UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Sex differences in the association between apolipoprotein E $\epsilon4$ allele and Alzheimer's disease markers

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1329r1rm

Journal

Alzheimer's & Dementia Diagnosis Assessment & Disease Monitoring, 10(1)

ISSN 2352-8729

Authors

Sundermann, Erin E Tran, My Maki, Pauline M <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2018

DOI

10.1016/j.dadm.2018.06.004

Peer reviewed



Abstract





Alzheimer's & Dementia: Diagnosis, Assessment & Disease Monitoring 10 (2018) 438-447

Genetics

Sex differences in the association between apolipoprotein E ε4 allele and Alzheimer's disease markers

Erin E. Sundermann^{a,*}, My Tran^b, Pauline M. Maki^c, Mark W. Bondi^{a,d}, for the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative¹

^aDepartment of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA ^bDepartment of Psychology, San Diego State University, San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA ^cDepartments of Psychiatry and Psychology, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA ^dVeterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, CA, USA

Introduction: We determined whether the effect of apolipoprotein E (*APOE*)- ε 4 genotype on Alzheimer's disease (AD) markers differs in men and women across AD stages.

Methods: Among normal control (NC) participants (N = 702) and participants with mild cognitive impairment (N = 576) and AD (N = 305), we examined the associations of sex and *APOE*- ε 4 carrier status with cortical amyloid- β (A β) burden, hippocampal volume ratio (HpVR; hippocampal volume/intracranial volume × 10³), brain glucose metabolism, and verbal memory.

Results: In NC, *APOE*- ε 4 related to greater A β burden and poorer verbal memory across sex but to smaller HpVR and hypometabolism in men only. In mild cognitive impairment, *APOE*- ε 4 related to smaller HpVR, hypometabolism, greater A β burden, and poorer verbal memory across sex. In AD, *APOE*- ε 4 related to greater A β burden in men only and smaller HpVR across sex and showed no association with hypometabolism or verbal memory.

Discussion: Sex differences in the association between *APOE*-ɛ4 and AD markers vary by disease stage. © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: APOE; Sex differences; Amyloid-β plaque deposition; Hippocampal volume; Brain glucose metabolism; Verbal memory

1. Background

The $\varepsilon 4$ allele of the apolipoprotein E gene (*APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$) is the most common genetic risk factor for Alzheimer's disease (AD) [1,2]. *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$ is associated with AD-related biological and clinical markers including cortical amyloid- β (A β) plaque burden, hippocampal atrophy [3–5], and accelerated cognitive decline in healthy aging [6–8]. However, there have been conflicting/mixed findings with some reporting that *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$ is not associated with hippocampal volume [9,10] or cognitive decline in healthy aging [9,10] or with risk of converting from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to AD [11,12]. These inconsistencies may be due to the potentially critical modulating role of sex in the association between *APOE*- $\varepsilon 4$ and AD.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dadm.2018.06.004

2352-8729/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the Alzheimer's Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Conflict of interest: M.W.B. is paid royalties from the Oxford University Press and serves as a consultant for Eisai and Novartis. The other authors report no conflicts of interest.

¹Data used in preparation of this article were obtained from the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database (adni.loni.usc .edu). As such, the investigators within the ADNI contributed to the design and implementation of ADNI and/or provided data but did not participate in analysis or writing of this report. A complete listing of ADNI investigators can be found at: http://adni.loni.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/how_to_ apply/ADNI_Acknowledgement_List.pdf.

^{*}Corresponding author. Tel.: (858)552-8585x7286; Fax: (858) 642-1218. E-mail address: esundermann@einstein.yu.edu

Early cross-sectional studies indicated that APOE-E4 confers a greater risk for AD in women than in men [13–15]. These early findings are supported by a longitudinal study [16] and a meta-analysis that reported an increased risk of incident AD in female APOE-e4 heterozygotes versus male heterozygotes among adults aged 65 to 75 years [17]. Among older normal controls, the adverse effect of APOE-e4 on AD biomarkers including cerebrospinal fluid total tau levels [18], brain metabolism [19], cortical thinning [19], and functional brain connectivity in the default mode network [18] was stronger in women versus men, although not consistently [19,20]. In MCI, the effect of APOE- ε 4 on brain atrophy [21], total tau levels [16], and the tau/A β ratio [16] was stronger in women versus men. To our knowledge, only one study examined sex differences in the effects of APOE-E4 in AD patients and reported a stronger association between APOE-E4 and AB burden in parietal, cingulate, and frontal regions in men versus women [22], suggesting a possible reversal in the moderating role of sex on APOE-E4 in the MCI-to-AD transition that warrants further exploration.

Surprisingly, few studies have examined the interactive effects of sex and APOE-E4 on cognitive performance [21,23,24], particularly verbal memory, even though verbal memory is the cognitive domain that shows the earliest and most severe deficits in AD [25]. Among community-dwelling older adults, the association between APOE-e4 and accelerated cognitive decline, as measured by a global cognitive measure, was stronger in women versus men [23,24]. In a MCI sample, female heterozygous or homozygous APOE-e4 carriers showed worse performance on a delayed (5 minute) word recall task from the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale Cognitive Subscale compared with female noncarriers, whereas the APOE-E4 effect was only evident in homozygous men [21]. In addition, few studies have examined the sex by APOE interaction across the AD continuum [22] despite evidence of a temporal ordering of AD markers, whereby AB deposition occurs first, followed by neurodegenerative biomarkers and cognitive impairment [26,27]. We systematically examined the separate and interactive effects of sex and APOE on multiple AD-related markers including AB deposition, hippocampal volume, brain glucose metabolism, and verbal memory performance in the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) database. Effects were examined in each disease stage (NC, MCI, AD), in which MCI versus NC was defined using the Jak/Bondi diagnostic method, an actuarial, neuropsychological diagnostic approach that has produced more discernible cognitive phenotypes, more stable diagnoses, stronger associations with AD biomarkers, and better predication of progression to dementia than conventional diagnostic criteria [28,29]. Consistent with the broader literature, we predicted that the adverse effects of APOE-e4 would be stronger in women versus men, and in-line with the temporal sequence of AD-related markers

[26,27], this sex difference in the *APOE*- ε 4 effect will manifest at the NC stage for earlier AD events (A β deposition) and in MCI for later events (hippocampal atrophy, brain hypometabolism, and memory deficits).

2. Methods

2.1. Participants and data source

Data were extracted from the ADNI database (adni.loni. usc.edu). ADNI is a longitudinal, multisite cohort study that began in 2003 as a public-private partnership. Information about ADNI can be found at www.adni-info. org. ADNI study visits involve neuroimaging, neuropsychological, and clinical assessments. We included participants who had *APOE* genotype and baseline data on one of the AD-related markers examined herein. We limited our sample to ADNI's largest race/ethnic group, Caucasians, to minimize potential population stratification bias that could complicate interpretation of genetic data. Analyses were repeated while excluding APOE- ε 2 allele carriers because the protective effect of APOE- ε 2 could mask the adverse effect of APOE- ε 4.

2.2. Verbal memory assessment

Our verbal memory measure was the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (AVLT) [30]. The AVLT is a multitrial list learning and memory test with immediate and delayed recall and recognition outcomes. Immediate recall scores (range: 0–75) were the primary outcome because they were not used in diagnostic criteria, and learning deficits may better discriminate preclinical AD from normal controls than retention deficits [31,32].

2.3. Biomarkers

Biomarkers included neuroimaging measures of hippocampal volume, brain glucose metabolism, and cortical A β deposition. Structural MRI scans were collected on a 1.5T scanner according to a standardized protocol [33]. Hippocampal volume data were analyzed using FreeSurfer version 4.3 (https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) at the University of California–San Francisco (http://adni.loni.ucla. edu/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/UCSF-FreeSurfer-Overviewand-QC_-Template_Format.pdf) [34]. To control for sex differences in head size, we calculated a hippocampal volume ratio (HpVR) using the formula, hippocampal/ intracranial volume $\times 10^3$.

Brain glucose metabolism was measured by [¹⁸F] fludeoxyglucose (FDG) positron emission tomography (PET). Images were preprocessed following a standard procedure described in http://adni.loni.usc.edu/methods/ pet-analysis/pre-processing/. ADNI investigators at the University of California, Berkeley, established a "MetaROI" of brain regions that commonly demonstrate metabolic changes in MCI/AD which correlate with cognitive performance in a meta-analysis [11,35]. The "MetaROI" was comprised of bilateral posterior cingulate gyrus, bilateral angular gyri, and middle/inferior temporal gyrus. Standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) were calculated by averaging FDG uptake across the MetaROI and dividing by a reference region of pons and cerebellum [11,35]. FDG PET image analysis is described in http://www.adni-info.org/Scientists/ADNIStudy Procedures.aspx.

Cortical A β burden was measured by [¹⁸F]florbetapir positron emission tomography (AV45 PET) as described in http://www.adni-info.org. Mean AV45 uptake was measured within frontal, anterior/posterior cingulate, lateral parietal, and lateral temporal regions. SUVRs were calculated by averaging across regions and dividing by whole cerebellum.

2.4. Diagnostic classification

Diagnosis of NC versus MCI was based on the Jak/Bondi diagnostic method [28]. This method included six neuropsychological tests representing three cognitive domains: (1) Trail-Making Tests A and B (psychomotor speed/executive function); (2) Category Fluency and Boston Naming Test (language); and (3) AVLT Delayed Recall and Recognition Tests (episodic memory). An impaired score was defined as >1 SD below the age-corrected normative mean. MCI diagnosis required one of three criteria: (1) impaired score on two tests within a cognitive domain; (2) one impaired score in each of the three cognitive domains; and/or (3) a score of 9 on the Functional Assessment Questionnaire indicating dependence in at least three daily activities. If no criterion was met, a NC diagnosis was provided. Diagnostic criteria for AD were based on the standard National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria [36].

2.5. Statistical analysis

The APOE genotype was categorized into APOE-e4 carriers and noncarriers because of the low prevalence of APOE-e4 homozygotes when stratifying by sex and diagnostic group (e.g., 8 women and 22 men in NC group). Analyses were conducted within diagnostic group. Differences in sample characteristics and AD-related markers between sex and APOE-E4 status were examined using independent t-tests for continuous variables and Chi-square tests for categorical variables. We used multivariable linear regression analyses modeling the separate and interactive associations of sex and APOE-E4 status with each AD-associated marker (HpVR, FDG SUVR, AV45 SUVR, and AVLT scores) while adjusting for age and education. The interaction was removed from the model if P > .10. Analyses were performed using SPSS 24 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Significance was defined as $P \leq .05$ (two-sided).

Table 1
Sample size by sex, APOE-e4 status, and diagnostic group for each AD-
related marker

	Total	$APOE$ - ϵ 4+		APOE-e4-	
Diagnostic group/outcome	sample	Women	Men	Women	Men
NC					
AVLT Immediate Recall	702	107	126	228	241
HpVR	630	101	109	205	215
FDG SUVR	562	88	101	180	193
AV45 SUVR	430	78	71	143	138
MCI					
AVLT Immediate Recall	576	127	183	101	165
HpVR	490	112	152	84	142
FDG SUVR	414	87	135	73	119
AV45 SUVR	260	58	78	52	72
AD					
AVLT Immediate Recall	305	90	120	45	58
HpVR	250	73	97	36	44
FDG SUVR	220	63	83	25	49
AV45 SUVR	130	39	48	15	28

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; *APOE-* ε 4, apolipoprotein ε 4 allele; AV45, florbetapir positron emission tomography; AVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; FDG, fluodeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio (hippocampal/intracranial volume $\times 10^3$); MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NC, normal control; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio.

3. Results

Our sample included 1583 participants with AVLT scores, 1370 with HpVR, 998 with FDG SUVR, and 820 with AV45 SUVR. Table 1 displays sample sizes by sex and APOE-e4 status for each diagnostic group and AD-related marker. In the largest sample (participants with AVLT scores), there were no sex differences in the distribution of APOE-e4 carriers in any diagnostic group. In all diagnostic groups, women were younger and had less years of education than men (P's < .05; Table 2). Mean Mini-Mental State Examination score was higher in NC women versus NC men (P = .002), although the difference was only half a point. APOE-e4 carriers were younger than noncarriers in all diagnostic groups (P < .01). APOE- ε 4 carriers had a lower mean Mini-Mental State Examination and a higher mean Clinical Dementia Rating-Sum of Boxes socres than noncarriers in MCI (P < .001). Differences between sex and APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ status groups on AD-related markers are examined in the linear regressions that are described in the following.

3.1. Normal control

Inconsistent with hypotheses, there was a significant sex by *APOE* interaction on HpVR (Table 3) and FDG SUVR in NC (Fig. 1). The *APOE*- ε 4 allele was associated with smaller HpVR and lower FDG SUVR in men (HpVR: B = -0.26, SE = 0.07, P < .001; FDG SUVR: B = -0.06, SE = 0.02, P < .001) but not in women (HpVR: B = 0.01, SE = 0.07, P = .86; FDG SUVR: B = -0.01, SE = 0.01, P = .33). The interaction

Table 2 Characteristics of each diagnostic group as a function of sex and APOE-e4 status

Parameters	Sex		Women versus	APOE-ε4 status		$APOF$ - $\epsilon 4 + versus$
	Women	Men	men P value	$\overline{APOE-\varepsilon 4+}$	APOE-ε4-	$APOE$ - $\varepsilon 4 - P$ value
NC						
Age	73.0 (6.6)	74.1 (6.9)	.01	72.4 (6.9)	74.1 (6.7)	.001
Education, years	15.8 (2.6)	17.0 (2.5)	<.001	16.2 (2.5)	16.5 (2.6)	ns
MMSE	28.9 (1.3)	28.6 (1.5)	.002	28.6 (1.6)	28.8 (1.3)	ns
AVLT Immediate Recall	47.7 (8.9)	44.1 (10.1)	<.001	43.4 (10.6)	44.5 (9.8)	ns
HpVR	5.0 (0.6)	4.7 (0.7)	<.001	4.8 (0.7)	4.9 (0.7)	ns
FDG SUVR	1.32 (0.11)	1.29 (0.12)	<.001	1.28 (0.12)	1.31 (0.11)	.002
AV45 SUVR	1.2 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	.04	1.2 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	<.001
MCI						
Age	72.5 (7.4)	74.5 (6.8)	.003	72.9 (6.7)	74.6 (7.4)	<.001
Education, years	15.4 (2.8)	16.2 (2.8)	.003	15.8 (2.9)	15.9 (2.7)	ns
MMSE	27.4 (1.9)	27.4 (1.8)	ns	27.2 (1.8)	27.7 (1.8)	<.001
CDR-SOB	1.5 (1.0)	1.6 (1.0)	ns	1.7 (1.0)	1.4 (1.0)	<.001
AVLT Immediate Recall	32.6 (8.7)	29.3 (7.5)	<.001	29.5 (7.7)	31.9 (8.5)	<.001
HpVR	4.4 (0.8)	4.2 (0.7)	.005	4.2 (0.8)	4.4 (0.7)	.02
FDG SUVR	1.22 (0.14)	1.22 (0.13)	ns	1.20 (0.13)	1.24 (0.13)	.002
AV45 SUVR	1.2 (0.2)	1.3 (0.2)	ns	1.3 (0.2)	1.1 (0.2)	<.001
AD						
Age	73.8 (7.9)	76.0 (7.6)	.03	74.1 (7.2)	76.8 (8.6)	.005
Education, years	14.4 (2.6)	16.0 (2.8)	<.001	15.2 (2.8)	15.5 (2.8)	ns
MMSE	23.3 (2.1)	23.2 (2.0)	ns	23.4 (2.0)	23.1 (2.1)	ns
CDR-SOB	4.5 (1.7)	4.4 (1.6)	ns	4.3 (1.6)	4.6 (1.8)	ns
AVLT Immediate Recall	23.8 (7.9)	21.5 (6.8)	.03	22.8 (7.0)	21.9 (8.0)	ns
HpVR	3.9 (0.7)	3.7 (0.6)	.02	3.7 (0.5)	3.9 (0.8)	.05
FDG SUVR	1.06 (0.15)	1.07 (0.14)	ns	1.07 (0.14)	1.07 (0.15)	ns
AV45 SUVR	1.5 (0.2)	1.4 (0.2)	.001	1.5 (0.2)	1.3 (0.3)	<.001

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; *APOE*-ε4, apolipoprotein ε4 allele; AV45, florbetapir positron emission tomography; AVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; CDR-SOB, Clinical Dementia Rating–Sum of Boxes; FDG, fluodeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio (hippocampal/intracranial volume × 10³); MCI, mild cognitive impairment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NC, normal control; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio. NOTE. Values are expressed as mean (standard deviation). Sample characteristics are based on the largest sample (participants with AVLT Immediate Recall

data). The pattern of sex and APOE-e4 status differences in sample characteristics was similar in all AD marker subsamples.

Table 3

Results of multivariable linear regression analyses modeling the separate and interactive associations of sex and APOE-e4 status with AD-related markers

Sample/outcome	Multivariable linear regression models							
	Sex (male versus female)		APOE-ε4 (ε4 carriers versus noncarriers) status		Sex \times <i>APOE</i> - ε 4 status			
	B, β (SE)	P value	B, β (SE)	P value	B, β (SE)	P value		
NC								
HpVR	-0.16, -0.12 (0.06)	.007	0.02, 0.01 (0.07)	.81	-0.28, -0.16 (0.1)	.004		
FDG SUVR	-0.02, -0.10 (0.01)	.04	-0.02, -0.06 (0.01)	.29	-0.04, -0.15 (0.02)	.02		
AV45 SUVR	-0.04, -0.18 (0.01)	<.001	-0.03, -0.18 (0.01)	.002	0.01, 0.02 (0.03)	.78		
AVLT Immediate Recall	-7.33, -0.36 (0.69)	<.001	-1.46, -0.07 (0.71)	.04	-1.55, -0.06 (1.42)	.27		
MCI								
HpVR	0.01, 0.03 (0.01)	.60	-0.25, -0.18 (0.01)	<.001	0.05, 0.03 (0.13)	.69		
FDG SUVR	-0.01, -0.09 (0.02)	.22	-0.08, -0.30 (0.02)	<.001	0.04, 0.15 (0.03)	.11		
AV45 SUVR	0.00, 0.00 (0.03)	.99	0.21, 0.45 (0.03)	<.001	-0.09, -0.18 (0.05)	.09		
AVLT Immediate Recall	-3.29, -0.20(0.67)	<.001	-2.79, -0.17(0.65)	<.001	0.39, 0.02 (1.33)	.77		
AD								
HpVR	-0.20, -0.16 (0.08)	.008	-0.23, -0.17 (0.08)	.004	0.05, 0.04 (0.16)	.76		
FDG SUVR	-0.01, -0.04 (0.02)	.55	0.02, 0.05 (0.02)	.40	-0.01, -0.05 (0.04)	.74		
AV45 SUVR	-0.21, -0.49 (0.06)	.001	0.03, 0.07 (0.06)	.61	0.20, 0.45 (0.07)	.007		
AVLT Immediate Recall	-2.70, -0.18 (0.89)	.003	1.04, 0.07 (0.90)	.25	-2.29, -0.15 (1.78)	.20		

Abbreviations: AD, Alzheimer's disease; *APOE*- ε 4, apolipoprotein ε 4 allele; AV45 PET, florbetapir positron emission tomography; AVLT, Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test; B, unstandardized regression coefficient; FDG, fluodeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio (hippocampal/intracranial volume × 10³); MCI, mild cognitive impairment; NC, normal controls; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; β , standardized regression coefficient.

NOTE. All analyses were adjusted for age and education. Bolded text indicates statistical significance at P < .05.



Fig. 1. Mean AD biomarkers (A–C) and AVLT Immediate Recall scores (D) as a function of sex and *APOE*- ϵ 4 status in NC. *Significant mean difference at P < .05 while adjusting for age and education. Abbreviations: *APOE*4+, apolipoprotein ϵ 4 allele carrier; *APOE*4-, apolipoprotein ϵ 4 allele noncarrier; AVLT score, Immediate Recall outcome of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (range, 0–75); FDG, fludeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio; SUVR, standardized uptake volume ratio.

was not significant for AVLT scores or AV45 SUVR. Rather, there was a main effect of sex and *APOE*- ε 4 for both markers in NC; however, as seen in Fig. 1D, the adverse effect of *APOE*- ε 4 on AVLT scores was only significant among NC men in sex-stratified analyses. Women demonstrated higher AVLT scores and AV45 SUVR than men ($P \le .001$), and *APOE*- ε 4 carriers demonstrated higher AV45 SUVR and lower AVLT scores than noncarriers (P < .05).

3.2. Mild cognitive impairment

In the MCI sample, there were no significant sex by *APOE* interactions on any AD-related marker (Fig. 2). There was a main effect of sex on AVLT scores in MCI whereby scores were higher in women than in men (P < .001). There was a main effect of *APOE*- ε 4 for all markers in MCI. *APOE*- ε 4 was associated with smaller HpVR, lower FDG SUVR, higher AV45 SUVR, and poorer AVLT scores (P's < .001).



Fig. 2. Mean AD biomarkers (A–C) and AVLT Immediate Recall scores (D) as a function of sex and *APOE*- ϵ 4 status in MCI. *Significant mean difference at P < .05 while adjusting for age and education. Abbreviations: *APOE*4+, apolipoprotein ϵ 4 allele carrier; *APOE*4-, apolipoprotein ϵ 4 allele noncarrier; AVLT score, Immediate Recall outcome of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (range, 0–75); FDG, fludeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio; SUVR, standardized uptake volume ratio.



Fig. 3. Mean AD biomarkers (A–C) and AVLT Immediate Recall scores (D) as a function of sex and *APOE*- ε 4 status in AD. *Significant mean difference at P < .05 while adjusting for age and education. Abbreviations: *APOE*4+, apolipoprotein ε 4 allele carrier; *APOE*4-, apolipoprotein ε 4 allele noncarrier; AVLT score, Immediate Recall outcome of the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (range, 0–75); FDG, fludeoxyglucose; HpVR, hippocampal volume ratio; SUVR, standardized uptake volume ratio.

3.3. Alzheimer's disease

Among AD patients, there was a significant sex by *APOE* interaction on AV45 SUVR (P = .007; Fig. 3). *APOE*- ϵ 4 was associated with a higher AV45 SUVR in men (B = 0.23, SE = 0.05, P < .001) but not in women (B = 0.02, SE = 0.05, P = .67). The interaction was not significant for the other markers. As in the other diagnostic groups, there was a main effect of sex on AVLT scores in AD. AVLT scores were higher in women versus men (P = .003). There was also a main effect of sex on HpVR and AV45 SUVR in AD. HpVR and AV45 SUVR were higher in women versus men (P < .01). There was no main effect of sex on FDG SUVR. There was a main effect of *APOE*- ϵ 4 on HpVR in AD. HpVR was lower in *APOE*- ϵ 4 carriers versus noncarriers (P = .004). There were no main effects of *APOE*- ϵ 4 on other markers.

When excluding $APOE \cdot \varepsilon^2$ carriers, results were unchanged in the NC and AD groups. In contrast to the primary results, the sex by APOE interaction was significant for FDG SUVR (B = 0.05, SE = 0.03, P = .05) in MCI. $APOE \cdot \varepsilon 4$ was associated with lower FDG SUVR in MCI women (B = -0.09, SE = 0.02, P < .001) but not in MCI men (B = -0.03, SE = 0.02, P = .13). In addition, there was a significant sex by APOE interaction for AV45 SUVR in MCI (B = -0.11, 0.06, P = .04). The $APOE \cdot \varepsilon 4$ and AV45 SUVR association was stronger in MCI women (B = 0.26, SE = 0.04, P < .001) versus MCI men (B = 0.16, SE = 0.04, P < .001).

4. Discussion

Broadly, our findings support evidence of sex differences in the adverse effect of APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ on AD-related markers. We extend previous findings by performing the following: (1) comparing these sex differences across biomarker and clinical outcomes; (2) identifying changes in sex differences that occur across disease stages; and (3) by defining MCI using an algorithmic, neuropsychological diagnostic criteria that have shown better prognostic value in terms of progression to dementia than more conventional criteria. Our novel findings are that sex differences in *APOE*- ε 4 effects on AD-related markers varied by marker and disease stage and that the effect of *APOE*- ε 4 on brain structure/function manifested at a later disease stage in women versus men.

Consistent with previous findings, we found that AV45 SUVR was higher in NC women versus NC men regardless of APOE genotype. We also replicated the well-evidenced finding that women outperform men in verbal memory and indicated that this difference is regardless of APOE genotype. The dichotomy of better verbal memory performance and greater Aß pathology in NC women versus NC men suggests that women may be better equipped to compensate for disease pathology and maintain normal verbal memory performance. Consistent with some past reports [37-40], we also found that NC women had a larger HpVR and a higher FDG SUVR than NC men possibly because of hormonal factors associated with female sex, such as the organization and activation effects of estrogen on the brain. A larger and a more efficient neural network in the brain region that regulates verbal memory may serve as a "brain reserve" [41,42] that allows for compensation of the greater $A\beta$ deposition in women and supports the female advantage in verbal memory. Inconsistent with hypotheses, sex by APOE interactions on HpVR and FDG PET in the NC group indicated an association between APOE- $\varepsilon 4$ and lower HpVR and FDG SUVR in men only. The association between APOE and brain structure/function in healthy aging has been controversial with some, but not all, studies reporting an association [19,43–47]. Neglecting APOE by sex interactive effects on brain structure/function could be a contributing factor to discordant results.

The sex differences in FDG SUVR, AB deposition, and HpVR (after adjusting for covariates) observed in NC were absent in MCI; however, women were able to sustain their verbal memory advantage over men in MCI despite poorer AVLT scores in MCI versus NC. In contrast to the NC group, the adverse effects of APOE-E4 on HpVR and FDG SUVR were observed in MCI women in addition to MCI men. Findings suggest that whereas APOE-E4 effects on brain structure/function manifest in men during normal cognitive aging or preclinical AD, effects do not manifest in women until MCI. Perhaps, in the MCI stage, the pathophysiological brain changes have reached a threshold of severity past which compensatory mechanisms are overwhelmed in women and the adverse effect of APOE-E4 on verbal memory is exposed. Conversely, the adverse effect of APOE-E4 on higher amyloid deposition was observed across sex in both NC and MCI possibly because of the hypothesized temporal evolution of AD biomarkers whereby Aß deposition occurs before changes in brain structure and functional changes and up to a decade before clinical deficits [48].

In AD, overall sex differences included a larger HpVR and the continued female advantage in verbal memory advantage in women versus men although the sex difference in AVLT scores was much smaller than that observed in the NC and MCI groups suggesting that the advantage is attenuated with increasing disease severity. APOE-E4 related to smaller HpVR and greater Aß deposition but not FDG SUVR or verbal memory in AD suggesting that the effects of the disease at this advanced stage overwhelm APOE-e4 effects on brain function and verbal memory. There was a sex by APOE interaction on AV45 SUVR in AD whereby APOE-E4 related to AV45 SUVR in men only. As shown in Figs. 2C and 3C, the manifestation of this interaction in AD but not in MCI resulted from greater AB deposition in female APOE-E4 noncarriers in AD versus MCI so that AB deposition was similarly high in female carriers and noncarriers with AD. Conversely, male noncarriers had similar $A\beta$ deposition levels in MCI and AD. Similarly, Tosun et al. [22] reported a sex by APOE interaction on A β deposition that was driven by lower A β deposition in male APOE-E4 noncarriers versus carriers and similarly high levels in female carriers and noncarriers. An increase in AB deposition in female noncarriers in the MCI-to-AD transition requires investigation in longitudinal studies and, if found, would challenge theories that AB deposition plateaus before AD diagnosis in women [48].

Our results are in contrast to some previous reports of a stronger APOE effect in women versus men on AD-related

outcomes in healthy aging and on cognitive function in MCI. Discrepant findings may result from multiple contributing factors. Mixed race/ethnicity groups in prior studies may have introduced bias from population stratification that can complicate interpretation of genetic data. Race differences have been reported in the effects of APOE- ε 4 on cognitive decline [49]. Studies may also have differed in the age of study samples and the specific biomarkers (e.g., cortical thickness vs. ROI-based volumetry) or cognitive outcomes examined. Many studies examined a global cognitive measure, whereas we specifically examined verbal memory, a clinical marker more reflective of AD risk. The female advantage on verbal memory may influence the effect of APOE on verbal memory. Differences in diagnostic criteria might also contribute to discrepant findings. We used the Jak/Bondi criteria, an actuarial neuropsychological diagnostic approach that eliminates use of rating scales, global cognitive screens, and subjective cognitive complaints that are commonly used in conventional diagnostic methods. When comparing Jak/Bondi and conventional criteria, conventional MCI diagnostic methods resulted in about 33% false-positive and 7% false-negative error rates [28,29,50]. In support of the Jak/Bondi criteria, the "false-positive" subgroup performed within normal limits across the cognitive battery and showed cerebrospinal fluid biomarker levels, cortical thickness maps, and rates of progression to dementia that were comparable to a robust NC group [28,29,51]. The "false-negative" group showed cerebrospinal fluid biomarker levels that were comparable to the MCI group and progressed to dementia at double the rate of the overall NC sample [50]. These diagnostic errors resulting from conventional diagnoses may have skewed results of prior studies of sex by APOE interactive effects within NC or MCI.

Our study has limitations. Our cross-sectional design precluded us from comparing rates of biomarker progression and memory decline by sex and APOE-e4 status. Our differing and, at times, small sample sizes for each marker and diagnostic group may have led to varying and limited degrees of statistical power; however, we were able to detect a significant sex by APOE interaction in the smallest sample (AD patients with AV45 SUVR data). Our statistical power was too limited for significant findings to survive a multiple comparison correction; however, we sought to minimize comparisons by conducting sex-stratified analyses only in the presence of a significant sex by APOE-E4 interaction. The risk for type 1 error should be considered when interpreting results. Our low number of APOE-e4 homozygotes prohibited us from examining the additive effects of APOE-e4 (noncarriers vs. heterozygotes vs. homozygotes). Finally, ADNI participants represent a convenience sample of volunteers who are predominantly Caucasian and well educated, which limits generalizability of results.

A study strength was our examination of the sex by *APOE* interaction across disease stages and across clinical and biological AD-related markers. Comparing results among diagnostic groups enabled us to discern a pattern of effects whereby the adverse effect of *APOE*- ε 4 on brain structure/function manifests in NC men but not until clinical disease stages in women. We also revealed important sex differences in AD-related markers regardless of *APOE*- ε 4 status. The female advantage in verbal memory in conjunction with higher greater A β pathology in NC women versus NC men suggests that women may be better equipped to compensate for disease pathology and maintain their verbal memory advantage.

APOE- ε 4 status is a common selection criterion for intervention trials in preclinical AD. Considering that men and women may differ in the strength and trajectory of APOE- ε 4 effects, failure to account for sex differences in APOE- ε 4 effects on study outcomes could complicate interpretation of trials. Our findings may help to explain inconsistencies in the literature regarding APOE- ε 4 effects on AD-related markers, particularly during the preclinical phase, and offer insight into biological mechanisms underlying sex differences in APOE- ε 4-associated AD risk.

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the NIH (grant numbers: AG049810, AG05131, and K24 AG026431). Data collection and sharing for this project was funded by the Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) (National Institutes of Health Grant: U01 AG024904) and DOD ADNI (Department of Defense award number: W81XWH-12-2-0012). ADNI is funded by the National Institute on Aging, the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering, and through generous contributions from the following: AbbVie; Alzheimer's Association; Alzheimer's Drug Discovery Foundation; Araclon Biotech; BioClinica, Inc.; Biogen; Bristol-Myers Squibb Company; CereSpir, Inc.; Cogstate; Eisai Inc.; Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Eli Lilly and Company; Euroimmun; F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd. and its affiliated company Genentech, Inc.; Fujirebio; GE Healthcare; IXICO Ltd.; Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy Research & Development, LLC; Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceutical Research & Development LLC.; Lumosity; Lundbeck; Merck & Co., Inc.; Meso Scale Diagnostics, LLC.; NeuroRx Research; Neurotrack Technologies; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Pfizer Inc.; Piramal Imaging; Servier; Takeda Pharmaceutical Company; and Transition Therapeutics. The Canadian Institutes of Health Research is providing funds to support ADNI clinical sites in Canada. Private sector contributions are facilitated by the Foundation for the National Institutes of Health (www.fnih.org). The grantee organization is the Northern California Institute for Research and Education, and the study is coordinated by the Alzheimer's Therapeutic Research Institute at the

University of Southern California. ADNI data are disseminated by the Laboratory for Neuro Imaging at the University of Southern California.

RESEARCH IN CONTEXT

- 1. Systemic review: Apolipoprotein E (*APOE*)- ε 4 genotype may confer a greater risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD) in women than in men. We are the first to examine the moderating role of sex on the effects of *APOE*- ε 4 on multiple AD-related markers at all disease stages.
- 2. Interpretation: Sex differences in the adverse effect of *APOE*- ϵ 4 varied by AD-related marker and disease stage. Effects of *APOE*- ϵ 4 on hippocampal volume and brain metabolism manifested in normal control men, whereas these effects did not manifest in women until mild cognitive impairment (MCI). In AD dementia, *APOE*- ϵ 4 related to greater amyloid- β burden in men only.
- 3. Future directions: $APOE-\varepsilon 4$ status is a common selection criterion for intervention trials in preclinical AD. Considering that the strength and trajectory of $APOE-\varepsilon 4$ effects differ in men and women, failure to account for sex differences in $APOE-\varepsilon 4$ effects could complicate interpretation of trial findings.

References

- [1] Corder EH, Ghebremedhin E, Taylor MG, Thal DR, Ohm TG, Braak H. The biphasic relationship between regional brain senile plaque and neurofibrillary tangle distributions: modification by age, sex, and APOE polymorphism. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2004; 1019:24–8.
- [2] Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak-Vance M, Enghild J, Salvesen GS, et al. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1993; 90:1977–81.
- [3] Jin M, Shepardson N, Yang T, Chen G, Walsh D, Selkoe DJ. Soluble amyloid β-protein dimers isolated from Alzheimer cortex directly induce tau hyperphosphorylation and neuritic degeneration. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011;108:5819–24.
- [4] Khan W, Giampietro V, Banaschewski T, Barker GJ, Bokde AL, Büchel C, et al. A multi-cohort study of APOE ε4 and amyloid-β effects on the hippocampus in Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2017;56:1159–74.
- [5] Kuo YM, Emmerling MR, Vigo-Pelfrey C, Kasunic TC, Kirkpatrick JB, Murdoch GH, et al. Water-soluble Abeta (N-40, N-42) oligomers in normal and Alzheimer disease brains. J Biol Chem 1996;271:4077–81.

- [6] Bretsky PM, Guralnik JM, Launer L, Albert M, Seeman TE. The role of APOE-e4 in longitudinal cognitive decline MacArthur Studies of Successful Aging. Neurology 2003;60:1077–81.
- [7] Caselli RJ, Dueck AC, Osborne D, Sabbagh MN, Connor DJ, Ahern GL, et al. Longitudinal modeling of age-related memory decline and the APOE-e4 effect. N Engl J Med 2009;361:255–63.
- [8] Tomic JL, Pensalfini A, Head E, Glabe CG. Soluble fibrillar oligomer levels are elevated in Alzheimer's disease brain and correlate with cognitive dysfunction. Neurobiol Dis 2009;35:352–8.
- [9] Lupton MK, Strike L, Hansell NK, Wen W, Mather KA, Armstrong NJ, et al. The effect of increased genetic risk for Alzheimer's disease on hippocampal and amygdala volume. Neurobiol Aging 2016;40:68–77.
- [10] Smith GE, Bohac DL, Waring SC, Kokmen E, Tangalos EG, Ivnik RJ, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype influences cognitive 'phenotype' in patients with Alzheimer's disease but not in healthy control subjects. Neurology 1998;50:355–62.
- [11] Landau SM, Harvey D, Madison CM, Koeppe RA, Reiman EM, Foster NL, et al. Associations between cognitive, functional, and FDG-PET measures of decline in AD and MCI. Neurobiol Aging 2011;32:1207–18.
- [12] Tierney MC, Szalai JP, Snow WG, Fisher RH, Tsuda T, Chi H, et al. A prospective study of the clinical utility of APOE genotype in the prediction of outcome in patients with memory impairment. Neurology 1996;46:149–54.
- [13] Bretsky PM, Buckwalter JG, Seeman TE, Miller CA, Poirier J, Schellenberg GD, et al. Evidence for an interaction between apolipoprotein E genotype, gender, and Alzheimer disease. Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord 1999;13:216–21.
- [14] Payami H, Montee KR, Kaye JA, Bird TD, Yu CE, Wijsman EM, et al. Alzheimer's disease, apolipoprotein E4, and gender. JAMA 1994; 271:1316–7.
- [15] Poirier J, Bertrand P, Kogan S, Gauthier S, Davignon J, Bouthillier D. Apolipoprotein E polymorphism and Alzheimer's disease. Lancet 1993;342:697–9.
- [16] Altmann A, Tian L, Henderson VW, Greicius MD. Sex modifies the APOE-related risk of developing Alzheimer disease. Ann Neurol 2014;75:563–73.
- [17] Neu SC, Pa J, Kukull W, Beekly D, Kuzma A, Gangadharan P, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotype and sex risk factors for Alzheimer disease: a meta-analysis. JAMA Neurol 2017;74:1178–89.
- [18] Damoiseaux JS, Seeley WW, Zhou J, Shirer WR, Coppola G, Karydas A, et al. Gender modulates the APOE-ε4effect in healthy older adults: convergent evidence from functional brain connectivity and spinal fluid tau levels. J Neurosci 2012;32:8254–62.
- [19] Sampedro F, Vilaplana E, de Leon MJ, Alcolea D, Pegueroles J, Montal V, et al. APOE-by-sex interactions on brain structure and metabolism in healthy elderly controls. Oncotarget 2015;6:26663–74.
- [20] Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Vemuri P, Mielke MM, et al. Age, sex, and APOE-ε4effects on memory, brain structure, and β-amyloid across the adult life span. JAMA Neurol 2015;72:511–9.
- [21] Fleisher A, Grundman M, Jack CR, Petersen RC, Taylor C, Kim HT, et al. Sex, apolipoprotein E ε4 status, and hippocampal volume in mild cognitive impairment. Arch Neurol 2005;62:953–7.
- [22] Tosun D, Insel PS, Weiner MW. APOE-ɛ4 genotype by gender interactions in regional amyloid accumulation in the Alzheimer's disease continuum. Alzheimers Dement 2015;11:P195.
- [23] Hyman BT, Gomez-Isla T, Briggs M, Chung H, Nichols S, Kohout F, et al. Apolipoprotein E and cognitive change in an elderly population. Ann Neurol 1996;40:55–66.
- [24] Mortensen EL, Hogh P. A gender difference in the association between APOE genotype and age-related cognitive decline. Neurology 2001; 57:89–95.
- [25] Petersen RC, Smith GE, Waring SC, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Kokmen E. Mild cognitive impairment: clinical characterisation and outcome. Arch Neurol 1999;56:303–8.

- [26] Jack CR, Knopma DS, Jagust WJ, Shaw LM, Aisen PS, Weiner MW, et al. Hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers of the Alzheimer's pathological cascade. Lancet Neurol 2010;9:119–28.
- [27] Jack CR Jr, Vemuri P, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, Aisen PS, Trojanowski JQ, et al., Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. Evidence for ordering of Alzheimer disease biomarkers. Arch Neurol 2011;68:1526–35.
- [28] Bondi MW, Edmonds EC, Jak AJ, Clark LR, Delano-Wood L, McDonald CR, et al. Neuropsychological criteria for mild cognitive impairment improves diagnostic precision, biomarker associations, and progression rates. J Alzheimers Dis 2014;42:275–89.
- [29] Jak AJ, Preis SR, Beiser AS, Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Bondi MW, et al. Neuropsychological criteria for mild cognitive impairment and dementia risk in the Framingham Heart Study. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2016;22:937–43.
- [30] Schmidt M. Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test: A Handbook. California: Western Psychological Services; 1996.
- [31] Bondi MW, Salmon DP, Galasko D, Thomas RG, Thal LJ. Neuropsychological function and apolipoprotein E genotype in the preclinical detection of Alzheimer's disease. Psychol Aging 1999; 14:295–303.
- [32] Grober E, Kawas C. Learning and retention in preclinical and early Alzheimer's disease. Psychol Aging 1997;12:183–8.
- [33] Jack CR Jr, Bernstein MA, Fox NC, Thompson P, Alexander G, Harvey D, et al. The Alzheimer's disease neuroimaging initiative (ADNI): MRI methods. J Magn Reson Imaging 2008;27:685–91.
- [34] Hsu YY, Schuff N, Du AT, Mark K, Zhu X, Hardin D, et al. Comparison of automated and manual MRI volumetry of hippocampus in normal aging and dementia. J Magn Reson Imaging 2002; 16:305–10.
- [35] Jagust WJ, Bandy D, Chen K, Foster NL, Landau SM, Mathis CA, et al. The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative positron emission tomography core. Alzheimers Dement 2010;6:221–9.
- [36] McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. Neurology 1984;34:939–44.
- [37] Sundermann EE, Biegon A, Rubin LH, Lipton RB, Mowrey W, Landau S, et al. Better verbal memory in women than men in MCI despite similar levels of hippocampal atrophy. Neurology 2016; 86:1368–76.
- [38] Sundermann EE, Maki PM, Rubin LH, Lipton RB, Landau S, Biegon A. Female advantage in verbal memory: evidence of sexspecific cognitive reserve. Neurology 2016;87:1916–24.
- [39] Andreason PJ, Zametkin AJ, Guo AC, Baldwin P, Cohen RM. Genderrelated differences in regional cerebral glucose metabolism in normal volunteers. Psychiatry Res 1994;51:175–83.
- [40] Filipek PA, Richelme C, Kennedy DN, Caviness VS Jr. The young adult human brain: an MRI-based morphometric analysis. Cereb Cortex 1994;4:344–60.
- [41] Stern Y. What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2002;8:448–60.
- [42] Stern Y, Zarahn E, Hilton HJ, Flynn J, DeLaPaz R, Rakitin B. Exploring the neural basis of cognitive reserve. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol 2003;25:691–701.
- [43] Liu Y, Yu JT, Wang HF, Han PR, Tan CC, Wang C, et al. APOE genotype and neuroimaging markers of Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2015; 86:127–34.
- [44] Cherbuin N, Leach LS, Christensen H, Anstey KJ. Neuroimaging and APOE genotype: a systematic qualitative review. Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord 2007;24:348–62.
- [45] Reiman EM, Chen K, Alexander GE, Caselli RJ, Bandy D, Osborne D, et al. Correlations between apolipoprotein E epsilon4 gene dose and brain-imaging measurements of regional hypometabolism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:8299–302.

- [46] Corder EH, Jelic V, Basun H, Lannfelt L, Valind S, Winblad B, et al. No difference in cerebral glucose metabolism in patients with Alzheimer disease and differing apolipoprotein E genotypes. Arch Neurol 1997;54:273–7.
- [47] Samuraki M, Matsunari I, Chen WP, Shima K, Yanase D, Takeda N, et al. Glucose metabolism and gray-matter concentration in apolipoprotein E ε4 positive normal subjects. Neurobiol Aging 2012; 33:2321–3.
- [48] Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Lesnick TG, Weigand SD, Knopman DS, Vemuri P, et al. Brain β-amyloid load approaches a plateau. Neurology 2013;80:890–6.
- [49] Barnes LL, Arvanitakis Z, Yu L, Kelly J, de Jager PL, Bennett DA. Apolipoprotein E and change in episodic memory in blacks and whites. Neuroepidemiology 2013;40:211–9.
- [50] Edmonds EC, Delano-Wood L, Jak AJ, Galasko DR, Salmon DP, Bondi MW. "Missed" mild cognitive impairment: high falsenegative error rate based on conventional diagnostic criteria. J Alzheimers Dis 2016;52:685–91.
- [51] Edmonds EC, Eppig J, Bondi MW, Leyden KM, Goodwin B, Delano-Wood L, et al. Heterogeneous cortical atrophy patterns in MCI not captured by conventional diagnostic criteria. Neurology 2016; 87:2108–16.