither of the two which he gives has L-H tone, as seen in (65a).
- 24. Among the languages not mentioned thus far, Haya nouns and verbs lose their H tones when followed by certain modifiers/complements (Hyman & Byarushengo 1984), while the Barasana and N. Mao phenomena are presented below in (72) and (74), respectively.
- 25. Concerning Ijo in general, Williamson (1988) analyzes tonal classes such as Izon's A-D as having different floating tones to assign to the rest of the noun phrase (often with OCP violations). For an interesting parallel analysis in San Marcos Zacatepec Eastern Chatino (Otomanguean, Mexico), see Woodbury (2012).
- **26.** The tilde ~ marks a nasal prosody on the whole morpheme.

References

Ahland, Michael Bryan. 2012. A grammar of Northern Mao (Màwés Aas'è). University of Oregon disseration.

Akinlabi, Akinbiyi. 1997. Kalabari vowel harmony. The Linguistic Review 14. 97-138. DOI: 10.1515/tlir.1997.14.2.97

Akinlabi, Akinbiyi, Bruce Connell & Ebitare Obikudo. 2009. The tonal structure of Nkoroo nominal constructions. In Eno-Abasi Urua, Moses Ekpeyong & Firmin Ahoua (eds.), Language development beyond borders, 447-466. Uyo: Ensel Pub.

Arnott, D. W. 1970. The nominal and verbal systems of Fula. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Booij, Geert. 2010. Construction Morphology. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Casali, Roderic F. 2003. [ATR] value assymetries and underlying vowel inventory structure in Niger-Congo and Nilo-Saharan. Linguistic Typology 7. 307-382. DOI: 10.1515/lity.2003.018

Clements, G. N. & Kevin C. Ford. 1979. Kikuyu tone shift and its synchronic consequences. Linguistic Inquiry 10. 179-210.

- Clements, G. N. & Annie Rialland. 2006. Africa as a phonological area. In Bernd Heine & Derek Nurse (eds.), Africa as a Linguistic Area, 36-85. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Creissels, Denis. 1994. Aperçu sur les structures phonologiques des langues négro-africaines, 2nd edn. Grenoble: ELLUG.
- Creissels, Denis. 2009. Construct forms of nouns in African languages. In Peter K. Austin, Oliver Bond, Monik Charette, David Nathan & Peter Sells (eds.), Proceedings of Conference on Language Documentation & Linguistic Theory 2, 73-82. London: SOAS.
- Efere, Emmanuel Efereala. 2001. The pitch system of the Bu2mo2 dialect of Izon. In Suzanne Gessner, Sunyoung Oh & Kayono Shiobara (eds.), Current research on African languages and linguistics, 115-259. University of British Columbia Working Papers in Linguistics 4.
- Ford, Kevin. 1993. A preliminary comparison of Kamano-Yagaria. Language and Linguistics in Melanesia 24. 191-202.
- Gomez-Imbert, Elsa & Michael Kenstowicz. 2000. Barasana tone and accent. IJAL 66. 419-463. Harry, Otelemate. 2004. Aspects of the tonal system of Kalabari-Ijo. Stanford: C. S. L. I.
- Heath, Jeffrey & Laura McPherson. 2013. Tonosyntax and reference restriction in Dogon NPs. Language 89. 265-296. DOI: 10.1353/lan.2013.0020
- Hyman, Larry M. 1979. A reanalysis of tonal downstep. Journal of African Languages and Linguistics 1. 9–29. DOI: 10.1515/jall.1979.1.1.9
- Hyman, Larry M. 2011. Tone: is it different? In John Goldsmith, Jason Riggle & Alan Yu (eds.), The handbook of phonological theory, 2nd edn., 197–239. Oxford: Blackwell. DOI: 10.1002/9781444343069.ch7
- Hyman, Larry M. 2014. How autosegmental is phonology? The Linguistic Review 31.363-400.
- Hyman, Larry M. & Ernest Rugwa Byarushengo. 1984. A model of Haya tonology. In G. N. Clements & John Goldsmith (eds.), Autosegmental studies in Bantu tone, 53-103. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.
- Hyman, Larry M. & Russell G. Schuh. 1974. Universals of tone rules: Evidence from West Africa. Linguistic Inquiry 5. 81-115.
- Inkelas, Sharon. 2011. The interaction between morphology and phonology. In John Goldsmith, Jason Riggle & Alan Yu (eds.), The Handbook of Phonological Theory, 2nd edn., 68-102. Oxford: Blackwell. DOI: 10.1002/9781444343069.ch3
- Jenewari, Charles Ezekiel William. 1977. Studies in Kalabari syntax. University of Ibadan dissertation.
- McCarthy, John J. 1981. A prosodic theory of nonconcatenative morphology. Linguistic Inquiry 12. 373-418.
- McPherson, Laura. 2012. Replacive grammatical tone in the Dogon languages: A factorial typology. UCLA dissertation prospectus.
- Olawsky, Knut J. 2006. A grammar of Urarina. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter. DOI: 10.1515/9783110892932
- Selkirk, Elisabeth & Tong Shen. 1990. Prosodic domains in Shanghai Chinese. In Sharon Inkelas & Draga Zec (eds.), The phonology-syntax connection, 313-337. Stanford: CSLI.
- Welmers, William E. 1973. African language structures. Berkeley & Los Angeles: University of California Press.
- Welmers, William E. 1969. The morphology of Kpelle nominals. Journal of African Languages
- Williamson, Kay. 1988. Tone and accent in Ijo. In Harry van der Hulst & Norval Smith (eds.), Autosegmental studies on pitch accent, 253-278. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.

Woodbury, Anthony C. 2012. The unusual complexity and typological diversity of tone in Chatino languages of Oaxaca, Mexico. Paper presented at the University of Florida, February 10, 2012.

Zee, Eric & Ian Maddieson. 1980. Tones and tone sandhi in Shanghai: Phonetic evidence and phonological analysis. Glossa 14. 45-88.

Corresponding author's address

Larry M. Hyman Department of Linguistics University of California, Berkeley 1203 Dwinelle MC 2650 Berkeley, CA 94708 USA

hyman@berkeley.edu