Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SIMULATING PHOTOSYNTHETIC QUANTUM CONVERSION

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/16h8842s>

Author

Calvin, Melvin.

Publication Date

1978

SIMULATING PHOTOSYNTHETIC
QUANTUM CONVERSION

Melvin Calvin

January 17, 1978

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

MAR 14 1978

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Prepared for the U. S. Department of Energy
under Contract W-7405-ENG-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

**This is a Library Circulating Copy
which may be borrowed for two weeks.
For a personal retention copy, call
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5716**

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SIMULATING PHOTOSYNTHETIC QUANTUM CONVERSION

Melvin Calvin

ABSTRACT

The problem of simulating natural photosynthetic quantum conversion can be studied by learning how the living organism achieves its physical and chemical transformations. Our knowledge of the mechanism of how the living organism performs the quantum conversion process, that is, the reduction of CO_2 and other physical-chemical processes, which we have gained over the last thirty years can be applied to devising synthetic (or artificial) systems to achieve the same result. We have devised micellar systems and coordination systems for this purpose, largely because the operation of the natural system suggests this route. We do know that the quantum is converted in the plant by a two-quantum process, involving two separate quantum acts which in the green plant take place simultaneously in time but separated in space. We are endeavoring to devise distinct chemical systems in which these steps take place in the same time but separated in space, so the oxygen generating system will be in one location and the hydrogen reducing system will be in another.

This work was supported, in part, by the Basic Energy Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy.

SIMULATING PHOTOSYNTHETIC QUANTUM CONVERSION

Melvin Calvin*

*The work described in this paper was sponsored in part by the Basic Sciences Division, U.S. Department of Energy. The work was reported at the IUPAC Post Congress Symposium on Biomimetic Chemistry, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, Sept. 13, 1977.

INTRODUCTION

Our approach to the problem of simulating photosynthetic quantum conversion is to study the way in which the living organism achieves many of its physical and chemical transformations. We are trying to select the essentials of what we have learned over the past thirty years of the mechanism of how the living organism achieves the quantum conversion process, i.e. the reduction of carbon dioxide and various other physical and chemical processes, and apply this knowledge to devise synthetic (or artificial) systems to achieve the same result. We have come to these systems and conclusions not as theoretical organic chemists or physical chemists, but rather from the point of view of those who are trying to understand how the living organism accomplishes this biological process. Thus, we have found it necessary to devise micellar systems and coordination or complex systems for this purpose, largely because the operation of the natural system suggests it (1-5).

Our work in biomimetic chemistry began with the relatively limited knowledge we had of how the green plant converts the sunshine into chemical energy. Although we know how the green plant converts carbon dioxide into carbohydrates and hydrocarbons (6), we do not yet know in fine detail how the quantum is converted into the first stable chemical forms which can perform the various steps involved in CO₂ reduction. We do know, however, that it does involve a two-quantum process: Photosystem I and Photosystem II. This quantum conversion

system involves two separate quantum acts: first (PS II) is an excitation of an electron in one pigment system to an excited state, followed by the electron transfer from this state down through a number of steps, or pigments (plastoquinone, Cytochrome f, etc.). Eventually the electron reaches a hole left in another pigment system by another quantum (PS I). The excited electron from PS I travels down to a trap, which is probably bound ferredoxin, an iron-sulfur protein (7), to free ferredoxin and NADP reductase and reduced NADP which then drives the carbon reduction cycle. The process is shown schematically in Figure 1.

In the plant, these two processes or steps are taking place simultaneously in time but are separated in space. In chemistry, however, one could find additionally systems in which these steps would take place in the same space but separated in time. My discussion at this time will be confined to our efforts to set up the physical system in which these two quantum acts are separated in space, so that the oxygen generating system will be in one place and the hydrogen, or reducing, system will be in another place, rather than two different kinds of chemical steps taking place at different times.

DEVELOPMENT OF THE CONCEPT OF ARTIFICIAL PHOTOSYNTHESIS

I would like to lead you through a sequence of thoughts which will, hopefully, lead to actions which will allow us to construct with entirely synthetic materials, a system to accomplish at least one of these two photoprocesses which occur in natural photosynthetic systems. An electron micrograph of the biological system which actually performs all of the photochemistry connected with quantum conversion is shown in Figure 2. It is a lamellar, or membrane system, on which are situated protein molecules which contain the pigments involved in the photoprocesses. There are at least two different and distinct sides to these membranes.

(8)

The current dogma states that one of the quantum reactions takes place on one

Calvin. Fig. 1.

Photosynthetic electron transfer scheme

XBB 7411-7523

Fig. 2 Details of Chloroplast Lamellae

side of the membrane with the other occurring on the other side. Figure 3 indicates an artificial photochemical cell modeled on one concept of the photosynthetic membranes (9). It represents a bilipid membrane into which two different sensitizing centers (two different dyestuffs) have been inserted in some fashion, one for the first quantum and the other for the second, PS I and PS II. On that bilipid membrane the two different sensitizers and also two different electron donors and acceptors are placed. The quinone, for example, would be an electron acceptor and the manganese complex system could be the electron donor. We would then have to transfer the electron from one side to the other side through a conduction system so that it could be released to make hydrogen or reduced carbon dioxide. Work recently reported (10) has indicated that this system might be able to reduce CO_2 as well as make hydrogen. When the CO_2 is absent, hydrogen is generated on one side of the membrane and oxygen on the other. Enough new information is now available to make me hope that it will be possible to design complexes which will also hold the CO_2 , and in which the CO_2 reduction can occur as well. These complexes might be iron-sulfur compounds whose structure has already been elucidated (11).

On the oxidation side of the membrane, on the other hand, the primary donor is probably a manganese compound of some type, but we do not yet know the environment of that manganese (12). We have made many efforts to isolate that manganese compound, but these have resulted in its decomposition, and all that remains is the isolation of simple manganous ion. We must devise ways of exploring the nature of that manganese compound without destroying it, perhaps by leaving it intact in the plant environment. We are currently using photoelectron spectroscopy as a method for detecting the manganese, and to try and define the nature of the ligands around it, i.e. the nature of its environment. Probably within a year or so, using the technique of photoelectron spectroscopy, we will be able to define the nature of the specific ligands for manganese and also the nature

of the fields around it (13). There may also be other elements which could be important in this photoprocess, such as ruthenium (14,15), which might generate the positive site, but in a different environment.

CHEMISTRY OF ARTIFICIAL PHOTOSYNTHESIS

The scheme shown in Figure 4 contains all the necessary elements of functionality to achieve the two photoprocesses required for quantum conversion. I would like to discuss the evolution of the sequence of events which has enabled us to conceptually and physically dissociate this system into accessible parts with the chemistry we know today. Eventually, of course, we will have to assemble the complete system, but with our present-day chemistry it seems better to resolve the entire system into simpler components and perform each of the reactions separately.

At the top of Figure 4 is the photoelectron membrane transport system with the two quanta PS II ($h\nu_{II}$) and PS I ($h\nu_I$) representing the schematic membrane. As you can see, the electron must come from a donor (which is probably manganese) and eventually flow through the membrane to the iron on the other side to give hydrogen or eventually reduced carbon dioxide. We could try to make the membrane as a flat membrane on the surface of the water, or between two water layers, which would be very difficult (3, 16-20). We have, therefore, come to the simplification of rolling up the membrane into a sphere to produce what has been called a liposome or vesicle -- vesicle when the membrane is artificial, and liposome when it is made of the components of a natural membrane. (Some years ago I called these artificial particles "bangasomes" to commemorate the fact that Dr. A. D. Bangham in England first constructed them some 10-15 years ago (21). I do not really know why that term has not become universal, although I feel the current terminology is more exact.)

PHOTOELECTRON TRANSFER SCHEME

Calvin. Fig. 4.

Photoelectron transfer scheme (overall)

CBB 774-4091

You can see that the liposome, or vesicle, has two surfaces -- one for PS I and one for PS II. Note that the focus is not on the cavity or local concentration but on the interface between the two phases. This phase boundary is crucial, not so much the size of the hole or the concentrations inside. The oil-water interface between the surfaces is the important factor.

Construction of Micelles (22)

We could attempt to put this system together all at once in a liposome or vesicle with one dyestuff and a hydrogen-generating system on the inside, and another dyestuff and the oxygen-generating system on the outside (23). In order to learn more about the actual mechanics of the system we are trying to take the liposome apart and produce the two surfaces separately, as shown in Figure 5. This is a simpler system than the liposome itself, with one interface on one micelle and the other surface on another micelle, quite separate from each other. We have also heard of the possibility of making reverse micelles for one or the other of these interfaces, but have not actually tried in ourselves in the laboratory (24). Our work on micelles has been primarily on ordinary soap micelles in a water environment (25-27). All our systems have the water environment on the outside and the oil environment on the inside. Now that we know it is possible to construct micelles in the opposite way, we might find it more convenient to insert either the electron sink or the source in the water medium and the sensitizer in the oil medium. This idea will open up new possibilities. Additionally, we are working with micelles and vesicles (28), but are also using the scheme shown in Figure 6 for artificial quantum conversion.

Up until now we have been concerned with simplifying the natural liposome down to the level of two separate micelles to achieve each of the quantum acts separately. We now have the task of selecting a source for one micelle which eventually will be the sink on the other, and one sensitizer for the first quantum act together with a catalyst for the corresponding gas evolution.

PHOTOELECTRON TRANSFER SCHEME

CBB-774-4089

Figure 5

PHOTOELECTRON TRANSFER SCHEME

CBB 774-4090

Figure 6

Another sensitizer, as well as a different source and different sink, are required for the other micelle. Thus, we could perform the two separate surface acts in two separate surface systems, and eventually put them together. However, even that is complicated, and I would prefer to simplify the systems even further.

Micelles are in constant dynamic change, which is one of the difficulties of using them for this type of work. It is hard to change micellar size, to insert more (or less) porphyrin into the molecules. Therefore, we are trying another system, a cross-linked copolymer of styrene-butadiene-acrylic acid, and materials can be hung onto that particle since it is a stable latex, shown in an electron micrograph in Figure 7 (29). The latex particles are constructed as shown in Figure 8. The styrene latex particle is about 1300 Angstroms in diameter, with a density of ~ 1 gram/cm³. Figure 8 also indicates the number of carboxyl groups on the surface, given as milliequivalents per grams, and translated into square Angstroms (29) per carboxyl group, and finally the binding of the dyestuff with and without the alkyl pyridinium tail. The binding of the surface of the latex particle corresponds to 600 Angstroms² per porphyrin molecule, without any tail, that is, not too many porphyrin molecules are bound. However, when the molecule is modified by the addition of a C₁₆ tail (the details of this reaction will be discussed later) a cation is created, and the cation and the tail have increased the binding by a little over a factor of three. We do not yet know which of these (the cation or the molecular tail) is the effector of the increased binding.

Dyestuff Selection

Instead of trying to invent a sink and a source quite separate from each other and eventually bringing them together, we have tried to use a semiconductor crystal which has a valence band and conduction band for the sink and the source (30). We are also trying to adjust the sensitizer so that the excited state delivers

Figure 7. Electron micrograph of synthetic latex particle

XBB 775-4485

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF LATEX PARTICLE-PORPHINE SYSTEM

latex particle

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ -tetra-(4-pyridyl)-porphine

Surface charge density

meq COO⁻/g ---- 0.28

Å²/COO⁻ ----- 27

Titration

pK_a --- 6.1

meq COO⁻/g --- 0.27

Binding on surface (Å²/porphyrin)

a) TPyP ----- 600

b) TPyPC₁₆H₃₃Br ---- 180

XBL 775-4384

Calvin. Fig. 8.

Physical properties of
latex particle-porphine
system

electrons to the conduction band of the semiconductor (31). If the conduction band is the sink and the valence band of the semiconductor can deliver the electrons to the hole left behind in the excited state of another sensitizer, we can then move from chemistry to physics and still retain the basic ideas of the photosynthetic electron transfer system involving sequentially a phase boundary, a sensitizer, a sink, another phase boundary, a sensitizer and a source. Eventually the two systems could be connected with a "wire".

Let me introduce one more piece of theoretical information to remind you of the problem of dyestuff selection. In one case, the excited dyestuff electron will be above the conduction band and in the other case the valence band electron will be delivered to the vacancy in the excited state of the second dyestuff. The two dyestuffs must therefore be adjusted to match the valence and conduction bands of particular semiconductors. We have also arranged the system so that the potential, shown in Figure 9 with the valence band and conduction bands indicated for each of these steps, is adequate to perform the required chemistry. In addition, the potential for hydrogen evolution and oxygen evolution are shown. We need dyestuffs in which the excited state is ^{above} / the conduction band and the ground state is far enough down in potential so that we have a chance of generating molecular oxygen. The choice of dyestuffs is limited to fit the voltage requirement.

CONSTRUCTION OF THE SENSITIZED SEMICONDUCTOR

What semiconductor and what dyestuffs can be used (32)? The first semiconductor we used was zinc oxide, a very common semiconductor and photoconductor; this material is used in photoreproduction systems of various kinds. Some of the results with the ZnO are shown in Figure 10 (35a). Zinc oxide is not colored and it absorbs intrinsically only in the ultraviolet region, below 5000 Angstroms, which is not very useful for the visible light of sunshine. Therefore, the ZnO must be sensitized in some way with Rose Bengal. The quantum yield determined

Electron Energy Diagram for Hydrogen and Oxygen Production

XBL774-4365

Rose Bengal Sensitized ZnO Sintered Pellet

XBL 774-4359

by the current through the cell is shown in Figure 10. If the conduction band of zinc oxide is connected through a wire to a platinum electrode on the other side of the cell, a complete circuit is created. Thus one can measure the number of electrons which the absorbed quanta induce to flow through the semiconductor and in the external circuit. The ZnO alone does not show conduction until illuminated in the ultraviolet region. For example, if a single crystal of zinc oxide is sensitized with Rose Bengal (which absorbs at about 5000 Angstroms), it is possible to obtain a higher efficiency at 5500 Angstroms. If, however, instead of a single crystal of zinc oxide a sintered powder (a powder with many faces) is used, that material is then sensitized with Rose Bengal by dipping it in a solution and immediately removing it; the dyestuff becomes adsorbed on the surfaces.

We have now created much surface area, thus raising the efficiency of the quantum conversion in this case by a factor of five. This increased efficiency is due to the multiple reflections which the light performs before it escapes from the solid surfaces. In other words, there are many surfaces instead of a single surface, and the light gets into the aggregate and does not escape from the surface until it has five times as many opportunities to strike a zinc oxide surface. This system has an overall quantum efficiency of about 10%.

Titanium oxide, another semiconductor with a bigger band gap, has also been used for the identical purpose (34,35b). The titanium oxide does not cease absorbing until the wavelength rises beyond 3800 Angstroms. When the TiO_2 is illuminated below 3800 Angstroms oxygen appears on one side at the TiO_2 surface and the electrons collected by the TiO_2 flow through a wire to the platinum electrode, generating hydrogen at that side. The quantum must be of higher energy than the band gap, i.e. shorter than ~ 3200 Angstroms, to get very efficient quantum conversion because the band gap is so wide.

We have tried to perform this experiment by sensitizing ~~ZnO~~ with two different dyes. We have so far been able to sensitize the ~~ZnO~~ in the visible

range to generate a current (but not to generate oxygen) by using phthalocyanine, one of the very earliest porphyrin analogs which was found to be useful in studies of this type. The phthalocyanine has the stability necessary to achieve significant energy conversion without loss. The compound is made on the multicrystalline material (35a).

We have yet to construct a semiconductor on the platinum side for the other half of the system, thus making use of the entire solar spectrum. That semiconductor will probably be cadmium sulfide (35b, 35c), molybdenum sulfide (32) or some other type of positive semiconductor rather than a negative semiconductor. So far such a semiconductor has not been made which will withstand the acid medium which is required, and efforts must be made to synthesize or sensitize and protect a positive semiconductor which will be stable in an acid environment and generate molecular hydrogen without decomposition; perhaps another iron-sulfur based material might even be able to do this.

PHOTOELECTRON TRANSFER ACROSS A PHASE BOUNDARY

The kind of sensitized semiconductor system which we have so far been able to construct is on the solid-to-liquid phase boundary which accepts the electron from the excited sensitizer. In order to have a photoelectron transfer reaction "live" long enough to perform any chemistry, the electron must be transferred across a phase boundary. (This physical principle has not yet been firmly established.) The electron transfer across the phase boundary seems to me to be the essential feature contained in the natural photosynthetic membrane, a phase

boundary over which the excited electron can move but back across which the ground state electron cannot. The excited electron is captured on the opposite side of the phase boundary from which it is excited, and cannot return (36).

This seems to be the principle by which the living organism has succeeded in obtaining very high quantum efficiencies for photoelectron transfer reactions, (37) using such chemicals as chlorophyll. Even though we have worked with chlorophyll for over 30 years, it is only in the last two or three years that we have thoroughly realized that chlorophyll is a surfactant dyestuff. With this realization, we have been able to create surfactant dyestuffs with the porphyrin head right at the interface between the oil and water in the micelles. (38)

Pursuing that idea further and trying to establish it in a more definitive way, we have studied photoelectron transfer across the phase boundary of micelles, in this case, photoelectron transfer from an excited porphyrin to a quinone. The porphyrin is on (or in) the oil micelle and the quinone is in the water layer. Some of the results, using the quenching of the fluorescence of the porphyrin (n-alkyl tetrapyridyl porphyrin, a surfactant) are shown in Figure 11 (38). This diagram shows the results of measuring fluorescence efficiency instead of measuring electron transfer directly. The excited state of the porphyrin is far below the excited state of the quinone, so that quenching cannot be an energy transfer; it must be an electron transfer. In effect we are measuring the electron transfer from the excited porphyrin to the ground state quinone. The two materials we are using are quinone-2-sulfonate in the water (which has some lipophilic character to bring it close to the interface) and an n-alkyl-porphyrin (C_{16}) with one tail on the molecule. Notice in Figure 11 that the efficiency of the quenching of the porphyrin by the quinone in a homogeneous solution is very low. However, if the porphyrin is placed in a phospholipid vesicle and the quenching by anthraquinone is measured, a quenching constant of 2.1×10^4 is achieved, which is 20,000 times the value of 500 in the homogeneous

-10a-

Calvin. Fig. 11

Homogeneous and heterogeneous quenching

XBL 778-4544

solution. If the porphyrin concentration in the lipid is increased, the quenching constant rises even higher, to 1×10^5 . This effect seems to point out that the electron transfer between the excited porphyrin and the ground state quinone acceptor is very efficient at the phase boundary but not in the homogeneous media.

What happens when we put dyestuffs on the latex particle and how efficient is the dyed latex in promoting photoelectron transfer? The efficiency of quenching of rhodamine in methanol by diphenylamine is shown in Figure 12 (39). This is an electron transfer, because the excited states are so situated that the reaction cannot be quenched by energy transfer. The quenching constant is 50, but when the rhodamine is placed on the latex the quenching constant rises to almost 1500 a very large value. The electron transfer to the excited dye on the latex particle from the donor in solution is very efficient. However, this experiment has yet to be done in two separate stages, one inside the liposome and one outside. However, it seems that the right dyestuffs have been found so that the experiment with the semiconductor and hydrogen-oxygen generating systems can now be tried in combination.

CONCLUSION

I believe we can look forward to the creation of an artificial system for photosynthesis (10,40) which will mimic the way in which the green plant takes two quanta to generate oxygen on one side and reducing power (either hydrogen or reduced carbon dioxide) on the other side of the membrane. We have also repeated and extended somewhat the experiments (36) demonstrating directly the photoinduced electron transfer from a porphyrin in a micelle to a quinone in aqueous solution by directly measuring the photoreduction of the quinone, with relatively good efficiency.

XBL778-4546

Calvin. Fig. 12

Homogeneous and latex
quenching

Finally, it is possible to imagine a micellar system in which the photo-electron transfer occurs across the phase boundary, producing the oxidant in one phase and the reductant in the other. This could be followed by an actual physical separation of the phases with the concomitant separation of the potential reactants. These could then be stored separately and brought together in a suitably constructed physical system to recover the energy of the back reaction in some convenient form, and the cycle repeated.

Such systems as have here been discussed thus accomplish both of the necessary requirements for a useful solar energy device, namely (i) the capture of the quantum and its conversion to some other energy form, and (ii) the storage of that energy for indefinitely long periods with the possibility of its recovery at will in some convenient form. The green plant has always performed these functions when in the service of mankind.

REFERENCES

1. M. Calvin and G. M. Andrees. *Science*, 138, 867 (1962).
2. D. O. Hall and K. K. Rao. "Photosynthesis", Edward Arnold, London (1972).
3. M. Gibbs, ed. "Structure and Function of Chloroplasts". Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1971).
4. a. Brookhaven National Laboratory Symposium No. 28, June 1976.
b. K. Sauer. *Accts. Chem. Res.*, to be published, 1978.
c. J. R. Bolton. *J. Solid State Chem.* 22, 3 (1977).
5. G. Porter and M. D. Archer. *Interdisciplin. Sci. Rev.* 1, 119 (1976).
6. M. Calvin and J. A. Bassham. "The Path of Carbon in Photosynthesis", Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1957).
7. R. H. Holm, *Endeavour*, 34, 38 (1975); *Accts. Chem. Res.* 10, 427 (1977).
8. M. Calvin. *J. Theoret. Biol.* 1, 258 (1961).
9. S. Valenty. Paper presented to VIII Int. Conf. on Photochemistry, Edmonton, Alta., Canada; Aug. 1975.
10. T. Matsuo, ed. *Proc. symposium on "Biomimetic Chemistry"*, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan, Sept. 1977; to be published.
11. W. Orme-Johnson. *Ann Rev. Biochem.* 42, 159 (1973); *Fed. Proc.* 36, 880 (1977).
12. S. R. Cooper and M. Calvin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 99, 6623 (1977).
13. D. Shirley, ed. "Electron Spectroscopy", North-Holland Publishing Co. New York (1972).
14. C. Creutz and N. Sutin. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US* 72, 2858 (1975).
15. G. Sprintschnik, H. W. Sprintschnik, P. P. Kirsch and D. G. Whitten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 98, 2337 (1976).
16. H. Kuhn and D. Mobius. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 10, 670 (1971); H. Kuhn, *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 8, 401 (1972).
17. L. I. Nekrasov, L. V. Chasovnikova and N. I. Kobozen. *J. Phys. Chem. USSR*, 41, 1426 (1967).

18. H. L. Tien. *Nature*, 219, 172 (1968); *J. Phys. Chem.* 72, 4512 (1968); *Photochem. Photobiol.* 16, 271 (1972); *Photochem. Photobiol.* 24, 97 (1976). "Bilayer Lipid Membranes (BLM), Theory and Practice", Marcel Dekker, New York (1974).
19. G. L. Gaines, General Electric Research Laboratory, private communication.
20. a. G. Eisenman, ed. "Membrane. Vol. 3. Lipid Bilayers and Biological Membranes". Marcel Dekker, New York (1975).
b. M. K. Jain. "The Bimolecular Lipid Membrane: A System", Van Nostrand-Reinhold Co., New York (1972).
c. C. Tanford. "The Hydrophobic Effect", Wiley-Interscience, New York (1973).
21. D. Papahadjopoulos and N. Miller. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 135, 624 (1967);
D. Papahadjopoulos and J. C. Watkins. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 135, 639 (1967);
A. D. Bangham, J. DeGier and G. D. Greville. *Chem. Phys. Lipids*, 1, 225 (1967);
A. D. Bangham. *Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol.* 18, 29 (1968).
22. a. J. H. Fendler and F. J. Fendler. "Catalysis in Micelles and Macromolecular Systems", Academic Press, New York (1975).
b. E. H. Cordes. "Reaction Kinetics in Micelles", Plenum Publishing Company, New York (1973).
d. P. H. Elworthy, A. T. Florence and C. B. Macfarlane. "Solubilization by Surface-Active Agents and Its Application in Chemistry and the Biological Sciences", Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London (1968).
e. K. L. Mittal, ed. "Micellization and Microemulsions", Plenum Publishing Co., New York (1977), vol. 1 and 2.
23. T. Kunitake and Y. Okahata. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 99, 3860 (1977); T. Kunitake, Y. Okahata, K. Tamiki, F. Kummaru and M. Takayanagi. *Chem. Letters (Japan)*, 387 (1977).
24. J. H. Fendler. *Accts. Chem. Res.* 9, 153 (1976).
25. J. R. Escabi-Perez, F. Nome and J. H. Fendler. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 99, 7749 (1977).

26. S. A. Alkaitis, G. Beck and M. Grätzel. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 5723 (1975);
S. A. Alkaitis and M. Grätzel. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 3549 (1976). M. Grätzel
in "Micellization and Microemulsions", K. L. Mittal, ed. Plenum Publishing
Co., New York (1977), Vol. 2, p. 531; Y. Moroi, A. Braun and M. Grätzel.
Submitted to J. Am. Chem. Soc.
27. M. Tomkiewicz and G. A. Corker. Photochem. Photobiol. 22, 249 (1975).
28. W. E. Ford. Unpublished results from this laboratory.
29. A. J. Frank. Unpublished results from this laboratory.
30. H. Tributsch and M. Calvin. Photochem. Photobiol. 14, 95 (1971).
H. Tributsch. Bioenergetics, 2, 249 (1971); Photochem. Photobiol.
16, 261 (1972).
31. M. T. Spitler and M. Calvin. J. Chem. Phys. 66, 4294 (1977).
32. H. Tributsch. Z. Naturforsch. 32a, 972 (1977); Ber. Bunsenges. phys. Chem.
81, 361 (1977); H. Tributsch and J. C. Bennett. J. Electroanal. Chem.
81, 97 (1977).
33. M. T. Spitler and M. Calvin. J. Chem. Phys. 67, 5193 (1977).
34. A. Fujishima and K. Honda. Nature, 238, 37 (1972); Bull. Soc. Chem.
Japan, 44, 1148 (1971); A. Fujishima, K. Kohayakawa and K. Honda.
Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 48, 1041 (1975); J. Electrochem. Soc. 122,
1437 (1975).
35. a. K. G. McGregor, M. Calvin and J. W. Otvos; to be published.
b. A. B. Ellis, S. W. Kaiser and M. S. Wrighton. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
98, 6855 (1976).
c. K. C. Chang, A. Heller, B. Schwartz, S. Menezes and B. Miller.
Science, 196, 1097 (1977).
36. K. Kono, K. Takuma, T. Ikeda, D. Nakajima, Y. Tsutsui and T. Matsuo.
Photochem. Photobiol., in press.

37. Y. Toyoshima, M. Morino, H. Motaki and M. Sikigara. *Nature*, 265, 187 (1977).
38. W. E. Ford, Y. Okuno, J. W. Otvos and M. Calvin; to be submitted to
J. Am. Chem. Soc.
39. A. J. Frank. Unpublished results from this laboratory.
40. J. J. Grimaldi, S. Boileau and J-M. Lehn. *Nature*, 265, 229 (1977).
J-M. Lehn and J-P. Sauvage. *Nouv. J. Chim.* 1, 449 (1977).

This report was done with support from the Department of Energy. Any conclusions or opinions expressed in this report represent solely those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of The Regents of the University of California, the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory or the Department of Energy.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720