

UC Santa Cruz

UC Santa Cruz Previously Published Works

Title

TASKS, KNOWLEDGE, AND PRACTICE: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/16d385n7>

Authors

Habicht Mauche, Judith

Eckert, Suzanne

Huntley, Deborah

et al.

Publication Date

2024

American Antiquity

TASKS, KNOWLEDGE, AND PRACTICE: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	AQ-D-23-00009R1
Full Title:	TASKS, KNOWLEDGE, AND PRACTICE: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO
Article Type:	Article
Corresponding Author:	Suzanne L. Eckert, PhD ASM: Arizona State Museum Tucson, AZ UNITED STATES
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	ASM: Arizona State Museum
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Suzanne L. Eckert, PhD
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Suzanne L. Eckert, PhD Deborah L. Huntley, PhD Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, PhD Jeffrey Ferguson, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	<p>We examine provenance data collected from three types of geological resources recovered at Goat Spring Pueblo in central New Mexico. Our goal is to move beyond simply documenting patterns in compositional data; rather, we develop a narrative that explores how people's knowledge and preferences resulted in culturally and materially determined choices as revealed in those patterns. Our analyses provide evidence that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo did not rely primarily on local geological sources for the creation of their glaze paints or obsidian tools. They did, however, utilize a locally available blue-green mineral for creation of their ornaments. We argue that village artisans structured their use of raw materials at least in part according to multiple craft-specific and community-centered ethnominalogies that likely constituted the sources of these materials as historically or cosmologically meaningful places through their persistent use. Thus, the surviving material culture at Goat Spring Pueblo reflects day-to-day beliefs, practices, and social relationships that connected this village to a broader mosaic of interconnected Ancestral Pueblo taskscapes and knowledscapes.</p>



THE UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
**ARIZONA
STATE MUSEUM**

Arizona State Museum
1013 E University Blvd
PO Box 210026
Tucson, Arizona 85721-0026
(520) 621-6281
statemuseum.arizona.edu

Date: 28 January 2024

Subject: paper re-submission

To: Dr. Debra Martin, editor, *American Antiquity*

From: Suzanne L. Eckert, Head of Collections

Please find re-submitted our manuscript "TASKS, KNOWLEDGE, AND PRACTICE: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO" (originally PLACE MATTERS: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO"). Please note that I have submitted all figures as pdfs. If needed, I can provide them in another format.

Although the data and interpretations in this manuscript remain the same, my co-authors and I felt that the best way to address reviewers' comments was to provide a more substantial theoretical foundation for our work. This theoretical foundation - couched in the concepts of taskscapes, knowledgescapes, and ethnominalogies - actually reflects the very first outline of this manuscript. We ended up removing most of this interpretive framework in the original submission as we felt it was "safer" to do without. However, we feel that by returning to our original theoretical perspective we were actually able to address the reviewers' more considerable comments and be truer to ourselves. We hope the reviewers agree.

Please let me know if you have additional questions or concerns,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Suzanne L. Eckert'.

Suzanne L. Eckert, Ph.D., RPA
Head of Collections, Arizona State Museum
Professor, School of Anthropology
The University of Arizona
PO Box 210026
1013 E University Blvd
Tucson, AZ 85721-0026
520.626.0253
FAX 520.621.2976

**TASKS, KNOWLEDGE, AND PRACTICE: LONG DISTANCE RESOURCE
ACQUISITION AT GOAT SPRING PUEBLO (LA285), CENTRAL NEW MEXICO**

Suzanne L. Eckert, Deborah L. Huntley, Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, and Jeffrey R. Ferguson

DO NOT CITE IN ANY CONTEXT WITHOUT PERMISSION OF THE AUTHORS

Suzanne L. Eckert, Arizona State Museum, The University of Arizona, 1013 E. University Boulevard, P.O. Box 210026, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA (sleckert@arizona.edu, corresponding author)

Deborah L. Huntley, Tetra Tech, Inc., 350 Indiana St. Ste. 500, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, Department of Anthropology, University of California, Santa Cruz, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

Jeffrey R. Ferguson, Department of Anthropology and the Archaeometry Laboratory at MURR, University of Missouri 1513 Research Park Drive, Columbia, MO 65211 USA

English abstract. We examine provenance data collected from three types of geological resources recovered at Goat Spring Pueblo in central New Mexico. Our goal is to move beyond simply documenting patterns in compositional data; rather, we develop a narrative that explores how people's knowledge and preferences resulted in culturally and materially determined choices as revealed in those patterns. Our analyses provide evidence that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo did not rely primarily on local geological sources for the creation of their glaze paints or obsidian tools. They did, however, utilize a locally available blue-green mineral for creation of their ornaments. We argue that village artisans structured their use of raw materials at least in part according to multiple craft-specific and community-centered ethnomineralogies that likely constituted the sources of these materials as historically or cosmologically meaningful places through their persistent use. Thus, the surviving material culture at Goat Spring Pueblo reflects day-to-day beliefs, practices, and social relationships that connected this village to a broader mosaic of interconnected Ancestral Pueblo taskscapes and knowledgsapes.

Spanish abstract. Examinamos datos de procedencia recopilados de tres tipos de recursos geológicos recuperados en Goat Spring Pueblo en el centro de Nuevo México. Además de documentar patrones en datos de composición nuestro objetivo es desarrollar una narrativa que explora cómo el conocimiento y las preferencias de las personas resultaron en elecciones determinadas por factores culturales y materiales. Nuestros análisis proporcionan evidencia de que los residentes de Goat Spring Pueblo no dependieron principalmente de fuentes geológicas locales para la creación de sus pinturas vidriadas o herramientas de obsidiana. Sin embargo, utilizaron un mineral azul verdoso disponible localmente para la creación de sus adornos. Proponemos que los artesanos de las aldeas estructuraron su uso de materias primas, al menos en parte, de acuerdo con múltiples etnomineralogías artesanales específicas y centradas en la comunidad que probablemente constituyeron las fuentes de estos materiales como lugares históricamente o cosmológicamente significativos a través de su uso persistente. Por lo tanto, los artefactos recuperados en Goat Spring Pueblo refleja creencias, prácticas y relaciones sociales cotidianas que conectaron esta aldea con un mosaico más amplio de paisajes de tareas y conocimientos interconectados de los Pueblos Ancestrales.

Given the wide range of chemical compositional techniques available to archaeologists, our field has become increasingly successful at determining the geological provenance of materials from which objects were made. We have been less successful at adequately linking the movement of those raw geological materials and objects made from them with specific practices and how those practices materialize Indigenous knowledge systems as inscribed in the landscape and embodied in social memory. In this paper, we take up the challenge of one of our co-authors (Huntley 2016) to move beyond simply documenting geospatial patterning in compositional data and toward developing a narrative that explores how people's choices and preferences resulted in those patterns. In particular, we examine how those choices were informed by the complex interplay of local artisans' understanding of the physical characteristics of raw materials from known sources, the way resource collection was embedded in how community members routinely moved across the landscape as they engaged in various daily tasks or interacted with others through exchange, and how the source location of specific resources may have come to be associated with places of cultural, historical, and cosmological significance.

This paper summarizes our interpretations of provenance data collected from obsidian, blue-green minerals, and lead used to make glaze paint, all of which were recovered at Goat Spring Pueblo in central New Mexico (Figure 1). This Ancestral Pueblo village is an ideal case study for examining provenance data from a perspective that re-imagines artifacts as consolidated taskscapes (Ingold 2000; Michelaki et al. 2014) that materialize and embody local ethnominalogies (Arnold 1971; 2018). In other words, this approach allows us to ask how local systems of knowledge, history, and belief may have structured the persistent selection and use of natural resources from specific places as well as how habitual patterns of movement across and engagement with local and regional landscapes may have embedded these choices within social

memory. Further, we argue that since the inhabitants of Goat Spring Pueblo probably regularly interacted with peoples from other regions of the Ancestral Pueblo world, the practices of artisans within the village were defined by knowledge and beliefs that in some cases transcended the local and connected specific craft traditions to pan-Puebloan knowledgescapes (Herhahn and Huntley 2017), which were generationally sustained and widely shared over broad areas of the Southwest.

<Figure 1>

The village now known as Goat Spring Pueblo was built overlooking the southern Rio Grande Valley in the mountains near the modern-day town of Magdalena and dates to the period of glaze-painted pottery production (A.D. 1275-1680). The village is situated near at least three geological resources (lead, obsidian, and the blue-green mineral smithsonite) that were important to Ancestral Pueblo artisans. As such, the site was initially of interest to us given its potential for examining how village residents may have transformed local resources from raw materials to finished products. Contrary to these initial expectations, the analyses presented here suggest that although residents of the village did make use of the local blue-green smithsonite to produce ornaments, they did not rely primarily on local geological sources for the creation of their glaze paints or obsidian tools. These unexpected patterns led us to take on Huntley's challenge to examine our data through a more inclusive assessment of the notion of movement that takes into account recent ethnoarchaeological observations that emphasize how artisans' resource choices are often imbedded in local Indigenous knowledge systems that merge practical material constraints with notions of the historical and cosmological importance of place (Bradley 2000; Eckert et al. 2018). As such, we re-assess the significance of provenance data from Goat Spring Pueblo using a mix of Indigenous knowledge and material agency perspectives, in conjunction with landscape approaches that examine how people move through and utilize their physical

surroundings in ways that create historically persistent and culturally meaningful places.

Ethnominerologies, Taskscapes, and Knowledgescapes

Huntley (2016) recently reflected that geological provenance data are the result of multiple types of movement including of people, objects, and ideas. She argues that the real challenge for archaeologists is developing explanations that consider the multiple underlying causes behind these various types of movement (Huntley 2016: 210). Part of developing such explanations is to recognize that ancestral peoples did not perceive the economic geology of their landscapes in the same way that modern archaeologists do (Arnold 2018). Decades of ethnoarchaeological studies (Andrefsky 1994; Arnold 2018; Rye 1976) have shown that Indigenous artisans' choices of raw materials are based on practical knowledge of those resources and their appropriateness to the task at hand. Arnold (2018: 218) refers to these forms of knowledge and practice as "ethnominerologies," which include "a practical set of categories, guidelines, problem-solving skills, and strategies" by which artisans "use visual and tactile feedback from the raw materials to make their choices" and deal with the physical consequences of those choices. That said, archaeologists often identify accessible resources with the appropriate affordances that local communities of artisans chose not to use (eg. Michelaki et al. 2014), suggesting that artisans' resource choices were based on more than just material considerations.

When considering why artisans chose to use one raw material source and not another, we also need to consider how resource acquisition may have been associated with other activities and the way that people moved around the landscape as they engaged in a variety of daily tasks (Michelaki et al. 2014; Huntley et al. 2012). Ingold (2000) refers to this array of related tasks, as they play out routinely across both space and time, as "taskscapes" (similar to Binford's concept

of imbedded procurement, see Binford 1979). Various, although sometime intersecting, taskscapes may have been associated with diverse groups within a community. Women potters or their family members may have gathered clay and temper close to areas where they engaged in other activities, such as gathering wild plants. Men skilled in the making of arrow points may have collected obsidian while ranging further afield as part of hunting or foraging expeditions. Specialized minerals or pigments, important for ritual activities, may have been collected by specialists as part of pilgrimages to ancestral or sacred sites. The rhythm and routine of these taskscapes would have oriented people's perceptions of the landscape and how they moved across it, imbuing places associated with specific activities or resources with cultural meaning and embedding them in social memory.

Both ethnominalogies and taskscapes are generally associated with highly localized and distinct communities of practice (Arnold 2018). Communities of practice are groups of people who come to share a collective social identity through learning, making, and doing together (Lave and Wenger 1991). They are characterized by sets of knowledge, techniques, and beliefs that are socially transmitted within and between generations. A group of artisans within a village, such as the potters or ornament makers of Goat Spring Pueblo, would constitute such a local community of practice. But individuals can and do belong to multiple communities of practice, while at the same time members of specific local communities of practice often have contact and interaction with members of similar communities of practice at other locales, sometimes over long distances. These intersections and interactions create much broader constellations of shared knowledge and practice than is normally associated with specific, localized ethnominalogies, taskscapes, or communities of practice.

These broader constellations of knowledge, practice, and belief have been conceptualized as “knowledgescapes” (Herhahn and Huntley 2017; Shariq 1999). Knowledgescapes are constituted and spread within social networks of varying scales and facilitated by interactive processes such as migration, intermarriage, and trade. They may be associated with broadly shared cosmological beliefs and ritual practices—practices that may require special regalia made from materials acquired from specific cosmologically charged sources or places. In the Diasporic context of the late precontact Southwest, shared knowledgescapes may have maintained connections and identity with distant Ancestral Pueblo homelands. Access to and preference for some distant raw materials may have been associated with sustaining and reaffirming connections to these broader inter-regional knowledgescapes.

Below we examine how these concepts of ethnominerologies, taskscales, communities of practice, and knowledgescapes help us to understand sets of provenance data from materials recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo in terms of human choices and actions that attended to the material affordances of specific raw materials, while at the same time were embedded in systems of shared knowledge and practice that constituted social networks and identities of varying types and scales. Ultimately, this approach allows us to examine how artisans’ knowledge and belief systems may have helped to organize persistent choices of natural resources from specific places over time, resulting in long term engagement with cultural landscapes that eventually embedded these choices within social memory.

Goat Spring Pueblo (LA285)

Located at a little over 6,000 feet in elevation along the eastern edge of the Bear Mountains, Goat Spring Pueblo overlooks the Plano San Lorenzo of the Rio Grande floodplain.

The village is comprised of a U-shaped pueblo opened to the east (Figure 2). Three masonry roomblocks are estimated to have held 200 ground floor rooms (Marshall and Walt 1984:215). All decorated pottery sherds recovered from the site are glaze-painted ware. While surface sherds suggest that the village was occupied from the late 1400s to about A.D. 1680 (Marshall and Walt 1984:217; Mera 1940:7), cross-dated ceramic types from Eckert and Huntley's recent excavations suggest the site may have been occupied as early as the 1300s.

<Figure 2>

Archaeology at Goat Spring Pueblo.

Goat Spring Pueblo was first recorded by Mera in the 1930s (Mera 1940). Along with one other highland village, Goat Spring Pueblo defines the western extent of Ancestral Piro settlement (Marshall and Walt 1984). The Piro are thought to be descendants of a combination of indigenous Piro speakers and immigrant Mogollon populations that came together during the late fourteenth and fifteenth centuries in the Southern Rio Grande district (Cordell and McBrinn 2012; Marshall and Walt 1984). Some archaeologists have suggested that villages in the Southern Rio Grande played a major role in late Ancestral Pueblo Period (A.D. 1300-1680) social dynamics. For example, the southern trail between the Western Pueblo and Rio Grande regions began at the modern-day Hopi mesas and utilized the pass between the Magdalena and Bear Mountains (near Goat Spring Pueblo) before ending near modern day Socorro, where it joined the trail that ran north-south along the Rio Grande (Phillips et al. 2021). Given this known trail, the Southern Rio Grande may have been a gateway for the movement of people, cosmological ideas and ritual practices, as well as goods between the Rio Grande and Western Pueblo regions.

Research by Huntley (2008) has shown that lead from sources in the Southern Rio Grande district were used to make paints on glaze-painted pottery produced in the Zuni region.

Huntley, Habicht-Mauche, and other colleagues have argued that at least some sites in the region may have played a role in circulating this important resource (Huntley et al. 2012). Although more difficult to trace archaeologically, historic documents record that the Southern Rio Grande district was also the source of cotton and tobacco for Northern Rio Grande district villages (Ford 1972; Snow 1981).

Artifacts examined in this study come from recent excavations (2013-2017) led by Eckert and Huntley. We developed a preservation archaeology project (Doelle and Huntley 2012) which combined low-impact research methods (e.g. survey, surface mapping, geophysical subsurface techniques) with limited, targeted excavations. Approximately 24 cubic meters of material were excavated which sampled the trash midden, the three roomblocks, the kiva, and the plaza; additional sampling included 50 shovel test pits and clearance of two rooms previously excavated but never backfilled (Davis and Winkler 1960).

Once field work was complete, we began basic and specialized analyses to determine the chronology of the site as well as explore issues of cultural continuity and change, ritual, migration, exchange, and resistance. We have created a detailed map of the roomblocks, determined the locations and extent of trash middens, identified subsurface cultural features using a combination of electromagnetic conductivity, ground penetrating radar, and resistivity, and extended our understanding of the village's chronology. Some of this field work confirmed what we already knew; for example, the site consists of three roomblocks composed of approximately 200 ground floor rooms constructed of rhyolite masonry. Some of this field work provided additional information; for example, although five depressions were initially identified as possible pit structures by early researchers, our geophysical work and testing confirmed only

one of these depressions to be a cultural feature. We are currently in the process of analyzing artifacts and writing the final report, of which this current paper is a part.

Chronological reconstruction of the site is in the process of being refined but is hampered by several obstacles. Radiocarbon dates (Hood 2012) on maize recovered from stratigraphically excavated midden units identify an early phase dating to ca. AD 1470-1515 (prior to Spanish missionization of Southern Rio Grande villages) and a late phase dating to ca. AD 1625-1700 (post-missionization). While some architectural evidence suggests two separate components, cross-dating of pottery and trash stratigraphy in some units suggest continuous occupation possibly with multiple construction phases. Currently, many excavation units cannot be confidently assigned to a specific phase, and stratigraphy in at least one unit shows disturbance either through pothunting or the 1950s excavations. Given that chronology building is more complex than we had anticipated, and that sample counts are too small if we only work with material from confirmed phases, the analyses presented here apply to the site as a whole in both time and space.

Culturally Significant Geological Resources in the Goat Spring Pueblo Vicinity

One of the primary reasons we were initially interested in Goat Spring Pueblo was its location near various geological resources that were potentially significant to Ancestral Pueblo artisans (Figure 3). The village and these geological resources occur in different formations in or below the Mogollon-Datil volcanic field. The geology of the region is very complex (Cather et al. 1994; Lasky 1932), and nomenclature has been revised numerous times; we are following the nomenclature recommended by Cather and colleagues (1994). We limit our focus to those formations containing resources that could have been culturally significant to Ancestral

Puebloans in terms of chipped stone tool production, lead for glaze paint recipes, or blue-green minerals for ornament production.

<Figure 3>

Goat Spring Pueblo is situated in the Bear Mountains of the Hells Mesa Tuff Formation of the Datil Group (Cather et al. 1994), which consists of 610- to 760-meter-thick volcanic deposits throughout much of the area. Various andesites, rhyolites, and quartz latites are available within the immediate vicinity of the pueblo; intrusive monzonites and granites are present within three kilometers of the village. These igneous materials were used for masonry construction, lithic tool manufacture, and tempering clay for pottery production.

The nearest obsidian source to Goat Spring Pueblo is the McDaniel Tank source, which is part of the Bear¹ Mountain Tuff Formation of the Datil Group (Cather et al. 1994). The identification of this source is relatively recent, although its distinct chemistry has been observed in numerous New Mexico lithic assemblages (LeTourneau et al. 2010). The obsidian occurs as nodules weathering out of a rhyolitic parent rock, tends to be an opaque black, and exhibits excellent workability. Although observed nodules range up to three to five centimeters in diameter (the vast majority are 1 centimeter or less), the discovery of a Paleoindian projectile point base made of McDaniel Tank obsidian suggests that at least some larger nodules existed (Schaefer 2020). Nodule distribution is dispersed, occurring over roughly 32 square kilometers in scattered deposits, but at least some deposits are located as close as 20 kilometers south of Goat Spring Pueblo and could have been collected on short foraging trips from the pueblo.

¹ On 8 September 2022, the USDI announced that “In accordance with Secretary’s Order 3404 the U.S. Board on Geographic Names has approved replacement names for all official features that included the word ‘Sq___’ in their name.” In the Magdalena area, this included the newly named Bear Mountain; previous publications that discuss Bear Mountain will use the inappropriate term.

Smithsonite, a zinc-bearing blue-green mineral that could have been worked similarly to turquoise, occurs within the Kelly Formation of the Mississippian System. This formation of approximately 30 meters of marine limestone was deposited in a major advance of the ocean across the continent some 350 million years ago and is located in the Magdalena Mountains. The only known source of blue-green smithsonite in the greater Southwest is from the Kelly Formation (Eveleth and Lueth 2010). Although the Hells Mesa Tuff Formation of the Datil Group was deposited over the Kelly Formation ca. 30 million years ago (Cather et al. 1994), various geological processes since then, including rapid uplift and tilting, have resulted in portions of this formation being exposed near or on the surface. One of these exposures is only 11 kilometers south of Goat Spring Pueblo, where smithsonite can still be found on the surface.

Galena and other lead bearing minerals, which were used by Ancestral Pueblo potters to make glaze-paint, are available in various deposits throughout New Mexico, including two surface locations near Goat Spring Pueblo. One location is from the Kelly Formation discussed above; the other is from Miocene alteration of andesites in the La Jara Formation in an area located 15 kilometers south-southwest of the pueblo. Given the modern economic importance of these areas, they are known as the Magdalena Mining District and North Magdalena Mining District respectively (Lasky 1932). While various mines are located within each district, our comparative samples (mostly galena and cerrusite) come primarily from the Kelly Mine in the Magdalena Mining District and the Jack Frost Mine in the North Magdalena Mining District.

Chemical Compositional Analyses

Lead-based glaze paints.

Glaze-painted pottery from Goat Spring Pueblo is assumed to have been used in ritual and feasting contexts, with pottery decorations conveying important and highly visible messages

about both identity and ritual practice. We are especially interested in examining the production and distribution of ceramic vessels, as well as the lead required to make glaze paint, because these activities were not strictly economic: the production, movement, and use of glaze-painted pottery across the Ancestral Pueblo landscape played an important role in defining local communities of practice and broader knowledgescapes specific to emerging Pueblo ritual practices during the late Ancestral Pueblo Period (Eckert 2008; Habicht-Mauche and Eckert 2021; Mills 2007a; Spielmann 1998). Further, we were specifically interested in when and if potters at Goat Spring Pueblo began to rely on locally collected lead, which was readily accessible and would have had affordances for glaze paint production indistinguishable from lead collected from other sources in New Mexico (Herhahn 1995). Goat Spring Pueblo is the closest known site with locally produced glaze-painted pottery to the Magdalena Mining District and the North Magdalena Mining District and previous studies have established that lead from both districts was used by Ancestral Pueblo potters from both the Zuni and lower Rio Grande regions (Habicht-Mauche et al. 2002; Huntley 2008; Huntley et al. 2012). We postulated that, at some point, residents of Goat Spring Pueblo may have controlled the distribution of lead from the area to other Pueblo villages. However, isotopic sourcing of the lead used to produce locally-made glaze-painted pots challenges this assumption.

We used inductively-coupled plasma mass spectrography (ICP-MS) to identify geographic sources of lead ores used to make glaze paints based on their stable lead isotope ratios. The application of ICP-MS to the analysis of lead glazes is based on the principle that lead ores from different geological sources -- and the glaze paints made from them -- can be distinguished by their stable lead isotope fingerprints, which generally differ in isotopic composition as a function of the age of the deposit (Flegal and Smith 1992; Habicht-Mauche et

al. 2000). We examined 48 glaze-painted sherds, the primary selection criteria being that 1) the sherds were produced at Goat Spring Pueblo as evidenced by the presence of rhyolite temper identified through Eckert's petrography work as being local and 2) each sherd had to contain a fairly large area of glaze paint in order to minimize destruction due to sample preparation. A small amount of glaze paint was dissolved in 1% nitric acid. Lead concentrations were measured using ICP-OES and then diluted with blank acid to a standard dilution. To measure lead isotope ratios, Habicht-Mauche and Eckert used the ElementXR high-resolution ICP-MS instrument in the Plasma Analytical Facility, which is part of the Institute of Marine Sciences at the University of California, Santa Cruz. The results from this analysis were compared with existing data compiled by Habicht-Mauche, Huntley, and other colleagues to track the sources of lead ore used by Ancestral Pueblo potters (Habicht-Mauche et al. 2000; Habicht-Mauche et al. 2002; Huntley 2008; Thibodeau et al. 2013).

Our results show that the vast majority of lead used to formulate the glaze paints found on pottery made at Goat Spring Pueblo comes from the Hansonburg Mining District, some 160+ kilometers east of the village. The second most common source of lead is from the Cerrillos Mining District (Figures 4 and 5), located a bit less than 160 kilometers (depending on the trail that was taken) northeast. Several of the glaze paints appear to have been made from a mix of Cerrillos and Hansonburg lead, as their ratios fall along a line that connects the mean of the ratios recorded from both districts. Two of the glaze paint samples had ratios that fall somewhat outside the range of the Hansonburg ore field as currently measured. Analyses of Rio Grande glaze paints on pottery produced at other sites have recorded ratios in this same range (Giomi 2022; Habicht-Mauche, personal communication), suggesting that our comparison sample of Hansonburg lead ore (mostly sampled from a single mine) may not be representative of the full

isotopic variability within that district. However, the nearest lead source, the North Magdalena Mining District, was possibly used in only one or two of the analyzed samples, while lead from the other local source, the Magdalena Mining District, appears not to have been used at all.

Implications for these results are discussed below.

<Figure 4>

<Figure 5>

Obsidian artifacts.

Obsidian artifacts from Goat Spring Pueblo are primarily debitage, with only a handful of formal tools. While obsidian tools were probably valued for their especially sharp edges, obsidian may also have been valued for its glassy shine (Munson 2020a). The complete assemblage of 58 obsidian artifacts from Goat Spring Pueblo were analyzed using the ThermoScientific ARL Quant'X Energy Dispersive X-ray Fluorescence (EDXRF) instrument at MURR. This instrument has a rhodium-based X-ray tube operated at 35 kV and a thermoelectrically-cooled silicon-drift detector. The obsidian calibration uses a set of 37 very well-characterized obsidian sources with data from previous ICP, XRF, and NAA measurements (Glascock and Ferguson 2012). Ferguson counted the samples for two minutes to measure the minor and trace elements present. The elements measured include Rb, Sr, Y, Zr, and Nb. These five elements are excellent for discriminating most sources in the American Southwest. Fe and Mn are also reported but are less reliable in assemblages with smaller artifacts (Ferguson 2012). In most cases, source assignments for obsidian artifacts are based on visual inspection of elemental bivariate plots. XRF data tend to skew along correlation lines (largely as a function of variable sample mass), and visual inspection provides reliable source assignments (Ferguson 2012).

Our results show (Figure 6) that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo did not rely primarily on obsidian from the nearest source. Almost three-quarters of the obsidian from the village is identified as being from Mount Taylor source area (Horace Mesa and Grants Ridge sources in Figure 5), some 113 kilometers northwest of the village. There are a few obsidian sources – Red Hill, Mule Creek, and Gwynn Canyon – that are essentially as equidistant as Mount Taylor, as well as secondary deposits that may have been collected from the Southern Rio Grande (Shackley 2021). The nearest obsidian source, McDaniel Tank, is represented by less than 10% of the assemblage. Although there is a size limitation of the McDaniel Tank source with specimens larger than two centimeters in diameter being difficult to find on the modern surface, this size limitation did not stop residents of other sites from relying primarily on this source. For example, at the Archaic Period site of Buttercup Spring in the Magdalena Mountains just east of the source area, most of the obsidian assemblage is from the McDaniel Tank source (LeTourneau et al. 2010). Similarly, four twelfth century Pueblo sites in the Lion Mountain area located 35 kilometers due east of Goat Spring Pueblo have obsidian assemblages dominated by McDaniel Tank obsidian (Schaefer 2020). Given that residents of some other local sites had relied primarily on McDaniel Tank obsidian and given that other obsidian sources were available that were equidistant as the Mount Taylor source, it appears that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo were actively seeking material from the Mount Taylor area.

<Figure 6>

Blue-green minerals.

Blue-green minerals are, and were, used in the creation of personal ornaments, to create pigments, and as offerings (Mattson 2016; McBrinn and Altshuler 2015; Munson 2020b). The most extensively used (and studied) blue-green mineral among Ancestral Puebloans was turquoise (Hull et al. 2014; Mathien 2001; Thibodeau et al. 2015). However, other blue-green

minerals were available including malachite, azurite, chrysocolla, and smithsonite. The availability of blue-green smithsonite in New Mexico from only the Magdalena Mining District, its modern workability as a gemstone, and identification of ornaments made from smithsonite at other archaeological sites (Eveleth and Lueth 2010) suggests that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo may have relied on it in a way similar to turquoise.

While other blue-green minerals found in New Mexico are copper-bearing, smithsonite is a zinc-bearing mineral (Pohwat 2010). This suggested that XRF analysis could be used to qualitatively determine if the blue-green minerals recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo were smithsonite. Ferguson used a portable Bruker Tracer 5i XRF spectrometer to perform the analysis. Spectra of the Goat Spring Pueblo samples were compared to those from a Kelly Mine smithsonite specimen and an unprovenienced New Mexico turquoise specimen. Again, this analysis was not used to source the blue-green minerals recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo, but to verify whether any of the samples were zinc-bearing and thus probably smithsonite.

All 14 blue-green mineral specimens recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo were analyzed (Figure 7). While some of these artifacts were clearly worked ornaments, most represented debitage from the production process or unworked nodules of material (Arthur Vokes, personal communication, December 2021). Nine samples are a close match to the Zinc (Zn) peaks expected of smithsonite, three samples are a close match to the Copper (Cu) peaks expected of turquoise, and two samples could not be matched. All told, residents of Goat Spring Pueblo were primarily relying on the locally available smithsonite, but appear to have had access to raw blue-green minerals from farther afield. All three specimens (468.1, 468.6, 468.7) of the copper-bearing blue-green minerals recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo were identified as “unworked

nodules”. This suggests that people were bringing at least some non-local blue-green minerals to Goat Spring Pueblo as raw material for manufacture, rather than as finished products.

<Figure 7>

Summary.

The original working hypothesis for our chemical compositional and isotopic studies was that local patterns of resource acquisition would follow Western principals of economic optimization; that is that residents of Goat Spring Pueblo would have taken advantage of the geological resources that were locally available to them within a day or two’s foraging range from the village. In the case of blue-green minerals, this assumption appears to be true with residents having primarily used the locally available smithsonite. Although raw turquoise was also acquired from unknown distant sources, possibly places that were also the source of other resources, such as the Cerrillos Hills, which is known to have been a significant source of both lead and turquoise that was widely distributed throughout the Ancestral Pueblo world. The obsidian and lead analyses presented here show that these materials were often transported some distance across the landscape to be brought to Goat Spring Pueblo. Because objects and materials “are only ‘moved’ as a result of human activity, although the behavioral processes vary” (Huntley 2016: 202), we must consider potential social and cultural explanations for our observed compositional data patterns. It is here that Huntley’s challenge (2016) becomes important, as we consider our findings within the framework of Goat Spring Pueblo artisans in different communities of practice negotiating their ethnominerologies, taskscapes, and knowledgescapes.

Ethnominerologies, Taskscapes, and Knowledgescapes at Goat Spring Pueblo

At its most basic, this research examines the obtainment of geological resources needed for the manufacture of objects by residents of Goat Spring Pueblo. There is no doubt that we are

looking at the movement of geological resources into the village to make specific types of objects rather than the movement of completed objects. In the case of obsidian, there are a few finished tools but by far the majority of the material is in the form of flakes that were the result (or perhaps goal) of tool making. Similarly, most of the blue-green mineral from the site is manufacturing debris. In the case of lead to make glaze paint, paints made using non-local lead was applied to locally produced pottery; in other words, it was the raw resource to make the paint that was moved, but the final ceramic vessels were not. In terms of context, raw geological materials were moved into, and the production of finished products was occurring at, Goat Spring Pueblo.

There is also no doubt that residents of the village were aware of the existence of local resources – smithsonite, McDaniel Tanks obsidian, and North Magdalena Mining District lead are all present in the samples examined in this study. However, artisans of the village were not *primarily* relying on local geological sources for the creation of their glaze-painted pottery or obsidian tools, although they were for their blue-green ornaments. We believe that the question is not “why were village artisans not using some locally available resources,” but rather why were they selecting the specific sources that they did utilize? We conceptualize the village’s artisans as working within social networks that shared a technological tradition for the manufacture of specific material cultures; in other words, within communities of practice (Stark 2006:25). As discussed above, members of a community of practice share a collective identity developed through learning, making, and doing together (Lave and Wenger 1991). Part of this “learning, making, and doing” would have been structured around other cultural behaviors, including ethnominalogies, taskscapes, and knowledescapes. Here, we consider our data more thoroughly through communities of practice while taking these behaviors into consideration. Ultimately, it

should be remembered that these behaviors are not mutually exclusive. For example, if Mount Taylor obsidian was important to residents of Goat Spring Pueblo for cosmological reasons, qualitative reasons, or both, then they probably would have been pleased to procure it whenever possible and through multiple means.

Ethnominalogies

As discussed above, ethnominalogies are shared sets of practical knowledge and strategies within a community of practice that allows artisans to test, manipulate, and problem-solve when working with raw materials (Arnold 1971; 2018). Ethnominalogies are taught through the process of learning a craft within a community of practice but may also be expanded through an artisan's testing of new materials or through knowledge shared by individuals from a different community of practice. At Goat Spring Pueblo, and among Ancestral Pueblo potters in general, an ethnominalogy for the testing and use of lead minerals in the production of glaze paints was a relatively recent development and was probably regionally shared (see below).

Ethnominalogies for the testing and knapping of obsidian (local or otherwise) among flint-knappers at Goat Spring Pueblo, and in the broader Ancestral Pueblo region in general, probably went back centuries if not more. As discussed above, local obsidian had excellent workability but nodules tend to be small (<five centimeters in diameter). Most obsidian recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo was collected from non-local sources, primarily Mount Taylor. Mount Taylor obsidian also would have had excellent workability, but nodules 10 cm and over in diameter are "relatively common" near the top of Grants Ridge at Mount Taylor (Shackley 1998:1077); this larger nodule size may have been preferred by flint-knappers for practical reasons and may have been sought out through various means as discussed below.

The use of smithsonite for the construction of ornaments is a good example of an expanded ethnomineralogy that was probably local to the community of practice at Goat Spring Pueblo. The importance of the color blue-green, and by extension blue-green minerals, in Ancestral Pueblo cosmology has been extensively documented and extends well into historic and modern Pueblo thought (Mattson 2016; McBrinn and Altshuler 2015; Munson 2020b). While the use and provenance of turquoise has been extensively studied in the Southwest United States (Hull et al. 2014; Mathien 2001; Sigleo 1975; Thibodeau et al. 2015), the source and use of other blue-green minerals is not as well understood. The evidence at Goat Spring Pueblo for limited working of smithsonite in ways similar to turquoise (Arthur Vokes, personal communication, December 2021) suggests that at least one artisan at the village was using an established ethnomineralogy to test and manufacture ornaments from locally available smithsonite in ways similar to the production of turquoise objects. At the same time, the limited amount of blue-green minerals currently found at Goat Spring Pueblo indicates that the village was never a workshop or a source of blue-green mineral for other Ancestral Pueblo villages. The local artisans working the smithsonite may have been making ornaments for use by residents of the village, but the presence of copper-bearing minerals (possibly turquoise) at the site indicates that these artisans were happy to use, and possibly preferred, non-local blue-green minerals when available.

Taskscapes

Taskscapes reflect histories of interactions of people with their cultural landscape and natural resources (Michelaki et al. 2014; Huntley et al. 2012); in other words, they are the human use of a landscape structured by the interaction of resource locales, daily tasks, and ethnomineralogies. At Goat Spring Pueblo, collection of smithsonite – and the occasional piece of galena and obsidian according to our data patterns – would have been possible within a day's

walk and procurement probably was part of locally defined taskscapes. Collection of these resources may have occurred as part of other trips from the village to hunt, forage wild plants, or collect other geological resources such as pigments or clays. There is no reason to believe that local geological resources were moved into the village through any means other than as part of local procurement practices.

The majority of obsidian recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo was collected from non-local sources, primarily Mount Taylor but also from the Jemez Mountains located in the northern Rio Grande area. Obsidian provenance studies at archaeological sites across the Ancestral Pueblo landscape have shown that Mount Taylor was a popular source of material for centuries (Benedict and Hudson 2008; Duff et al. 2012; Shackley 2010), including within the Southern Rio Grande district (Eckert and Huntley 2022; Schaefer 2020). Additionally, some Mt. Taylor obsidian (and Jemez Mountains obsidian), whether Ancestral Puebloans recognized it as such or not, may have been collected in secondary deposits in the Rio Grande (Shackley 2021). The majority of lead minerals was also collected from a nonlocal source in the Hansonburg Mining District. Procurement of obsidian and lead minerals, then, make for an interesting consideration of broader taskscapes as realized by residents of Goat Spring Pueblo.

Procurement of nonlocal obsidian and lead minerals may have been, in part, associated with longer distance hunting or foraging expeditions by village residents moving over a regional taskscape. Evidence for short-term use of Mount Taylor by Ancestral Pueblo populations, probably for “hunting and gathering of specific resources”, has been documented (Benedict and Hudson 2008: 7; see also Popelish 1990). Similarly, long-term use of the Hansonburg Mining District region by Ancestral Puebloans comes from non-archaeological reporting of stone hammers found in caves (MacCarthy 1918), projectile points dating to various time periods

(Eveleth and Lueth 2009), and water holes covered with flat stones (Blanchard 1965). Although it is impossible to tie the Ancestral Pueblo material culture recovered from Mount Taylor or the Hansonburg Mining District to a specific region or village, the presence of raw resources from these two areas at Goat Spring Pueblo makes it reasonable to speculate that at least some residents of the site were going to these source locations to collect resources, quite possible as part of larger taskscapes that would allow them to complete various activities in various locations as part of a single long-distance trip.

Although difficult to know, it should be noted that part of these longer foraging expeditions may have included collecting resources with cosmological importance from their source (Benedict and Hudson 2008; Mills and Ferguson 1998). The long-standing importance of Mount Taylor obsidian, including the current importance of Mount Taylor in modern Pueblo cosmology, has been well documented (Benedict and Hudson 2008; Berthier-Foglar 2012). Similarly, given the numerous natural caves in the Hansonburg Mining District -- including the “Enchanted Cave of the Oscuras” described in 1881 as extensive, remarkably beautiful and containing spectacular stalactites, stalagmites, and flowing springs (Eveleth and Lueth 2009) -- it is possible that this region also held cosmological significance to Ancestral Pueblo peoples.

Knowledgescapes

If taskscapes are structured by landscape and natural resources, knowledgescapes are structured by contact and interaction with members of other communities who share a broad suite of practice and belief (Herhahn and Huntley 2017; Shariq 1999); these knowledgescapes are not usually associated with local ethnominalogies or taskscapes but rather with more expansive constellations of shared knowledge that cross-cut villages, regions, and communities of practice. Knowledgescapes can be associated with broadly shared cosmological beliefs, ritual

practices, and ceremonies requiring objects made from materials collected from cosmologically important places. As discussed above, Mount Taylor and caves in the Hansonburg Mining District may have been such places. A shared knowledgescape between artisans at Goat Spring Pueblo and those from other villages may have maintained connections and identity with these distant source locations; preference for such materials may have actually been a means of reaffirming these broad knowledgescapes.

If knowledgescapes are constituted and spread through participation in regular markets, feasts, and religious ceremonies sponsored by different villages, then the acquisition of at least some geological resources by residents of Goat Spring Pueblo may have been through trade networks or exchange partners. Much has been written about the expansion of trade networks during the late Ancestral Pueblo Period and how access to these networks would have been made possible by visiting different villages throughout the year (Mills 2007b; Snow 1981; Spielmann 1998). Ethnographic and historical accounts suggest that Puebloans regularly visited other villages to participate in markets, feasts, marriages, deaths, coming-of-age events, and other religious ceremonies (Ford 1972; Snow 1981). In addition, the Southern Rio Grande district is known to have played an important role in these exchange networks. Within the Rio Grande, Southern Rio Grande villages were the source of cotton and tobacco (Snow 1981:356). Many exchange networks appear to have been informal, occurring between individuals or families or artisans with a shared practice rather than controlled by a village or specific social segment (Cordell 1998). As such, residents of Goat Spring Pueblo may have acquired raw resources when visiting other villages for any number of reasons.

Visiting Goat Spring Pueblo may also have been part of the knowledgescape of residents from other villages. As described above, the village is located on a trail connecting Western

Pueblo and Southern Rio Grande villages. People would have been moving back and forth along the trail and, chances are, they would have stopped at Goat Spring Pueblo. During our ceramic analysis, we identified Zuni Glaze Ware and decorated Zuni Buff Ware at Goat Spring Pueblo in small quantities. We know that lead from Hansonburg and Cerrillos Mining Districts were also used in Western Pueblo glaze paint, we know that Mount Taylor obsidian is found throughout Western Pueblo and Southern Rio Grande sites, and we know that blue-green minerals were moving throughout the Southwest. It is quite likely that at least some of this material was carried to Goat Spring Pueblo by short-term visitors to the village. Various other commodities could also have been traded or exchanged: salt from the Zuni Salt Lake or Salinas district, textiles, feathers, pigments, buffalo meat and hides, and obsidian and glaze paints (Ford 1972).

Ultimately, our current understanding of glaze paint production suggests that Goat Spring Pueblo potters probably obtained most of their lead minerals through trade relations associated with a broader knowledgescape. This knowledgescape would likely have been focused on the knowledge and technology transfer of glaze paint production as well as glaze paint's association with cosmology and ritual practice (Eckert 2008; Habicht-Mauche and Eckert 2021; Spielmann 1998). Nelson and Habicht-Mauche (2006) have argued that specialized resources for pottery production such as lead for glaze-paint appear to have moved between households across ethnolinguistic social groups as part of a broader network of regional interactions. Eckert and colleagues (2018) argued that the movement of these more specialized resources reflect historically contingent, kin-based relationships between households from different ethnolinguistic villages. Pottery data from Goat Spring Pueblo provides further evidence that multiple Pueblo groups, including potters in both the Salinas and Zuni regions (Huntley 2006, 2008; Huntley et al. 2007), were using lead from the Hansonburg Mining District although this

district seems to be peripheral to the glaze-producing Pueblo world. All of these communities of practice focused on the production of glaze paint were probably tied into a larger knowledscape that allowed them access to the knowledge, technology, and materials required to produce glaze wares.

Conclusion

The logic behind performing most geochemical techniques is one of identifying provenance – understanding from where geological resources were obtained, which types of objects were made from these sources, and how far the material (raw or finished) moved. Huntley (2016: 202) stated that “identifying provenance – place of origin – is necessary before we can explain behavioral processes behind the distributions of objects across the landscape.” But once we identify provenance, understanding the behaviors is still difficult because human behavior is complex.

In this paper we have examined the acquisition of raw materials at Goat Spring Pueblo through the lens of ethnominalogies, taskscapes, and knowledscapes. We argue that if artisans at the village structured their taskscapes around ethnominalogies and location of geological resources, and structured their knowledscapes around interaction with artisans from other communities that shared a broad suite of practice and belief, then the movement of raw materials into the village was based upon a complex set of cultural behaviors. The specific location of a geological resource would have been only one part of the community’s greater understanding of their physical surroundings. Mountains, rivers, and other landmarks associated with stories, histories, and cultural traditions would have defined the space in which villagers lived and may also have informed preferences for certain resources. Thus, the surviving material culture at Goat Spring Pueblo is a reflection of day-to-day practice and beliefs that were

integrated into a broader Ancestral Pueblo world view about place.

Acknowledgements. Lead isotope analysis was funded by a 2018 NMAC Grant. Field work was funded by TAMU's Program for the Enhancement of Creative and Scholarly Activities, TAMU's Summer School Program, and ASM 2017 Burgan Fund. Funding for XRF analysis was provided in part from a National Science Foundation grant (#1912776) to the Archaeometry Laboratory at MURR. We thank our team of volunteers, graduate student crew chiefs, and field school students, the staff at the CNFS Magdalena District Office and the CNFS Albuquerque Supervisors Office, and Matt Basham (then of CNFS, now of BLM) and Jeremy Kulisheck, the staff at ARMS, Arthur Vokes for the analysis of blue-green minerals, Marion Coe for preparing the maps; and James T. Watson for the Spanish abstract.

Data availability statement. Lead isotope data available at tDAR id: 472667; doi:10.48512/XCV8472667. XRF data available upon request from Jeffrey R. Ferguson at MURR. Excavation materials and records will be curated at the Maxwell Museum, Albuquerque, New Mexico but can also be accessed by contacting Suzanne L. Eckert.

Figure Captions

Figure 1: Map of the Ancestral Pueblo world.

Figure 2: Goat Spring Pueblo, photo taken from nearby ridge. View to the northeast.

Figure 3: Location of culturally significant geological resources discussed.

Figure 4: $^{208}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ isotope data plotted against $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ from 40 glaze paint samples on locally produced pottery recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo.

Figure 5: $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ from 40 glaze paint samples on locally produced pottery recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo.

Figure 6: XRF results for all 58 obsidian specimens recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo with ellipses representing composition of known sources.

Figure 7: XRF results for all 14 blue-green mineral specimens recovered from Goat Spring Pueblo.

References Cited

- Andrefsky, William, Jr.
1994 Raw-material Availability and the Organization of Technology. *American Antiquity* 59(1): 21-34
- Arnold, Dean E.
1971 Ethnominerology of Ticul, Yucatan potters: Etics and Emics. *American Antiquity* 36(1): 20-40.
2018 *Maya Potter's Indigenous Knowledge: Cognition, Engagement, and Practice*. University Press of Colorado, Boulder.
- Benedict, Cynthia Buttery and Erin Hudson
2008 Mt. Taylor Traditional Cultural Property. Heritage Resources Report #2008-03-021. Cibola National Forest, Albuquerque.
- Berthier-Foglar, Susanne
2012 Uranium Mining on Sacred Land: The Case of Mount Taylor (NM, USA). *ELOHI: Peuples indigènes et environnement* 2:79-94.
- Binford, Lewis R.
1979 Organization and Formation Processes: Looking at Curated Technologies. *Journal of Anthropological Research* 35: 255-273.
- Blanchard, Ora W.
ca. 1965 *Blanchard Mine History: manuscript report constructed from memory, business papers, and William Edward Blanchard's Diaries*. Unpublished manuscript courtesy of Sophia Blanchard Warner in New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Mining Archives, Socorro, New Mexico.
- Bradley, Richard
2000 *An Archaeology of Natural Places*. Routledge, London.
- Cather, Steven M., R. M. Chamberlin, and J. C. Ratte
1994 Tertiary stratigraphy and nomenclature for western New Mexico and eastern Arizona. In *Mogollon Slope (West-Central New Mexico and East-Central Arizona)* edited by R. M. Chamberlin, B. S. Kues, S. M. Cather, J. M. Barker, and W. C. McIntosh, pp. 259-266. New Mexico Geological Society 45th Annual Fall Field Conference Guidebook. New Mexico Geological Society, Socorro.
- Cordell, Linda S.
1998 *Before Pecos: Settlement Aggregation at Rowe, New Mexico*. Anthropological Papers No. 6, Maxwell Museum of Anthropology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- Cordell, Linda S. and Maxine E. McBrinn
2012 *Archaeology of the Southwest*. Left Coast Press, Walnut Creek.
- Davis, Emma Lou, and James H. Winkler
1960 *Progress Report Sites D 125 (LA 8931) and D118 (LA 8932), Cibola National Forest, New Mexico*. Ms. on file, Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Santa Fe.
- Doelle, William H. and Deborah L. Huntley
2012 Teaching, Research, and So Much More through a Preservation Archaeology Field School. *The SAA Archaeological Record* 12(1): 36-38.
- Duff, Andrew I., Jeremy M. Moss, Thomas C. Windes, John Kantner, and M. Steven Shackley

- 2012 Patterning in Procurement of Obsidian in Chaco Canyon and in Chaco-Era Communities in New Mexico as Revealed by X-Ray Fluorescence. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 39:2995–3007.
- Eckert, Suzanne L.
- 2008 *Pottery and Practice: The Expression of Identity at Pottery Mound and Hummingbird Pueblo*. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- Eckert Suzanne L. and Deborah L. Huntley
- 2022 Community Landscapes, Identity, and Practice: Ancestral Pueblos of the Lion Mountain Area, Central New Mexico, USA. *American Antiquity* 87(1):142-167.
- Eckert, Suzanne L., Kari L. Schleher, and David H. Snow
- 2018 Following the yellow brick road: Yellow slip clays and the production of Rio Grande Glaze Ware in north central New Mexico. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 21: 565–574.
- Eveleth, Robert W. and Virgil W. Lueth
- 2009 Old Hansonburg, one of New Mexico's forgotten mining camps. In *Geology of the Chupadera Mesa* edited by V. Lueth, S. G. Lucas, and R. M. Chamberlin, pp. 399-406. New Mexico Geological Society 60th Annual Fall Field Conference Guidebook. New Mexico Geological Society, Socorro.
- 2010 Smithsonite from the Land of Enchantment: New Mexico, USA. In *Smithsonite – Think Zinc!* edited by Tom Hughes, Suzanne Liebetrau and Gloria Staebler, pp. 74-83. Lithographie LTD, Denver.
- Ferguson, Jeffrey R.
- 2012 X-Ray Fluorescence of Obsidian: Approaches to Calibration and the Analysis of Small Samples. In *Handheld XRF for Art and Archaeology* edited by Aaron N. Shugar and Jennifer L. Mass, pp. 400-421. Leuven University Press, Leuven.
- Flegal, A. R. & Smith, D. R.
- 1992 Lead levels in preindustrial humans. *New England Journal of Medicine* 326:1293–1294.
- Ford, Richard I.
- 1972 An Ecological Perspective on the Eastern Pueblos. In *New Perspectives on the Pueblos* edited by A. Ortiz, pp. 1-18. School of American Research and the University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- Giomi, Evan
- 2022 Exploring the Development and Persistence of the Eastern Puebloan Economy: Rio Grande Glaze Ware as a Window on Regional Interaction. Ph.D. dissertation, School of Anthropology, University of Arizona, Tucson.
- Habicht-Mauche, Judith A. and Suzanne L. Eckert
- 2021 Coalescence and the Spread of Glaze-Painted Pottery in the Central Rio Grande: The View from Tijeras Pueblo (LA581), New Mexico. *American Antiquity* 86(4): 752-772.
- Habicht-Mauche, Judith A., Stephen T. Glenn, Homer Milford, and A. Russel Flegal
- 2000 Isotopic Tracing of Prehistoric Rio Grande Glaze-Paint Production and Trade. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 27(8): 709-713.
- Habicht-Mauche, Judith A., Stephen T. Glenn, Mike P. Schmidt, Rob Franks, Homer Miford, and A. Russel Flegal
- 2002 Stable Lead Isotope Analysis of Rio Grande Glaze Paints and Ores Using ICP-MS: A Comparison of Acid Dissolution and Laser Ablation Techniques. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 29:1043-1053.

- Herhahn, Cynthia L.
1995 An Exploration of Technology Transfer in the Fourteenth Century Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico: A Compositional Analysis of Glaze Paints. Master's thesis, Department of Anthropology, Arizona State University, Tempe.
- Herhahn, Cynthia L. and Deborah L. Huntley
2017 Dynamic Knowledge-scapes: Rio Grande and Salinas Glaze Ware Production and Exchange. In *Landscapes of Social Transformation in the Salinas Province and the Eastern Pueblo World* edited by K. A. Spielmann, pp. 203-238. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Hood, Darden
2012 *Radiocarbon Dating Results from Samples 2011LA2850058, 2011LA2850068, 2011LA2850079, 2011LA2850160*. Letter report prepared for Suzanne L. Eckert, Department of Anthropology, Texas A&M University. On file, Beta Analytic Testing Laboratory, Miami.
- Hull, Sharon, Mostafa Fayeka, Frances Joan Mathien, and Heidi Roberts
2014 Turquoise trade of the Ancestral Puebloan: Chaco and beyond. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 45: 187-195.
- Huntley, Deborah L.
2006 From Recipe to Identity: Exploring Zuni Glaze Ware Communities of Practice. In *The Social Life of Pots: Glaze Wares and Cultural Dynamics in the Southwest, AD 1250–1680* edited by J. A. Habicht-Mauche, S. L. Eckert, and D. L. Huntley, pp. 105-123. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
2008 *Ancestral Zuni Glaze-Decorated Pottery: Viewing Pueblo IV Regional Organization through Ceramic Production and Exchange*. Anthropological Papers of the University of Arizona No. 72, University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
2016 Tracking Movement in the American Southwest. In *Exploring Cause and Explanation: Historical Ecology, Demography, and Movement in the American Southwest* edited by Cynthia L. Herhahn and Ann F. Ramenofsky, pp. 201-212. University of Colorado Press, Boulder.
- Huntley, Deborah L., Thomas Fenn, Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, and Barbara J. Mills
2012 Embedded Networks? Pigments and Long-Distance Procurement Strategies in the Late Prehispanic Southwest. In *Potters and Communities of Practice: Glaze Paint and Polychrome Pottery in the American Southwest, AD 1250 to 1700*, edited by Linda S. Cordell and Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, pp.8-18. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Huntley, Deborah L., Katherine A. Spielmann, Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, Cynthia L. Herhahn, A. Russell Flegal
2007 Local recipes or distant commodities? Lead isotope and chemical compositional analysis of glaze paints from the Salinas pueblos, New Mexico. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 34: 1135-1147
- Ingold, Tim
2000 *The Perception of the Environment: Essays on Livelihood, Dwelling and Skill*. London, Routledge.
- Lasky, Samuel G.
1932 *The ore deposits of Socorro County, New Mexico*. New Mexico Bureau of Mines and Mineral Resources Bulletin 8, Socorro.
- Glascock, Michael D. and Jeffrey R. Ferguson

- 2012 *Report on the Analysis of Obsidian Source Samples by Multiple Analytical Methods*. Report on file at the University of Missouri Research Reactor, Columbia.
- Lave, Jean and Etienne Wenger
1991 *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- LeTourneau, Philippe D., Jeffrey R. Ferguson, and Virginia McLemore
2010 Alameda Spring, a Newly Characterized Obsidian Source in West-Central New Mexico. Outline and notes for paper presented at the 75th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, St. Louis, Missouri.
- MacCarthy, Marion S.
1918 *The Property of the Western Mineral Products Company, Carthage, New Mexico*. Unpublished private report in New Mexico Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources Mining Archives, Socorro, New Mexico.
- Marshall, Michael P., and Henry J. Walt
1984 *Rio Abajo: Prehistory and History of a Rio Grande Province*. New Mexico Historic Preservation Division, Santa Fe.
- Mathien, Frances Joan
2001 The organization of turquoise production and consumption by the prehistoric Chacoans. *American Antiquity* 66(1): 103–118.
- Mattson, Hannah
2016 Ornaments as Socially Valuable Objects: Jewelry and Identity in the Chaco and Post-Chaco Worlds. *Journal of Anthropological Archaeology* 42:122-139.
- McBrinn, Maxine E. and Ross E. Altshuler
2015 *Turquoise, Water, Sky: Meaning and Beauty in Southwest Native Arts*. Museum of New Mexico Press, Santa Fe.
- Mera, H. P.
1940 *Population Changes in the Rio Grande Glaze-Paint Area*. Laboratory of Anthropology Technical Series Bulletin No. 9. Museum of New Mexico, Laboratory of Anthropology, Santa Fe.
- Michelaki, Kostalena, Gregory V. Braun, and Ronald G. V. Hancock
2014 Local Clay Sources as Histories of Human–Landscape Interactions: a Ceramic Taskscape Perspective. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory* 22: 783–827.
- Mills, Barbara J.
2007a A Regional Perspective on Ceramics and Zuni Identity, A.D. 200-1630. In *Zuni Origins: Toward a New Synthesis of Southwestern Archaeology*, edited by David Gregory and David Wilcox pp. 210-238. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
2007b Performing the Feast: Visual Display and Suprahousehold Commensalism in the Puebloan Southwest. *American Antiquity* 72:210–239.
- Mills, Barbara J. and T. J. Ferguson
1998 Preservation and Research of Sacred Sites by the Zuni Indian Tribe of New Mexico. *Human Organization* 57(1): 30-42.
- Munson, Marit K.
2020a Pigments and Paints in the Archaeological Record. In *Color in the Ancestral Pueblo World* edited by M. K. Munson and K. Hays-Gilpin, pp. 26-44. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.

- 2020b Color in the Pueblo World. In *Color in the Ancestral Pueblo World* edited by M. K. Munson and K. Hays-Gilpin, pp. 13-25. University of Utah Press, Salt Lake City.
- Nelson, Kit, and Judith A. Habicht-Mauche
- 2006 Lead, Paint, and Pots: Rio Grande Intercommunity Dynamics from a Glaze Ware Perspective. In *The Social Life of Pots: Glaze Wares and Cultural Dynamics in the Southwest, A.D. 1250-1680* edited by Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, Suzanne L. Eckert, and Deborah L. Huntley, pp. 197-215. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.
- Phillips, Jr., David A. Hayward H. Franklin, Suzanne L. Eckert, and Jean H. Ballagh
- 2021 Revisiting the Hopi Area Connection at Pottery Mound, New Mexico. In *A Friend of Kuaua: Papers in Honor of James Conder* edited by Emily J. Brown, Matthew J. Barbour, Jeffrey L. Boyer, and Genevieve N. Head, pp. 187-202. Publications of the Archaeological Society of New Mexico #47, Albuquerque.
- Pohwat, Paul W.
- 2010 A Collector's Mineralogy of Smithsonite. In *Smithsonite – Think Zinc!* edited by Tom Hughes, Suzanne Liebetrau and Gloria Staebler, pp. 4-15. Lithographie LTD, Denver.
- Popelish, Linda A.
- 1990 Site Testing at Cantina Acres roads and Damage Assessment of AR-03-03-02-488. Report 1990-03-078. Manuscript on file at Cibola National Forest, Albuquerque.
- Rye, Owen S.
- 1976 Keeping Your Temper under Control: Materials and the Manufacture of Papuan Pottery. *Archaeology & Physical Anthropology in Oceania* 11(2): 106-137.
- Schaefer, Jonathan
- 2020 Obsidian Procurement in the Gallinas Mountains of West Central New Mexico. Master's thesis, Department of Anthropology, University of Missouri, Columbia.
- Shackley, M. Steven
- 1998 Geochemical Differentiation and Prehistoric Procurement of Obsidian in the Mount Taylor Volcanic Field, Northwest New Mexico. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 25: 1073-1082
- 2010 *Source Provenance of Obsidian Small Debitage from the Water Canyon Paleindian Site (LA 134764), Socorro County, New Mexico*. Report prepared for Dr. Robert Dello-Russo Office of Archaeological Studies Museum of New Mexico, Santa Fe. Manuscript on file, Geoarchaeological XRF Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley.
- 2021 Distribution and sources of secondary deposit archaeological obsidian in Rio Grande alluvium New Mexico, USA. *Geoarchaeology* 36: 808-825.
- Shariq, Syed Z.
- 1999 How Does Knowledge Transform as It Is Transferred? Speculations on the Possibility of a Cognitive Theory of Knowledge-scapes. *Journal of Knowledge Management* 3(4): 243-251.
- Sigleo, Anne Colberg
- 1975 Turquoise mine and artifact correlation for Snaketown site, Arizona: *Science* 189 (4201): 459-460
- Snow, David H.
- 1981 Protohistoric Rio Grande Pueblo Economics: A Review of Trends. In *The Protohistoric Period in the North American Southwest, A.D. 1450-1700* edited by D. R. Wilcox and W. B. Masse, pp. 354-377. Anthropological Research Papers, no. 24. Arizona State University, Tempe.

Snow, David H.

1981 Protohistoric Rio Grande Pueblo Economics: A Review of Trends. In *The Protohistoric Period in the North American Southwest, A.D. 1450-1700* edited by D. R. Wilcox and W. B. Masse, pp. 354-377. Anthropological Research Papers, no. 24. Arizona State University, Tempe.

Spielmann, Katherine A.

1998 Ritual Influences on the Development of Rio Grande Glaze A Ceramics. In *Migration and Reorganization: The Pueblo IV Period in the American Southwest* edited by Katherine A. Spielmann, pp. 253–261. Anthropological Research Papers 51, Arizona State University, Tempe.

Stark, Miriam T.

2006 Glaze Ware technology, the social lives of pots, and communities of practice in the late prehistoric southwest. In *The Social Life of Pots: Glaze Wares and Cultural Dynamics in the Southwest, AD 1250–1680* edited by J. A. Habicht-Mauche, S. L. Eckert, and D. L. Huntley, pp. 17–33. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

Thibodeau, Alyson M., Judith A. Habicht-Mauche, Deborah L. Huntley, John T. Chesley, and Joaquin Ruiz

2013 High precision isotopic analyses of lead ores from New Mexico by MC-ICP- MS: Implications for tracing the production and exchange of Pueblo IV glaze-decorated pottery. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 40: 3067–3075.

Thibodeau, Alyson M., David J. Killick, Saul L. Hedquist, John T. Chesley, and Joaquin Ruiz

2015 Isotopic evidence for the provenance of turquoise in the southwestern United States. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 127(11/12):1617–1631.

Figure 1




Figure 2



Figure 3




Figure 4





Figure 5





Figure 6




Figure 7

