Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

Title

Parametrization of Low Temperature Deformation Characteristics in Single Crystals of Molybdenum

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/14v8v1gz

Authors

Amin, Kamal E Murty, K Linga Dorn, John E

Publication Date

1972-03-01

Submitted to Journal of Materials Science LBL-407 Rev. Preprint ./

RECEIVED

10 2 J 1

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

PARAMETRIZATION OF LOW TEMPERATURE DEFORMATION CHARACTERISTICS IN SINGLE CRYSTALS OF MOLYBDENUM

Kamal E. Amin, K. Linga Murty and John E. Dorn

March 1972

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48



'n i

· · · · ·	
For Reference	
Not to be taken from this room	

LBL-407 Rev

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

PARAMETRIZATION OF LOW TEMPERATURE DEFORMATION CHARACTERISTICS IN SINGLE CRYSTALS OF MOLYBDENUM

-iii-

Kamal E. Amin*, K. Linga Murty** and John E. Dornt

Inorganic Materials Research Division Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

A rate-temperature parameter is defined through Dorn-Rajnak model for Peierls mechanism, and has been shown to be useful in characterizing the low temperature deformation of single crystals of molybdenum. This parameter was utilized in testing the mechanical equation of state and also in proving the failure of such an equation in molybdenum. Whereas the rate of work hardening was found to be uniquely defined by strain and parameter, the flow stress depended in addition on thermo-mechanical history of the material.

*Research Assistant

10 \$

****Research** Metallurgist

†Senior Scientist and Professor of Materials Science of the College of Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, California; deceased September 1971.

0.9.5 7 8 / 8 0 0 0 0

I. INTRODUCTION

-1-

It is an established fact that a variation in the strain-rate during plastic deformation of metals may be compensated by an appropriate temperature change thereby leaving the stress for a given strain unaltered.[1] In addition, several attempts [2-4] were made to define the deformation characteristics of metals through an equation of state such as

(1)

where σ is the flow stress, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ the strain rate, ε the strain and T the temperature. Such an equivalence fails to apply whenever some recovery process takes place during deformation. The purpose of the present investigation is to characterize the flow stress through a rate-temperature parameter [5] derived from the Peierls mechanism [6,7] and study the failure of the mechanical equation of state accompanying a change in this parameter.

II. ANALYSIS

Dorn and Rajnak [6], and Guyot and Dorn [7] established that the low temperature deformation behavior of bcc metals is controlled by the Peierls mechanism through the formation and motion of pairs of kinks. Their mathematical formulations lead to the following expression for the strain-rate:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{\rho L a b^2}{2 w^2} v \exp -\frac{2 U_k}{kT} (1 - \frac{\sigma^*}{\sigma})^2 , \qquad (2)$$

where ρ is the length of all thermally activatable dislocation segments per unit volume; L is the average length of a dislocation that might be swept out by a pair of kinks following their nucleation; $\frac{\nu b}{w}$ is the frequency of vibration of a dislocation with ν being the Debye frequency,

19770/20000

b the Burgers vector of the dislocations and w the width of the critical pair of kinks; a is the interatomic spacing; U_k is the energy of formation of a single kink under zero effective stress σ^* , and σ_p is the Peierls stress.

(3)

The effective stress is given by

$$\sigma^* = \sigma - \sigma_G$$

where σ is the applied stress, and σ_{G} is the back or athermal stress. The effective stress is the thermally activated component resulting from short-range obstacles (such as Peierls stress) to dislocation motion, and depends on both the temperature and the strain-rate. It has been shown in single crystals of iron by Spitzig and Keh [8], that the effective stress is independent of strain. On the other hand, the athermal stress component which results from long-range obstacles to dislocation motion (such as dislocation tangles and Cell walls) is a function of strain (or the dislocation distribution) [8], and depends on the work-hardened state of the material. It is essentially independent of the strain-rate and the temperature except for the slight variation with the shear modulus, Thus

$$\sigma = \sigma * \{T, \dot{\varepsilon}\} + \sigma_{G} \{\varepsilon\} \equiv \sigma \{T, \dot{\varepsilon}, \varepsilon\}$$
(4)

Equation 2 may be rewritten as

T ln
$$(A/\dot{\epsilon}) = \frac{2 U_k}{k} [1 - \sigma^*/\sigma_p]^2 = P$$
 (5)

where $A = \frac{\rho L a b^2 \nu}{2w^2}$ is the material constant and P is the rate-temperature parameter. Equation (5) implies that for constant values of the parameter P, the effective stress should be the same. For specimens with identical initial treatment the athermal stress (σ_{G}) must be the same changing only with the strain (provided that the work-hardening characteristics are the same, independent of T and $\dot{\epsilon}$) so that:

 Tests conducted at suitable combinations of temperature and strainrate should result in identical (σ-ε) curves for the same value of P.
As a result of (1), the flow stress can be represented by σ(ε, P) so

that an equation of state may be written to be

- $\sigma = \sigma \{\varepsilon, P\}$ (6)
- 3. Consequently the dislocation substructure, which determines the flow stress, should be the same for the same value of P (equivalent stressstrain curves) and different for different values.
- 4. Finally, from equation (5) above, a change in P caused by appropriate variations in temperature and/or strain-rate should result in a change in σ^* corresponding to the new value of the parameter. Thus if at a certain value of strain (ε_0) the parameter is abruptly changed then the new effective stress value achieved thereupon should correspond to that for the new parameter at that strain ε_0 . If the athermal stress depends only on strain, and an equation of state described by (6) is valid, then the above argument should hold also for the flow stress ($\sigma = \sigma^* + \sigma_G$) for specimens with identical initial treatments.

III. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

Molybdenum single crystals were prepared by electron beam zone melting techniques and specimens with tensile axes orientation at the center of the standard triangle were machined from these. After checking

20000100000

-3-

the orientations of the prepared samples they were electropolished, annealed at 2050°C for 24 hours in an ultra-pure helium atmosphere, and electropolished again to mirror finish. Tension tests at strain-rates between 10^{-1} and 10^{-6} sec⁻¹ in a temperature range of 77 to 400°K were performed with these crystals using an Instron machine. One specimen was tested in addition at 45°K in obtaining the temperature dependence of the yield stress.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 1 depicts the stress-strain curves for equivalent combinations of temperature and strain-rate at various values of the parameter P. All curves are parabolic in shape, characterized by high initial work hardening rates. Suitable combinations of strain-rate and temperature which gave identical stress-strain curves were sought essentially by trial and error. Temperatures, strain-rates, values of A and the parameter P obtained from equation (5) are tabulated in Table 1. The values of A range from 1.34 x 10⁵ to 4.79 x 10⁶ sec⁻¹ with a mean of 1.45 x 10⁶. Using reasonable values [5,6] for ρ , L and w of 10⁸ cm⁻², cm⁻⁴ and 30b, A is estimated to be 8 x 10⁶ which is of the same order as obtained above. P values obtained using the average value of 1.45 x 10⁶ sec⁻¹ for A are shown in Table 1 as \overline{P} , and the maximum deviation between P and \overline{P} is about 15%.

It is clear from these results that the mechanical state of a material may be conveniently described by the three parameters P, ε and σ . To check whether $\sigma \equiv \sigma$ {P, ε } and the implication #4 tests were conducted in which P value was abruptly changed from a higher value to a lower one and vice versa at a certain strain (0.04). Fig. 2 (a) re-

-4

presents the stress-strain curves and the effect of the change in the parameter from 7260 to 3577 °K while in Fig. 2(b) the stress-strain curves and the effect of parameter-change from 3577 to 5080°K are shown. It is clear from these that the mechanical equation of state failed and σ {P, ε } is <u>not</u> uniquely defined. As will be shown later, the effective stress is independent of the work-hardened state of the material, and varies only with the temperature and the strain-rate. Therefore the failure of the mechanical equation of state as depicted in Fig. 2 may be explained through the change in the magnitude of the athermal stress ($\sigma_{\rm G}$).

During the deformation up to ε_0 (0.04) recovery may take place at different rates depending upon the test temperature, and the strain-rate, so that the athermal stress level at ε_0 becomes different for the two specimens, (tested at P_1 and $P_2 \rightarrow P_1$). In the temperature range employed here, however, the rate of recovery is too low to substantially affect the athermal stress level. In addition, if recovery in fact contributes to the deformation process, then the rate of work-hardening after the change in P will be different from that tested all the way from the start at the new P value. As a consequence the stress-strain curves beyond ε_{0} would not be parallel [2], contrary to the present observations (Fig. 2), and the earlier findings in copper by Bullen and Hutchison [9]. Moreover it is to be noted that the effective stresses corresponding to the different P values are different. Consequently dislocation mobilities will be different, and local obstacles of different strength will be surmounted resulting thereby in different quasi-equilibrium dislocation substructures. As a result, the athermal stress (σ_{G}) levels at ϵ_{o} will be different for the specimens tested at P_1 and P_2 . This explains the

9 9 9 8 9 X 9 8 9 9 3

failure of the equality of the flow stress after the change in the parameter.

Thus the reasonable explanation for the failure of the mechanical equation of state is that the parameter and hence the effective stress play major role in inducing significant changes in the dislocation substructure. The resulting substructure dictates the level of the back stress, thereby contributing to the failure of the mechanical equation of state even in the abscence of any recovery. Thus a complete description of the flow stress still needs an unknown parameter s (in addition to P and ε) so that

$$\sigma = \sigma * \{T, \dot{\gamma}\} + \sigma_{G} \{\varepsilon, s\}$$
$$\equiv \sigma \{P, \varepsilon, s\}$$

where s includes the thermo-mechanical history of the material.

In the present case one can uniquely define the rate of strain hardening, given the parameter and strain and thus

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{d\sigma^*}{d\varepsilon} + \frac{d\sigma_G}{d\varepsilon} = \frac{d\sigma_G}{d\varepsilon} \{P, \varepsilon\}$$
(8)

(7)

It is to be noted, however, that earlier observations by Dorn et al [2] on polycrystalline Al disagree with this result. Some process of recovery may be responsible for their findings.

Hart in his attempts to develop a phenomonological theory [4] for plastic deformation stated that the mechanical state of a material may be described by:

 $\sigma = \sigma \{Z, \dot{\epsilon}, T\} \quad \text{and} \quad (9a)$ $\frac{dZ}{d\epsilon} = f \{\dot{\epsilon}, Z, T\} \quad (9b)$

where Z is some dimension of the dislocation structure. A comparison of this with the present work brings out the equivalence of S in equation (7) with Z. If a recovery process takes place during deformation, however, Z depends on σ , ε , T, $\dot{\varepsilon}$ and other factors, and cannot be treated as a well defined state variable. In this case no simple integrating factor can be used for equation (9b) to define the mechanical state of a material as suggested by Hart.

To test the validity of the fact that the effective stress is independent of the work hardened state of the material, a series of samples were prestrained to a certain stress (6.4 x 10^8 dynes/cm²) in the athermal region (550°K), and then were tested at various temperatures at a convalue of the strain-rate $(4.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ sec}^{-1})$. Fig. 3 shows the stant temperature variation of the flow stress for these prestrained samples, in addition to that obtained on annealed samples as well as the earlier data of Lau et al [10] obtained on samples prestrained to 3.1 x 10^8 dynes/cm² at 550° K. As is obvious from the figure, the effective stress and its temperature variation turned out to be identical in all the three cases thereby providing an experimental verification of the independence of σ^* on the initial structure. This in addition proves that A is a material constant as expected when the Peierls mechanism operates [6,7]. These findings substantiate the present results in Table I, where A was determined to be $(1.45 \pm \frac{3.34}{0.32}) \times 10^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. Similar observations were reported earlier by Wynblatt et al [11] on Fe-Mn alloy, and by Spitzig and Keh [8] in single crystals of iron.

FORMALSOCIAD

-7-

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission through the Inorganic Materials Research Division of the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley.

REFERENCES

1.	Å.	W. Sleeswyk, Scripta Metallurgica, <u>4</u> (1970) 225.					
2.	J.	E. Dorn, A. Goldberg and T. Tietz, Trans. AIME, <u>180</u> , (1948) 205.					
3.	0.	D. Sherby, T. A. Trozera and J. E. Dorn, Proc. ASTM, <u>56</u> (1956) 789.					
4.	E.	W. Hart, Acta Metallurgica, <u>18</u> (1970) 599.					
5.	К.	G. Hoge, "Influence of Strain-Rate on Flow Stress of Tantalum" UCRL Report #72321, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Livermore, August 1970.					
6.	J.	E. Dorn and S. Rajnak, Trans. Met. Soc. AIME, <u>330</u> (1964) 1052.					
7.	Ρ.	P. Guyot and J. E. Dorn, Canad. J. Phys. <u>45</u> (1967), 983.					
8.	W.	N. A. Spitzig and A. S. Keh, Trans. Met. Soc. AIME, <u>1</u> (1970) 3325.					
9.	F.	B. Bullen and M. M. Hutchison, Phil. Mag., 7 (1962) 557.					
10.	s.	Lau, S. Ranji, A. K. Mukherjee, G. Thomas and J. E. Dorn, Acta Metallurgica, <u>15</u> (1967) 237.					
11.	Ρ.	Wynblatt, A. Rosen, and J. E. Dorn, Trans. Met. Soc. AIME, <u>233</u> , (1965) 651.					

0 1 2 0 0 0 0

 $C_{\tilde{r}}$

Ç

¢°°,

•• 9 7 -

e (.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}$

TABLE I

P values obtained from equivalent combinations of temperatures and strainrates.

T °K	ė sec ⁻¹	A sec ⁻¹	P ₽ °K °K	<p>+ °K</p>
77 118	5.4×10^{-6} 2.2 x 10^{-2}	1.35×10^5	1842 2160	2001 <u>+</u> 159
120 162	5.4 x 10^{-6} 5.2 x 10^{-3}	1.82×10^6	3180 3190	3185 <u>+</u> 5
156 195	7.6×10^{-5} 5.4 x 10^{-3}	1.34×10^5	3320 3835	3577 <u>+</u> 257
237 297	7.7×10^{-4} 5.2×10^{-2}	8.90 x 10^5	4960 5200	5080 <u>+</u> 120
298 350	7.6×10^{-3} 1.2×10^{-1}	8.91 x 10 ⁵	5535 5810	5672 <u>+</u> 137
350 394	5.4 x 10^{-3} 5.3 x 10^{-2}	4.79 x 10 ⁶	7630 6890	7260 <u>+</u> 370

*Obtained using A = 1.45 x 10^6 sec⁻¹.

†Mean of P and \overline{P}

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 1. Effect of strain rate and temperature on stress-strain curve. P values are indicated in parentheses.
- 2. Stress-strain curves and the effect of change in P indicating the failure of the mechanical equation of state. P value was changed from a higher to lower value (fig. a) and vice versa (fig. b).
- 3. Flow stress vs Temperature.

્ટું

WC.



-12-

XBL7110-7476

Эн

3v





Fig. 2

ê G

14 6

-13-



-14-

Fig. 3

٦٧

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

+ 6

4 C

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720