Title
RESONANT APPROXIMATION FOR SINGLE-PARTICLE RESONANCES IN THE RENORMALIZED RPA-PROBLEM

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14v674p3

Author
Weigel, M.

Publication Date
1970-04-01

UCRL-19578
Preprint

c. 2

RESONANT APPROXIMATION FOR SINGLE-PARTICLE
RESONANCES IN THE RENORMALIZED RPA-PROBLEM

M. Weigel

April 1970

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY of CALIFORNIA BERKELEY

DISCLAIMER

UCRL-19578

RESONANT APPROXIMATION FOR SINGLE-PARTICLE RESONANCES IN
THE RENORMALIZED RPA-PROBLEM[*]

M. Weigel[†]

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, California 94720

April 1970

Abstract

An approximate version of the renormalized RPA-treatment for scattering

of nucleons on a hole nucleus is given, in which the shape resonances are treated

according to a method of V. V. Balashov et al. In order to make the results

more transparent we take into account the additional influence of the non reso-

nant part of the single-particle continuum with the help of a separable particle-

hole force.

1. Introduction

In a previous work[1] we have given the equations for the renormalized
RPA-problem with inclusion of the single-particle continuum by extending Migdal's
quasi-particle approach[2] to the continuum[3]. We designed a model in which the
so called nuclear-structure problem (only bound single-particle orbits are
included) was used as a zero-order solution. In this model one could first
solve the nuclear-structure problem with the full effective particle-hole force.
The influence of the continuum was taken into account by approximating all matrix
elements containing continuum single-particle states with a separable force so
avoiding the original complicated Fredholm problem. This is due to the fact
that the Fredholm determinant degenerates for a separable force. The details as
well as further references can be found in refs. [1] and [3]. The model implied
the assumption that the solution for the nuclear-structure problem is already
a good approximation for the corresponding "bound-state" solution with inclusion
of the single-particle continuum. But in some cases it is well known that one
has to include single-particle resonances--for instance the $1d_{3/2}$-resonance in
^{16}O--in order to obtain a satisfactory solution for the nuclear-structure pro-
blem. We have been able to overcome this difficulty by applying the method of
Garside and MacDonald[4,5]. But it turns out that the resulting equations are
rather complicated, so one might try an approximate treatment by the use of a
"resonant approximation" for the resonant single-particle states[6,7]. We will
give in the second section the relevant definitions and approximations needed
in such an attempt. The resulting equations are derived in the third section.
In order to make the structure of the problem more transparent a solvable model
is presented in the fourth section, in which all matrix elements containing non

resonant continuum single-particle states are approximated by a separable force. We will restrict ourselves to the case of one shape resonance only, since the generalization to several channels can be achieved easily by following the same road as in the one channel case.

2. General RPA-Formalism and the Definition of the Resonant Approximation

In ref. [1] the following equation for the particle-hole amplitude was obtained (A; I.12):[*]

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = (n_\nu - n_\mu) \frac{1}{E_M + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \{\delta_{k_0\nu}\delta_{j_0\mu}(-i\eta)\,\delta_{M,S}$$

$$- 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}\,(\omega)\,\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M}\} \; . \tag{II.1}$$

Here $\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M}$ is the quasi-particle-hole amplitude:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = (z_\nu z_\mu)^{-1/2} \langle 0 | \psi_\mu^\dagger \psi_\nu | M \rangle \quad , \tag{II.2}$$

where $\psi_\mu^\dagger$ and ψ_μ are the Schroedinger creation--and annihilation operators, respectively, of a nucleon with the quantum number set μ defined by a suitable shell-model hamiltonian. For continuum wavefunctions we choose the standing wave boundary condition. The z_ν's are Migdal's renormalization constants[2]; $2\pi \tilde{I}$ is the renormalized particle-hole matrix element. By $|M\rangle$ we denote a scattering state as well as a bound state $|B\rangle$. Since we assume the target nucleus to be a closed shell nucleus plus one quasi-hole, we can specify the scattering state $|S\rangle$ by the quantum number set k_0 $(= \varepsilon_{k_0}, j_{k_0}, \ell_{k_0}, m_{k_0}, t_{k_0})$ of the incoming particle plus the quantum number set j_0 of the corresponding quasihole. Hence $|S\rangle$ means more explicitly $|S; k_0, j_0\rangle$. With n_ν we denote the quasiparticle occupation number for the state ν with respect

---

[*] In this paper we are using the same notations and definitions as in ref. [1]. We refer to ref. [1] as A, so that (A; I.1) means eq. (I.1) of ref. [1]. $\sum_{\sigma\lambda}$ means summation over the discrete variables as well as integration over the continuum variables.

to the groundstate $|0\rangle$ of the compound-nucleus. The zeropoint of the energy is chosen to be ground state energy of the compound nucleus.

One knows[6,7]) that within the range of the single-particle potential the continuum wave function $|p\rangle$ in the resonance channel $\bar{\xi}$ can be represented in the vicinity of an isolated pol by

$$|p\rangle \approx C(\varepsilon_p)|\xi\rangle \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{p} = \bar{\xi}, \ 0 \leqslant \varepsilon_p \leqslant \varepsilon_{max} \quad , \tag{II.3}$$

with $\langle\xi|\xi\rangle = 1$. Here, with $\bar{p}$, $\bar{\xi}$ etc. we specify the channels--for instance $\bar{p}: = j_p, \ell_p, t_p$. $C(\varepsilon_p)$ has the following structure:

$$C(\varepsilon_p): = \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon_p)/2\pi}{(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \right\}^{1/2} . \tag{II.4}$$

The details for obtaining $|\xi\rangle$ and $C(\varepsilon_p)$ can be found in ref. [7]).

Our goal is to replace the continuum states $|p\rangle$ by the quasibound state $|\xi\rangle$ and furthermore to treat amplitudes with the index ξ similarly as amplitudes specified by true bound states. In the original problem we can distinguish three different particle-hole states:

$$(\nu,\mu) = \{ (r,i); (i,r) \mid (p,i); (i,p) \mid (c,i); (i,c) \} . \tag{II.5}$$

Here i characterizes hole states, r true bound states and c continuum states not belonging to the class defined by (II.3). In the new problem we want to deal with a new classification given by:[*]

$$(\nu,\mu) = \{ (r,i); (i,r) \mid (\xi,i); (i,\xi) \mid (c,i); (i,c) \} . \tag{II.6}$$

---

[*] One may also use modified continuum wave functions $|c\rangle$ constructed orthogonal to $|\xi\rangle$[8]).

In order to have a clear distinction we will label the particle-hole amplitudes, matrix elements etc. in the new classification by $\hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M}$, $\hat{I}$ etc. Since in the second term of (II.1) only the wave functions within the range of the particle-hole force are needed we can insert there the quantities according to the new classification. We obtain then for the relevant term $((\nu\mu)$ according to (II.6)!)

$$\sum_{(\sigma\lambda)\in(p,i)} \tilde{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}\tilde{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M} \approx \sum_{i} N^{\bar{\xi}} \{\hat{I}_{\nu i\mu\xi}\hat{\rho}_{\xi i,M} + \hat{I}_{\nu\xi\mu i}\hat{\rho}_{i\xi,M}\} \quad , \tag{II.7}$$

with[9]:

$$N^{\bar{\xi}} := \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{max}} C^2(\varepsilon_p)d\varepsilon_p \approx 1 \quad . \tag{II.8}$$

We have to be mindful of that eq. (II.1) does not apply a priori to $\hat{\rho}_{\xi\sigma,M}$ since $|\xi\rangle$ is not an eigenstate of the shell model hamiltonian. In the next section we are going to derive the equations for these quantities.

3. RPA-Equations in the Resonant Approximation

Using (II.7), (II.8) and (II.3) we can rewrite (II.1) as follows

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,M} = \frac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_M + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \{i\eta\, \delta_{k_o\nu}\, \delta_{j_o\mu}\, \delta_{M,S}$$

$$+ 2\pi\, D_{\nu\mu} \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}\hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,M}\} \quad , \tag{III.1}$$

with

$$D_{\nu\mu}: = \begin{cases} 1 & (\nu,\mu) \notin (p,i);\ (i,p) \\ c(\varepsilon_\nu) & \nu \in p \\ c(\varepsilon_\mu) & \mu \in p \end{cases} \tag{III.2}$$

Here, the quantity $\hat{I}\,\hat{\rho}$ is labelled according to (II.6). We can distinguish three possibilities for $|M\rangle$:

a) $|M\rangle = |B\rangle$

From (II.3) we deduce the following relations:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} & (\nu\mu) \notin (p,i);\ (i,p) \\ c(\varepsilon_\nu)\, \hat{\rho}_{\xi\mu,B} & \nu \in p \\ c(\varepsilon_\mu)\, \hat{\rho}_{\nu\xi,B} & \mu \in p \end{cases} \tag{III.3}$$

Insertion of (III.3) into (III.1) gives the following equation for $\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B}$:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = (n_\mu - n_\nu)\, \hat{f}^{-1}_{\nu\mu}\, (E_B) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi\, \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda}\hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,B} \quad . \tag{III.4}$$

If either ν or μ is equal to ξ this equation is obtained by multiplying (III.1) with $C(\varepsilon_p)$ and integration over the energy. Hence we have the following definitions for $\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}$ ($\Gamma = \eta$ below threshold)[9]:

$$\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_B) := \{E_B + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)\}^{-1} \quad \text{for } (\nu,\mu) \neq (\xi,i); (i,\xi), \quad \text{(III.5)}$$

$$\hat{f}_{\xi\mu}^{-1}(E_B) := \int \frac{d\varepsilon_p}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon_p)}{(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \quad \frac{1}{E_B + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_p + i\eta} \right)$$

$$\approx \{E_B + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_{res} - \Delta + \frac{i}{2}\Gamma(E_B + \varepsilon_\mu)\}^{-1} \quad , \quad \text{(III.6)}$$

$$\hat{f}_{\nu\xi}^{-1}(E_B) := \int \frac{d\varepsilon_p}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\varepsilon_p)}{(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_{res} - \Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4} \quad \frac{1}{E_B + \varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta} \right)$$

$$\approx \{E_B + \varepsilon_{res} + \Delta - \varepsilon_\nu - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma(E_B - \varepsilon_\nu)\}^{-1} \quad . \quad \text{(III.7)}$$

If approximation (II.8) is not valid one has to replace $\hat{\rho}$ in (III.4) by $N\hat{\rho}$.

b) $\quad |M\rangle = |S\rangle \equiv |S, k_o j_o\rangle$ with $k_o \notin p$.

The equation for these amplitudes can be derived in the same manner as in the preceding subsection. We get:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \{\delta_{k_o \nu} \delta_{j_o \mu} + \hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,S}\} \quad \text{(III.8)}$$

c) $\quad |M\rangle = |S\rangle \equiv |S, k_o j_o\rangle$ with $k_o = p_o \in p$.

The scattering state was defined by[3]

$$|S\rangle = (z_{j_o} z_{p_o})^{-1/2} \frac{i\eta}{E_S - H + i\eta} \psi_{p_o}^\dagger \psi_{j_o} |0\rangle \qquad (\text{III.9})$$

therefore it seems reasonable according to our assumptions to approximate the scattering state in the interior (localized state) by

$$|S_L\rangle \approx C(\varepsilon_{p_o})|\hat{S}\rangle \quad , \qquad (\text{III.10})$$

with:

$$|\hat{S}\rangle := (z_{j_o} z_{\xi_o})^{-1/2} \frac{i\eta}{E_S - H + i\eta} \psi_{\xi_o}^\dagger \psi_{j_o} |0\rangle \quad . \qquad (\text{III.11})$$

Since $|\xi\rangle$ is concentrated in the interior it should be sufficient to treat $|\hat{S}\rangle$. If neither ν or μ belongs to p one obtains,

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,\hat{S}} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \hat{f}_{\nu\mu}^{-1} (E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,\hat{S}} \quad . \qquad (\text{III.12})$$

If—for instance—ν belongs to p we get from eq. (III.1):

$$\tilde{\rho}_{pi,S} = (n_i - n_p) \left\{ \delta_{ij_o} \langle p|p_o\rangle + \frac{C(\varepsilon_p)C(\varepsilon_{p_o})}{E_S + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_p + i\eta} \sum_{\sigma\lambda} \hat{I}_{\xi\sigma i\lambda} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,\hat{S}} \right\} \quad . \quad (\text{III.13})$$

In the interior now the following replacement holds:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{pi,S} \approx C(\varepsilon_p) \hat{\rho}_{\xi i,S} = C(\varepsilon_p) C(\varepsilon_{p_o}) \hat{\rho}_{\xi i,\hat{S}} \quad . \qquad (\text{III.14})$$

Furthermore, since we are only interested in the interior region we can insert instead of $\langle p | p_o \rangle$ the following expression:

$$\langle p | p_o \rangle_L \approx C(\epsilon_p)\, C(\epsilon_{p_o})\, \delta_{\xi\xi_o} \quad , \tag{III.15}$$

The quantum number set $\xi(\xi_o)$ coincides with the quantum number set $p(p_o)$ if we exclude the energy $(\langle \xi | \xi \rangle = 1)$. Use of (III.14) and (III.15) leads to:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\xi i,\hat{S}} = \delta_{ij_o}\, \delta_{\xi\xi_o} + \hat{f}^{-1}_{\xi i}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi\, \hat{I}_{\xi\sigma i\lambda}\, \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,\hat{S}} \quad . \tag{III.16}$$

Analogously we get:

$$\hat{\rho}_{i\xi,\hat{S}} = -\, 2\pi\, \hat{f}^{-1}_{i\xi}(E_S) \sum_{\sigma\lambda} 2\pi\, \hat{I}_{i\sigma\xi\lambda}\, \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,\hat{S}} \quad . \tag{III.17}$$

Equations (III.4), (III.8), (III.12), (III.16) and (III.17) provide the system of equations necessary for the RPA-treatment with inclusion of the continuum. The transformation to the original problem is formally given by eq. (III.1).

In the next section we are going to derive a model in which all matrix elements containing single-particle states $|c\rangle$ are approximated by a separable interaction.

4. Model Equations

We can split off the sum over the quantum numbers in our equations in two terms, where the first sum contains only the summation over bound or quasibound states. In the second sum we replace the matrix elements by separable ones, i.e.:

$$2\pi \, \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha} = \lambda \, \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \, \hat{W}_{\sigma\alpha} \quad , \tag{IV.1}$$

so obtaining:

$$2\pi \sum_{\sigma\alpha} \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha}\hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma,M} = 2\pi \sum_{\sigma\alpha}{}' \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\alpha}\hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma,M} + \lambda \, \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \sum_{\sigma\alpha}{}'' \hat{W}_{\sigma\alpha}\hat{\rho}_{\alpha\sigma,M} \quad , \tag{IV.2}$$

where the restricted sums are defined as follows ($U_{\nu\mu}$ is an arbitrary function of ν and μ):

$$\sum_{\nu\mu}{}' \hat{U}_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{ri} (\hat{U}_{ri} + \hat{U}_{ir}) + \sum_{i\xi_p} (\hat{U}_{\xi i} + \hat{U}_{i\xi}) \quad , \tag{IV.3}$$

$$\sum_{\nu\mu}{}'' \hat{U}_{\nu\mu} = \sum_{ci} (\hat{U}_{ci} + \hat{U}_{ic}) \quad . \tag{IV.4}$$

Insertion of (IV.2) into eq. (III.4) leads to the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\sum_{\lambda\sigma}{}' \{\hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \hat{C}^{B}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu\lambda}\delta_{\sigma\mu}E_{B}\} \, \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,B} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \nu\mu \notin (ci); (ic) \quad , \tag{IV.5}$$

where we have introduced the following abbreviations:

$$U_{B} := \lambda \sum_{\nu\mu}{}'' |\hat{W}_{\nu\mu}|^2 \, (n_{\mu}-n_{\nu}) \, \frac{1}{E_{B} + \varepsilon_{\mu} - \varepsilon_{\nu} - in(n_{\nu}-n_{\mu})} \quad , \tag{IV.6}$$

$$m_B := U_B \sum_{\alpha\beta}{}' (n_\alpha - n_\beta) |\hat{w}_{\beta\alpha}|^2 \quad , \tag{IV.7}$$

$$\hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} := (n_\mu - n_\nu) \, 2\pi \, \hat{I}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} - \delta_{\lambda\nu}\delta_{\sigma\mu}(\hat{f}_{\nu\mu}(E_B) - E_B) \quad , \tag{IV.8}$$

$$\hat{C}^B_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} := (n_\mu - n_\nu) \, \lambda \, \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} \, \frac{U_B \sum_{\alpha\beta}{}' \hat{w}_{\alpha\beta}\hat{A}_{\alpha\sigma\beta\lambda}}{E_B(1-U_B) - \lambda \, m_B} \quad . \tag{IV.9}$$

The amplitudes with one index belonging to the continuum are given by:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,B} = \frac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_B + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \, \lambda \, \hat{w}_{\nu\mu} \, D_B \, \frac{1}{1-U_B} \quad , \tag{IV.10}$$

with

$$D_B := \sum_{\sigma\lambda}{}' \hat{w}_{\sigma\lambda}\hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,B} \quad . \tag{IV.11}$$

Equation (IV.5) provides a matrix equation which may be solved by iteration. For $\Gamma \to 0$ and $\lambda \to 0$ we get the standard nuclear structure problem which might be used as the starting point of the iteration procedure. Above threshold one will obtain complex energy eigenvalues due to presence of U_B (even if $\Gamma \to 0$). Since we have removed the shape resonances it should be easy in a practical calculation to interpolate U_B as a function of E. When one has solved the eigenvalue problem (IV.5) the amplitudes containing continuum single-particle states $|c\rangle$ can be obtained from (IV.10).

The subcase b) can be treated in a similar manner. Due to the inhomogenous term in eq. (III.8) we get the following inhomogenous matrix equation:

$$\sideset{}{'}\sum_{\sigma\lambda} \{\hat{A}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \hat{C}^S_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu\lambda}\delta_{\sigma\mu} E_S\} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma,S} = \hat{d}^{k_o j_o, S}_{\nu\mu} \quad \text{for } (\nu\mu) \notin (c,i);(i,c) \ . \quad (IV.12)$$

with:

$$\hat{d}^{k_o j_o, S}_{\nu\mu} := -\lambda(n_\mu - n_\nu)(n_{j_o} - n_{k_o}) \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \hat{W}_{k_o j_o} \frac{E_S}{E_S(1 - U_S) - \lambda m_S} \ . \quad (IV.13)$$

The amplitudes with one continuous index are given by:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} = (n_\mu - n_\nu) \{\delta_{k_o\nu}\delta_{j_o\mu} + \frac{\lambda \hat{W}_{\nu\mu}}{E_S + \epsilon_\mu - \epsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \frac{1}{1 - U_S}$$

$$\times [D_S + \hat{W}_{k_o j_o}] \} \quad \text{for } \nu\mu \in (c,i);(i,c) \ . \quad (IV.14)$$

Since d is non zero one has in this case only to invert a matrix of finite dimension to obtain the solution. As expected these solutions have resonances for $E_S = \text{Re} E_B$.

If the incoming particle belongs to the class p the inhomogenous term occurs in the eq. (III.16) for $\hat{\rho}_{\xi i, \hat{S}}$ and not in $\hat{\rho}_{ci, \hat{S}}$ as in subcase b. For this reason we get a different inhomogenous term in comparison with (IV.12). One obtains:

$$\sideset{}{'}\sum_{\sigma\lambda} \{\hat{A}^{\hat{S}}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} + \hat{C}^{\hat{S}}_{\nu\sigma\mu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu\lambda}\delta_{\sigma\mu} E_S\} \hat{\rho}_{\lambda\sigma, \hat{S}} = \hat{d}^{\xi_o j_o, \hat{S}}_{\nu\mu} \quad \text{for } \nu\mu \notin (c,i);(i,c) \ . \quad (IV.15)$$

with

$$\hat{d}^{\xi_o j_o, \hat{S}}_{\nu\mu} := (n_\nu - n_\mu) \hat{f}_{\xi_o j_o}(E_S) \frac{1}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} \{\delta_{j_o\mu}\delta_{\nu\xi_o} + \frac{\lambda U_{\hat{S}} \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \hat{W}_{\xi_o j_o}}{E_S(1 - U_{\hat{S}}) - \lambda m_{\hat{S}}} \} . \quad (IV.16)$$

The equivalent relation to (IV.14) turns out to be:

$$\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,\hat{S}} = \frac{n_\mu - n_\nu}{E_S + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)} \; \lambda \; \hat{W}_{\nu\mu} \; \frac{D_{\hat{S}}}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} \quad \text{for } (\nu\mu) \in (ci); (ic) \quad . \qquad (IV.17)$$

Both solutions have as in the former case resonances for $E_S \to ReE_B$.

The final solution according to the classification (II.5) can be obtained for the bound states $|B\rangle$ with the help of the relations (III.3). In the scattering problem we get approximately for the case $k_o \notin p$:

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} =$$

$$
\begin{cases}
\hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} & (\nu\mu) \in (r,i); (i,r) \\[2mm]
C(\varepsilon_\nu)\hat{\rho}_{\xi\mu,S} & \nu \in p \\[2mm]
C(\varepsilon_\mu)\hat{\rho}_{\nu\xi,S} & \mu \in p \\[2mm]
\delta_{\nu k_o}\delta_{\mu j_o} + \dfrac{(n_\mu - n_\nu)}{E_S + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)}\, \lambda\, W_{\nu\mu}\, \dfrac{1}{1 - U_S}\, [D_S + W_{k_o j_o}] & (\nu\mu) \in (ci); (ic)
\end{cases}
\qquad (IV.18)
$$

If $k_o = p_o \in p$ one can derive the following relations using (III.1), (III.10), (III.12), (III.16) and (III.17):

$$\tilde{\rho}_{\nu\mu,S} =$$

$$
\begin{cases}
\dfrac{n_\mu - n_\nu}{E_S + \varepsilon_\mu - \varepsilon_\nu - i\eta(n_\nu - n_\mu)}\; \dfrac{\lambda\, W_{\nu\mu}\, D_{\hat{S}}\, C(\varepsilon_{p_o})}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}} & (\nu\mu) \in (c,i); (i,c) \\[3mm]
C(\varepsilon_{p_o})\, \hat{\rho}_{\nu\mu,\hat{S}} & (\nu\mu) \in (r,i); (i,r) \\[3mm]
C(\varepsilon_{p_o})\, C(\varepsilon_p)\, \hat{\rho}_{i\xi,\hat{S}} & \nu = i \quad \mu = p \\[3mm]
\delta_{p p_o}\delta_{i j_o} + \dfrac{C(\varepsilon_{p_o})C(\varepsilon_p)}{E_S + \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_p + i\eta}\, [\hat{f}_{\xi i}\,(E_S)\, \hat{\rho}_{\xi i,\hat{S}} - \dfrac{\hat{f}_{\xi_o j_o}(E_S)}{1 - U_{\hat{S}}}\, \delta_{j_o i}\delta_{\xi\xi_o}] & \nu = p \quad \mu = i
\end{cases}
\qquad (IV.19)
$$

The second and third relations in (IV.18) and the third relation in (IV.19) are valid only in the interior.

From (IV.18) and (IV.19) the wanted expressions for the S-matrix and the T-matrix can now be read off. After reinserting the single-particle phase factors taken out by using standing wave boundary conditions, one obtains:

$$S_{ki,k_o j_o}(E) = e^{2i\delta_{k_o}} \delta_{kk_o} \delta_{ij_o} - 2\pi i\, \delta(E_{k_o j_o} - E)T_{ki,k_o j_o}(E) \quad , \quad (IV.20)$$

with

$$T_{ki,k_o j_o}(E): = \lambda\, W_{ki}\, \frac{1}{1-U_S}\, [D_S + W_{k_o j_o}]e^{i(\delta_{k_o}+\delta_k)} \quad \text{for } k \notin p,\ k_o \notin p \ , \quad (IV.21)$$

$$T_{pi,k_o j_o}(E): = C(\varepsilon_p)\hat{\rho}_{\xi i,S}\, e^{i(\delta_{k_o}+\delta_p)}\hat{f}_{\xi i}(E) \quad \text{for } k = p,\ k_o \notin p \quad (IV.22)$$

$$T_{ki,p_o j_o}(E): = \lambda\, W_{ki}\, \frac{1}{1-U_{\hat{S}}}\, D_{\hat{S}}\, C(\varepsilon_{p_o})e^{i(\delta_k+\delta_{po})} \quad \text{for } k \notin p,\ k_o = p_o \in p, \quad (IV.23)$$

$$T_{pi,p_o j_o}(E): = C(\varepsilon_{p_o})\, C(\varepsilon_p)\, [\hat{f}_{\xi i}(E)\hat{\rho}_{\xi i,\hat{S}} - \frac{\delta_{j_o}i\delta_{\xi\xi_o}}{1-U_{\hat{S}}}\hat{f}_{\xi_o j_o}(E)]e^{i(\delta_{p_o}+\delta_p)}$$

$$\text{for } k = p,\ k_o = p_o \in p \quad . \qquad (IV.24)$$

For $E_S = \varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_i$ and $\varepsilon_p \to \varepsilon_{res} + \Delta$ the S-matrix does not possess—as expected—single-particle resonance, since (IV.20) takes the following form

$$\ell^{i2\delta} \{1 + 2\pi i\, \frac{\Gamma/2\pi[(\varepsilon_p-\varepsilon_{res}-\Delta)+i/2\Gamma]}{(\varepsilon_p - \varepsilon_{res}-\Delta)^2 + \Gamma^2/4}(1 + \cdots)\} \approx 1 \quad , \qquad (IV.25)$$

where we have supressed the δ-function of energy.

5. Summary

A model for calculating the nucleon-nucleus scattering on one-hole type nuclei has been settled down in the framework of the renormalized RPA-theory, in which the shape resonant shell model states have been treated approximately as bound states. With a separable ansatz for the remaining continuum matrix-elements we have been able to derive a system of equations, which can be solved up to integrations over known nonresonant functions by standard matrix methods.*

Acknowledgments

---

* It was shown recently that one can also use Weinberg's method for the treatment of the continuum-continuum interaction in the unrenormalized RPA-scattering problem[10]).

References

1) M. Weigel, Phys. Rev. (to be published); Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

   Report UCRL-18994

2) A. B. Migdal, "Theory of Finite Fermi Systems and Applications to Atomic

   Nuclei," (Interscience Publ., New York, 1967)

3) M. Weigel, Nucl. Phys. $\underline{A137}$ (1967) 629

4) L. Garside and W. M. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. $\underline{138}$ (1965) B582

5) L. Garside and M. Weigel, Phys. Rev. (to be published); Lawrence Radiation

   Laboratory Report UCRL-19538

6) V. V. Balashov et al., Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. (Engl. Transl.) $\underline{2}$ (1966) 461

7) H. J. Unger, Nucl. Phys. $\underline{A104}$ (1967) 564

8) H. L. Wang and C. M. Shakin, preprint (subm. to Phys. Letters)

9) C. Mahaux and H. A. Weidenmüller, "Shell-Model Approach to Nuclear Reactions,"

   (Wiley, New York, 1969), p. 82

10) J. N. Ginocchio, T. H. Schucan and H. A. Weidenmüller, Phys. Rev. $\underline{C1}$ (1970)

    55