Title

Predicting the Next Superspreader

Journal

mSystems, 8(1)

ISSN

2379-5077

Authors

Chavez-Arroyo, Alfredo

Bäumler, Andreas J

Publication Date

2023-02-23

DOI

10.1128/msystems.01199-22

Peer reviewed

AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR MICROBIOLOGY | mSystems®

COMMENTARY

Predicting the Next Superspreader

Alfredo Chavez-Arroyo,[a] **Andreas J. Bäumler**[a]

[a]Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, School of Medicine, University of California at Davis, Davis, California, USA

ABSTRACT The spread of multidrug-resistant zoonotic pathogens, such as *Salmonella*, within livestock is of concern for food safety. The spread of *Salmonella* on the farm is escalated by superspreaders, which shed the pathogen at high numbers with their feces. However, there are currently no biomarkers to identify potential superspreaders. Kempf and coworkers determined that a potent early inflammatory response to *Salmonella* infection and changes in the microbiota composition are associated with the superspreader phenotype in pigs (F. Kempf, G. Cordoni, A.M. Chaussé, R. Drumo, et al., *mSystems*, in press, https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00852-22). Since these biomarkers only develop during *Salmonella* infection, additional work is needed to predict animals that have the potential to become superspreaders.

KEYWORDS Salmonella, antibiotic resistance, gut microbiome, swine

An outbreak caused by a multidrug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar Typhimurium clone, known as monophasic *S.* Typhimurium, started in 2006 in the United Kingdom and other European countries and is ongoing (1). Monophasic *S.* Typhimurium carries a deletion of the *fljAB* operon and is particularly associated with intestinal carriage in pig herds, from where it was introduced into the human food supply (2–4). The emergence of monophasic *S.* Typhimurium coincided with the beginning of a European Union-wide ban of using antibiotics as growth promoters in pig feed, which made inclusion of copper salts a popular alternative to improve growth performance of pig herds (5). Whole-genome analysis of monophasic *S.* Typhimurium isolates from the United Kingdom showed that they form a single clade derived from an ancestral organism carrying a large novel genomic island (designated SGI-4), suggesting that SGI-4 was acquired shortly before clonal expansion of the monophasic *S.* Typhimurium clade (6). SGI-4 encodes a heavy metal RND-family efflux pump conferring enhanced resistance to copper sulfate, thus correlating with the common use of dietary copper supplementation in the porcine reservoir from where this clade originates (5).

The phylogenetic tree of *S.* Typhimurium branches into two major subdivisions, one containing the monophasic *S.* Typhimurium clade and the other including commonly used *S.* Typhimurium laboratory strains (e.g., ATCC 14028, SL1344) as well as multidrug-resistant *S.* Typhimurium clones associated with previous outbreaks in cattle and humans (7). Knowledge about *S.* Typhimurium pathogenesis is largely derived from studies on isolates belonging to the latter subdivision, whereas the monophasic *S.* Typhimurium clade remains poorly studied. Here, Kempf and coworkers investigated which properties are associated with high fecal shedding of monophasic *S. Typhimurium* in pigs (8).

In mice, a fraction of animals, termed superspreaders, exhibit a high luminal abundance of *S.* Typhimurium within the colon and are responsible for pathogen transmission (9). The luminal abundance of *S.* Typhimurium within the colon is controlled initially by the microbiota (10), but it increases once virulence factors trigger intestinal inflammation (11). Increased pathogen growth occurs as the host response escalates the availability of respiratory electron acceptors in the colonic lumen, from which the pathogen then benefits (12–15). *S.* Typhimurium virulence factors trigger severe acute intestinal inflammation in mice (16, 17) and cattle (18), but the pathogen causes less severe disease in pigs. Nonetheless, virulence

Address correspondence to Andreas J. Bäumler, ajbaumler@ucdavis.edu.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

For the article discussed, see https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00852-22.

The views expressed in this article do not necessarily reflect the views of the journal or of ASM.

Published 19 January 2023

factors enable *S.* Typhimurium to overcome colonization resistance conferred by the microbiota in this host species (19). The ability to reach superspreader status is thought to be important for transmission within pig herds, which is an important food safety concern.

The new research shows that enhanced shedding of monophasic *S.* Typhimurium with the feces of pigs is associated with higher proinflammatory cytokine levels during, but not prior to, infection (8). Furthermore, the superspreader phenotype of monophasic *S.* Typhimurium in pigs is associated with changes in the microbiota composition during infection that predict a functional enrichment for pathways involved in anaerobic respiration (8). These data are consistent with previous work suggesting that *S.* Typhimurium virulence factors trigger intestinal inflammation to increase the availability of respiratory electron acceptors that boost pathogen growth (20, 21). Nevertheless, this work highlights that ongoing work is needed to define the biomarkers that reliably predict which animals will reach superspreader status after they become infected with monophasic *S.* Typhimurium.

REFERENCES

1. Switt AI, Soyer Y, Warnick LD, Wiedmann M. 2009. Emergence, distribution, and molecular and phenotypic characteristics of Salmonella enterica serotype 4,5,12:i. Foodborne Pathog Dis 6:407–415. https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2008.0213.
2. de la Torre E, Zapata D, Tello M, Mejía W, Frías N, García Peña FJ, Mateu EM, Torre E. 2003. Several Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serotype 4,5,12:i:- phage types isolated from swine samples originate from serotype typhimurium DT U302. J Clin Microbiol 41:2395–2400. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.41.6.2395-2400.2003.
3. Mossong J, Marques P, Ragimbeau C, Huberty-Krau P, Losch S, Meyer G, Moris G, Strottner C, Rabsch W, Schneider F. 2007. Outbreaks of monophasic Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:- in Luxembourg, 2006. Euro Surveill 12:E11–E12.
4. Hauser E, Tietze E, Helmuth R, Junker E, Blank K, Prager R, Rabsch W, Appel B, Fruth A, Malorny B. 2010. Pork contaminated with Salmonella enterica serovar 4,[5],12:i:-, an emerging health risk for humans. Appl Environ Microbiol 76:4601–4610. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02991-09.
5. Holman DB, Chenier MR. 2015. Antimicrobial use in swine production and its effect on the swine gut microbiota and antimicrobial resistance. Can J Microbiol 61:785–798. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2015-0239.
6. Petrovska L, Mather AE, AbuOun M, Branchu P, Harris SR, Connor T, Hopkins KL, Underwood A, Lettini AA, Page A, Bagnall M, Wain J, Parkhill J, Dougan G, Davies R, Kingsley RA. 2016. Microevolution of monophasic Salmonella typhimurium during epidemic, United Kingdom, 2005–2010. Emerg Infect Dis 22:617–624. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2204.150531.
7. Branchu P, Bawn M, Kingsley RA. 2018. Genome variation and molecular epidemiology of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium pathovariants. Infect Immun 86. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00079-18.
8. Kempf F, Cordoni G, Chaussé AM, Drumo R, Brown HLD, Horton FP, Denis M, Velge P, La Ragione R, Kerouanton A. Inflammatory responses induced by the monophasic variant of *Salmonella* Typhimurium in pigs plays a role in the high shedder phenotype and fecal microbiota composition, in press. mSystems https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.00852-22.
9. Lawley TD, Bouley DM, Hoy YE, Gerke C, Relman DA, Monack DM. 2008. Host transmission of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium is controlled by virulence factors and indigenous intestinal microbiota. Infect Immun 76:403–416. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.01189-07.
10. Jacobson A, Lam L, Rajendram M, Tamburini F, Honeycutt J, Pham T, Van Treuren W, Pruss K, Stabler SR, Lugo K, Bouley DM, Vilches-Moure JG, Smith M, Sonnenburg JL, Bhatt AS, Huang KC, Monack D. 2018. A gut commensal-produced metabolite mediates colonization resistance to Salmonella infection. Cell Host Microbe 24:296–307.e297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2018.07.002.
11. Stecher B, Robbiani R, Walker AW, Westendorf AM, Barthel M, Kremer M, Chaffron S, Macpherson AJ, Buer J, Parkhill J, Dougan G, von Mering C, Hardt W-D. 2007. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium exploits inflammation to compete with the intestinal microbiota. PLoS Biol 5:2177–2189.
12. Winter SE, Thiennimitr P, Winter MG, Butler BP, Huseby DL, Crawford RW, Russell JM, Bevins CL, Adams LG, Tsolis RM, Roth JR, Bäumler AJ. 2010. Gut inflammation provides a respiratory electron acceptor for Salmonella. Nature 467:426–429. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09415.
13. Lopez CA, Winter SE, Rivera-Chavez F, Xavier MN, Poon V, Nuccio S-P, Tsolis RM, Bäumler AJ. 2012. Phage-mediated acquisition of a type III secreted effector protein boosts growth of Salmonella by nitrate respiration. mBio 3:e00143-12.
14. Lopez CA, Rivera-Chavez F, Byndloss MX, Baumler AJ. 2015. The periplasmic nitrate reductase NapABC supports luminal growth of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium during colitis. Infect Immun 83:3470–3478. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00351-15.
15. Rivera-Chavez F, et al. 2016. Depletion of butyrate-producing clostridia from the gut microbiota drives an aerobic luminal expansion of Salmonella. Cell Host Microbe 19:443–454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.03.004.
16. Barthel M, Hapfelmeier S, Quintanilla-Martínez L, Kremer M, Rohde M, Hogardt M, Pfeffer K, Rüssmann H, Hardt W-D. 2003. Pretreatment of mice with streptomycin provides a Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium colitis model that allows analysis of both pathogen and host. Infect Immun 71:2839–2858. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.5.2839-2858.2003.
17. Hapfelmeier S, Stecher B, Barthel M, Kremer M, Müller AJ, Heikenwalder M, Stallmach T, Hensel M, Pfeffer K, Akira S, Hardt W-D. 2005. The Salmonella pathogenicity island (SPI)-2 and SPI-1 type III secretion systems allow Salmonella serovar typhimurium to trigger colitis via MyD88-dependent and MyD88-independent mechanisms. J Immunol 174:1675–1685. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.174.3.1675.
18. Tsolis RM, Adams LG, Ficht TA, Baumler AJ. 1999. Contribution of Salmonella typhimurium virulence factors to diarrheal disease in calves. Infect Immun 67:4879–4885. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.67.9.4879-4885.1999.
19. Drumo R, Pesciaroli M, Ruggeri J, Tarantino M, Chirullo B, Pistoia C, Petrucci P, Martinelli N, Moscati L, Manuali E, Pavone S, Picciolini M, Ammendola S, Gabai G, Battistoni A, Pezzotti G, Alborali GL, Napolioni V, Pasquali P, Magistrali CF. 2015. Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium exploits inflammation to modify swine intestinal microbiota. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 5:106.
20. Tsolis RM, Baumler AJ. 2020. Gastrointestinal host-pathogen interaction in the age of microbiome research. Curr Opin Microbiol 53:78–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2020.03.002.
21. Rogers AWL, Tsolis RM, Baumler AJ. 2021. Salmonella versus the microbiome. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 85. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00027-19.