

UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Overview of the Role of Environmental Factors in Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/13q3c2wx>

ISBN

978-0-12-800228-5

Author

Lein, Pamela J

Publication Date

2015

DOI

10.1016/B978-0-12-800228-5.00001-7

Peer reviewed

Overview of the Role of Environmental Factors in Neurodevelopmental Disorders

Pamela J. Lein

OUTLINE

Introduction	3	Mechanisms by Which Environmental Factors Influence Risk of Neurodevelopmental Disorders	8
Evidence Implicating Environmental Factors	4	Conclusions	14
Environmental Factors Associated with Increased Risk for Neurodevelopmental Disorders	5	References	15

INTRODUCTION

Neurodevelopmental disabilities, including autism spectrum disorders (ASD), attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), schizophrenia, learning disabilities, intellectual disability (also known as mental retardation), and sensory impairments, affect 10–15% of all births in the United States [1,2]. ADHD and ASD are among the most common of the neurodevelopmental disorders. In 2007, the worldwide prevalence of ADHD was estimated to be 5.3% of children and adolescents [3], and this prevalence is thought to be increasing worldwide [2]. The prevalence of ASD has increased dramatically from an estimated 1:150 children reported by the United States Center for Disease Control (CDC) in 2007 based on 2000 and 2002 data to the current estimate of 1:68 children or 1:42 boys [4]. Subclinical decrements in

brain function are even more common than either of these neurodevelopmental disorders [5]. When considered in the context of the tremendous costs, which these disorders and disabilities exact on the affected individual, their families, and society [6–8], these statistics of their prevalence underscore the urgent need to identify factors that confer risk for neurodevelopmental disabilities.

Until recently, research on the etiology of neurodevelopmental disorders has focused largely on genetic causes [2,9,10]. However, this research has clearly shown that single genetic anomalies can account for only a small proportion of cases [2,11] and that, overall, genetic factors seem to account for at most 30–40% of all cases of neurodevelopmental disorders [5]. Credible evidence now exists that many neurodevelopmental disorders are the result of complex gene–environment interactions [11–15]. In contrast to genetic risks, which are currently irreversible, environmental factors are modifiable risk factors. Therefore, identifying specific environmental factors that increase risk for neurodevelopmental disorders may provide rational approaches for the primary prevention of the symptoms associated with these disorders. However, to date, the identities of environmental factors that influence susceptibility to and/or severity of neurodevelopmental disorders and intellectual disabilities, and the mechanisms by which environmental factors interact with genetic factors to determine individual risk, remain critical gaps in our understanding. This chapter will provide an overview of the evidence implicating environmental factors in determining risk of neurodevelopmental disorders, using autism and ADHD as prime examples. This chapter will also briefly discuss proposed mechanisms by which environmental factors might influence risk, and summarize the challenges and opportunities in this important area of research.

EVIDENCE IMPLICATING ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

The most compelling evidence in support of the hypothesis that environmental factors contribute to risk of neurodevelopmental disorders is the rapid increase in the prevalence of ASD and ADHD over the past several decades [2], which seems unlikely to have been caused entirely by significant shifts in the human genome. Concerns have been raised as to how much of the increased prevalence of these disorders represents true increases in the numbers of affected children. It has been suggested that diagnostic substitution, for example, the labeling of individuals as autistic or ADHD who previously would not have been so labeled, because of broadening of diagnostic criteria, coupled with increased awareness and improved detection of these disorders explain their increased prevalence [16–18]. However, several studies that have investigated these concerns in the context of ASD concluded that the frequency of this disorder has truly increased, with factors other than broadening of diagnostic criteria and increased awareness likely accounting for more than half of all new cases [19–21].

Studies of the genetic causes of neurodevelopmental disorders also support a role for environmental factors in determining the risk for many neurodevelopmental deficits. Autism is considered to be one of the most heritable complex neurodevelopmental disorders [22,23]; however, genes linked to ASD rarely segregate in a simple Mendelian manner [22]. This widespread observation has led many to posit that multiple genetic etiologies, including rare, private (de novo) single-gene mutations that are highly penetrant, inherited common functional

variants of multiple genes with small to moderate effects on ASD, or copy number variation (CNV), occur in combination to determine ASD risk [24–28]. An alternative interpretation of the genetic findings is that environmental factors act as risk modifiers [11,29]. The consistent finding of incomplete monozygotic concordance in twin studies of both autism and ADHD [11,30] as well as data demonstrating that even in genetic syndromes highly associated with ASD, a significant percentage of carriers do not express autistic phenotypes [11,25], are consistent with a model in which environmental factors interact with genetic susceptibilities to influence ASD/ADHD risk, clinical phenotype, and/or treatment outcome [11,29]. Given the extensive literature documenting chemical-induced mutagenesis, the identification of de novo gene mutations associated with clinical diagnosis of ASD [11,22,25] is also consistent with the idea of environmental risk modifiers for ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders.

More definitive human evidence corroborating a role for environmental factors has recently been reported. In one of the largest twin studies conducted to date, 192 monozygotic and dizygotic twin pairs were analyzed to quantify the relative contributions of genetic heritability vs. the shared environment. The findings from these analyses suggested that 38% of ASD cases are attributable to genetic causes, whereas 58% are linked to the shared in utero environment [12]. The model used in this study had a number of inherent biases (e.g., it was assumed that gene \times environment interactions did not occur, monozygotic and dizygotic twins were assumed to share the environment to the same extent, and questions arise regarding the validity of the values used for the prevalence for autism and ASD). However, similar conclusions were recently reached in an independent study of over 14,000 children with autism in Sweden that demonstrated a heritability of 50%, supporting an equally strong role for environmental risk factors [31]. Collectively, these studies suggest that environmental factors can significantly influence susceptibility and the variable expression of traits related to neurodevelopmental disorders, thereby providing a plausible explanation for both the dramatic increase in the prevalence of complex neurodevelopmental disorders and the significant clinical heterogeneity, which is a hallmark of both autism and ADHD [11,32].

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH INCREASED RISK FOR NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS

Early indications of an environmental contribution to neurodevelopmental disorders came from observations of a high incidence of autism associated with congenital rubella [33]. Subsequent studies linked prenatal infections to increased risk for not only ASD but also other neurodevelopmental disorders, particularly schizophrenia [34–37], and expanded the range of nongenetic risk factors for neurodevelopmental disorders to include other intrauterine stresses [38–42], paternal age [42–45] (but see [46]), maternal nutrition and metabolic status [11,47–49] and hormones, including sex hormones that contribute to the well-documented sex differences in autism susceptibility [50] via gene-specific epigenetic modifications of DNA and histones [51].

Early reports that in utero exposure to valproic acid or thalidomide during critical periods of development was associated with increased expression of autism-related traits in children

[52] raised significant interest regarding the role of chemical exposures in neurodevelopmental disorders. Subsequent epidemiologic studies reported an increased risk for ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders associated with maternal use of various medications and drugs of abuse, including alcohol [52–58], as well as prenatal or early postnatal exposure to diverse environmental chemicals. Environmental chemicals postulated to confer risk for neurodevelopmental disorders include legacy chemicals known to be toxic to the developing human nervous system, such as lead, mercury, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) [2,59,60], as well as more contemporary contaminants, such as pesticides, including organophosphorus (OP) and organochlorine (OC) pesticides, neonicotinoids and pyrethroids, flame retardants including the polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), plasticizers such as phthalates and bisphenol A (BPA), and complex environmental mixtures such as air pollution and cigarette smoke [11,14,32,61–63].

The epidemiologic data linking environmental chemicals to increased risk for ADHD [32] or ASD [62] were recently critically reviewed, and the major conclusions from these reviews are briefly summarized here. Polanska and colleagues [32] reviewed epidemiologic studies of environmental chemicals and ADHD published in peer-reviewed English language journals since the year 2000. Out of 72 articles identified in their initial literature search, 40 met their inclusion criteria. Many of these studies focused on exposure to tobacco smoke, and were largely consistent in identifying a positive association between tobacco smoke exposure and a diagnosis of ADHD or expression of ADHD symptoms in children [32]. Although the strength of the association differed between studies, the authors concluded that it generally appeared that the children of smokers are approximately 1.5–3 times more likely to have ADHD or ADHD symptoms than the children of nonsmokers. Other environmental chemicals addressed in the articles included in their review were ethanol, phthalates, BPA, polyfluoroalkyl chemicals (PFCs), and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Interestingly, a number of studies in experimental animal and cell-based models suggest that developmental exposure to these chemicals elicits outcomes with face validity to at least a subset of ADHD-like symptoms (reviewed in [32]). Nevertheless, the authors found no consistent findings in the epidemiologic literature of an association between early life exposure to any of these environmental chemicals and increased risk for ADHD [32]. However, the authors concluded that the number of studies was insufficient regarding the impact of phthalates, BPA, PFCs, PAHs, and alcohol on ADHD to allow for meaningful conclusions as to whether these chemicals may indeed be environmental modifiers of ADHD risk.

Polanska and colleagues did not comment on the literature implicating lead and PCBs as risk factors for ADHD, although a recent review by Eubig, Aguiar, and Schantz [64] presents a compelling case for such associations. The analysis by Eubig and colleagues demonstrated that studies of lead exposure in children provide evidence for impairments in executive function and attention that parallel those observed in children diagnosed with ADHD. Animal studies of developmental lead exposure indicate behavioral and neurochemical changes with face validity to ADHD [64]. Importantly, an association between blood lead levels and a diagnosis of ADHD has been reported in a number of epidemiologic studies (reviewed in [64]). Similarly, the epidemiologic evidence of PCB effects on children shows parallels to behavioral domains affected in ADHD, although these were subtly different from the deficits noted in lead-exposed children [64]. The findings from animal studies of developmental PCB exposures reveal deficits on behavioral tasks that assess many of the same cognitive domains

that are impaired in children with ADHD, as well as many changes in dopamine neurotransmission, which are reflective of neurochemical changes associated with ADHD [64]. However, the relationship between PCB exposure and ADHD in children remains largely unexplored.

The epidemiologic evidence linking environmental chemical exposures and ASD was recently reviewed by Kalkbrenner and colleagues [62]. These authors identified 58 relevant articles in the peer-reviewed literature published prior to March 1, 2014, of which 32 met their inclusion criteria (individual-level data on autism, exposure measures pertaining to pregnancy or the first year of life, valid comparison groups, control for confounders and adequate sample sizes). These 32 articles included studies of autism and estimates of exposure to tobacco, air pollutants, volatile organic compounds and solvents, metals, pesticides, PCBs, PBDEs, PFCs, BPA, and phthalates. As with the studies of these chemicals in relation to ADHD risk, many of these chemicals have been shown to cause effects in experimental animal or cell-based models that have face validity to behavioral outcomes or cellular phenotypes associated with autism (reviewed in [62,65]). Some of these environmental exposures also showed associations with autism in the analysis of the epidemiologic literature by Kalkbrenner and colleagues. The most strongly and consistently associated were traffic-related air pollutants, some metals, and the OP and OC pesticides, with suggestive trends for some volatile organic compounds and phthalates [62]. Interestingly, neither tobacco smoke, which was strongly associated with increased ADHD risk [32], nor alcohol showed a consistent association with increased risk for ASD.

All three of these comprehensive analyses of the human literature reached similar broad conclusions: the relevant publications that are currently available, with the possible exception of studies of tobacco and alcohol, are too limited in scope to either infer causality or rule out the possibility that these or additional environmental chemicals confer risk for ADHD or ASD [32,62,64]. All three sets of authors identified similar challenges facing epidemiologic studies of environmental chemicals and neurodevelopmental disorders. These include difficulties inherent in most epidemiologic studies, such as obtaining accurate measures of exposure, particularly for chemicals with short half-lives, such as some of the pesticides, phthalates, and BPA, controlling for confounding factors, especially socioeconomic stressors that tend to covary with environmental exposures, and dealing with coexposures, a not insignificant issue in light of reports that 250 xenobiotic chemicals were detected in biological samples from a 2013 representative sample of the United States in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. However, epidemiologic studies of environmental factors in complex neurodevelopmental disorders face an additional challenge in that these disorders are phenotypically heterogeneous. Children are diagnosed as having ASD or ADHD based on observable symptom clustering, not causal pathways, a phenomenon known as etiological heterogeneity [66–68]. The complexity of heritable risk factors contributing to neurodevelopmental disorders like ADHD and ASD likely creates a range of sensitivities to environmental risk factors [29], which masks clear associations between exposure and diagnosis (see Figure 1.1). It has been proposed that a more nuanced “phenome” approach that identifies populations based on distinctive phenotypes may aid in the further discovery of modifiable environmental chemical risk factors that impact neurodevelopment until mechanism-based biomarkers for distinctive and discrete etiologies are available [62].




FIGURE 1.1 The challenge of using epidemiologic approaches to identify environmental risk factors for neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs). In any population, including the neurotypical population, variation in the genetic makeup, timing of exposure, nutritional status, and/or coincidental illnesses will create a range of vulnerabilities to the developmental neurotoxicity of an environmental chemical. The TD_{50} is the exposure dose of relevance to an NDD. The genetic heterogeneity associated with risk for NDDs like ADHD and ASD likely creates a range of sensitivities to environmental risk factors; however, stratification of NDDs based on distinctive phenotypes may result in definable subpopulations with different sensitivity to environmental modifiers of NDD-related deficits, severity, and/or treatment outcomes.

MECHANISMS BY WHICH ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCE RISK OF NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDERS

Genetic, histologic, in vivo imaging, and functional data are converging on altered patterns of neuronal connectivity as the biological basis underlying the behavioral and cognitive abnormalities associated with many neurodevelopmental disorders and intellectual disabilities [69–74]. The candidate genes most strongly implicated in the causation of neurodevelopmental disorders encode proteins that regulate the patterning of neuronal networks during development and influence the balance of excitatory to inhibitory synapses [65,69,75,76]. Histological studies report increased spine density in Golgi-impregnated pyramidal neurons of Layer II in the frontal, temporal, and parietal lobes and Layer V of the temporal lobe in ASD patients [77], suggesting skewed cortical synaptic activity toward excitation, although functional evidence of excitation is needed to further support this hypothesis. Abnormal spine morphology is also found in the temporal and visual cortices of patients with Fragile-X, a syndrome with a high incidence of ASD [78] and in patients with schizophrenia [79,80]. Neuroimaging studies of children with ASD suggest a pattern of early brain overgrowth in the first few years of life followed by aberrant maturation in adolescence, whereas functional analyses suggest impaired long-range connectivity but increased local and/or subcortical connectivity (reviewed in [81]). In contrast, in childhood-onset schizophrenia, deficits in cerebral volume, cortical thickness, and white matter maturation seem most pronounced in childhood and adolescence and may level off in adulthood, and functional analyses suggest deficits in local connectivity, with increased long-range connectivity (reviewed in [81]). Thus, even though ASD and childhood-onset schizophrenia are thought to share an underlying

genetic architecture [73,82–84], the dynamic changes that occur in the developing brain of children diagnosed with these neurodevelopmental disorders vary across space and time. These differences perhaps reflect the influence of differential environmental modifiers acting on a shared genetic substrate. Alternatively, these differences may reflect differences in the timing of exposure, a factor well known to influence neurodevelopmental outcomes following exposure to ethanol [85].

It has been proposed that these inherent imbalances in synaptic connectivity in children at risk for neurodevelopmental disorders likely provide the biological substrate for enhanced susceptibility to environmental triggers that modulate neurodevelopmental processes that determine synaptic connectivity, including neuronal migration, apoptosis, elaboration of axons and dendrites, and activity-dependent refinements of synaptic connections [65,76]. Perturbations of the spatiotemporal patterns or magnitude of any of these events can interfere with the formation of functionally meaningful networks and give rise to the deficits in functional connectivity associated with neurodevelopmental disorders [76,86]. Research findings from studies of transgenic animal models expressing neurodevelopmental disorder risk genes further support the hypothesis that the changes in synaptic connectivity observed in children at risk for neurodevelopmental disorders arise from perturbations of dendritic growth, synapse formation, and synapse stabilization [69,82].

Diverse pathophysiologic mechanisms have been hypothesized to mediate interactions between environmental chemicals and genetic risk factors that influence neuronal connectivity. These include (1) mutations in genes that encode proteins involved in detoxification of endogenous toxins and xenobiotics, such as the enzyme paraoxonase 1 (PON1), which hydrolyzes OP pesticides and has been associated with increased risk of autism (reviewed in [11]); (2) endocrine disruption, based on the rationale that ASD and ADHD disproportionately affect males [87] and the requirement for thyroid hormone and other hormones for normal neurodevelopment [88,89]; (3) chemical perturbation of the microbiome, derived from evidence suggesting that microbiota may regulate responses to xenobiotics and influence brain function, and that the microbiota may differ in children with neurodevelopmental disorders vs. typically developing children [90,91]; and (4) mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress [11,92–94]. Although experimental evidence demonstrates that environmental chemicals can disrupt endocrine signaling, alter the microbiome, and cause oxidative stress, and neurodevelopmental disorders have been associated with endocrine disruption, altered gut microbiota, and biomarkers of oxidative stress in the central nervous system (CNS), causal relationships between chemical effects on these endpoints, and increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders are largely lacking. Additional hypotheses involving immune dysregulation, epigenetics, and the convergence of environmental factors and genetic susceptibilities on neurotransmitters or signaling pathways that critically influence neuronal connectivity are discussed in more detail in the following paragraphs (Table 1.1).

Epigenetic mechanisms. Perhaps the most theoretically straightforward mechanism of interaction between environmental factors and susceptibility genes are physical interactions between chemicals and DNA (e.g., chemically induced de novo mutations) or chromatin (e.g., epigenetic changes in miRNA expression, DNA methylation patterns, and/or histone acetylation) [95,96]. Although experimental and clinical studies clearly demonstrate that epigenetic mechanisms are critically involved in regulating normal neurodevelopment, synaptic plasticity, and cognitive function, and that epigenetic changes in the brain are associated with

TABLE 1.1 Mechanisms Hypothesized to Mediate Gene–Environment Interactions that Influence the Risk and/or Severity of Neurodevelopmental Disorders (NDDs)

Mechanism	Rationale	Key references
Heritable deficits in xenobiotic metabolism	Decreased ability to detoxify environmental chemicals might effectively increase the neurotoxic potential of an environmental chemical	[11]
Endocrine disruption	Many NDDs are predominant in one sex and many hormones are required for normal neurodevelopment (sex steroids and thyroid hormones) or have significant effects on neurodevelopment (glucocorticoids)	[87–89]
Disruption of the gut microbiome	Emerging evidence indicates that the gut microbiome regulates host response to pathogenic microbial or xenobiotic exposures, and the gut microbiota in children with autism differs from that of neurotypical children	[11,92–94]
Epigenetic	Environmental chemicals have been demonstrated to alter DNA methylation, histone acetylation, and miRNA expression profiles, and these parameters are altered in at least some children with NDDs	[95–102,111]
Immune dysregulation	Cross talk between the nervous and immune systems is essential for normal neurodevelopment, environmental chemicals can alter immune function, and evidence of immune dysregulation in NDDs is increasing	[115–117,130–133]
Convergence of environmental and genetic factors on signaling pathways	Many genetic risk factors for NDDs converge on several major signaling pathways that critically regulate synaptic connectivity in the developing brain, and environmental chemicals have been identified that target these same signaling pathways	[13,29,65]

neurodevelopmental disorders [97–101], little data as yet causally link environmental chemicals to neurodevelopmental disorders via epigenetic mechanisms. One of the few potentially relevant examples is the recent demonstration that the nondioxin-like (NDL) PCB congener, PCB 95, increased synaptogenesis via upregulated expression of miR132 [102]. miR132 represses expression of the transcriptional repressor, methyl-CpG-binding protein-2 (MeCP2) [103]. MeCP2 dysfunction is linked to dendritic and synaptic dysregulation [104], and mutations in MeCP2 are the genetic cause of Rett syndrome and are associated with increased ASD risk [105–107]. miR132 also interacts with Fragile X mental retardation protein to regulate synapse formation [108], and more recently, expression of miR132 was shown to be dysregulated in schizophrenia [109,110]. Intriguingly, a recent analysis of persistent organic pollutants in children’s brains found significantly higher levels of PCB 95, the same congener found to influence miR132 levels, in postmortem brains of children with a syndromic form of autism, as compared to neurotypical controls [111]. In contrast, levels of other PCBs and PBDEs were comparable across groups. The samples with detectable PCB 95 levels were almost exclusively those with maternal 15q11-q13 duplication (Dup15q) or deletion in Prader–Willi syndrome. When sorted by birth year, Dup15q samples represented five of six samples with detectable

PCB 95 levels and a known genetic cause of ASD born after the 1976 ban on PCB production. Dup15q was the strongest predictor of PCB 95 exposure across age, gender, or year of birth. Dup15q brain samples had lower levels of repetitive DNA methylation as measured by LINE-1 pyrosequencing, although methylation levels were confounded by year of birth. Collectively, these results suggest the possibility that NDL PCBs contribute to an increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders via epigenetic mechanisms. This is of potential significance because recent studies clearly demonstrate that NDL PCBs remain a current and significant risk to the developing human brain. First, contemporary unintentional sources of NDL PCBs have been identified, most notably commercial paint pigments [112]. Second, in the past year it was reported that PCB levels in the indoor air of elementary schools in the United States exceed the EPA's 2009 public health guidelines, and the NDL congener PCB 95 was the second most abundant congener identified in indoor school environments [113]. Third, the latest NHANES study confirmed widespread exposure to PCBs among women of childbearing age living in the United States [114].

Immune dysregulation. Cross talk between the nervous and immune systems is essential for normal neurodevelopment [115–117]. Multiple cytokines are normally produced in the healthy brain where they play critical roles in most aspects of neurodevelopment, including neuronal differentiation, synapse formation, and structural plasticity [117,118]. Two cytokine classes of particular interest in neurodevelopmental disorders include the neuropoietic cytokines, exemplified by IL-6 [115,119], and the type II interferon, gamma interferon (IFN γ) [120]. The neuropoietic cytokines interact directly with diverse neuronal cell types in vitro to modulate dendritic growth and synapse formation [121–123], and in vivo to regulate synapse elimination in the developing rodent brain [124]. Chronic IL-6 overexpression reduces neuronal expression of glutamate receptors and L-type Ca²⁺ channels in vitro and in vivo [125]. IFN γ also modulates dendritic growth via effects on signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT)1 [126] and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling [127]. Increased IFN γ skews the balance of excitatory to inhibitory synapses toward increased excitatory signaling in cultured hippocampal neurons [128], whereas decreased IFN γ triggers decreased density of presynaptic terminals in the spinal cord of adult mice [129]. Based on these observations, it is perhaps not surprising that a growing body of evidence supports a key role for immune system dysregulation in neurodevelopmental disorders [120,130–133]. As discussed previously, maternal infection during pregnancy is associated with increased risk of neurodevelopmental disorders [115], and experimental evidence confirms that many cytokines can cross the placenta and blood–brain barrier [119]. Collectively, such studies illustrate the potential for altered cytokine profiles to adversely impact neurodevelopment during gestation. Whether immune and nervous system dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders reflect parallel outcomes or whether deficits in one system contribute to deficits in the other remain open to speculation [115]. Another unanswered question is whether environmental chemicals known to influence immune status can cause adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes of relevance to ASD and other disorders by altering cytokine profiles in the developing brain.

Convergence of environmental factors and genes on critical signaling pathways. One fundamental way in which heritable genetic vulnerabilities might amplify the adverse effects triggered by environmental exposures is if both factors (genes \times environment) converge to dysregulate the same neurotransmitter and/or signaling systems at critical times during development [29,65].

Evidence from both human genetics studies and experimental models expressing genetic risk factors has yielded the startling fact that many ASD risk genes converge on several major signaling pathways, which play key roles in regulating neuronal connectivity in the developing brain [25,69,82,134,135]. Data emerging from studies of syndromic ASD and rare highly penetrant mutations or CNVs in ASD have identified distinct adhesion proteins needed to maintain and modify synapses in response to experience, as well as intracellular signaling pathways that control dendritic arborization and/or synaptogenesis signaling systems that appear to represent convergent molecular mechanisms in ASD. The former includes neuroligins, neurexins, contactin-associated protein-2 (CNTNAP2), and SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains (SHANK) proteins [24,26,69,136]; the latter, ERK and PI3K signaling [25], as well as Ca²⁺-dependent signaling [134]. Many of these same signaling pathways have also been implicated in schizophrenia risk [73,82–84].

Dendritic arborization and synaptic connectivity are determined by the interplay between genetic and environmental factors [137–139]. During normal brain development, neuronal activity is a predominant environmental factor in shaping dendritic arbors and synaptic connectivity. The importance of neuronal activity is evidenced by the remarkable effect of experience on the development and refinement of synaptic connections, which not only patterns neural circuitry during development [140,141] but also underlies associative learning throughout life [142]. The dynamic structural remodeling of dendrites, which occurs during development, is driven in large part by Ca²⁺-dependent signaling pathways triggered by *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor activation and other extrinsic cues, such as hormones and neurotrophins [143,144]. NMDA receptor-mediated Ca²⁺-dependent signaling couples neuronal activity to dendritic arborization, and this is mediated by sequential activation of calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase (CaMKK), CaMKI, and MAPK ERK kinases/extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MEK/ERK) to enhance cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB)-mediated transcription of *Wnt2* [145]. A number of environmental chemicals modulate calcium signaling [13], but thus far, only NDL PCBs that bind to the ryanodine receptor (RyR) have been found to trigger this same Ca²⁺-dependent signaling pathway to enhance dendritic growth in primary cultures of rat hippocampal neurons [146]. These effects of PCB 95 map onto signaling pathways implicated in neurodevelopmental disorders. For example, Timothy syndrome, which is caused by a gain-of-function mutation in the L-type Ca²⁺ channel CaV1.2, has a 100% incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders and a 60% rate of autism [147]. Neurons differentiated from induced pluripotent stem cells derived from Timothy syndrome patients exhibit increased Ca²⁺ oscillations and upregulated expression of genes linked to Ca²⁺-dependent regulation of CREB, including CaMK [148]. Collectively, these studies support the hypothesis that NDL PCBs amplify the risk and/or severity of neurodevelopmental disorder by converging on signaling pathways targeted by heritable defects in Ca²⁺-dependent signaling pathways that regulate neuronal connectivity (Figure 1.2).

The hypothesis of an imbalance between excitation and inhibition within developing CNS circuits as a common etiological factor contributing to autism, Fragile X, and schizophrenia is supported by several reports of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptor abnormalities in these neurodevelopmental disorders [149]. A significantly lower level of GABR β_3 expression has been documented in postmortem brain samples obtained from children diagnosed with autism, Rett syndrome, and Angelman syndrome [150]. The gene encoding for




FIGURE 1.2 Interactions between genetic susceptibilities and environmental factors likely determine the risk and/or phenotypic expression of many neurodevelopmental disorders. If the environmental factor is a chemical, then the timing of exposure relative to the stage of neurodevelopment may influence the impact of the environmental chemical. The mechanism(s) by which environmental chemicals modify genetic risks to influence neurodevelopmental outcome are largely unknown. One possibility illustrated in this figure is that the genetic factor (heritable defects in Ca²⁺ signaling) and environmental factor (nondioxin-like PCBs) converge on the same critical signaling pathway (Ca²⁺-dependent activation of the CaMK–CREB–Wnt signaling pathway) to amplify adverse effects on a neurodevelopmental endpoint (synaptic connectivity) of relevance to neurodevelopmental disorders.

GABR β_3 is located in a nonimprinting region of chromosome 15q11-13 suggesting an overlapping pathway of gene dysregulation common to all three disorders that may stem from abnormal regulation of DNA methylation by the MeCP2 [151]. Quantitative immunoblot analyses of the brains of MeCP2 deficient mice, a model of human Rett syndrome, are deficient in the GABR β_3 subunit [150], further supporting the working hypothesis that epigenetic mechanisms conferring gene dysregulation within 15q11-q13 in Angelman syndrome and autism may significantly contribute to heightened susceptibility to compounds that potently block the chloride channel of GABR β_3 . Autism has been linked to polymorphisms within additional genes that encode GABR, including GABR γ_1 located on 15q11-13 [152,153]. Complex epistatic interactions between genes that encode GABR α_4 and GABR β_1 within 4q12 have also been reported in autistic probands [154].

Collectively, these data implicate GABA receptor dysregulation as a major contributor to imbalances between excitation and inhibition in children whose brains may be vulnerable to environmental modulation of epigenetic mechanisms and/or GABA receptor expression and/or function. Relevant to the latter are the OC pesticides that possess polychloroalkane structures and are known to bind to GABR in the mammalian brain, many with nanomolar affinity, to potently block Cl⁻ conductance through the receptor [14]. In the United States, toxaphene represents one of the most heavily used OC pesticides but it was banned in 1990; the OC pesticides heptachlor and dieldrin were banned in the late 1980s. Examples of more recently used polychloroalkane insecticides include endosulfan and lindane. Dicofol, an OC structure similar to DDT, is currently registered for use on agricultural crops. Because

of their chemical stability, global distribution from countries that continue to use these compounds, and their propensity to bioaccumulate, exposures to OC insecticides continue to be a significant concern to human health worldwide. Another class of insecticide that interferes with GABA neurotransmission and has attained broad domestic and commercial use is the 4-alkyl-1-phenylpyrazoles. Although these compounds do not persist in the environment to the same extent as OC pesticides, they are heavily used within the home and for commercial pest control. For example, fipronil is formulated as a topical for control of fleas and ticks on pets. Fipronil's insecticidal activity is mediated primarily through its actions as a noncompetitive antagonist of GABR. In this regard, fipronil was initially developed as an insecticide because of its higher selectivity for insect vs. mammalian GABR [155]. However, results from recent studies indicate that fipronil, like endosulfan and lindane, is indeed a high-affinity noncompetitive antagonist for the mammalian β_3 -homopentameric GABR [156,157]. In fact, fipronil binds the GABR β_3 with nanomolar affinity in a manner indistinguishable from its interaction with insect GABA receptors. These findings raise questions about the high degree of selectivity originally attributed to 4-alkyl-1-phenylpyrazoles. More importantly, the finding that a diverse group of widely used insecticides converges on a common molecular target—the mammalian GABR β_3 —has important implications for human risk, especially in those individuals with heritable impairments in GABA receptor signaling pathways.

CONCLUSIONS

A significant contribution from environmental factors in determining the risk for neurodevelopmental disorders is consistent with both the rapid increase in prevalence of these disorders and the clinical heterogeneity, which is the hallmark of the two most common complex disorders, ASD and ADHD. However, this phenotypic heterogeneity together with the complex multigenic etiologies of these disorders significantly increases the difficulty of identifying specific environmental factors that confer increased risk. If the complexity of heritable factors contributing to susceptibility to neurodevelopmental disorders creates a range of sensitivities of the developing brain to the adverse effects of environmental factors [11,25,29], then establishing clear associations between exposure to environmental factors and diagnosis of specific neurodevelopmental disorders will be challenging.

Several signaling pathways and developmental processes have emerged from genetic studies as convergent molecular mechanisms or targets in neurodevelopmental disorders, and data are already accumulating of environmental chemicals that potentially interact with those mechanisms or targets. These emerging data suggest a biological framework for not only studying mechanisms by which specific environmental and genetic factors interact to confer risk for neurodevelopmental disorders but also for informing epidemiologic studies and for setting up in vitro and simple model systems to screen environmental chemicals for their potential to increase risk of neurodevelopmental disorders.

Clearly, work is urgently needed to better predict which combination of defective genes and environmental exposures poses the greatest risk for neurodevelopmental disorders. The fact that chemical exposures are more readily controlled than genetic factors to prevent or mitigate the expression of phenotypic traits related to neurodevelopmental disorders coupled

with the significant toll that neurodevelopmental disorders and disabilities exact on the affected individual, their families, and society, provide compelling reasons to engage in this endeavor.

References

- [1] Bloom B, Cohen RA, Freeman G. Summary health statistics for U.S. children: national health interview survey, 2009. *Vital Health Stat* 2010;10:1–82.
- [2] Landrigan PJ, Lambertini L, Birnbaum LS. A research strategy to discover the environmental causes of autism and neurodevelopmental disabilities. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;120:a258–60.
- [3] Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, Biederman J, Rohde LA. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2007;164:942–8.
- [4] CDC. In: Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years — autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2010. C.f.D.C.a. Prevention; 2014.
- [5] Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. Neurobehavioural effects of developmental toxicity. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:330–8.
- [6] Trasande L, Liu Y. Reducing the staggering costs of environmental disease in children, estimated at \$76.6 billion in 2008. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2011;30:863–70.
- [7] Bellinger DC. A strategy for comparing the contributions of environmental chemicals and other risk factors to neurodevelopment of children. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;120:501–7.
- [8] Grandjean P, Pichery C, Bellanger M, Budtz-Jorgensen E. Calculation of mercury's effects on neurodevelopment. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;120:A452. author reply A52.
- [9] Autism Genome Project C, Szatmari P, Paterson AD, Zwaigenbaum L, Roberts W, Brian J, et al. Mapping autism risk loci using genetic linkage and chromosomal rearrangements. *Nat Genet* 2007;39:319–28.
- [10] Buxbaum JD, Hof PR. The emerging neuroscience of autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Res* 2011;1380:1–2.
- [11] Herbert MR. Contributions of the environment and environmentally vulnerable physiology to autism spectrum disorders. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2010;23:103–10.
- [12] Hallmayer J, Cleveland S, Torres A, Phillips J, Cohen B, Torigoe T, et al. Genetic heritability and shared environmental factors among twin pairs with autism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2011;68:1095–102.
- [13] Pessah IN, Cherednichenko G, Lein PJ. Minding the calcium store: ryanodine receptor activation as a convergent mechanism of PCB toxicity. *Pharmacol Ther* 2010;125:260–85.
- [14] Shelton JF, Hertz-Picciotto I, Pessah IN. Tipping the balance of autism risk: potential mechanisms linking pesticides and autism. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;120:944–51.
- [15] Zuk O, Hechter E, Sunyaev SR, Lander ES. The mystery of missing heritability: genetic interactions create phantom heritability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109:1193–8.
- [16] Coo H, Ouellette-Kuntz H, Lloyd JE, Kasmara L, Holden JJ, Lewis ME. Trends in autism prevalence: diagnostic substitution revisited. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2008;38:1036–46.
- [17] Bishop DV, Whitehouse AJ, Watt HJ, Line EA. Autism and diagnostic substitution: evidence from a study of adults with a history of developmental language disorder. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 2008;50:341–5.
- [18] Parner ET, Schendel DE, Thorsen P. Autism prevalence trends over time in Denmark: changes in prevalence and age at diagnosis. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2008;162:1150–6.
- [19] Hertz-Picciotto I, Delwiche L. The rise in autism and the role of age at diagnosis. *Epidemiology* 2009;20:84–90.
- [20] Grether JK, Rosen NJ, Smith KS, Croen LA. Investigation of shifts in autism reporting in the California department of developmental services. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2009;39:1412–9.
- [21] King M, Bearman P. Diagnostic change and the increased prevalence of autism. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;38:1224–34.
- [22] El-Fishawy P, State MW. The genetics of autism: key issues, recent findings, and clinical implications. *Psychiatr Clin North Am* 2010;33:83–105.
- [23] Geschwind DH. Genetics of autism spectrum disorders. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2011;15:409–16.
- [24] Abrahams BS, Geschwind DH. Advances in autism genetics: on the threshold of a new neurobiology. *Nat Rev Genet* 2008;9:341–55.
- [25] Levitt P, Campbell DB. The genetic and neurobiologic compass points toward common signaling dysfunctions in autism spectrum disorders. *J Clin Invest* 2009;119:747–54.
- [26] O'Roak BJ, State MW. Autism genetics: strategies, challenges, and opportunities. *Autism Res* 2008;1:4–17.

- [27] Veenstra-Vanderweele J, Christian SL, Cook Jr EH. Autism as a paradigmatic complex genetic disorder. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet* 2004;5:379–405.
- [28] Judson MC, Eagleson KL, Levitt P. A new synaptic player leading to autism risk: met receptor tyrosine kinase. *J Neurodev Disord* 2011;3:282–92.
- [29] Pessah IN, Lein PJ. Evidence for environmental susceptibility in autism: what we need to know about gene × environment interactions. In: Zimmerman A, editor. *Autism: current theories and evidence*. Totowa (NJ): Humana Press; 2008. pp. 409–28.
- [30] Smith AK, Mick E, Faraone SV. Advances in genetic studies of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2009;11:143–8.
- [31] Sandin S, Lichtenstein P, Kuja-Halkola R, Larsson H, Hultman CM, Reichenberg A. The familial risk of autism. *JAMA* 2014;311:1770–7.
- [32] Polanska K, Jurewicz J, Hanke W. Exposure to environmental and lifestyle factors and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children – a review of epidemiological studies. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health* 2012;25:330–55.
- [33] Chess S, Fernandez P, Korn S. Behavioral consequences of congenital rubella. *J Pediatr* 1978;93:699–703.
- [34] Brown AS. Epidemiologic studies of exposure to prenatal infection and risk of schizophrenia and autism. *Dev Neurobiol* 2012;72:1272–6.
- [35] Canetta SE, Brown AS. Prenatal infection, maternal immune activation, and risk for schizophrenia. *Transl Neurosci* 2012;3:320–7.
- [36] Zerbo O, Iosif AM, Walker C, Ozonoff S, Hansen RL, Hertz-Picciotto I. Is maternal influenza or fever during pregnancy associated with autism or developmental delays? Results from the charge (childhood autism risks from genetics and environment) study. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2013;43:25–33.
- [37] Ohkawara T, Katsuyama T, Ida-Eto M, Narita N, Narita M. Maternal viral infection during pregnancy impairs development of fetal serotonergic neurons. *Brain Dev* 2015;37:88–93.
- [38] Bale TL, Baram TZ, Brown AS, Goldstein JM, Insel TR, McCarthy MM, et al. Early life programming and neurodevelopmental disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010;68:314–9.
- [39] Lupien SJ, McEwen BS, Gunnar MR, Heim C. Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2009;10:434–45.
- [40] Elovitz MA, Brown AG, Breen K, Anton L, Maubert M, Burd I. Intrauterine inflammation, insufficient to induce parturition, still evokes fetal and neonatal brain injury. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2011;29:663–71.
- [41] Uher R. Gene-environment interactions in severe mental illness. *Front Psychiatry* 2014;5:48.
- [42] Hamlyn J, Duhig M, McGrath J, Scott J. Modifiable risk factors for schizophrenia and autism—shared risk factors impacting on brain development. *Neurobiol Dis* 2013;53:3–9.
- [43] Idring S, Magnusson C, Lundberg M, Ek M, Rai D, Svensson AC, et al. Parental age and the risk of autism spectrum disorders: findings from a Swedish population-based cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014;43:107–15.
- [44] Parner ET, Baron-Cohen S, Lauritsen MB, Jorgensen M, Schieve LA, Yergin-Allsopp M, et al. Parental age and autism spectrum disorders. *Ann Epidemiol* 2012;22:143–50.
- [45] Shelton JF, Tancredi DJ, Hertz-Picciotto I. Independent and dependent contributions of advanced maternal and paternal ages to autism risk. *Autism Res* 2010;3:30–9.
- [46] Geier DA, Hooker BS, Kern JK, Sykes LK, Geier MR. An evaluation of the effect of increasing parental age on the phenotypic severity of autism spectrum disorder. *J Child Neurol* 2014 Aug 27; pii: 0883073814541478.
- [47] Krakowiak P, Walker CK, Bremer AA, Baker AS, Ozonoff S, Hansen RL, et al. Maternal metabolic conditions and risk for autism and other neurodevelopmental disorders. *Pediatrics* 2012;129:e1121–8.
- [48] Schmidt RJ, Hansen RL, Hartiala J, Allayee H, Schmidt LC, Tancredi DJ, et al. Prenatal vitamins, one-carbon metabolism gene variants, and risk for autism. *Epidemiology* 2011;22:476–85.
- [49] Schmidt RJ, Tancredi DJ, Ozonoff S, Hansen RL, Hartiala J, Allayee H, et al. Maternal periconceptional folic acid intake and risk of autism spectrum disorders and developmental delay in the charge (childhood autism risks from genetics and environment) case-control study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2012;96:80–9.
- [50] Mills JL, Hediger ML, Molloy CA, Chrousos GP, Manning-Courtney P, Yu KF, et al. Elevated levels of growth-related hormones in autism and autism spectrum disorder. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2007;67:230–7.
- [51] Kaminsky Z, Wang SC, Petronis A. Complex disease, gender and epigenetics. *Ann Med* 2006;38:530–44.
- [52] Rodier PM, Ingram JL, Tisdale B, Croog VJ. Linking etiologies in humans and animal models: studies of autism. *Reprod Toxicol* 1997;11:417–22.

- [53] Arndt TL, Stodgell CJ, Rodier PM. The teratology of autism. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2005;23:189–99.
- [54] Miller MT, Stromland K, Ventura L, Johansson M, Bandim JM, Gillberg C. Autism associated with conditions characterized by developmental errors in early embryogenesis: a mini review. *Int J Dev Neurosci* 2005;23:201–19.
- [55] Christensen J, Gronborg TK, Sorensen MJ, Schendel D, Parner ET, Pedersen LH, et al. Prenatal valproate exposure and risk of autism spectrum disorders and childhood autism. *JAMA* 2013;309:1696–703.
- [56] Croen LA, Connors SL, Matevia M, Qian Y, Newschaffer C, Zimmerman AW. Prenatal exposure to beta2-adrenergic receptor agonists and risk of autism spectrum disorders. *J Neurodev Disord* 2011;3:307–15.
- [57] Malm H, Artama M, Brown AS, Gissler M, Gyllenberg D, Hinkka-Yli-Salomaki S, et al. Infant and childhood neurodevelopmental outcomes following prenatal exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors: overview and design of a Finnish Register-Based Study (FinESSI). *BMC Psychiatry* 2012;12:217.
- [58] Rodier P, Miller RK, Brent RL. Does treatment of premature labor with terbutaline increase the risk of autism spectrum disorders? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;204:91–4.
- [59] Grandjean P, Landrigan PJ. Developmental neurotoxicity of industrial chemicals. *Lancet* 2006;368:2167–78.
- [60] Guilarte TR, Opler M, Pletnikov M. Is lead exposure in early life an environmental risk factor for schizophrenia? Neurobiological connections and testable hypotheses. *Neurotoxicology* 2012;33:560–74.
- [61] Volk HE, Hertz-Picciotto I, Delwiche L, Lurmann F, McConnell R. Residential proximity to freeways and autism in the charge study. *Environ Health Perspect* 2011;119:873–7.
- [62] Kalkbrenner AE, Schmidt RJ, Penlesky AC. Environmental chemical exposures and autism spectrum disorders: a review of the epidemiological evidence. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care* 2014;44:277–318.
- [63] Mendola P, Selevan SG, Gutter S, Rice D. Environmental factors associated with a spectrum of neurodevelopmental deficits. *Ment Retard Dev Disabil Res Rev* 2002;8:188–97.
- [64] Eubig PA, Aguiar A, Schantz SL. Lead and PCBs as risk factors for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Environ Health Perspect* 2010;118:1654–67.
- [65] Stamou M, Streifel KM, Goines PE, Lein PJ. Neuronal connectivity as a convergent target of gene × environment interactions that confer risk for autism spectrum disorders. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 2013;36:3–16.
- [66] Visser JC, Rommelse N, Vink L, Schrieken M, Oosterling IJ, van der Gaag RJ, et al. Narrowly versus broadly defined autism spectrum disorders: differences in pre- and perinatal risk factors. *J Autism Dev Disord* 2013;43:1505–16.
- [67] Bill BR, Geschwind DH. Genetic advances in autism: heterogeneity and convergence on shared pathways. *Curr Opin Genet Dev* 2009;19:271–8.
- [68] Hu VW. From genes to environment: using integrative genomics to build a “systems-level” understanding of autism spectrum disorders. *Child Dev* 2013;84:89–103.
- [69] Bourgeron T. A synaptic trek to autism. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2009;19:231–4.
- [70] Geschwind DH, Levitt P. Autism spectrum disorders: developmental disconnection syndromes. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2007;17:103–11.
- [71] Rubenstein JL, Merzenich MM. Model of autism: increased ratio of excitation/inhibition in key neural systems. *Genes Brain Behav* 2003;2:255–67.
- [72] Garey L. When cortical development goes wrong: schizophrenia as a neurodevelopmental disease of microcircuits. *J Anat* 2010;217:324–33.
- [73] Penzes P, Cahill ME, Jones KA, VanLeeuwen JE, Woolfrey KM. Dendritic spine pathology in neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci* 2011;14:285–93.
- [74] Svitkina T, Lin WH, Webb DJ, Yasuda R, Wayman GA, Van Aelst L, et al. Regulation of the postsynaptic cytoskeleton: roles in development, plasticity, and disorders. *J Neurosci* 2010;30:14937–42.
- [75] Delorme R, Ey E, Toro R, Leboyer M, Gillberg C, Bourgeron T. Progress toward treatments for synaptic defects in autism. *Nat Med* 2013;19:685–94.
- [76] Belmonte MK, Bourgeron T. Fragile X syndrome and autism at the intersection of genetic and neural networks. *Nat Neurosci* 2006;9:1221–5.
- [77] Hutsler JJ, Zhang H. Increased dendritic spine densities on cortical projection neurons in autism spectrum disorders. *Brain Res* 2010;1309:83–94.
- [78] Irwin SA, Patel B, Idupulapati M, Harris JB, Crisostomo RA, Larsen BP, et al. Abnormal dendritic spine characteristics in the temporal and visual cortices of patients with fragile-X syndrome: a quantitative examination. *Am J Med Genet* 2001;98:161–7.

- [79] Glausier JR, Lewis DA. Dendritic spine pathology in schizophrenia. *Neuroscience* 2013;251:90–107.
- [80] Watanabe Y, Khodosevich K, Monyer H. Dendrite development regulated by the schizophrenia-associated gene FEZ1 involves the ubiquitin proteasome system. *Cell Rep* 2014;7:552–64.
- [81] Baribeau DA, Anagnostou E. A comparison of neuroimaging findings in childhood onset schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder: a review of the literature. *Front Psychiatry* 2013;4:175.
- [82] Zoghbi HY, Bear MF. Synaptic dysfunction in neurodevelopmental disorders associated with autism and intellectual disabilities. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 2012;4: pii: a009886. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a009886>.
- [83] Gauthier J, Siddiqui TJ, Huashan P, Yokomaku D, Hamdan FF, Champagne N, et al. Truncating mutations in NRXN2 and NRXN1 in autism spectrum disorders and schizophrenia. *Hum Genet* 2011;130:563–73.
- [84] Okerlund ND, Cheyette BN. Synaptic Wnt signaling—a contributor to major psychiatric disorders? *J Neurodev Disord* 2011;3:162–74.
- [85] Olney JW, Farber NB, Wozniak DF, Jevtovic-Todorovic V, Ikonomidou C. Environmental agents that have the potential to trigger massive apoptotic neurodegeneration in the developing brain. *Environ Health Perspect* 2000;108(Suppl. 3):383–8. [full.html 88olney/abstract.html](http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.5880).
- [86] Lein P, Silbergeld E, Locke P, Goldberg AM. In vitro and other alternative approaches to developmental neurotoxicity testing (DNT). *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol* 2005;19:735–44.
- [87] Baron-Cohen S, Lombardo MV, Auyeung B, Ashwin E, Chakrabarti B, Knickmeyer R. Why are autism spectrum conditions more prevalent in males? *PLoS Biol* 2011;9:e1001081.
- [88] Williams GR. Neurodevelopmental and neurophysiological actions of thyroid hormone. *J Neuroendocrinol* 2008;20:784–94.
- [89] Weiss B. The intersection of neurotoxicology and endocrine disruption. *Neurotoxicology* 2012;33:1410–9.
- [90] Hornig M. The role of microbes and autoimmunity in the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric illness. *Curr Opin Rheumatol* 2013;25:488–795.
- [91] Mulle JG, Sharp WG, Cubells JF. The gut microbiome: a new frontier in autism research. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2013;15:337.
- [92] Giulivi C, Zhang YF, Omanska-Klusek A, Ross-Inta C, Wong S, Hertz-Picciotto I, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction in autism. *JAMA* 2010;304:2389–96.
- [93] Rossignol DA, Frye RE. Evidence linking oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and inflammation in the brain of individuals with autism. *Front Physiol* 2014;5:150.
- [94] Colvin SM, Kwan KY. Dysregulated nitric oxide signaling as a candidate mechanism of fragile X syndrome and other neuropsychiatric disorders. *Front Genet* 2014;5:239.
- [95] Kaur P, Armugam A, Jeyaseelan K. MicroRNAs in neurotoxicity. *J Toxicol* 2012;2012:870150.
- [96] Tal TL, Tanguay RL. Non-coding RNAs—novel targets in neurotoxicity. *Neurotoxicology* 2012;33:530–44.
- [97] Szyf M. How do environments talk to genes? *Nat Neurosci* 2013;16:2–4.
- [98] Sun E, Shi Y. MicroRNAs: small molecules with big roles in neurodevelopment and diseases. *Exp Neurol* 2014: pii: S0014-4886(14)00257-X. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2014.08.005>.
- [99] LaSalle JM, Powell WT, Yasui DH. Epigenetic layers and players underlying neurodevelopment. *Trends Neurosci* 2013;36:460–70.
- [100] Nowak JS, Michlewski G. miRNAs in development and pathogenesis of the nervous system. *Biochem Soc Trans* 2013;41:815–20.
- [101] Millan MJ. An epigenetic framework for neurodevelopmental disorders: from pathogenesis to potential therapy. *Neuropharmacology* 2013;68:2–82.
- [102] Lesiak A, Zhu M, Chen H, Appleyard SM, Impey S, Lein PJ, et al. The environmental neurotoxicant PCB 95 promotes synaptogenesis via ryanodine receptor-dependent miR132 upregulation. *J Neurosci* 2014;34:717–25.
- [103] Klein ME, Liroy DT, Ma L, Impey S, Mandel G, Goodman RH. Homeostatic regulation of MeCP2 expression by a CREB-induced microRNA. *Nat Neurosci* 2007;10:1513–4.
- [104] Zhou Z, Hong EJ, Cohen S, Zhao WN, Ho HY, Schmidt L, et al. Brain-specific phosphorylation of MeCP2 regulates activity-dependent Bdnf transcription, dendritic growth, and spine maturation. *Neuron* 2006;52:255–69.
- [105] LaSalle JM, Yasui DH. Evolving role of MeCP2 in Rett syndrome and autism. *Epigenomics* 2009;1:119–30.
- [106] Percy AK. Rett syndrome: exploring the autism link. *Arch Neurol* 2011;68:985–9.
- [107] Cukier HN, Lee JM, Ma D, Young JI, Mayo V, Butler BL, et al. The expanding role of MBD genes in autism: identification of a MECP2 duplication and novel alterations in MBD5, MBD6, and SETDB1. *Autism Res* 2012;5:385–97.

- [108] Edbauer D, Neilson JR, Foster KA, Wang CF, Seeburg DP, Batterton MN, et al. Regulation of synaptic structure and function by FMRP-associated microRNAs miR-125b and miR-132. *Neuron* 2010;65:373–84.
- [109] Kim AH, Reimers M, Maher B, Williamson V, McMichael O, McClay JL, et al. MicroRNA expression profiling in the prefrontal cortex of individuals affected with schizophrenia and bipolar disorders. *Schizophr Res* 2010;124:183–91.
- [110] Miller BH, Zeier Z, Xi L, Lanz TA, Deng S, Strathmann J, et al. MicroRNA-132 dysregulation in schizophrenia has implications for both neurodevelopment and adult brain function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012;109:3125–30.
- [111] Mitchell MM, Woods R, Chi LH, Schmidt RJ, Pessah IN, Kostyniak PJ, et al. Levels of select PCB and PBDE congeners in human postmortem brain reveal possible environmental involvement in 15q11-q13 duplication autism spectrum disorder. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 2012;53:589–98.
- [112] Hu D, Hornbuckle KC. Inadvertent polychlorinated biphenyls in commercial paint pigments. *Environ Sci Technol* 2010;44:2822–7.
- [113] Thomas K, Xue J, Williams R, Jones P, Whitaker D. Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in school buildings: sources, environmental levels, and exposures. *U.S Environ Prot Agency* 2012:150.
- [114] Thompson MR, Boekelheide K. Multiple environmental chemical exposures to lead, mercury and polychlorinated biphenyls among childbearing-aged women (NHANES 1999–2004): body burden and risk factors. *Environ Res* 2013;121:23–30.
- [115] Patterson PH. Maternal infection and immune involvement in autism. *Trends Mol Med* 2011;17:389–94.
- [116] Careaga M, Van de Water J, Ashwood P. Immune dysfunction in autism: a pathway to treatment. *Neurotherapeutics* 2010;7:283–92.
- [117] Deverman BE, Patterson PH. Cytokines and CNS development. *Neuron* 2009;64:61–78.
- [118] Garay PA, McAllister AK. Novel roles for immune molecules in neural development: implications for neurodevelopmental disorders. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 2010;2:136.
- [119] Goines PE, Ashwood P. Cytokine dysregulation in autism spectrum disorders (ASD): possible role of the environment. *Neurotoxicol Teratol* 2013;36:67–81.
- [120] Goines P, Van de Water J. The immune system's role in the biology of autism. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2010;23:111–7.
- [121] Gadiant RA, Lein P, Higgins D, Patterson PH. Effect of leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) on the morphology and survival of cultured hippocampal neurons and glial cells. *Brain Res* 1998;798:140–6.
- [122] Guo X, Metzler-Northrup J, Lein P, Rueger D, Higgins D. Leukemia inhibitory factor and ciliary neurotrophic factor regulate dendritic growth in cultures of rat sympathetic neurons. *Brain Res Dev Brain Res* 1997;104:101–10.
- [123] Guo X, Chandrasekaran V, Lein P, Kaplan PL, Higgins D. Leukemia inhibitory factor and ciliary neurotrophic factor cause dendritic retraction in cultured rat sympathetic neurons. *J Neurosci* 1999;19:2113–21.
- [124] Morikawa Y, Tohya K, Tamura S, Ichihara M, Miyajima A, Senba E. Expression of interleukin-6 receptor, leukemia inhibitory factor receptor and glycoprotein 130 in the murine cerebellum and neuropathological effect of leukemia inhibitory factor on cerebellar Purkinje cells. *Neuroscience* 2000;100:841–8.
- [125] Vereyken EJ, Bajova H, Chow S, de Graan PN, Gruol DL. Chronic interleukin-6 alters the level of synaptic proteins in hippocampus in culture and in vivo. *Eur J Neurosci* 2007;25:3605–16.
- [126] Kim IJ, Beck HN, Lein PJ, Higgins D. Interferon gamma induces retrograde dendritic retraction and inhibits synapse formation. *J Neurosci* 2002;22:4530–9.
- [127] Andres DA, Shi GX, Bruun D, Barnhart C, Lein PJ. Rit signaling contributes to interferon-gamma-induced dendritic retraction via p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase activation. *J Neurochem* 2008;107:1436–47.
- [128] Vikman KS, Owe-Larsson B, Brask J, Kristensson KS, Hill RH. Interferon-gamma-induced changes in synaptic activity and AMPA receptor clustering in hippocampal cultures. *Brain Res* 2001;896:18–29.
- [129] Victorio SC, Havton LA, Oliveira AL. Absence of IFN γ expression induces neuronal degeneration in the spinal cord of adult mice. *J Neuroinflammation* 2010;7:77.
- [130] Ashwood P, Van de Water J. Is autism an autoimmune disease? *Autoimmun Rev* 2004;3:557–62.
- [131] Cohly HH, Panja A. Immunological findings in autism. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2005;71:317–41.
- [132] Enstrom AM, Van de Water JA, Ashwood P. Autoimmunity in autism. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs* 2009;10:463–73.
- [133] Jyonouchi H, Geng L, Ruby A, Zimmerman-Bier B. Dysregulated innate immune responses in young children with autism spectrum disorders: their relationship to gastrointestinal symptoms and dietary intervention. *Neuropsychobiology* 2005;51:77–85.
- [134] Krey JF, Dolmetsch RE. Molecular mechanisms of autism: a possible role for Ca²⁺ signaling. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2007;17:112–9.

- [135] Pardo CA, Eberhart CG. The neurobiology of autism. *Brain Pathol* 2007;17:434–47.
- [136] Sudhof TC. Neuroligins and neuroligins link synaptic function to cognitive disease. *Nature* 2008;455:903–11.
- [137] Jan YN, Jan LY. The control of dendrite development. *Neuron* 2003;40:229–42.
- [138] McAllister AK. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of dendrite growth. *Cereb Cortex* 2000;10:963–73.
- [139] Scott EK, Luo L. How do dendrites take their shape? *Nat Neurosci* 2001;4:359–65.
- [140] Chen JL, Nedivi E. Neuronal structural remodeling: is it all about access? *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2010;20:557–62.
- [141] Cline HT. Dendritic arbor development and synaptogenesis. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2001;11:118–26.
- [142] Pittenger C, Kandel ER. In search of general mechanisms for long-lasting plasticity: aplysia and the hippocampus. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2003;358:757–63.
- [143] Lohmann C, Myhr KL, Wong RO. Transmitter-evoked local calcium release stabilizes developing dendrites. *Nature* 2002;418:177–81.
- [144] Wayman GA, Lee YS, Tokumitsu H, Silva AJ, Soderling TR. Calmodulin-kinases: modulators of neuronal development and plasticity. *Neuron* 2008;59:914–31.
- [145] Wayman GA, Impey S, Marks D, Saneyoshi T, Grant WF, Derkach V, et al. Activity-dependent dendritic arborization mediated by CaM-kinase I activation and enhanced CREB-dependent transcription of Wnt-2. *Neuron* 2006;50:897–909.
- [146] Wayman GA, Bose DD, Yang D, Lesiak A, Bruun D, Impey S, et al. PCB-95 modulates the calcium-dependent signaling pathway responsible for activity-dependent dendritic growth. *Environ Health Perspect* 2012;120:1003–9.
- [147] Splawski I, Timothy KW, Sharpe LM, Decher N, Kumar P, Bloise R, et al. Ca(V)1.2 calcium channel dysfunction causes a multisystem disorder including arrhythmia and autism. *Cell* 2004;119:19–31.
- [148] Pasca SP, Portmann T, Voineagu I, Yazawa M, Shcheglovitov A, Pasca AM, et al. Using iPSC-derived neurons to uncover cellular phenotypes associated with Timothy syndrome. *Nat Med* 2011;17:1657–62.
- [149] Deidda G, Bozarth IF, Cancedda L. Modulation of GABAergic transmission in development and neurodevelopmental disorders: investigating physiology and pathology to gain therapeutic perspectives. *Front Cell Neurosci* 2014;8:119.
- [150] Samaco RC, Hogart A, LaSalle JM. Epigenetic overlap in autism-spectrum neurodevelopmental disorders: MECP2 deficiency causes reduced expression of UBE3A and GABRB3. *Hum Mol Genet* 2005;14:483–92.
- [151] LaSalle JM, Hogart A, Thatcher KN. Rett syndrome: a Rosetta stone for understanding the molecular pathogenesis of autism. *Int Rev Neurobiol* 2005;71:131–65.
- [152] Vincent JB, Horike SI, Choufani S, Paterson AD, Roberts W, Szatmari P, et al. An inversion inv(4)(p12-p15.3) in autistic siblings implicates the 4p GABA receptor gene cluster. *J Med Genet* 2006;43:429–34.
- [153] Ashley-Koch AE, Mei H, Jaworski J, Ma DQ, Ritchie MD, Menold MM, et al. An analysis paradigm for investigating multi-locus effects in complex disease: examination of three GABA receptor subunit genes on 15q11-q13 as risk factors for autistic disorder. *Ann Hum Genet* 2006;70:281–92.
- [154] Ma DQ, Whitehead PL, Menold MM, Martin ER, Ashley-Koch AE, Mei H, et al. Identification of significant association and gene-gene interaction of GABA receptor subunit genes in autism. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005;77:377–88.
- [155] Bloomquist JR. Chloride channels as tools for developing selective insecticides. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol* 2003;54:145–56.
- [156] Chen L, Durkin KA, Casida JE. Structural model for gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor noncompetitive antagonist binding: widely diverse structures fit the same site. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006;103:5185–90.
- [157] Sammelson RE, Caboni P, Durkin KA, Casida JE. GABA receptor antagonists and insecticides: common structural features of 4-alkyl-1-phenylpyrazoles and 4-alkyl-1-phenyltrioxabicyclooctanes. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2004;12:3345–55.