Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
DETECTION OF SMALL MOLECULES BY MAGNETICALLY TUNED FREQUENCY MODULATED ATOMIC LINE SOURCES

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/18x559h5

Author
Koizumi, Hideaki

Publication Date
1978-09-01

Submitted to APPLIED PHYSICS LETTERS

DETECTION OF SMALL MOLECULES BY MAGNETICALLY TUNED FREQUENCY MODULATED ATOMIC LINE SOURCES

Hideaki Koizumi, Tetsuo Hadeishi, and Ralph D. McLaughlin

September, 1978

DISCLAIMER

DISCLAIMER

Detection of Small Molecules by Magnetically Tuned Frequency
Modulated Atomic Line Sources

Hideaki Koizumi, Tetsuo Hadeishi, Ralph D. McLaughlin

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

Abstract

Some small molecules such as NO, OH, I_2, S_2, SO_2, NO_2 and HCHO display discrete sharp electronic rotational–vibrational lines. Utilizing the Zeeman effect, one component of an atomic emission line can be made to exactly coincide with a sharp molecular line while the other component is shifted so that there is no overlap with this line. In the vast majority of cases, large molecules do not show rotational structures when undergoing an electronic transition. Therefore, the difference in absorption between the $\sigma^{\pm}$ components is proportional to the density of the molecules showing sharp absorption but is not affected by other molecules. These phenomena can be used to achieve highly sensitive and selective detection of small molecules.

It is well known that diatomic molecules often display sharp rotational structure when undergoing electronic transitions. Since Wood first used a mercury line to excite one of the vibrational lines of I_2 in 1921,[1] atomic lines have been used, many times, to monochromatically excite transitions to a single level of a diatomic molecule.[2-5]

Recently, by magnetically scanning atomic lines, we observed rotational structure in molecular electronic transition spectrum in regions where predissociation was not occurring. Not only did this occur for diatomic molecules but also for triatomic molecules and even for a tetraatomic molecule. Thus, we have developed a new technique for the detection of small molecules. In this technique, an atomic line was shifted using the Zeeman effect to obtain an exact matching with a molecular rotational line. The matching σ component was used for measuring absorption caused by the sharp molecular line and the unmatched σ component for reference; resulting in a differential absorption measurement. This technique is very powerful for the in-situ detection of small molecules in smoke or various gas mixtures.

Because of the line sharpness, apparent absorption measured using a continuum source, may be much less than that expected from a knowledge of the oscillator strength. For example, the true absorption coefficient of individual rotational lines of NO γ bands have been found to be several hundred times greater than the apparent absorption coefficient measured by using a low resolution monochromator.[6]

However, with the present technique we can obtain the high sensitivity expected from a consideration of the absorption coefficient of the molecular line, since we use an atomic line with a half width of only about 0.1 cm^{-1} (3 GHz).

Usually, large molecules do not show rotational structure in the electronic transition spectrum because the spacing of rotational lines is frequently smaller than the Doppler width and broadening due to predissociation is more likely to occur than in small molecules.[7] Electronic bands of a polyatomic molecule are often more complicated than the infrared bands of the same molecule, since large differences of rotational constants may arise in upper and lower states. Hence, for large molecules, there would be no difference in absorption between the σ^+ and σ^- components of an atomic line, and, high selective detection of small molecules becomes possible.

Furthermore, the field strength for exact matching between an atomic line and a molecular line differs depending upon the small molecule. This means that the technique will be selective even if a mixture contains different small molecules. The molecules detected with this technique are listed in Table 1. Intense atomic lines which could possibly coincide with molecular lines in the bands that do not show evidence of predissociation were chosen from the MIT Wavelength Table.[8]

Next, the possible atomic line source was placed in an electromagnet and the difference of absorption between the σ^+ and σ^- components was measured over the field strength from 0 to 25 kG. Although we found many coincident lines, the lines listed in Table 1 were selected for this technique because of the sensitivity (large differential absorption), emission intensity and simplicity of the Zeeman pattern. Some of the atomic lines in Table 1 have been used for molecular spectroscopic measurements by others.[1-5]

Figure 1 is a block diagram of the experimental apparatus. An atomic line source was placed in the magnetic field, and the emission passes through a hole in the electromagnet in a direction parallel to the magnetic field. Atomic vapor in the light source was produced by sputtering the cathode material with an abnormal D.C. glow discharge (50-200 mA, 100-200 V) and an

RF discharge (70 MHz, 10 W) acting simultaneously. The electromagnet (Varian Associates Model V-4004) could apply a homogeneous magnetic field to the light source of up to 30 kgauss. The circularly polarizing $\sigma^{\pm}$ components were converted to linear polarized light and selected by a linear polarizer. We developed a variable phase retarder by applying stress to a Suprasil block[9] that was used to convert circular to linear polarized light. A Rochon prism made of synthetic quartz blocks with optical contact was used for the linear polarizer. This retarder and polarizer can be used in the U.V region down to 175 nm. The Rochon prism was rotated in the shaft of a synchronous motor at 3600 r.p.m. The length of absorption cells used were 1, 10, 25, 100 cm.

Figure 2 shows the relation between the σ^{+} component, σ^{-} component and the rotational line. In this case, the emission line is from Zn at 213.8 nm ($^1S_0 - {}^1P_1$) and the absorption line is from NO [$A^2\pi-x^2\Sigma$, (1,0, Q(k=29)]. The absorption line profile is measured by scanning the $\sigma^{\pm}$ component through the molecular line. The emission line was assumed to be Doppler broadened at a temperature of $384°K$ and the contribution of isotope shift to the line which was also included. These corrections have not been made to the absorption line profile displayed in Figure 2. Instead, this figure shows how the selectivity of this technique depends upon the magnetic field.

As far as we know, only a few large molecules exhibit rotational structure in the electronic spectrum.[7,10] Therefore, we may say, in the vast majority of cases, interference caused by absorption and scattering of large molecules will be corrected with the present technique.

Figure 3 is an example of the interference corrections obtained with this technique. The lower trace shows the differential absorption between the σ^{+} and σ^{-} components of the Cd(II) line at 214.4 nm. The upper trace shows the light intensity on the photomultiplier. When NO gas was introduced

into the absorption cell, a big difference between the absorptions of σ^+ and σ^- was produced with good linearity. However, when acetic acid vapor was introduced into the cell there was no difference in absorption between σ^+ and σ^-, even though the incident light was strongly absorbed by CH_3COOH vapor. The pressure of CH_3COOH vapor at 3 Torr was low enough to avoid pressure broadening. We also looked at niro-benzene which has strong absorption bands near the Cd line at 214.4 nm. No signal for C_6H_5NO was observed with this technique. It was also confirmed that light scattering in the cell which attenuated the incident light 70% did not produce a signal.

For the Zn line at 213.8 nm, exact matching between the atomic line and the rotational line of NO can be achieved at a field strength of 19 kG. Because of the high J value of $29\frac{1}{2}$, this line is suitable for NO detection at high temperature. For the measurement at room temperature, Cd(II) lines at 214.4 nm and 226.5 nm gives rise to higher sensitivity because the J values of the coincident molecular lines are $11\frac{1}{2}$ and $10\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. The Boltzman distribution of rotational-vibrational levels of the ground state peaks at J = 7 at $300^\circ K$. However, the detection limit for NO with this technique was about 50 ppb. The field strength for matching was 16.5 kG for the ^{114}Cd(II) line at 226.5 nm and 8.5 kG for the ^{114}Cd(II) line at 214.4 nm.

Sulphur dioxide did not show discrete rotational lines nearby the Cd line at 228.8 nm and the Zn line at 213.8 nm. However, we could observe differential absorption between the $\sigma^{\pm}$ components due to rotational structure of SO_2. The spacing of the peaks due to the rotational structure was about 10 GHz and the difference between the peak and the bottom of the absorption was about 30% of the average absorption. The lower detection limit of SO_2 was about 5 ppm with this technique. The field strengths that produced the largest differential absorption were 6, 14, and 20.5 kG.

We also observed clear rotational lines of HCHO to the higher

frequency side of a Ag line at 338.3 nm[11]. It is very rare that

tetra atomic molecules show sharp structure in this wavelength region.

The field strengths for matching were 8, 14.3 and 17.2 kG. The pre-

dissociation region of NO_2 in the ultraviolet band system near 245 nm

is between 4.98 ~ 5.04 eV.[7] Predissociation of NO_2 can be observed

below 248 nm. The lines of the band at 249.1 nm are quite sharp, those

of the band at 245.9 nm are slightly broadened while the band at 244.7 nm

is completely diffuse.[12,13] Using Zeeman scanning, we found the bands

starting at 249.1 nm exhibit discrete rotational lines near an intense

Cu line at 249.2 nm. Figure 4 shows the relation between the strength

of the magnetic field and the differential absorption for $\sigma^{\pm}$ components.

This Cu line shows anomalous Zeeman effect, and has two σ components of

the same circular polarization with relative intensities of 3:1 and with

frequency displacements in the ratio of $\frac{8}{5} : \frac{28}{15}$. Both [63]Cu (69.1%) and

[65]Cu (30.9%) have nuclear spin of $\frac{3}{2}$. Thus, the scanned σ component is

broadened and the true profile of the NO_2 rotational line to the higher

frequency side of the Cu line is sharper than that appearing in Figure 4.

Because the σ components are broadened, the pressure dependence of the

signal for NO_2 is less than that for NO. With N_2 at 1 atmosphere pressure,

the sensitivity remained at 63% of that at 5 Torr. The detection limit of

NO_2 with this technique was about 10 ppm.

References

(1) R. W. Wood, Phil. Mag., $\underline{12}$, 499 (1906).

(2) G. E. Moore, O. R. Wulf and R. M. Badger, J. Chem. Phys., $\underline{21}$, 2091 (1953).

(3) L. A. Melton and W. Klemperer, J. Chem. Phys., $\underline{59}$, 1099 (1973).

(4) Tj. Hollander and H. P. Broida, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, $\underline{7}$, 965 (1967).

(5) E. Durand, J. Chem. Phys., $\underline{8}$, 46 (1940).

(6) H. Okabe, "Photochemistry of Small Molecule", Wiley Interscience, Somerset, N.J. (1978).

(7) G. Herzberg, "Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, III, Electronic Spectra and Electronic Structure of Polyatomic Molecules", Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York (1966).

(8) "MIT Wavelength Table", John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1939).

(9) H. Koizumi, T. Hadeishi and R. D. McLaughlin, to be published.

(10) K. K. Innes, J. A. Merritt, W. C. Tincher and S. G. Tilford, Nature, $\underline{187}$, 500 (1960).

(11) H. Koizumi, T. Hadeishi and R. D. McLaughlin, to be published.

(12) K. P. Huber, unpublished, see p. 482 in the reference (7).

(13) R. S. Mulliken, Rev. Mod. Phys., $\underline{14}$, 204 (1942).

Table 1. List of small molecules measured with this technique.

Molecule	Atomic Line	Wavelength (nm)	Transition
NO	Cd(II)	214.4	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^2P_{3/2}$
		226.5	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^2P_{1/2}$
	Zn	213.8	$^1S_o - {}^1P_1$
OH	Bi	306.8	$^4S_{3/2} - {}^4P_{1/2}$
	Zn	307.2	$^3P_2 - {}^3P_1$
I_2	Hg	546.1	$^3P_2 - {}^3S_1$
S_2	Mg(II)	279.6	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^2P_{3/2}$
		280.3	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^2P_{1/2}$
SO_2	Zn	213.8	$^1S_o - {}^1P_1$
	Cd	228.8	$^1S_o - {}^1P_1$
NO_2	Cu	249.2	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^4P_{3/2}$
	B	249.7	$^2P_{1/2} - {}^2S_{1/2}$
		249.8	$^2P_{3/2} - {}^2S_{1/2}$
CH_2O	Ag	338.3	$^2S_{1/2} - {}^2P_{1/2}$

Figure Captions

Fig. 1.   Block diagram of experimental apparatus.

Fig. 2.   Relation between the vibrational-rotational line of NO $[A^2\Sigma^+-X\pi_{1/2}$, (1, 0), $Q_1(29\frac{1}{2})$ and the σ^+ and σ^- components of the Zn line at 2138.56Å $(^1S_o-^1P_1)$.

Fig. 3.   NO signals with the present technique and the correction of background absorption caused by CH_3COOH. Upper trace: light intensity on the photomultiplier. Lower trace: differential absorption for σ^+ and σ^- components.

Fig. 4.   Relation between the intensity of differential absorption and field strength for NO_2.

XBL 789-10676

XBL 789-10914

XBL 789-10679

XBL 789-10913