Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SURVEY OF THE (a,2He) REACTION ON Ip- AND 2sId-SHELL NUCLEI

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14b3k2h1

Author Jahn, R.

Publication Date 1978-03-01

LBL-7157 Preprint

SURVEY OF THE (α ,²He) REACTION ON 1p- AND 2s1d-SHELL NUCLEI

R. Jahn, D. P. Stahel, G. J. Wozniak, R. J. de Meijer, and Joseph Cerny

RECEIVED LAWRENCE BREVIELEY LABORATORY

March 1978

MAY 919/8

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call

Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 50 6 782



LBL-7157

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

그는 것 같아. 이 것 같아. 것 같아. 나라 나라 문

فالمعجوب المريط والمرجا المعاملات

and a second second second second second

1.1.1

SURVEY OF THE (α ,²He) REACTION ON 1p- AND 2s1d-SHELL NUCLEI R. Jahn⁺, D. P. Stahel, G. J. Wozniak, R. J. de Meijer⁺⁺ and Joseph Cerny

> Department of Chemistry and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

March 1978

ABSTRACT

A ²He detection system has been developed and used to investigate the (α , ²He) reaction at bombarding energies of 55 and 65 MeV on targets of 1²c, ¹³c, ¹⁴N, ¹⁵N, ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O, ²⁰Ne, ²²Ne, ²⁴Mg, ²⁶Mg, ²⁸Si, ²⁹Si, ³²S, ³⁶Ar, ³⁸Ar, and ⁴⁰Ca. Preferential population of two-neutron states with dominant ($d_{5/2}$) ²₄, ($d_{3/2}f_{7/2}$) ⁵₅ and ($f_{7/2}$) ²₆ character was observed. A linear A-dependence of the binding energies of the J^T = 5⁻ and 6⁺ states was obtained. This systematic behavior is well described by the Bansal-French model, using the parameters a = - 0.30 MeV and b = 2.6 MeV. Simple shellmodel calculations for the 2n configurations are in good agreement with the experimental data.

> NUCLEAR REACTIONS ¹²C, ¹³C, ¹⁴N, ¹⁵N, ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O, ²⁰Ne, ²²Ne, ²⁶Mg, ²⁸Si, ²⁹Si, ³²S, ³⁶Ar, ³⁸Ar, (α , ²He), E = 65 MeV and ²⁴Mg, ⁴⁰Ca, (α , ²He), E = 55 MeV. Measured $\sigma(E_{f}, \Theta)$, deduced E_{x} and J^{T} systematics.

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$

I. INTRODUCTION

-2.

A recent study of the (α , ²_{He}) reaction on lp-shell targets¹ has demonstrated that this reaction selectively populates two-neutron states of high spin in the final nuclei. Results from this reaction can be compared with those from the (α ,d) reaction on light nuclei.²⁻⁵ The selectivity of the (α ,d) reaction at E_{α} of about 50 MeV for $(1d_{5/2})_5^2$ and $(lf_{7/2})_{7}^{2}$ transfers is due to its large negative Q-values which kinematically favor transitions to high-spin states, a good overlap of the relative s motion of the neutron-proton pair with the relative s motion in the projectile and the large spectroscopic factors involved in the transitions. Since the (α, He) reaction at comparable bombarding energies has similar properties, one expects to observe strong population of states in which two neutrons are coupled to maximum allowed spin, i.e. $(ld_{5/2})_4^2$ and $(lf_{7/2})_6^2$. Because the analogous (t,p) reaction has not been investigated under similar kinematic conditions due to the lack of high energy triton beams and because only a few heavy-ion 2n transfer reactions have been reported 6,7, only a small number of these 2n high-spin states are known in light nuclei.

In the present study the $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reaction has been observed over a "wide range of 1p- and 2sld-shell target nuclei, thus permitting a broad search for 2n states of high spin. Our intention has been to provide an initial survey and not, in general, to obtain detailed angular distributions. Targets of ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{13}\text{C}$, ${}^{14}\text{N}$, ${}^{15}\text{N}$, ${}^{16}\text{O}$, ${}^{18}\text{O}$, ${}^{20}\text{Ne}$, ${}^{22}\text{Ne}$, ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$, ${}^{26}\text{Mg}$, ${}^{28}\text{Si}$, ${}^{29}\text{Si}$, ${}^{32}\text{S}$, ${}^{36}\text{Ar}$, ${}^{38}\text{Ar}$ and ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ have been bombarded with 55 and 65 MeV α -particles. The ${}^{2}\text{He}$ spectra which have been obtained establish the selectivity of the $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reaction and its usefulness as an important spectroscopic tool for locating 2n states of high spin.

1.3

· · · ·

In Sections II and III the ²He detection system and the experimental procedure are discussed. Energy spectra for each target are presented in Sec. IV which is divided into two parts describing separately the results on lp-shell and 2sld-shell targets. In Sec. V the data are compared with simple shell-model calculations. Finally, a summary is presented in Sec. VI.

· 3-

II. THE ²He DETECTION SYSTEM

The unbound reaction product ²He can be identified by measuring its two breakup protons in coincidence. Although this detection procedure is similar to the one used in characterizing the unbound ⁸Be nuclide via its two decay α -particles,⁸ the breakup energy of ²He does not originate from the decay of a narrow state, as in the case of ⁸Be, but rather from a final state interaction (FSI) distribution as has been observed in reactions such as ²H(³He,t)2p (Ref. 9). The distribution of the relative energy ε of the two protons in their c.m. system is usually described by the Watson-Migdal formalism.^{10,11} It peaks at $\varepsilon \approx 400$ keV and falls off for higher values with a slope of approximately 1/ ε . The detection geometry was therefore arranged to yield the optimal efficiency for events having breakup energies near this peak value.

The detection of ²He via its breakup protons with reasonable efficiency is facilitated by the fact that the transformation of the isotropic breakup of ²He in its c.m. to the laboratory system results in a focussing of the breakup protons into a cone. The maximum opening angle of this cone, β_{max} , is defined by ε and the ²He laboratory energy E and is given by $\beta_{max} = 2 \arctan (\varepsilon/E)^{1/2}$. This transformation also leads to a concentration of breakup protons near the edge of the cone. Therefore, in order to get optimum detection efficiency, it is important that the twoproton acceptance angle of the detector be at least as large as the maximum ²He breakup angle, which, for example, is 11.4° for E = 40 MeV and $\varepsilon = 400$ keV. On the other hand, energy resolution considerations require a small horizontal ²He acceptance angle, in order to minimize kinematic broadening. A good compromise between efficiency and energy resolution was obtained by detecting the protons in a pair of vertically arranged detector telescopes, each with a solid angle of about 6 msr. With this configuration, a reasonable efficiency (\sim 1%) was achieved as a result of the large vertical acceptance angle and adequate energy resolution (300-600 keV FWHM) was obtained by limiting the horizontal acceptance angle.

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic diagram of the detection system which consisted of two large solid-angle counter telescopes collimated by 8 mm wide and 10 mm high slits which were separated by a 10 mm high central post. At 11 cm from the target, this system subtended a 15° vertical and a 4° horizontal acceptance angle. For the gas target measurements, a 3 mm wide vertical slit (front gas collimator) was located at a distance of 4 cm from the center of the gas cell. Each telescope consisted of a 380 μ m phosphorus diffused silicon Δ E counter, a 5 mm Si(Li) E counter and a 5 mm Si(Li) veto counter, the last being employed to reject events that traversed the Δ E-E system.

Identification of protons in each ΔE telescope using standard analog circuitry, coupled with a fast coincidence requirement between events occuring in the two ΔE counters, was required to characterize the ²He events. In order to minimize the charge collection time in the ΔE counters, a high bias voltage (2V/µm) was maintained. By using low capacity cables and fast/slow preamplifiers an electronic time resolution of \sim 200 ps was achieved. Figure 1(b) shows the time-of-flight difference (ΔTOF) distributions of observed proton coincidences from the reaction $^{13}C(\alpha, ^{2}He)^{15}C$ at $\Theta_{1ab} = 13^{\circ}$. The observed full width at half maximum is

-5-

about 1.1 ns, which is in good agreement with calculations based on the assumption of ²He breakup. Since the width of a single beam burst was 10 ns, random coincidences were substantially reduced by setting a 3 ns wide window around the ²He peak in the 20 ns wide Δ TOF spectrum. Fast pileup rejection permitted a high count rate (32,000 s⁻¹) in each Δ E counter, with an associated overall system dead time of about 20%.

The ²He detection efficiency is a function of the detector geometry, the relative two-proton energy ε and the ²He energy E. It was calculated with the program EFFCR,¹² which was modified to take into account the distribution of ε rather than assuming, as in Ref. 1, a fixed breakup energy $\varepsilon = 400$ keV. The experimental ε distribution has been taken from Morton <u>et al.</u>⁹ for breakup energies $\varepsilon \leq 3$ MeV; beyond this value of ε , the shape of the distribution is not well known. Although this uncertainty may introduce a potentially large error in the absolute efficiency and thus in the absolute differential cross sections, the relative efficiencies are estimated to be accurate to ± 10%. Figure 1(c) shows the energy dependence of the calculated efficiency for the detection system.

: The decay properties of a ²He system with laboratory energy E and relative energy ε impose certain restrictions on the lab energies E_1 and E_2 of the two breakup protons p_1 and p_2 . Momentum conservation demands that, for given values of E and ε , neither of the single proton energies E_1 , E_2 be smaller than $E/2 - \sqrt{\varepsilon E}$ or larger than $E/2 + \sqrt{\varepsilon E}$. From this it follows that $(E_1 - E_2)^2 / E \le 4\varepsilon$ (with $E = E_1 + E_2$). This ratio was generated in an analog device. An appropriate upper threshold was set on ε , which resulted in some improvement of the observed peak to background ratio.

-6-

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

These experiments were performed using ⁴He beams from the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory 88-inch Cyclotron. The choice of the beam energy was mainly determined by the maximum energy range of the ²He detection system and the Q-values of the reactions. On the $^{24}\mathrm{Mg}$ and $^{40}\mathrm{Ca}$ targets a bombarding energy of 55 MeV was utilized, whereas a 65 MeV beam was used for all other targets. All solid targets were self-supporting. For the gas targets, a 6 cm diameter cylindrical gas cell with a 2.11 mg/cm² Havar window was employed. Table I lists the isotopic purities and thicknesses (or gas pressures) of the targets. The thicknesses of the solid targets, determined from measurements of the energy losses of α -particles from a ²¹² Pb source, are believed to be accurate to \pm 10%. For each target and angle, the maximum beam current was limited by the electronic dead time of the detection system. Beam intensities ranged from 15 nA at forward angles to 500 nA at backward angles. Typical acquisition times for the spectra shown in this work were 2-3 hours. On the average, approximately one 2 He event was recorded per 10 5 counts in the ΔE detectors.

An energy resolution of 300-600 keV FWHM was obtained, determined mainly by kinematic broadening due to the 4° horizontal acceptance angle and the large dE/d0 for these light target nuclei. This led to \pm 70 keV uncertainties in establishing the excitation energies of the peaks observed in the ²He spectra. Unless indicated to the contrary, this error is appropriate for the excitation energies determined for all levels observed in the present study. Typically the $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reaction was measured at four angles in the range $\Theta_{1ab} = 12^{\circ} - 35^{\circ}$ for each target, in order to kinematically identify states and to discriminate against peaks arising from target contaminants. Detailed angular distributions over a wider angular range were measured for the ${}^{12}\text{C}$, ${}^{13}\text{C}$, ${}^{16}\text{O}$, and ${}^{28}\text{Si}$ targets. Apart from the potential error inherent in our efficiency calculations (see Sec. II), the quoted absolute differential cross sections are estimated to be accurate to within ± 20 %.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It has been demonstrated in Ref. 1 that the $(\alpha, {}^{2}_{He})$ reaction selectively populates levels that correspond to kinematically favored transitions in which the two transferred neutrons are simply captured in a singlet state about an undisturbed target core. At 65 MeV bombarding energy, the angular momentum mismatch for a surface reaction is about $(4-5)\hbar$ for 1p-shell targets and $(5-6)\hbar$ for 2sld-shell targets. Because of this kinematic factor and the large overlap with the relative s motion of the neutron pair, states formed by capturing the two stripped neutrons coupled to $(d_{5/2})^2_4$ were observed to be strongly populated¹ in the reactions involving lp-shell targets; on 2sld-shell targets, configurations involving f-orbitals such as $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ and $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ were an an teachart expected to preferentially produced. Because of this difference in the particular high-spin states populated, this section will be divided into two parts. In Sec. IV A the (α, He) reaction on the p-shell targets 12 C, 13 14 N, 15 N, and 16 O will be discussed, and in Sec. IV B the results on the sd-shell targets 40 Ca, 38 Ar, 36 Ar, 32 S, 29 Si, 28 Si, 26 Mg, 24 Mg, 22 Ne, 20 Ne and ¹⁸O will be presented. (This order for Sec. IV B is taken to permit initial discussion of the $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ levels in a well-known region). In each part the observed energy spectra will be shown first, followed by a general discussion of the observed 2n states.

The results on the 12 C, 13 C, and 16 O targets have partially been presented in Ref. 1; however, given that the main emphasis in this report is on the systematics of 2n states of high spin over a wide range of nuclei, they are included here for completeness.

-9-

Because the intention of the present study has been to provide an initial survey of the properties of the (α ,²He) reaction, rather than to obtain and analyze detailed angular distributions, most J^{T} assignments made from our data must be considered highly probable rather than definitive.

A. The lp-shell target nuclei.

1.
$$\frac{12_{C(\alpha, 2_{He})} + 14_{C}}{(Q_{o} = -15.17 \text{ MeV})}$$

Figure 2 shows a spectrum of this reaction at $\Theta_{1ab} = 12^{\circ}$. Except for weak population of the ground state and a state at 14.9 ± 0.1 MeV, only the known 3⁻ state at 6.73 MeV and a state at 10.72 MeV are strongly populated. The 3⁻ state is known to be of dominant $(P_{1/2}d_{5/2})_3$ character¹³, whereas recent studies of the 2n transfer reactions (t,p) (Ref. 14), $({}^{10}B, {}^8B)$ (Ref. 7) and $({}^{12}C, {}^{10}C)$ (Ref. 6) have established the $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ character of the state at 10.72 MeV. This excitation energy for the 4⁺ state is in agreement with the previously reported values of 10.736 ± 0.005 MeV¹⁴, and 10.77 ± 0.11 MeV⁷. It should be noted that the excitation energy of 10.55 MeV quoted in Ref. 1 for this 4⁺ state is too low due to a non-linear behavior of the E-detectors used in that experiment. The state at 14.9 MeV in Fig. 2 was also observed with comparable relative strength in the study of the $({}^{10}B, {}^8B)$ reaction.⁷

-10-

2.
$$\frac{13_{C(\alpha, He)}^{2} 15_{C}}{(0_{O} = -18.90 \text{ MeV})}$$

A spectrum from this reaction at $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 3(a). Since the ${}^{12}C$ and ${}^{13}C$ targets only differ by a $lp_{1/2}$ neutron, one expects the (α, He) reaction on ${}^{13}C$ to populate preferentially states with the same 2n-configurations observed in reactions on $\binom{12}{C}$, but now coupled to the $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{-1}$ target core. Thus the states observed in the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, {}^{2}He){}^{14}C$ spectra should be split (where possible) into two components in the $^{13}C(\alpha, ^{2}He)^{15}C$ spectra similar to the splitting observed in the analogous (α ,d) reactions on 12 C and 13 C.² Population of the doublet in 15 C observed at 6.74 and 7.35 MeV can be interpreted as transitions to states having predominantly $[{{12} (0^{+})p_{1/2}}_{1/2} \otimes (d_{5/2})^2_4]_{7/2}^-$, 9/2 - character. As will be shown later, the observed L = 4 behavior of the angular distributions of the transitions to this doublet supports this assumption. The ratio of the experimental differential cross sections, over the angular range $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$ to 50°, for the transitions to the states at 6.74 and 7.35 MeV is about 4:5 which, applying the (2J+1) rule for the relative population of such states in stripping reactions, leads to the tentative assignments of $J^{\pi} = 7/2^{-1}$ for the 6.74 MeV state and $J^{\pi} = 9/2^{-1}$ for the 7.35 MeV state. This latter result corroborates the tentative assignment of $9/2^{-}$ for the 7.35 MeV state given in Ref. 15 (also see Sec. IV A4). Since the $5/2^+$ state at 0.74 MeV has a configuration $[{^{12}C(0^+)p_{1/2}}_{1/2} \otimes p_{1/2}d_{5/2}]_{5/2}^{-}$ the $p_{1/2}$ neutron of ¹³C and the transferred $p_{1/2}$ neutron must couple to J = 0and no splitting can arise.

3. $\frac{14_{N(\alpha, 2He)}^{2}_{He}}{N(\alpha, 2He)} (Q_0 = -14.97 \text{ MeV})$

The $J^{T} = 1^{+}$, g.s. of ¹⁴N can be described as $[{}^{12}C(0^{+})vp_{1/2}^{T}p_{1/2}]_{1}^{+}$; thus one expects that three states will be populated in the ${}^{14}N(\alpha, {}^{2}He){}^{16}N$ reaction with configurations $[({}^{14}N(g.s.,1^{+}) \otimes (d_{5/2})_{4}^{2}]_{J}$ and with J = 3, 4or 5. The spectrum of this reaction shown in Fig. 3(b) appears to confirm this expectation. The ${}^{16}N$ levels observed at 6.62 and 7.69 MeV (an unresolved doublet) contain the L = 4 strength which has split into three components. Due to the poor energy resolution and the small splitting, relative assignments of the $J^{T} = 3^{+}, 4^{+}, 5^{+}$ components to the observed peaks cannot be made. Transitions to the 2⁻, g.s. of ${}^{16}N$ and the 3⁻ state at 0.30 MeV, though unresolved, can be interpreted as populating the configurations $[{}^{14}N(1^{+}) \otimes P_{1/2}d_{5/2}]_{2^{-},3^{-}}$, respectively. In addition to these strongly populated states in ${}^{16}N$, transitions to two states at 5.25 and 5.74 MeV are observed with moderate strength.

-12-

4. $\frac{15_{N(\alpha, 2He)}^{2} 17_{N}}{(Q_{o} = -19.92 \text{ MeV})}$

Since the neutron lp-shell is full in ¹⁵N, no p-orbits can be populated with the (α , ²He) reaction on this target. Figure 4(a) shows a spectrum from this reaction at $\Theta_{1ab} = 13^{\circ}$. As can be seen, the only states strongly populated are a doublet at 3.13 and 3.63 MeV. In the simple picture which we are applying to the states populated by the (α , ²He) reaction, the configuration of these states is expected to be $\begin{bmatrix} 16\\0(0^+)p_{1/2}^{-1} & (d_{5/2})^2 \end{bmatrix}_{7/2}^{-}, 9/2^{-}$. In Ref. 16 the state at 3.13 MeV has been assigned as J^T = 7/2⁻ and the state at 3.63 MeV has been tentatively assigned as $J^{\pi} = 9/2^{-}$. The (2J+1) rule applied to the differential cross sections for the transitions to these states suggests the same spin assignments. Furthermore, this agreement for states with known spin and parity lends credence to the tentative $J^{\pi} = 7/2^{-}$ and $9/2^{-}$ assignments made for the 6.74 and 7.35 - MeV levels populated in the ${}^{13}C(\alpha, {}^{2}He){}^{15}C$ reaction.

5. $\frac{16_{O(\alpha, He)}^{2} 18_{O}}{(Q_{O} = -16.11 \text{ MeV})}$

Figure 4(b) shows a spectrum from this reaction at $\theta_{1ab} = 13^{\circ}$. The only strongly populated state in ¹⁸O is the well known 4⁺ state at 3.56 MeV. The $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ character of this state has been confirmed by shellmodel calculations^{17,18} as well as by a recent study of the ¹⁶O(¹⁰B,⁸B)¹⁸O reaction.⁷ It is interesting to note that, although the 0⁺, ground state and the 2⁺ state at 1.98 MeV in ¹⁸O are also known to have $(d_{5/2})^2$ configurations, the cross sections for the transitions to these states in the $(\alpha,^{2}\text{He})$ reaction are smaller by a factor of about 50 than that to the 4⁺, 3.56 - MeV state due to the angular momentum mismatch, the angular momentum coupling coefficents and the statistical weighting factor. States with moderate strength are observed at 8.04, 9.15 and 10.3 MeV. The overall resemblance between the ¹⁸O spectrum and that from the ¹⁸O($\alpha,^{2}\text{He}$)²⁰O reaction (Sec. IV Bl1) suggests that corresponding transitions involve similar transfers.

-13-

6. Discussion

The selectivity of the $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reaction has been well demonstrated in the energy spectra shown in Secs. IV Al-5. The only states strongly populated in reactions on p-shell targets were those of $(p_{1/2}d_{5/2})_{3}^{2}$ and $(d_{5/2})_{4}^{2}$ character. Within the framework of this simple reaction mechanism, one might expect that the cross sections for populating 2n states above the core, i.e. the $(d_{5/2})_{4}^{2}$ states, should be mainly determined by the L-transfer and be almost independent of the mass number of the target.

Figure 5 presents differential cross sections for the transitions to the $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ configurations for the $(\alpha, {}^2_{He})$ reaction on the ${}^{12}C$, ${}^{13}C$, and ${}^{16}O$ targets. Since in the ${}^{13}C(\alpha, {}^2_{He}){}^{15}C$ reaction the $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ strength is split, the sum of the two components is shown. The dashed line represents an experimental L = 4 distribution obtained from a least squares fit to the ${}^{14}C$, 4^+ transition. As can be seen, all distributions have the same shape and magnitude. Only a few angles have been measured for the $(\alpha, {}^2_{He})$ reaction on the ${}^{14}N$ and ${}^{15}N$ targets, but again the sum of the differential cross sections at those angles for the $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ transitions to states in ${}^{16}N$ and ${}^{17}N$ are the same as for those shown in Fig. 5.

The $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ cross sections are about 50 times smaller than those of the (α, d) reaction on the same target at similar incident energies. This is comparable to the difference observed between 2n and np transfer in heavy-ion reactions,⁶ indicating again that the same mechanism is involved in the (α, d) and $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reactions. This is also confirmed in a recent study of the ${}^{28}\text{Si}(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ ${}^{30}\text{Si}$ reaction by de Meijer <u>et al</u>. 19 , who obtained reasonable fits to the ${}^{2}\text{He}$ angular distributions using zero-range DWBA with optical model parameters that fit their ${}^{28}\text{Si}(\alpha, d)$ ${}^{30}\text{P}$ data.

-14-

B. The 2sld-shell target nuclei

1. $\frac{40_{Ca}(\alpha, ^{2}He)^{42}Ca}{(Q_{O} = -8.46 MeV)}$

Figure 6(a) presents a spectrum from this reaction at $\Theta_{lab} = 15^{\circ}$. The only strongly populated peak corresponds to transitions to the 6^{+} , 3.19 MeV-state in 42 Ca. This state is known to be a 2n state of $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ character.¹⁸ Transitions to the other members of the $(f_{7/2})_{7}^{2}$, T = 1 multiplet with $J^{\pi} = 0^{+}$, 2^{+} , and 4^{+} at 0., 1.52 and 2.75 MeV, respectively, were also observed, but with a reduced cross section. Since simple shell-model calculations (see Sec. V) predict a state with an $(f_{7/2}f_{5/2})_{6}^{2}$ configuration at 7.23 MeV in 42 Ca, the state observed at 7.40 MeV is a candidate for such a state.

2.
$$\frac{^{38}\text{Ar}(\alpha, ^{2}\text{He})^{40}\text{Ar}}{(Q_{0} = -11.83 \text{ MeV})^{10}}$$

Since ³⁸Ar has the same closed shell neutron configuration as ⁴⁰Ca, the spectra of the (α , ²He) reaction on this target should be very similar to those observed on the ⁴⁰Ca target, as is demonstrated in Fig. 6(b). The excitation energies as well as the observed relative strengths of the transitions to the $J^{\pi} = 6^+$, 4^+ , 2^+ , 0^+ members of the ($f_{7/2}$)² multiplet are almost identical to those observed in the ⁴⁰Ca(α , ²He)⁴²Ca reaction. The 3.47-MeV level in ⁴⁰Ar has previously been observed in a study of the ³⁸Ar(t,p)⁴⁰Ar reaction²⁰ where a tentative $J^{\pi} = 6^+$ assignment has been made. Certainly the analogous ⁴²Ca and ⁴⁰Ar spectra observed at 9.0 ± 0.1 MeV and the broad state (or unresolved states) between 7.5 and 9.0 MeV in ⁴⁰Ar probably correspond to the states observed in ⁴²Ca at 7.40 and 9.04 MeV, respectively.

3.
$$\frac{{}^{36}\text{Ar}(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He}){}^{38}\text{Ar}}{(2_{0} = -7.67 \text{ MeV})}$$

Figure 7 shows a spectrum from this reaction at $\theta_{1ab} = 13^{\circ}$. All observed peaks below 7.5 MeV could be identified with known states of ³⁸Ar (Ref. 21). Again, the transition to the 6⁺ state has the largest cross section. Although the $J^{T} = 6^{+}$ assignment of the 6.41-MeV state has recently been established in a study of the ²⁴Mg(¹⁶O,2pγ) reaction²², the present experiment confirms its $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ character. The 5⁻ states at 4.59 and 5.66 MeV are of dominant $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_{5}$ character.²³ This splitting of the 5⁻ strength in ³⁸Ar has been successfully described by the shellmodel calculations of Engelbertink and Glaudemans.²³ The states observed at higher excitation energies could not definitely be identified as ³⁸Ar states. Their slightly different kinematic behavior indicates that they might originate from an unidentified target contaminant.

-16-

4. $\frac{32}{S(\alpha, He)} \frac{34}{S}$ (Q = -8.24 MeV)

A spectrum from this reaction on an Sb_2S_3 target is presented in Fig. 8. The known²⁴ 5⁻ state at 5.69 MeV and a previously unknown state in ³⁴S at 8.45 MeV are preferentially populated. Furthermore, the known 3⁻ state at 4.62 MeV and two previously unknown states at 7.24 and 10.7 \pm 0.1 MeV are populated with moderate strength. The peaks seen at higher excitation energies could not definitely be identified as transitions to ³⁴S levels.

The 5⁻ state in ³⁴S is known to be of predominant $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})$ character, since the (d,p) reaction on ³³S (which, in its ground state, has a $[^{32}S(0^+) \otimes vd_{3/2}]_{3/2}^+$ configuration) shows a strong l = 3 transfer to the 5.69 MeV state.²⁴ The state at 8.45 MeV is most likely of $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ character which is in agreement with simple shell-model calculations (see Sec. V). It should be noted that, in a study of the ${}^{32}S(t,p){}^{34}S$ reaction,²⁵ the $J^{T} = 4^+$, 2^+ , and 0^+ members of the $(f_{7/2})^2$ multiplet were identified at 8.42, 7.80 and 5.86 MeV, respectively, though the 6^+ member was not observed. Simple shell-model calculations (see Sec. V) indicate that the state observed at 10.7 MeV could be of $(f_{7/2}f_{5/2})_6$ character, but no definite assignment can be made based on this limited survey.

5. $\frac{28_{Si}(\alpha, ^{2}He)^{30}Si}{(Q_{O} = -9.21 \text{ MeV})}$

A spectrum from the (α , ²He) reaction at $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$ on this closed $d_{5/2}$ subshell target nucleus is shown in Fig. 9(a). The known²⁴ 3 and 5 states at 5.49 and 7.04 MeV, respectively, and two states at 8.95 and 10.67 MeV are substantially populated. Recently, de Meijer et al. 19 published a study of this reaction at E_{α} = 65 MeV, and our excitation energies and differential cross sections for the observed levels agree with their values within errors. They obtained detailed angular distributions for the ${}^{28}\text{Si}(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He}){}^{30}\text{Si}$ reaction which were analyzed with DWBA calculations, using optical model parameters from (α,d) data analysis and taking deuteron parameters for ²_{He}. Their analysis established that the ³⁰_{Si} levels at 5.49, 7.04, 8.95 and 10.67 MeV possess 2n configurations of $(2s_{1/2}f_{7/2})_3$ $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5, (f_{7/2})_6^2$, and $(f_{7/2}f_{5/2})_6$ character, respectively. The presence of an $(f_{7/2}f_{5/2})_6$ configuration so close to the $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ configuration in 30 Si is somewhat surprising, but can be explained by the fact that the experimental $f_{7/2} - f_{5/2}$ single particle states in ²⁹Si are only separated by 2.57 MeV, whereas typically those two single particle energies differ by about 3-4 MeV throughout the rest of the sd-shell.²⁴

6.
$$\frac{26}{Mg(\alpha, He)} \frac{28}{Mg}$$
 (Q_o = -13.35 MeV)

Since ²⁶Mg has the same closed $d_{5/2}$ - subshell neutron configuration as ²⁸Si, the (α , ²He) spectra on these two targets are expected to be very similar. Comparing Figs. 9(a) and (b) confirms this expectation. The states observed at 6.46, 8.88 and 9.78 MeV were previously unknown. In analogy with the population of known states in ³⁰Si, these states can be preliminarily assigned as being of $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$, $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ and $(f_{7/2}f_{5/2})_6$ character, respectively.

7. $\frac{29}{\text{Si}(\alpha, ^{2}\text{He})^{31}\text{Si}}{(\Omega_{0} = -11.10 \text{ MeV})}$

In its ground state, ²⁹Si can be described as (²⁸Si(0⁺) O 2s_{1/2})_{1/2}+ and thus one can expect the (α ,²He) reaction on ²⁹Si to populate the same 2n states as on a ²⁸Si(or ²⁶Mg) target, coupled to the 2s_{1/2} neutron. A spectrum of the ²⁹Si(α ,²He) ³¹Si reaction is shown in Fig. 10. Three previously unknown states in ³¹Si at 5.00, 5.41 and 8.27 MeV are preferentially populated. The doublet at 5.00 and 5.41 MeV most probably arises from the coupling of the ($d_{3/2}f_{7/2}$)₅ configuration to the s_{1/2} neutron of the ²⁹Si core, so that these two states presumably have J^T assignments of 9/2⁻ and 11/2⁻. An assignment via the simple (2J+1) dependence of the cross section (see Secs. IV A2 and IV A4) could not be made, since at most angles this doublet is unresolved. The state at 8.27 MeV can possibly be explained as an unresolved doublet of either

 $[\{{}^{28}\text{si}(0^{+}){}^{2}\text{s}_{1/2}\}_{1/2} \otimes ({}^{f}_{7/2}){}^{2}_{6}]_{11/2}^{+}, {}_{13/2}^{+} \text{ or} \\ [\{{}^{28}\text{si}(0^{+}){}^{2}\text{s}_{1/2}\}_{1/2} \otimes ({}^{f}_{7/2}{}^{f}_{5/2}){}^{6}_{6}]_{11/2}^{+}, {}_{13/2}^{+} \text{ configuration};$

the separation in energy of the 8.27 MeV-states relative to the centroid of the 5.00 and 5.41 MeV states, when compared to the spectra from the $(\alpha, ^{2}_{\text{He}})$ reaction on $^{28}_{\text{Si}}$ and $^{26}_{\text{Mg}}$, leads to a preference for the latter configuration.

B.
$$\frac{24}{Mg(\alpha, He)} \frac{26}{Mg}$$
 (Q = -9.87 MeV)

Figure 11(a) presents a spectrum from this reaction at $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$. Besides moderate population of the known 4⁺ state²⁴ at 5.47 MeV, two previously unknown states at 8.62 and 11.23 MeV are strongly populated. From the systematics discussed in Sec. V it follows that these two states are presumably populated by $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ and $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ transitions, respectively.

9.
$$\frac{2^2 \text{Ne}(\alpha, ^2 \text{He})^{24} \text{Ne}}{(Q_0 = -14.23 \text{ MeV})}$$

Figure 11(b) shows a spectrum from this reaction at $\theta_{1ab} = 13^{\circ}$. All states observed above the 2⁺, 3.87-MeV level were previously unknown. ²⁴Ne states at 6.36 and 8.15 MeV and a broad level at 11.35 ± 0.15 MeV are strongly populated. In addition, a state at 9.88 MeV is observed with moderate strength. Although ²²Ne has the same neutron configuration as ²⁴Mg, the spectra of the final nuclei ²⁴Ne and ²⁶Mg are not as similar as has been previously observed in populating the pairs of isotones ²⁸Mg vs. ³⁰Si or ⁴⁰Ar vs. ⁴²Ca. This can perhaps be related to the fact that, unlike the ²⁶Mg, ²⁸Si, ³⁸Ar, and ⁴⁰Ca targets, those of ²²Ne and ²⁴Mg do not have closed neutron shells or subshells. As will be shown from the systematics (Sec. V), the state at 8.15 MeV in ²⁴Ne is probably of $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ character and the broad state at 11.35 MeV is possibly of $(f_{7/2})_6$ character, with the latter suggestion being quite tentative. The state at 6.36 MeV cannot be assigned in the present study; it is either the counterpart of the 5.47 MeV-state in ²⁶Mg or of $(s_{1/2}f_{7/2})_3$ character. In the latter case the absence of an analogous peak in the ²⁶Mg spectrum is puzzling.

10.
$$\frac{20}{\text{Ne}(\alpha, 2\text{He})^{22}}$$
 Ne $(0_{0} = -11.17 \text{ MeV})$

A spectrum from this reaction at $\Theta_{lab} = 12.5^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 12(a). In addition to the relatively strong population of a doublet at 9.16 and 9.44 MeV, many states are populated with moderate strength in this reaction. The systematics of the 2n states, as discussed in Sec. V, indicates that one of the states of the doublet is likely to be of $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ character, but a definite assignment is not justified.

11. $\frac{18_{O(\alpha, 2He)}^{20}}{(0.06e)}$ (0.06e) = -16.74 MeV)

A spectrum from this reaction is shown in Fig. 12(b). In addition to the transitions to the known 0⁺, 2⁺ and 4⁺ states²⁶ at 0., 1.67 and 3.57 MeV, respectively, previously unknown states at 7.78, 8.78 and 10.2 \pm 0.1 MeV are populated in ²⁰O. Although configurations of $(d_{5/2}d_{3/2})_4$ and $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ are expected in this high excitation region, no assignments can be made in this limited survey. Although ²⁰O has the same neutron configuration as ²²Ne, the spectra from the (α , ²He) reaction populating these nuclei again lack the pronounced similarities observed in reactions producing isotones higher in the sd-shell (see also Sec. IV B9).

-20-

As already pointed out in Section IV A6, our simple model of the $(\alpha, {}^{2}_{He})$ reaction leads one to expect that cross sections for transitions to the strongly populated 2n states should, for given configurations, be largely independent of the target nucleus, so long as the target does not contain any such nucleons. Table II presents the differential cross sections for transitions on such targets to the observed states with $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_{5}$ and $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ character at $\Theta_{1ab} = 15^{\circ}$. It can be seen that for reactions over a wide range of target nuclei, the experimental cross sections, in general, are quite similar. For $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_{5}$ and $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ transitions, the average cross sections at this angle are about 60 and 40 µb/sr, respectively. This consistency of the cross sections indicates again the simple mechanism of the $(\alpha, {}^{2}_{He})$ reaction.

-21-

V. SHELL-MODEL CALCULATIONS AND SYSTEMATICS

Predicated on the observed selectivity of the $(\alpha, {}^{2}\text{He})$ reaction, simple shell-model calculations have been carried out in order to interpret further the character of the strongly populated states. The $T_{z} = 0$ target nuclei from ${}^{24}\text{Mg}$ to ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ were each assumed to be an inert core and the two neutrons were allowed to occupy the valence orbits in the 2sld and 1f2p shells.

The single-particle energies ε_j were taken to be the separation energies of the single particle levels in the A_{core} +1 nucleus:

$$\varepsilon_{j} = E(A+1, J=j) - E(A)$$
(1)

where $A = A_{core}$. From the dominant appropriate *l*-transfers in singlenucleon transfer reactions,²⁴ the locations of the single particle levels were determined. Table III gives a list of the single particle energies utilized. The excitation energy of the 2n states was calculated according to

$$E_{\mathbf{x}}^{(A+2, j_{1}j_{2}J)} = \varepsilon_{j_{1}} + \varepsilon_{j_{2}} + \langle j_{1}j_{2}|v|j_{1}j_{2} \rangle_{J} + B_{2n}^{(A+2,g.s.)}, \qquad (2)$$

where B_{2n} and $\langle j_1 j_2 | v | j_1 j_2 \rangle_J$ are the 2n binding energy and the two-body matrix element (TBME), respectively. The calculated TBME's of Kuo and Brown²⁷ have been applied except for the $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_{3,5}$ cases, where the values empirically evaluated by Erné²⁸ have been used, since they give much better fits to the known $J^{T} = 3^{-}$ and 5^{-} states. Those TBME's not listed by Kuo and Brown have been calculated from the modified surface delta interaction with the A = 37, 39 parameters listed in Ref. 29. When several configurations yielded states with the same spin J, the configuration interaction was taken into account by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian matrix

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = (\varepsilon_{j_{1\alpha}} + \varepsilon_{j_{2\alpha}})\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \langle j_{1\alpha}j_{2\alpha}|v|j_{1\beta}j_{2\beta} \rangle_{J} + B_{2n}(A+2,g.s.)\delta_{\alpha\beta} .$$
(3)

Due to the difference in single particle energies, there usually is a large gap in the energy between the second and the higher pure configurations. For this reason, in the present calculations these higher configurations were neglected, which reduced the calculation of the energy levels to simple 2 × 2 matrix diagonalizations.

Figure 13 compares the calculations for 30 Si, 34 S and 42 Ca with the energy spectra observed in the present study. Except for the energies of the ground states, which are very sensitive to the limitations of the present approach, the calculations are in good agreement with experiment, especially so for the 30 Si nucleus, and are additional support for the assignments suggested in the previous sections. The calculated excitation energies for the $J^{T} = 5^{-}$ and 6^{+} states in 26 Mg, 30 Si, 34 S, 38 Ar and 42 Ca are listed in Table IV.

Another shell-model approach is given in the Bansal-French (BF) weak-coupling method³⁰⁻³³ for computing the energies of particle-hole states. In studies of the (α ,d) reaction on many sd-shell nuclei²⁻⁵, a linear dependence of the binding energy B_{np} of the neutron-proton pair in the observed ($f_{7/2}$)²/₇ states versus the mass number of the final nucleus has been observed and successfully explained by the BF method. This method will be discussed below and the binding energies of the 2n states will be calculated and compared with the experimental data.

-23-

The total energy of a nucleus A_0^+p-h with p particles and h holes relative to a closed shell nucleus A_0^- is given by:

$$E(A_{0}+p-h) = E(A_{0})+E(p)-E(h) + < ph|v_{ph}|ph > ,$$
(4)

where E(p) and E(h) are the energies of p particles and h holes relative to $E(A_0)$. In the model of Bansal and French, a weak interaction is assumed between the particles and holes such that the values of E(p) and E(h), which include the interactions of the particles and holes among themselves, can be obtained empirically from the binding energies of the nuclei A_0 , A_0 +p and A_0 -h

by

$$E(p) = E(A_0 + p) - E(A_0)$$
 (5)

$$E(h) = E(A_0) - E(A_0 - h)$$
 (6)

In Eq. (4) the last term represents the interaction energy between the particles and the holes which was assumed by Bansal and French to be of the following form:

$$< ph |v_{ph}| ph > = -p \cdot h \cdot a + b \stackrel{\rightarrow}{t} p \cdot \stackrel{\rightarrow}{t} h,$$
 (7)

where *a* is the two-body matrix element $\langle j_p j_h^{-1} | v_{ph} | j_p j_h^{-1} \rangle_{JT}$ averaged over all allowed values of J and T, and *b* is the separation of the center of gravity of the T=0 and T=1 states.

By inserting Eqs. (5)-(7) in Eq. (4), one obtains for the energy of the nucleus $A = A_0 + p - h$

$$E(A,T,T_{z}) = E(A_{0}+p,T_{p},T_{zp}) + E(A_{0}-h,T_{h},T_{zh}) - E(A_{0},0,0)$$

$$- p \cdot h \cdot a + b \frac{1}{2} [T(T+1) - T_{p}(T_{p}+1) - T_{h}(T_{h}+1)] + \varepsilon_{c},$$
(8)

where ε_{c} is the Coulomb interaction energy between the particles and the holes, which was not included in V_{ph} .

The two-neutron binding energy B_{2n} of states with dominant $(f_{7/2})^2$ character in a nucleus A near the closed shell nucleus ⁴⁰Ca can readily be obtained from Eq.(8) using $E(A_0) = E({}^{40}Ca g.s.), E(A_0+p) = E({}^{42}Ca(f_{7/2})^2)$ $E(A_0-h) = E(A-2, g.s. 0^+), p = 2, h = 40-(A-2), and \epsilon_c = 0, one then finds:$

$$B_{2n}(A(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}) = B_{2n}({}^{42}Ca(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}) + 2 \cdot (42-A) \cdot a - b \cdot (I-1)$$
(9)

$$B_{2n}(A(f_{7/2})_0^2) = B_{2n}({}^{42}Ca(f_{7/2})_0^2) + 2 \cdot (42-A) \cdot a - b \cdot (T-1)$$
(10)

In a similar fashion, both B_{2n} for states with $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ character as well as the one-neutron binding energy B_n of $f_{7/2}$ single-particle states can be derived:

$$B_{2n}(A(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5) = B_{2n}({}^{34}S(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5) + 2 \cdot (34-A) \cdot a - b \cdot (T-1)$$
(11)

$$B_n(A f_{7/2}) = B_n({}^{41}Ca f_{7/2}) + 1 \cdot (41-A) \cdot a$$
(12)

In Fig. 14 the experimental B_{2n} values of the $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ and $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ states from the present study are plotted versus A of the final nucleus. In addition, the experimental B_{2n} values²⁹ of states with the $(f_{7/2})_0^2$ configuration as well as the B_n values²⁹ of $f_{7/2}$ single-neutron states relative to $J^{\pi} = 0^+$ nuclei are also indicated in Fig. 14. The solid lines represent B_{2n} and B_n calculated (Eqs. (9)-(12)) using the common values a = -0.30 MeV and b = 2.6 MeV.

The observed linear dependence of B_{2n} on A is well reproduced by the BF model. This indicates that *a* is essentially independent of A, as assumed in Eqs. (9)-(12). As Sherr <u>et al.</u>³² pointed out, this fact is remarkable and somewhat surprising, since *a* contains different particle-hole interactions depending on A. For the $(f_{7/2})^2$ states, for instance, in the case of 38 Ar *a* contains only $(f_{7/2}d_{3/2}^{-1})$ interactions, whereas in 26 Mg in addition the $(f_{7/2}s_{1/2}^{-1})$ and $(f_{7/2}d_{5/2}^{-1})$ interactions are included. Although it is known²⁷ that these interaction energies differ substantially from one another, the averaging is believed to smooth out any differences.

-25-

The fact that the same *a* parameter fits the states with $(f_{7/2})_0^2$ and $(f_{7/2})_{\beta}^{2}$ configurations is expected in the BF model, since they involve the same particle-hole interactions. The differences in energy of these two states is a result of the particle-particle interaction, which is included in E(p), (Eq. (5)), and which does not affect the particle-hole interaction. On the other hand, one would expect that the value of a would differ for the $(f_{7/2})^2$ states vs. the $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})$ states, since the latter also involve interactions of a $d_{3/2}$ particle with the various holes. The fact that the same value of a fits both configurations could be fortuitous or could be again the result of averaging. It is interesting to note that this value for a of -0.30 MeV has also been found in a similar analysis 32 of the binding energies B_{np} of neutron-proton states with $(f_{7/2})^2$ character. Sherr et al.³² also obtained b = 2.88 MeV which compares well with our value of b = 2.60 MeV. These values are in good agreement with those of Bansal and French³⁰ and Zamick³¹ who found a = -0.25 MeV, b = 2.9 MeV and a = -0.30 MeV, b = 2.90 MeV, respectively, for nuclei in the region of 40 Ca.

The good agreement between the calculated and the experimental excitation energies for the $J^{T} = 5^{-}$ and 6^{+} states in the simple shell model calculations also means that this approach gives B_{2n} values which are linearly dependent on A. This indicates similarities in both methods in the calculation of the B_{2n} . In the simple shell model approach the two-neutron binding energy is given merely by the sum of the single particle separation energies plus the TBME, which is independent of A (here we ignore the contribution from configuration mixing). Thus all A dependence in B_{2n} is related to the A dependence of the single-particle separation energies. A linear dependence of the B_{2n} values, therefore, can be expected if the single-particle separation energies are

-26-

LBL-7157

linearly dependent on A. As is shown in Fig. 14, the $f_{7/2}$ single-particle separation energies indeed show a linear dependence on A. The fact that the slope for the $(f_{7/2})^2$ states is twice that of the $f_{7/2}$ states follows clearly from this reasoning.

Looking at Eq. (9), (10) and (12) one sees that B_{2n} can be expressed as $B_{2n} = C_1 + C_2 \cdot A$ for a group of states with the same T. Similarly $B_n = C_3 + \frac{1}{2}C_2 \cdot A$ since in this case the number of particles involved is half that in the two-neutron case. Thus the success of the Bansal-French method depends on the linear correspondence of the singleneutron separation energies with A too. This also implies that in both methods the slope for the $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ lines (Fig. 14) should be the same as for the $(f_{7/2})^2$ lines if the difference in the $\varepsilon_{d_{3/2}} - \varepsilon_{f_{7/2}}$ single nucleon separation energy, $\Delta\varepsilon$, remains constant. Indeed, the variation in these values for $\Delta\varepsilon$ of 2.99, 2.35 and 2.93 MeV for A = 25, 29 and 33 respectively, is too small to affect the slope.

It is interesting to note that calculations of $f_{7/2}$ separation energies in a Woods-Saxon well with parameters of Ref. 33 (V = 51 MeV, $r_0 = 1.27$ fm, a = 0.65 fm and $V_{s.0.} = 16.4$ MeV) yield more or less a linear A-dependence too, but the slope differs from the one observed here by a factor of two.

VI. SUMMARY

The (α , ²He) reaction has been investigated over a wide range of lp- and 2sld-shell targets with results which demonstrate a pronounced selectivity in this reaction for populating two-neutron states of high spin. On lp-shell targets, preferential transitions to states of $(p_{1/2}d_{5/2})_3$ and $(d_{5/2})_4^2$ character have been observed; on 2sld-shell targets, states with $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_5$ and $(f_{7/2})_6^2$ configurations were selectively populated, many of which were previously unknown.

The excitation energies of some of the known and assumed 5⁻ and 6⁺ states could be understood in terms of a simple shell model calculation describing the states as a core plus two neutrons and taking the separation energy for the neutron as the single-particle energy. As was earlier observed²⁻⁵ in the (α ,d) reaction on 2sld-shell nuclei, the two-neutron binding energies displayed a linear dependence on the mass number which could be reproduced by a calculation employing the Bansal-French³⁰⁻³³ method. The parameters *a* and *b* entering the calculation turned out to be very similar to the ones obtained in the (α ,d) work.³² The success of the Bansal-French method applied to these nuclei seems to be related to the linear A-dependence of the neutron separation energy.

It would appear from the present results that extensions of this approach to provide detailed investigations of neutron-rich product nuclei could be quite valuable; indeed, a comprehensive study of two-neutron transfer via the 28 Si(α , 2 He) 30 Si reaction has already been reported 19 .

V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank J. Walton for fabricating the large-area detectors, C. Ellsworth for preparing the targets and Dr. G. Bertsch for useful discussion. We also gratefully acknowledge the assistance of the technical and administrative staff. This work was supported by the Nuclear Physics and Nuclear Sciences Division of the Department of Energy.

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

⁺Present address: Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik der Universität Bonn, Nussallee 14-16, 53 Bonn, West Germany.

⁺⁺On leave from: Kernfysisch Versneller Instituut, University of Groningen, Groningen 8002, The Netherlands.

- R. Jahn, G. J. Wozniak, D. P. Stahel, and J. Cerny, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 812 (1976).
- 2. E. Rivet, R. H. Pehl, J. Cerny, and B. G. Harvey, Phys. Rev. 141, 1021 (1966).

3. C. C. Lu, M. S. Zisman, and B. G. Harvey, Phys. Rev. 186, 1086 (1969).

4. H. Nann, W. S. Chien, A. Saha, and B. H. Wildenthal, Phys. Lett. 60B, 32 (1975).

5. R. M. Del Vecchio, R. T. Kouzes, and R. Sherr, Nucl. Phys. A265, 220 (1976).

6. N. Anyas-Weiss et al., Phys. Rep. 12C, 201 (1974).

7. M. Hamm and K. Nagatani, Phys. Rev. C (to be published).

8. G. J. Wozniak, N. A. Jelley, and J. Cerny, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 120, 29 (1974).

9. B. J. Morton, E. E. Gross, E. V. Hungerford, J. J. Malanify, and A. Zucker, Phys. Rev. 169, 825 (1968).

10. K. M. Watson, Phys. Rev. 88, 1163 (1952).

11. A. E. Migdal, Soviet Phys. JETP 1, 2 (1955).

12. The program is available from the authors.

13. W. W. True, Phys. Rev. 130, 1530 (1963).

14. S. Mordechai et al., to be published.

15. F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A268, 1 (1976).

16. F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A281, 1 (1977).

17. T. T. S. Kuo and G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. 85, 40 (1966).

18. I. Kanestrøm and H. Koren, Nucl. Phys. A130, 527 (1969).

- 19. R. J. de Meijer, R. Kamermans, J. van Driel and H. P. Morsch, Phys. Rev. C 16, 2442 (1977).
- 20. E. R. Flynn, O. Hansen, R. F. Casten, J. D. Garrett, and F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A246, 117 (1975).
- 21. J. J. Kolata, J. W. Olness, E. K. Warburton, and A. R. Poletti, Phys. Rev. C 13, 1944 (1976).
- 22. M. A. van Driel, H. H. Eggenhuisen, G. A. P. Engelbertink, L. P. Ekström, and J. A. J. Hermans, Nucl. Phys. A272, 466 (1976).
- 23. G. A. P. Engelbertink and P. W. M. Glaudemans, Nucl. Phys. Al23, 225 (1969).
- 24. P. M. Endt and C. van der Leun, Nucl. Phys. A214, 1 (1972).
- 25. D. J. Crozier, H. T. Fortune, R. Middleton, and S. Hinds, Phys. Lett. 46B,189 (1973).
- 26. F. Ajzenberg-Selove, Nucl. Phys. A190,1 (1972).
- 27. T. T. S. Kuo and G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. All4, 241 (1968).
- 28. F. C. Erné, Nucl. Phys. 84, 91 (1966).
- 29. S. Maripuu and G. A. Hokken, Nucl. Phys. A141, 481 (1970).
- 30. R. K. Bansal and J. B. French, Phys. Lett. 11, 145 (1964).
- 31. L. Zamick, Phys. Lett. 19, 580 (1965).
- 32. R. Sherr, R. Kouzes, and R. Del Vecchio, Phys. Lett. 52B, 401 (1974).
- 33. G. F. Bertsch, The Practitioner's Shell Model, (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam 1972) p. 86.

Target	Isotopic Purity (%)	Thickness (µg/cm ²)	Pressure (atm)
12 _C	98.9	350	<u></u>
13 _C	90.0	140	
14 _{N2}	99.6		0.20
15 _{N2}	99.7		0.20
1.6 ₀₂	99.8	֥	0.20
18 ₀₂	97.2		0.19
20 _{Ne}	99.95		0.28
22 Ne	99.65		0.27
24 _{Mg}	99.96	650	
26 Mg	99.42	300	
²⁸ si	99.8	410	
²⁹ Si	95.0	500	
sb ₂ ³² s ₃	95.0	750	
36 Ar	99.5		0.27
³⁸ Ar	95.0		0.29
40 Ca	99.97	620	

Table I. Isotopic purities, solid target thicknesses and gas target pressures at 25° C.

		(đ _{3/}	2 ^f 7/2 ⁾ 5	$(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ +		
Final Nucleus	Beam Energy	E x	$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}$ (15° lab)	Ex	$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}$ (15° lab)	
·	(MeV)	(MeV)	(µb/sr)	(MeV)	(µb/sr)	
24	· · · · ·	· · ·				
24 Ne	65	8.15	25	11.35	30	
26 Mg	55	8.62	60	11.23	25	
28 Mg	65	6.46	40	8.88	30	
³⁰ si	65	7.04	65	8.95	50	
³⁴ s	65	5.69	100	8.45	85	
38 Ar	65			6.41	35	
40 Ar	65			3.47	30	
42 Ca	55			3.19	40	

Table II. Excitation energies and cross sections for $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})_{5}^{-}$ and $(f_{7/2})_{6}^{2}$ + states observed in the $(\alpha, ^{2}\text{He})$ reactions.

.....

A core	1d _{5/2}	^{2s} 1/2	^{1d} 3/2	lf _{7/2}	^{2p} 3/2	^{lf} 5/2	
24 Mg	-7.332	-6.747	-6.357	-3.364	-3.918		
28 _{Si}		-8.474	-7.201	-4.850	-3.540	-2.283	
³² s			-8.643	-5.709	-5.423	-1.954	
36 Ar			-8.789	-7.178	-6.299	-4.384	
40 _{Ca}				-8.363	-6.420	-3.481	
						·	

Table III. Single particle energies (in MeV) used in the shell-model calculations.

0

Table IV	v.	Excitation and t	two-neutron	binding	energi	.es (in Me	V) and m	ain
		configurations of	$f J^{11} = 5$	and 6 ⁺ s	tates r	esulting	from the	shell
	1	model calculation	ons.					

· ·	$J^{\pi}=5^{-}$ $(d_{5/2}f_{7/2})$ $(d_{3/2}f_{7/2})$			$(f_{7/2})^2 J^{\pi=6^+} (f_{7/2}f_{5/2})$			f _{5/2})	
A +2 core	Ex	^B 2n	Ex	B _{2n}	Ex	B _{2n}	E x	B _{2n}
26 Mg	7.67	10.75	9.21	9.22				
³⁰ si			7.46	11.62	9.32	9.77	11.35	7.73
³⁴ s			6.14	13.92	8.69	11.37	11.68	8.38
38 _{Ar}	, , , ,		5.09	15.54	6.23	14.39	8.44	12.19
42 _{Ca}				1	3.27	16.63	7.23	12.60
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- <u></u> -					

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig.	1.	a) Schematic diagram of the ² He detection system.
		o) A gated time-of-flight difference spectrum between events in the
		two telescopes.
	·	c) The detection efficiency as a function of the ² He energy.
Fig.	2.	A spectrum of the ${}^{12}C(\alpha, {}^{2}He){}^{14}C$ reaction at $E_{\alpha} = 65$ MeV and $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$.
Fig.	3.	Spectra of the (α , ² He) reaction at E_{α} = 65 MeV on
		a) 13 C at $\Theta_{lab} = 12^{\circ}$ and b) 14 N at $\Theta_{lab} = 13^{\circ}$.
Fig.	4.	Spectra of the (α , He) reaction at E = 65 MeV and Θ = 13° on lab
		a) 15 N and b) 16 O.
Fig.	5.	A comparison of some L = 4 (α , ² He) angular distributions.
		The dashed curve represents the interpolated experimental angular
		listribution for the transition to the 10.72-MeV, 4^+ state in 14 C.
Fig.	6.	Spectra of the $(\alpha, ^{2}_{He})$ reaction on:
		a) 40_{Ca} at $E_{\alpha} = 55 \text{ MeV and } \Theta_{\text{lab}} = 15^{\circ}$, and
		b) ³⁸ Ar at $E_{\alpha} = 65$ MeV and $\Theta_{lab} = 13^{\circ}$.
Fig.	7.	A spectrum of the 36 Ar(α , 2 He) 38 Ar reaction at E_{α} = 65 MeV and Θ_{1ab} = 13°.
Fig.	8.	A spectrum of the ${}^{32}S(\alpha, {}^{2}He) {}^{34}S$ reaction at $E_{\alpha} = 65$ MeV and $\Theta_{lab} = 15^{\circ}$.
Fig.	9.	Spectra of the (α , He) reaction at E = 65 MeV on:
		a) ²⁰ Si at $\Theta_{1ab} = 12^{\circ}$ (at poorer than normal resolution) and 26_{MG} at $\Theta_{1ab} = 12.5^{\circ}$
Fig.	10.	A spectrum of the 29 Si(α , He) 31 Si reaction at E = 65 MeV and $\Theta_{1ab} = 12.5^{\circ}$.
Fig.	11.	Spectra of the $(\alpha, ^{2}_{He})$ reaction on:
		a) $^{24}_{Mg}$ at E = 55 MeV and $^{\Theta}_{lab}$ = 12°; and
		b) Ne at $E_{\alpha} = 65$ MeV and $\Theta_{lab} = 13^{\circ}$.

LBL-7157

Fig. 12. Spectra of the (α , ²He) reaction at E_{α} = 65 MeV on:

a)
20
Ne at $\Theta_{lab} = 12.5^{\circ}$.
b) 18 O at $\Theta_{lab} = 13^{\circ}$.

Fig. 13. Comparison of the calculated and experimental level schemes of 30 Si, 34 S and 42 Ca. Above the ground states, only the states observed in these experiments are presented.

Fig. 14. Binding energies, B, for one- and two-neutron states possessing several different configurations as a function of the mass of the final nucleus A.



Fig. 1

LBL

7157



LBL-7157

-39-





Fig. 3

LBL-7157



课题



-42-

Fig. 5





Fig. 6



44-







LBL-7157



Fig. ll

XBL 7711-11347

-48-



Fig. 12

XBL 7711-11339



Fig. 13

LBL-7157

LBL-7157



This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720