

# UC San Diego

## UC San Diego Previously Published Works

### Title

Virtual Subinternships in the COVID Era: Lessons Learned from Three Institutional Experiences

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1656m2qk>

### Journal

Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery Global Open, 11(3)

### ISSN

2169-7574

### Authors

Reghunathan, Meera  
Dekker, Paige K  
Belza, Caitlyn C  
et al.

### Publication Date

2023

### DOI

10.1097/gox.0000000000004935

Peer reviewed

# Virtual Subinternships in the COVID Era: Lessons Learned from Three Institutional Experiences

Meera Reghunathan, MD\*  
 Paige K. Dekker, BA†  
 Caitlyn C. Belza, BS\*  
 Kevin G. Kim, BS†  
 Brett T. Phillips, MD‡  
 Kenneth L. Fan, MD†  
 David A. Brown, MD, PhD‡  
 Amanda A. Gosman, MD\*  
 Samuel H. Lance, MD\*

**Summary:** After the cessation of all in-person visiting rotations during the coronavirus 2019 pandemic, many programs developed virtual rotations as an alternative for the recruitment and education of prospective applicants. In this study, we developed a consortium of three institutions each with a unique virtual subinternship and prospectively surveyed participating students in order to reflect and improve upon future rotations. All students participating in virtual subinternships at three institutions were administered the same pre subinternship and post subinternship electronic surveys. Subinternship curricula were developed independently at each respective institution. Fifty-two students completed both surveys, for an overall response rate of 77.6%. Students' primary objectives were to evaluate their fit with the program (94.2%), interact with residents (94.2%), gain faculty mentorship (88.5%), and improve didactic knowledge (82.7%). Postrotation surveys revealed that over 73% of students reported having met all of these objectives over the course of the rotation. On average, students ranked programs 5% higher overall after the rotation ( $P = 0.024$ ). Postrotation results showed that the majority (71.2%) of students perceived the virtual subinternship as slightly less valuable than in-person subinternships but that all students would participate in a virtual subinternship again. Student objectives can be successfully met using the virtual format for subinternships. The virtual format is also effective in enhancing the overall perception of a program and its residents. Although students still prefer in-person subinternships, our results suggest that virtual rotations are more accessible and very capable of meeting student goals. (*Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open* 2023; 11:e4935; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000004935; Published online 24 March 2023.)

## INTRODUCTION

In 2020, the Coalition for Physician Accountability, in coordination with the Association of American Medical Colleges, recommended halting all in-person away rotations and interviews.<sup>1</sup> Before the pandemic, nearly 95% of plastic surgery applicants participated in multiple visiting subinternships.<sup>2</sup> In-person experiences serve as an

educational bridge between medical student and intern. They allow students to signal their interest in specific programs and evaluate one's "fit" for given programs.<sup>2,3</sup> An applicant's performance on away rotations is one of the most important factors considered by a program director in developing a rank list.<sup>2,4</sup> On average, 67% of integrated plastic surgery interns went to medical school or participated in an away rotation at the institution where they matched.<sup>3</sup>

In response to the recommendations released by the Coalition for Physician Accountability, some institutions developed virtual alternatives for the recruitment and education of prospective applicants.<sup>5</sup> This study aimed to analyze the efficacy and outcomes of plastic and reconstructive surgery virtual rotations via a consortium of three institutions, with independently developed virtual subinternship curricula.

From the \*Department of Surgery, Division of Plastic Surgery, University of California San Diego, San Diego, Calif.; †Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, D.C.; and ‡Department of Surgery, Division of Plastic Surgery, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, N.C.

Received for publication January 25, 2023; accepted February 22, 2023.

This work was accepted as a Tier 2 Abstract for presentation at Plastic Surgery the Meeting, November 1, 2021.

Copyright © 2023 The Authors. Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The American Society of Plastic Surgeons. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 \(CCBY-NC-ND\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

DOI: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000004935

**Disclosure:** Disclosure statements are at the end of this article, following the correspondence information.

Related Digital Media are available in the full-text version of the article on [www.PRSGlobalOpen.com](http://www.PRSGlobalOpen.com).

## METHODS

All students participating in virtual subinternships at three institutions during summer and fall 2020 were provided with two anonymous online surveys via Qualtrics (Provo, Utah): one survey was sent before the rotation started, and one was sent after completion. (See **appendix, Supplemental Digital Content 1**, which displays the prerotation survey questions. <http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/C505>.) (See **appendix, Supplemental Digital Content 2**, which displays the postrotation survey questions. <http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/C506>.) Student objectives were considered met if they ranked 4 or 5 on a Likert scale of 1 (objective not met) to 5 (objective definitely met).

Virtual curricula from each institution included participation in department conferences, at least one student presentation to the department, subscribing to the American Society of Plastic Surgeons Education Network, and social engagement with residents and faculty. Other components including rotation duration (4 weeks part-time at institution A; 2 weeks full-time at institutions B and C), number of activity hours per week, number of students per rotation iteration, suture laboratory sessions, and one-on-one faculty and/or resident mentorship differed amongst the institutions (Table 1).

Analysis included paired *t* test and McNemar test for continuous and dichotomous variables, Fisher exact tests for categorical variables, one-way ANOVA to examine differences across, symmetry testing to examine differences before versus after completion of a given rotation, and logistic regression to determine predictors for successful achievement of objectives. Statistical analysis was performed using STATA, v.15 (StataCorp, College Station, Tex.) with significance defined as *P* less than 0.05.

## SURVEY RESULTS

Sixty-seven students participated in one of the virtual subinternships. Fifty-two students completed both surveys, yielding a response rate of 77.6%. Students learned about virtual subinternship opportunities most commonly via Instagram (38.5%) and word of mouth (23.1%). Students reported participating in an average of 2.8 virtual subinternships (SD 1.21) and spending an average of 22.3 hours (SD 12.9) preparing for and participating in subinternship activities weekly.

## STUDENT OBJECTIVES

Prerotation, students reported their top objectives in virtual subinternships were to (1) evaluate fit with a given program (94.2%), (2) interact with residents (94.2%), (3) gain mentorship with faculty (88.5%), and (4) improve didactic knowledge of plastic surgery (82.7%). Postrotation, over 73% of respondents endorsed meeting these four primary objectives. Logistic regression

## Takeaways

**Question:** Did virtual subinternships meet the objectives of prospective plastic and reconstructive surgery applicants?

**Findings:** Students' primary objectives included program fit, interaction with residents, faculty mentorship, and improved didactic knowledge. Most participants reported that these objectives were met on their virtual rotations. Although students felt virtual rotations were slightly less valuable than in-person rotations, all students would participate in a virtual subinternship again.

**Meaning:** Although students prefer in-person subinternships, virtual rotations are more accessible and capable of meeting student goals.

revealed that students completing a virtual subinternship with institutions A and C were significantly more likely to indicate that they had met the goal of gaining mentorship with faculty (OR 30, *P* = 0.004; OR 72, *P* < 0.001 respectively). The odds of successfully meeting the faculty mentorship goal were 51 times higher when students participated in a rotation that offered one-on-one mentoring (*P* < 0.001, 95% CI 8.26–314.92). Length of rotation was not a predictor of meeting any of the objectives (Table 1).

## STUDENT PERCEPTIONS OF INSTITUTION

At the close of a virtual subinternship, rotators were significantly more likely to consider a program's residents to be a strength of the program (*P* = 0.011) and significantly less likely to consider a program's research opportunities (*P* = 0.0253) or geographic location (*P* = 0.0455) to be weaknesses of the program. Students' percentile ranking of programs significantly increased throughout the virtual rotation, with postrotation rankings being an average of 4.7 points higher than prerotation rankings (*P* = 0.0236) (Table 2).

## PERCEIVED VALUE OF VIRTUAL SUBINTERNSHIP

Prerotation survey results show that all respondents thought the virtual subinternship would be much less valuable (17.3%), slightly less valuable (63.5%), or equally as valuable (19.2%) as an in-person rotation. All respondents reported that they would participate in a virtual subinternship again, but most respondents (87.8%) would not participate in a virtual subinternship in lieu of an in-person rotation (Table 3).

## VIRTUAL SUBINTERNSHIPS: DO THEY WORK?

This study suggests that virtual subinternships are a novel and effective way to achieve most students' goals in

**Table 1. Course Components and Objectives by Institution**

Course Components	Institution A		Institution B		Institution C	
	4 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk
Length	4 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk	2 wk
No. students (total)	16	21	21	30	30	30
No. respondents (total)	11	16	16	25	25	25
Scheduled activity (h/wk)	4-6	16	16	25	25	25
Maximum no. students/rotation	4	4	4	3	3	3
One-on-one mentoring	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Case presentations	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Student presentation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Participation in didactics	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Social engagement with residents	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Suture laboratory	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
<b>Student Objectives</b>	<b>% Selecting Objective</b>	<b>% Achieving Objective</b>	<b>% Selecting Objective</b>	<b>% Achieving Objective</b>	<b>% Selecting Objective</b>	<b>% Achieving Objective</b>
Gain mentorship with faculty	82	91	81	25	96	96
Gain research opportunities	0	27	44	25	60	72
Interact with residents	100	91	88	75	96	92
Experience day-to-day schedule	18	27	81	50	80	48
Gain procedural/suturing skills	9	36	31	13	56	56
Improve didactic knowledge	55	91	100	94	84	100
Evaluate fit with program	91	91	94	82	96	96

**Table 2. Student Perceptions of Programs**

	Student Perceptions of Program				
	Prerotation		Postrotation		P
	n	%	n	%	
<b>Strengths</b>					
Residents	15	28.8%	27	51.9%	<b>0.0105</b>
Faculty	23	44.2%	29	55.8%	0.1573
Program size	2	3.8%	3	5.8%	0.6547
Research opportunities	15	28.8%	8	15.4%	0.1967
Global health opportunities	15	28.8%	3	5.8%	<b>0.0013</b>
Geographic location	14	26.9%	5	9.6%	<b>0.0126</b>
<b>Weaknesses</b>					
Residents	3	5.8%	1	1.9%	0.1573
Faculty	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	0.3173
Program size	28	53.8%	21	40.4%	0.0522
Research opportunities	7	13.5%	2	3.8%	<b>0.0253</b>
Global health opportunities	19	36.5%	20	38.5%	0.8084
Geographic location	9	17.3%	5	9.6%	<b>0.0455</b>

P values in bold indicate a significant difference between pre- and postrotation scores.

subinternships. Participation in virtual subinternships can increase the positive perception of residents within a program and decrease the negative perception of program components like research opportunities and geographic location of the participating programs. Offering 1:1 virtual mentorship is key in achieving subinternship goals and should be incorporated into curricula going forward. Additionally, virtual interactive skills labs may address shortcomings related to formal assessment of student’s clinical and surgical skills, although this will be evaluated further in future iterations to definitively demonstrate efficacy.

### THE FUTURE OF VIRTUAL SUBINTERNSHIPS

Virtual surgical subinternships, while not replacing in-person rotations, provide a bridge for students who are unable to participate in physical rotations, creating equity amongst students. Continued coronavirus restrictions and the financial limitations of in-person subinternships will likely progress the demand for virtual opportunities. These institutions’ experiences suggest that virtual subinternships, may decrease travel costs, enhance equity amongst applicants with respect to access to programs outside their home institution, offer more didactic and mentorship opportunities, and ultimately achieve the goals of most subinterns. Therefore, we believe that programs should continue to offer virtual learning opportunities, even with a return to in-person subinternships.

In future iterations of this study, incorporating pre- and postassessments, collecting additional data delineating the variation in rotation content across institutions, and exploring match outcomes would be beneficial additions. Finally, adopting a more precise term that identifies these educational forums as uniquely different from in-person subinternships is a task well suited for educational leadership.

**Table 3. Perceived Value of Virtual Subinternship**

	Perceived Value of Virtual Subinternship				P
	Prerotation		Postrotation		
	n	%	n	%	
Perceived value of virtual subinternship relative to in-person subinternship					0.1091
Much less valuable	9	17.3%	3	5.8%	
Slightly less valuable	33	63.5%	37	71.2%	
Equally as valuable	10	19.2%	9	17.3%	
Slightly more valuable	0	0.0%	2	3.8%	
Much more valuable	0	0.0%	1	1.9%	
Would you participate in a virtual subinternship again?					—
Yes	—		52	100.0%	
No			0	0.0%	
Would you participate in a virtual subinternship in lieu of an in-person subinternship?					—
Yes	—		5	12.2%	
No			36	87.8%	

**Samuel Lance, MD**  
 University of California, San Diego Medical Center  
 200 W. Arbor Drive  
 San Diego, CA 92103-8890  
 E-mail: [shlance@health.ucsd.edu](mailto:shlance@health.ucsd.edu)

**DISCLOSURE**

*The authors have no financial interest to declare in relation to the content of this article.*

**REFERENCES**

- Coalition for Physician Accountability. Coalition for Physician Accountability Releases recommendations on r021-22 residency season interviewing. NRMP. December 6, 2021. Available at <https://www.nrmp.org/about/news/2021/08/coalition-for-physician-accountability-releases-recommendations-on-2021-22-residency-season-interviewing/>. Accessed March 9, 2022.
- Drolet BC, Brower JP, Lifchez SD, et al. Away rotations and matching in integrated plastic surgery residency: applicant and program director perspectives. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2016;137:1337–1343.
- Molina Burbano F, Pasick C, Torina PJ, et al. Away rotations in plastic and reconstructive surgery: a survey of program directors. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2020;145:235e–236e.
- Janis JE, Hatef DA. Resident selection protocols in plastic surgery: a national survey of plastic surgery program directors. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2008;122:1929–1939.
- The Coalition for Physician Accountability’s Work Group on Medical Students in the Class of 2021 Moving Across Institutions for Post Graduate Training. Updated Recommendations on Away Rotations for Medical Education Institutions of LCME-Accredited, U.S. Osteopathic, and Non-U.S. Medical School Applicants Work Group on Medical Students Moving Across Institutions for Post Graduate Training. December 6, 2022. Available at <https://www.aamc.org/media/52326/download>. Retrieved March 9, 2022.