## UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

## Title

Overall Survival With Palbociclib Plus Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/17c2245b

**Journal** Journal of Clinical Oncology, 42(9)

## Authors

Harbeck, Nadia Im, Seock-Ah Gelmon, Karen <u>et al.</u>

**Publication Date** 

2024-03-20

## DOI

10.1200/JCO.23.00137

Peer reviewed

# Overall Survival With Palbociclib Plus Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer

Dennis J. Slamon, MD, PhD<sup>1</sup> (b); Véronique Diéras, MD<sup>2</sup> (b); Hope S. Rugo, MD<sup>3</sup> (b); Nadia Harbeck, MD, PhD<sup>4</sup> (b); Seock-Ah Im, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup> (b); Karen A. Gelmon, MD<sup>6</sup> (b); Oleg N. Lipatov, MD<sup>7</sup>; Janice M. Walshe, MD<sup>8</sup> (b); Miguel Martin, MD, PhD<sup>9</sup> (b); Mariana Chavez-MacGregor, MD, MSc<sup>10</sup> (b); Eustratios Bananis, PhD<sup>11</sup>; Eric Gauthier, PhD, PharmD<sup>12</sup> (b); Dongrui R. Lu, MSc<sup>13</sup> (b); Sindy Kim, BS<sup>13</sup>; and Richard S. Finn, MD<sup>1</sup> (b)

DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.23.00137

#### ABSTRACT

Clinical trials frequently include multiple end points that mature at different times. The initial report, typically based on the primary end point, may be published when key planned coprimary or secondary analyses are not yet available. Clinical trial updates provide an opportunity to disseminate additional results from studies, published in JCO or elsewhere, for which the primary end point has already been reported.

PALOMA-2 demonstrated statistically and clinically significant improvement in progression-free survival with palbociclib plus letrozole versus placebo plus letrozole in estrogen receptor – positive/ human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–negative (ER+/HER2–) advanced breast cancer (ABC). Here, we report results for the secondary end point overall survival (OS). Postmenopausal women (N = 666) with ER+/HER2– ABC without previous systemic therapy for ABC were randomly assigned 2:1 to palbociclib plus letrozole or placebo plus letrozole. After a median follow-up of 90.1 months, 405 deaths were observed and 155 patients were known to be alive. The median OS was 53.9 months (95% CI, 49.8 to 60.8) with palbociclib plus letrozole versus 51.2 months (95% CI, 43.7 to 58.9) with placebo plus letrozole (hazard ratio [HR], 0.96 [95% CI, 0.78 to 1.18]; stratified one-sided P = .34). An imbalance in the number of patients with unknown survival outcome between the treatment arms (13.3% v 21.2%, respectively) limited interpretation of OS results. With recovered survival data, the median OS was 53.8 (95% CI, 49.8 to 59.2) versus 49.8 months (95% CI, 42.3 to 56.4), respectively (HR, 0.92 [95% CI, 0.76 to 1.12]; one-sided P = .21). OS was not significantly improved with palbociclib plus letrozole compared with placebo plus letrozole.

#### ACCOMPANYING CONTENT

# Data SupplementProtocol

Accepted November 8, 2023 Published January 22, 2024

J Clin Oncol 42:994-1000 © 2024 by American Society of Clinical Oncology



Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives 4.0 License

#### BACKGROUND

Since the initial development and approval of palbociclib, firstin-class cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 (CDK4/6) inhibitor, for estrogen receptor–positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2–negative (ER+/HER2–) advanced breast cancer (ABC) on the basis of PALOMA-1 in 2015,<sup>1-4</sup> the CDK4/6 inhibitor class has transformed the treatment landscape and, in combination with endocrine therapy (ET), has become the standard of care for the disease.<sup>5</sup> PALOMA-2 confirmed the results of PALOMA-1 with statistically and clinically significant improvement in progression–free survival (PFS) for palbociclib plus letrozole versus placebo plus letrozole in ER+/HER2– ABC.

At the time of the final analysis of the primary end point of PFS, overall survival (OS) data were immature. Here, we report results of the final OS analysis and updated safety data.

#### METHODS

Details of PALOMA-2 study design have been previously published<sup>6</sup> and are provided in the Data Supplement (online only). OS was a secondary end point, defined as the time from the date of random assignment to the date of death because of any cause. In the absence of confirmation of death, survival time was censored to the last date the patient was known to be alive.

The median OS was assumed to be 34 months in first-line treatment with letrozole for ABC at the time of study design.<sup>7</sup> The final OS analysis was planned to be performed after at least 390 events, providing an 80% power to detect a hazard ratio [HR]  $\leq$ 0.74, using a stratified log-rank test with a one-sided significance level of 0.025.

OS analysis was conducted in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population. Median OS was estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method with the one-sided stratified log-rank test adjusting for the disease site at the  $\alpha$  = .025 overall significance level. The HRs and two-sided 95% confidence intervals were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression stratified by disease site (visceral  $\nu$  nonvisceral). The proportional hazard assumption was evaluated using Schoenfeld's residual test.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Patients

Between February 2013 and July 2014, 666 patients were randomly assigned: 444 patients to palbociclib plus letrozole and 222 patients to placebo plus letrozole (ITT population; Data Supplement, Fig S1). Demographics and baseline disease characteristics were balanced between treatment arms and similar to those previously reported (Table 1).<sup>6,8</sup>

#### OS

OS data were analyzed with a data cutoff date of November 15, 2021. The median follow-up time was 90.1 months. Among the 666 patients, 405 deaths were observed: 273 of 444 in the palbociclib plus letrozole arm and 132 of 222 in the placebo plus letrozole arm. The median OS was 53.9 months (95% CI, 49.8 to 60.8) for palbociclib plus letrozole versus 51.2 months (95% CI, 43.7 to 58.9) for placebo plus letrozole (HR, 0.96 [95% CI, 0.78 to 1.18]; stratified one-sided P = .34; Fig 1A). Proportional hazards assumption was evaluated using Schoenfeld residual, and the test for nonproportionality was not significant (P value = .77).

A series of prespecified exploratory subgroup analyses were performed on the basis of stratification factors and baseline characteristics with no formal statistical testing (Data Supplement, Supplementary Results; Fig 1B).

A preplanned pooled analysis combining PALOMA-1 and PALOMA-2 studies was performed (Fig 2A); the median OS was 51.8 months (95% CI, 47.8 to 56.9) in the palbociclib plus letrozole arm (n = 528) and 46.8 months (95% CI, 38.8 to 52.3) in the comparator arm (n = 303; PALOMA-1, letrozole alone; PALOMA-2, placebo plus letrozole). The HR stratified by study was 0.93 (95% CI, 0.78 to 1.12).

At data cutoff, 45 (10.1%) and five (2.3%) patients were still receiving active treatment in the palbociclib plus letrozole and placebo plus letrozole arms, respectively. The median duration of treatment was 22.0 and 13.8 months, respectively (Data Supplement, Fig S2).

#### **Revised Results Including Recovered Data**

At the data cutoff, 15.9% of the ITT population was no longer being followed for survival (lost to follow-up or withdrew consent) with an imbalance between treatment arms (59 patients [13.3%] for palbociclib plus letrozole v 47 patients [21.2%] for placebo plus letrozole; Data Supplement, Fig S3). Given the potential impact on the OS results, efforts were undertaken to retrieve survival data for these patients

#### TABLE 1. Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

J .		
Characteristic	Palbociclib + Letrozole (n = 444)	Placebo + Letrozole (n = 222)
	(11 +++)	(11 222)
Median (range)	62 (30-80)	61 (28-88)
-65	262 (50-09)	141 (62 5)
<00	203 (09.2)	141 (03.5)
≥00 	161 (40.6)	01 (30.3)
Nace, NO. (%)	044 (77 F)	170 (77 5)
White	344 (77.5)	0 (1.4)
Black	8 (1.8)	3 (1.4)
Asian Other act an extend on existing	05 (14.0)	30 (13.5)
Other, hot reported, or missing	27 (6.1)	17 (7.7)
ECOG performance status, No. (%)	057 (57.0)	100 (45 0)
0	257 (57.9)	102 (45.9)
	178 (40.1)	117 (52.7)
2	9 (2.0)	3 (1.4)
Disease stage at initial diagnosis, No. (%)		
	52 (11.7)	30 (13.5)
	137 (30.9)	68 (30.6)
	72 (16.2)	39 (17.6)
IV	138 (31.1)	72 (32.4)
Unknown, other, or missing	45 (10.2)	13 (5.9)
Disease site, No. (%)		
Visceral	214 (48.2)	110 (49.5)
Nonvisceral	230 (51.8)	112 (50.5)
Disease-free interval since completion of previous (neo)adjuvant therapy, No. (%)		
De novo metastatic	167 (37.6)	81 (36.5)
≤12 months	98 (22.1)	48 (21.6)
>12 months	179 (40.3)	93 (41.9)
No. of disease sites, No. (%)		
1	138 (31.1)	66 (29.7)
2	117 (26.4)	52 (23.4)
≥3	189 (42.6)	104 (46.8)
Recurrence type, No. (%)		
Locoregional	2 (0.5)	2 (0.9)
Local	6 (1.4)	3 (1.4)
Regional	3 (0.7)	1 (0.5)
Distant	294 (66.2)	145 (65.3)
Newly diagnosed	139 (31.3)	71 (32.0)
Involved disease sites, No. (%)	. ,	. ,
Breast	137 (30.9)	74 (33.3)
Bone	326 (73.4)	162 (73.0)
Liver	75 (16.9)	46 (20.7)
Lung	150 (33.8)	71 (32.0)
Lymph node	212 (47.7)	111 (50.0)
Other	115 (25.9)	64 (28.8)
	(==)	(====)

Abbreviation: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

(Data Supplement, Supplementary Methods). With the recovered data, the imbalance between the treatment arms was reduced to 41 (9.2%) versus 26 (11.7%), respectively, resulting in a median OS of 53.8 months (95% CI, 49.8 to 59.2) for palbociclib plus letrozole versus 49.8 months (95% CI, 42.3 to 56.4) for placebo plus letrozole (HR, 0.92 [95% CI, 0.76 to 1.12];



FIG 1. OS in the ITT population and by subgroup. (A) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS in the ITT population. (B) Forest plot of OS by subgroup. (C) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS in the ITT population after recovery of data. (D) Forest plot of OS by subgroup after recovery of data. ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; LET, letrozole; NE, not estimable; OS, overall survival; PAL, palbociclib; PBO, placebo. (continued on following page)



D

Subgroup	No. (%)	Median OS (95% CI)			HR (95% CI)	No Longer Being Followed for Survival (%)	
		PAL + LET	PBO + LET			PAL + LET	PBO + LET
All randomly assigned patients	666 (100)	53.8 (49.8 to 59.2)	49.8 (42.3 to 56.4)	<b>⊢</b> ∎ <mark>⊢</mark>	0.92 (0.76 to 1.12)	9	12
Age, years							
<65	404 (60.7)	53.8 (47.9 to 61.3)	53.4 (38.8 to 60.1)		0.95 (0.73 to 1.22)	8	12
≥65	262 (39.3)	55.3 (47.3 to 63.7)	47.2 (36.2 to 57.5)		0.88 (0.64 to 1.21)	11	11
Region							
North America	267 (40.1)	53.8 (47.3 to 61.3)	47.2 (37.0 to 56.1)	┝╾┓┊╄╼┥	0.86 (0.64 to 1.16)	8	12
Europe	307 (46.1)	52.1 (46.0 to 63.5)	52.3 (42.3 to 69.1)		1.06 (0.79 to 1.43)	9	8
Asia/Pacific	92 (13.8)	73.4 (47.3 to NR)	55.1 (32.2 to NR)		0.72 (0.40 to 1.29)	14	21
ECOG performance status							
0	359 (53.9)	58.2 (51.6 to 64.2)	59.7 (51.3 to 93.3)	Hit -	1.17 (0.86 to 1.59)	8	18
1/2	307 (46.1)	47.1 (41.3 to 58.4)	38.2 (31.9 to 49.4)	┝╼╼╗┿╪╋┩	0.81 (0.62 to 1.06)	11	7
Disease site							
Visceral	324 (48.6)	48.1 (42.2 to 55.1)	42.3 (31.7 to 51.2)	<b>⊢</b> −∎∔∔−1	0.86 (0.65 to 1.13)	8	16
Nonvisceral	342 (51.4)	58.8 (53.8 to 70.9)	58.9 (47.4 to 80.1)	<b>⊢</b>	0.98 (0.74 to 1.31)	10	8
Disease-free interval							
De novo metastatic	248 (37.2)	53.8 (45.6 to 63.8)	59.7 (46.8 to 81.0)		- 1.13 (0.81 to 1.58)	5	12
≤12 months	146 (21.9)	45.7 (36.1 to 53.3)	37.5 (27.1 to 51.3)		1.02 (0.67 to 1.54)	9	17
>12 months	272 (40.8)	64.0 (52.7 to 78.2)	47.4 (37.7 to 57.5)		0.70 (0.52 to 0.96)	13	9
Previous endocrine therapy		,		1			-
Yes	376 (56.5)	53.8 (48.1 to 62.9)	44.6 (34.3 to 52.3)	<b>⊢_∎-÷</b> łı	0.79 (0.61 to 1.02)	12	10
No	290 (43.5)	53.9 (46.0 to 66.3)	59.7 (47.7 to 78.0)	►	1.12 (0.82 to 1.53)	6	14
Previous chemotherapy							
Yes	322 (48.3)	52.7 (46.9 to 59.0)	44.8 (37.0 to 53.8)	<b>⊢−</b> ∎∔╂-I	0.83 (0.63 to 1.10)	9	8
No	344 (51.7)	55.3 (49.2 to 67.0)	55.1 (46.8 to 77.5)		1.02 (0.76 to 1.36)	9	15
Bone-only disease							
Yes	151 (22.7)	63.5 (53.9 to 73.9)	52.8 (42.3 to 64.1)	┝─── <mark>───┼</mark> ┼──┥	0.77 (0.51 to 1.17)	12	6
No	515 (77.3)	51.1 (46.1 to 57.4)	47.7 (37.8 to 57.5)		0.97 (0.77 to 1.21)	9	13
No. of disease sites							
1	204 (30.6)	59.1 (53.8 to 73.9)	54.4 (45.4 to 70.3)	<b>⊢</b> _∎ <mark>:</mark> ∤1	0.87 (0.60 to 1.25)	11	9
2	169 (25.4)	60.7 (47.3 to 73.4)	48.0 (33.2 to 80.2)	┝╾╼┓┼╋╼╼╼┥	0.84 (0.55 to 1.29)	11	21
≥3	293 (44.0)	47.1 (41.0 to 52.3)	44.6 (31.9 to 56.4)	⊢∔⊫−−−−	1.01 (0.76 to 1.35)	7	9
				<del>, , , ¦  , ,</del>			
			0.01 0.1	25 0.5 0.75 1 1.25 1.	5 1.75 2		
			In F	avor of In Fa	avor of		
		PAL + LET PBO + LET					
				-			

FIG 1. (Continued).

one-sided P = .21; Fig 1C). As per the original analysis, a series of prespecified subgroup analyses including the recovered data were performed, and the results were consistent with the original analysis (Fig 1D).

#### Poststudy Systemic Anticancer Therapy

A total of 399 (89.9%) patients in the palbociclib plus letrozole arm and 217 (97.7%) in the placebo plus letrozole



**FIG 2.** OS and time to chemotherapy. (A) Kaplan-Meier curve of OS in the ITT populations of both PALOMA-1 and PALOMA-2. Control arm was LET alone in PALOMA-1 and PBO plus LET in PALOMA-2. Kaplan-Meier curve (B) for time to (continued on following page)

**FIG 2.** (Continued). first subsequent chemotherapy and (C) after censoring for deaths without chemotherapy. HR, hazard ratio; ITT, intent-to-treat; LET, letrozole; OS, overall survival; PAL, palbociclib; PBO, placebo; TTC, time to chemotherapy.

arm discontinued treatment (Data Supplement, Table S1). Of these, 322 (80.7%) and 190 (87.6%) received  $\geq$ 1 poststudy systemic therapy, respectively. Of the 399 and 217 patients who discontinued treatment, 262 (65.7%) and 161 (74.2%) patients in the palbociclib plus letrozole and placebo plus letrozole arms received ET and 221 (55.4%) and 134 (61.8%) patients received chemotherapy, respectively. Forty-seven (11.8%) and 58 (26.7%) patients received a CDK4/6 inhibitor(s) poststudy, respectively; of these, the majority received palbociclib (74.5% in the palbociclib plus letrozole arm).

#### Time to chemotherapy

The median time to first subsequent chemotherapy was longer in the palbociclib plus letrozole arm (38.1 months [95% CI, 34.1 to 42.2]) than in the placebo plus letrozole arm (29.8 months [95% CI, 24.7 to 34.8]; HR, 0.73 [95% CI, 0.61 to 0.88]; Fig 2B). A sensitivity analysis of time to chemotherapy censoring for deaths without chemotherapy also showed a substantial delay with palbociclib plus letrozole compared with placebo plus letrozole (53.0 months [95% CI, 44.6 to 68.5] v 36.1 months [95% CI, 29.9 to 50.4], respectively; HR, 0.68 [95% CI, 0.55 to 0.84]; Fig 2C).

#### Safety

The safety profile of palbociclib plus letrozole remained consistent with that reported in the primary analysis (Data Supplement, Table S2).<sup>6</sup> Neutropenia was the most frequent adverse event with palbociclib plus letrozole (82.2% v 6.3% with placebo plus letrozole).

#### AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup>David Geffen School of Medicine at University of California Los Angeles, Santa Monica, CA

<sup>2</sup>Centre Eugène Marquis, Rennes Cedex, France

<sup>3</sup>University of California San Francisco Helen Diller Family

Comprehensive Cancer Center, San Francisco, CA

<sup>4</sup>Brustzentrum, Frauenklinik and CCC Munich, LMU University Hospital, Munich, Germany

<sup>5</sup>Seoul National University Hospital, Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Republic of Korea
<sup>6</sup>BC Cancer, Vancouver, BC, Canada

<sup>7</sup>SAHI Republican Clinical Oncology Dispensary under the MoH of Russia, Ufa, Russia

<sup>8</sup>Cancer Trials Ireland, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland <sup>9</sup>Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, Universidad Complutense, GEICAM, Madrid, Spain

<sup>10</sup>The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX
<sup>11</sup>Pfizer Inc, New York, NY

<sup>12</sup>Pfizer Inc, San Francisco, CA

<sup>13</sup>Pfizer Inc, San Diego, CA

Interactive visualization of the data presented in this article is available on the PALOMA-2 dashboard.<sup>9</sup>

In conclusion, all the PALOMA trials demonstrated a statistically significant and clinically meaningful benefit with palbociclib plus ET in the primary end point PFS compared with placebo plus ET or ET alone in patients with HR+/ HER2– ABC.<sup>6,8,10–12</sup> OS was a secondary end point in all PALOMA studies and was not significantly longer in the palbociclib arm of PALOMA-1 (37.5 v 34.5 months; HR, 0.90), PALOMA-2, and PALOMA-3 (34.9 v 28.0 months; HR, 0.81).<sup>13,14</sup> TTC was longer in the palbociclib arm in all three PALOMA trials,<sup>13,14</sup> delaying exposure to therapies associated with increased toxicity. Currently, only ribociclib has shown a statistically significant improvement in OS in this setting.<sup>15,16</sup>

The median OS for the control arm in PALOMA-2 far exceeded the initial assumption of 34 months by almost 16 months. The accelerated US Food and Drug Administration approval and commercial availability of palbociclib in 2015 likely contributed to patients exiting the trial early during study conduct, resulting in loss of survival information. The long follow-up duration of the study, potential cross-over affecting the longer survival for the control arm with multiple subsequent treatments poststudy, and an imbalance in the CDK4/6 inhibitor(s) use poststudy could represent potential confounders to OS results. The overall safety profile of palbociclib plus letrozole remained consistent with previous reports and without evidence of cumulative toxicity with long-term use.

#### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

Dennis J. Slamon, MD, PhD, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Department of Oncology, 2020 Santa Monica Blvd, Ste 600, Santa Monica, CA 90404; e-mail: dslamon@mednet. ucla.edu.

#### EQUAL CONTRIBUTION

V.D. and H.S.R. contributed equally to this work.

#### PRIOR PRESENTATION

Presented in part at the 2022 ASCO annual meeting, Chicago, IL, June 3-7, 2022.

#### SUPPORT

Supported by Pfizer Inc. Editorial/medical writing support was provided by Jill Shults, PhD, of ICON (Blue Bell, PA) and Heather Caballes, PhD, of Oxford PharmaGenesis Inc (Newtown, PA) and was funded by Pfizer Inc.

#### CLINICAL TRIAL INFORMATION

NCT01740427

#### AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Disclosures provided by the authors are available with this article at DOI https://doi.org/10.1200/JC0.23.00137.

#### DATA SHARING STATEMENT

Upon request, and subject to review, Pfizer will provide the data that support the findings of this study. Subject to certain criteria, conditions, and exceptions, Pfizer may also provide access to the related individual deidentified participant data. See <a href="https://www.pfizer.com/science/clinical-trials/data-and-results">https://www.pfizer.com/science/clinical-trials/data-and-results</a> for more information.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

**Conception and design:** Dennis J. Slamon, Véronique Diéras, Hope S. Rugo, Seock-Ah Im, Karen A. Gelmon, Eustratios Bananis, Eric Gauthier, Sindy Kim, Richard S. Finn

Administrative support: Eric Gauthier, Sindy Kim

**Provision of study materials or patients:** Véronique Diéras, Hope S. Rugo, Nadia Harbeck, Seock-Ah Im, Karen A. Gelmon, Oleg N. Lipatov, Janice M. Walshe, Miguel Martin, Mariana Chavez-MacGregor

Collection and assembly of data: Dennis J. Slamon, Véronique Diéras, Nadia Harbeck, Seock-Ah Im, Karen A. Gelmon, Oleg N. Lipatov, Miguel Martin, Eustratios Bananis, Dongrui R. Lu, Sindy Kim, Richard S. Finn Data analysis and interpretation: Dennis J. Slamon, Véronique Diéras, Hope S. Rugo, Nadia Harbeck, Seock-Ah Im, Karen A. Gelmon, Janice M. Walshe, Mariana Chavez-MacGregor, Eustratios Bananis, Eric Gauthier, Dongrui R. Lu, Sindy Kim, Richard S. Finn

Manuscript writing: All authors

Final approval of manuscript: All authors

Accountable for all aspects of the work: All authors

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Finn RS, Dering J, Conklin D, et al: PD 0332991, a selective cyclin D kinase 4/6 inhibitor, preferentially inhibits proliferation of luminal estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer cell lines in vitro. Breast Cancer Res 11:R77, 2009
- 2. Beaver JA, Amiri-Kordestani L, Charlab R, et al: FDA approval: Palbociclib for the treatment of postmenopausal patients with estrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 21:4760-4766, 2015
- 3. Wedam S, Fashoyin-Aje L, Bloomquist E, et al: FDA approval summary: Palbociclib for male patients with metastatic breast cancer. Clin Cancer Res 26:1208-1212, 2020
- 4. IBRANCE Capsules, palbociclib. New York, NY, Pfizer, 2019
- 5. McAndrew NP, Finn RS: Clinical review on the management of hormone receptor-positive metastatic breast cancer. JCO Oncol Pract 18:319-327, 2022
- 6. Finn RS, Martin M, Rugo HS, et al: Palbociclib and letrozole in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 375:1925-1936, 2016
- Mouridsen H, Gershanovich M, Sun Y, et al: Phase III study of letrozole versus tamoxifen as first-line therapy of advanced breast cancer in postmenopausal women: Analysis of survival and update of efficacy from the International Letrozole Breast Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 21:2101-2109, 2003
- 8. Rugo HS, Finn RS, Diéras V, et al: Palbociclib plus letrozole as first-line therapy in estrogen receptor-positive/human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative advanced breast cancer with extended follow-up. Breast Cancer Res Treat 174:719-729, 2019
- 9. Clinical Trials Dashboard: PALOMA-2 trial overall survival results. https://clinical-trials.dimensions.ai/paloma2/
- 10. Finn RS, Crown JP, Lang I, et al: The cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6 inhibitor palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone as first-line treatment of oestrogen receptor-positive, HER2-negative, advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1/TRIO-18): A randomised phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol 16:25-35, 2015
- 11. Turner NC, Ro J, André F, et al: Palbociclib in hormone-receptor-positive advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 373:209-219, 2015
- Cristofanilli M, Turner NC, Bondarenko I, et al: Fulvestrant plus palbociclib versus fulvestrant plus placebo for treatment of hormone-receptor-positive, HER2-negative metastatic breast cancer that
  progressed on previous endocrine therapy (PALOMA-3): Final analysis of the multicentre, double-blind, phase 3 randomised controlled trial. Lancet Oncol 17:425-439, 2016
- Finn RS, Boer K, Bondarenko I, et al: Overall survival results from the randomized phase 2 study of palbociclib in combination with letrozole versus letrozole alone for first-line treatment of ER+/ HER2- advanced breast cancer (PALOMA-1, TRIO-18). Breast Cancer Res Treat 183:419-428, 2020
- 14. Turner NC, Slamon DJ, Ro J, et al: Overall survival with palbociclib and fulvestrant in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 379:1926-1936, 2018
- 15. Hortobagyi GN, Stemmer SM, Burris HA, et al: Overall survival with ribociclib plus letrozole in advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med 386:942-950, 2022
- 16. Goetz MP, Toi M, Huober J, et al: MONARCH 3: Interim overall survival (OS) results of abemaciclib plus a nonsteroidal aromatase inhibitor (NSAI) in patients (pts) with HR+, HER2- advanced breast cancer (ABC). Ann Oncol 33:S1384, 2022 (abstr LBA15)

### AUTHORS' DISCLOSURES OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

#### Overall Survival With Palbociclib Plus Letrozole in Advanced Breast Cancer

The following represents disclosure information provided by authors of this manuscript. All relationships are considered compensated unless otherwise noted. Relationships are self-held unless noted. I = Immediate Family Member, Inst = My Institution. Relationships may not relate to the subject matter of this manuscript. For more information about ASCO's conflict of interest policy, please refer to www.asco.org/rwc or ascopubs.org/jco/authors/author-center.

Open Payments is a public database containing information reported by companies about payments made to US-licensed physicians (Open Payments).

#### Dennis J. Slamon

Leadership: BioMarin, 1200 Pharma, Torl Biotherapeutics Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Pfizer, Amgen, BioMarin, Seagen, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Vertex, Amgen Honoraria: Novartis Consulting or Advisory Role: Novartis, Lilly, Seagen, Pfizer Research Funding: Novartis, Pfizer

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: BioMarin, Novartis, Pfizer

#### Véronique Diéras

Honoraria: Roche/Genentech, Novartis, Pfizer, Lilly, AstraZeneca, AbbVie/Abbott, MSD Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo, Seagen, Gilead Sciences, Eisai Europe, Pierre Fabre

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Roche/Genentech, Novartis, Lilly, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, AbbVie/Abbott, MSD Oncology, Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Seagen, Gilead Sciences, Eisai Europe, Pierre Fabre, Medac, Menarini Group

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Roche, Novartis, Pfizer, Lilly, AstraZeneca, Daiichi Sankyo Europe GmbH, Seagen, Gilead Sciences, MSD Oncology

#### Hope S. Rugo

Consulting or Advisory Role: Napo Pharmaceuticals, Puma Biotechnology, Mylan, Eisai, Daiichi Sankyo

Research Funding: OBI Pharma (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Lilly (Inst), Merck (Inst), Daiichi Sankyo (Inst), Sermonix Pharmaceuticals (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst), Gilead Sciences (Inst), Astellas Pharma (Inst), Pionyr (Inst), Taiho Oncology (Inst), Veru (Inst), GlaxoSmithKline (Inst), Hoffmann-La Roche AG/Genentech, Inc (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Merck, AstraZeneca, Gilead Sciences

Open Payments Link: https://openpaymentsdata.cms.gov/physician/ 183398

#### Nadia Harbeck

**Stock and Other Ownership Interests:** West German Study Group **Honoraria:** Roche, Novartis, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Pierre Fabre, Daiichi-Sankyo, MSD, Seagen, Lilly, Viatris, Sanofi, Zuellig Pharma, Gilead Sciences, Amgen

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Novartis, Sandoz, West German Study Group, Seagen, Gilead Sciences, Roche/Genentech

Speakers' Bureau: Medscape, Springer Healthcare, EPG Communication

Research Funding: Roche/Genentech (Inst), Lilly (Inst), MSD (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst)

#### Seock-Ah Im

Consulting or Advisory Role: AstraZeneca, Novartis, Roche/Genentech, Eisai, Pfizer, Amgen, Hanmi, Lilly, MSD, Daiichi Sankyo Research Funding: AstraZeneca (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Roche/Genentech (Inst), Daewoong Pharmaceutical (Inst), Eisai (Inst), Boryung Pharmaceuticals (Inst) Other Relationship: Roche

#### Karen A. Gelmon

Honoraria: AstraZeneca, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Seagen, Novartis Canada Pharmaceuticals Inc, Pfizer, Lilly, Gilead Sciences Consulting or Advisory Role: Pfizer, Novartis, AstraZeneca, Merck, Lilly, Roche, Mylan, Ayala Pharmaceuticals, Gilead Sciences Research Funding: Pfizer (Inst), Bristol Myers Squibb (Inst), AstraZeneca (Inst) Expert Testimony: Genentech

#### Janice M. Walshe

Honoraria: Novartis Consulting or Advisory Role: Gilead Sciences Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Novartis

#### Miguel Martin

Honoraria: Roche/Genentech, Lilly, Pfizer, Novartis, Pierre Fabre, Seagen

Consulting or Advisory Role: Roche/Genentech, Novartis, Pfizer, Lilly, AstraZeneca, Daiichi-Sankyo

Speakers' Bureau: Lilly/ImClone, Lilly/ImClone, Roche/Genentech, Pierre Fabre

Research Funding: Novartis (Inst), Roche (Inst), Puma Biotechnology (Inst)

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Daiichi-Sankyo Other Relationship: Roche, Novartis

#### Mariana Chavez-MacGregor

Employment: MD Anderson Physician's Network Consulting or Advisory Role: Exact Sciences, AstraZeneca/Daiichi Sankyo, Pfizer, Abbott Laboratories, Exact Sciences, Pfizer, Lilly,

AstraZeneca/Daiichi Sankyo, Exact Sciences, Roche/Genentech, Adium Pharma, Merck

Research Funding: Novartis (Inst), Genentech/Roche (Inst), Pfizer (Inst), Lilly

Expert Testimony: Lilly

Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: AstraZeneca, Exact Sciences, Zodiac Pharma

Uncompensated Relationships: Legacy Healthcare Services, The Hope Foundation

Eustratios Bananis Employment: Pfizer Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Pfizer Slamon et al

Eric Gauthier Employment: Pfizer Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Pfizer Travel, Accommodations, Expenses: Pfizer

Dongrui R. Lu Employment: Pfizer Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Pfizer

Sindy Kim Employment: Pfizer Stock and Other Ownership Interests: Pfizer

#### **Richard S. Finn**

**Consulting or Advisory Role:** Pfizer, Bayer, Bristol Myers Squibb, Merck, Eisai, Lilly, Genentech/Roche, AstraZeneca, Exelixis, CStone Pharmaceuticals, Hengrui Therapeutics

Speakers' Bureau: Genentech

Research Funding: Pfizer (Inst), Bayer (Inst), Novartis (Inst), Eisai (Inst), Lilly (Inst), Merck (Inst), Bristol Myers Squibb (Inst), Roche/Genentech (Inst)

No other potential conflicts of interest were reported.