

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from an early June 2024 Poll of California Registered Voters about Election Reforms to Local Governance

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/19459581>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2024-06-25



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from an early June 2024 Poll of
California Registered Voters about
Election Reforms to Local Governance**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

May 29-June 4, 2024

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed online May 29-June 4, 2024 among 5,095 registered voters throughout California. The survey was conducted in five languages: English, Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Korean by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley on behalf of the Evelyn and Walter Haas Jr Fund.

The poll was conducted by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided voters with a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. To accommodate non-English language voters, voters whose voting record indicated that they preferred to have their voting materials sent to them in a Spanish, Chinese, Vietnamese or Korean were sent their email invitations in-language asking them to choose which language they preferred to complete the survey. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to receive further email invitations.

Voter email addresses were derived from public information contained on the state's voter registration rolls and were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California.

To protect the anonymity of respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. In addition, after the completion of data collection, post-stratification weights were applied to the survey data file to align the sample of registered voters to population characteristics of the registered voters statewide and within major regions of the state.

The sampling error associated with the survey results is difficult to calculate precisely because of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the overall sample of registered voters are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/-2.0 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Regional definitions referenced in this report

The results from the state's geographic regions referenced in this volume are comprised of the following counties:

Los Angeles County (Los Angeles County)

Orange County (Orange County)

San Diego County (San Diego County)

Inland Empire (Riverside, San Bernardino and Imperial counties)

Central Coast (Ventura, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito counties)

Sacramento Valley (Shasta, Tehama, Glenn, Butte, Colusa, Yuba, Placer, Sutter, Yolo, El Dorado, and Sacramento counties)

San Joaquin Valley (San Joaquin, Stanislaus, Merced, Madera, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties)

Central Valley (net) (includes all counties in the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley referenced above)

SF Bay Area (Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, Marin, Napa, Sonoma, Solano, San Mateo, Santa Clara).

North Coast/Sierras (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Lassen, Mendocino, Plumas, Sierra, Nevada, Lake, Alpine, Amador, Calaveras, Tuolumne, Mono, Mariposa, Inyo counties.)

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor G. Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. Veteran pollster Mark DiCamillo serves as director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll*. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* and a more detailed description of the methods used to conduct each survey go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

Early June 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll — Statewide Registered Voters

Table 1: Q24 Since 2018 many counties have opened (vote centers) where voters can vote in-person up to 10 days before the election, although so far not many voters have been using this method. Would you support or oppose reducing or doing away with this voting alternative to reduce election costs?? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los An-geles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Em-pire	Central Coast	Central Val-ley (to-tal)	Sacra-mento / North Val-ley	San Joaquin Val-ley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Support	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.21	0.31	0.27	0.22	0.19	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.32	0.23	0.30	0.24
strongly Support	0.27	0.28	0.32	0.27	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.24	0.29	0.23	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.24	0.29	0.29	0.29
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.11	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.14	0.15	0.18	0.16
somewhat Oppose	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.12	0.14
strongly No opinion	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.16	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.12	0.18	0.11	0.16

Table 2: Q24 Since 2018 many counties have opened (vote centers) where voters can vote in-person up to 10 days before the election, although so far not many voters have been using this method. Would you support or oppose reducing or doing away with this voting alternative to reduce election costs?? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Gender			Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
	Total	Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Support	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.16	0.23	0.23	0.29	0.30	0.32	0.27	0.31	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.31	0.22	0.19	0.31
strongly Support	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.31	0.29	0.26	0.30	0.28
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.22	0.18	0.19	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.12	0.19	0.21	0.13
somewhat Oppose	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.12	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.14
strongly No opinion	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.15	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.14

Table 3: Q24 Since 2018 many counties have opened (vote centers) where voters can vote in-person up to 10 days before the election, although so far not many voters have been using this method. Would you support or oppose reducing or doing away with this voting alternative to reduce election costs?? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non- Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish domi- nant (Latino)	Eng domi- nant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English domi- nant	Asian lan- guage domi- nant (net)	Chinese domi- nant	Viet domi- nant	Korean domi- nant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Support	0.25	0.22	0.29	0.47	0.22	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.24	0.32	0.39	0.22	0.37
strongly Support	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.21	0.30	0.39	0.32	0.43	0.21	0.30	0.32	0.28	0.28	0.38
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.19	0.16	0.10	0.19	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.18
somewhat Oppose	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.07	0.16	0.07	0.21	0.16	0.08	0.06	0.16	0.03
strongly No opinion	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.08	0.16	0.19	0.15	0.15	0.23	0.07	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.21	0.04

Table 4: Q24 Since 2018 many counties have opened (vote centers) where voters can vote in-person up to 10 days before the election, although so far not many voters have been using this method. Would you support or oppose reducing or doing away with this voting alternative to reduce election costs?? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Support	0.25	0.42	0.29	0.27	0.18	0.15	0.35	0.23	0.21	0.24	0.28	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.22	0.27	0.23
strongly Support	0.27	0.18	0.30	0.30	0.31	0.23	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.33	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.08	0.16	0.15	0.21	0.25	0.13	0.16	0.19	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.22	0.15	0.19
somewhat Oppose	0.15	0.22	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.20	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.14
strongly No opinion	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.16	0.18	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.20	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.13	0.17

Table 5: Q24 Since 2018 many counties have opened (vote centers) where voters can vote in-person up to 10 days before the election, although so far not many voters have been using this method. Would you support or oppose reducing or doing away with this voting alternative to reduce election costs?? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Support	0.25	0.28	0.20	0.27	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.30	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.33	0.21
strongly Support	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.30	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.31	0.22	0.28
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.16	0.23	0.15	0.20	0.19	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.17	0.19	0.13	0.12
somewhat Oppose	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.19	0.14	0.18	0.16	0.12	0.10	0.17	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.17
strongly No opinion	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.22

Table 6: Q25 In recent years some local jurisdictions have shifted the dates when local offices are elected so that they coincide with when presidential elections are held, rather than when gubernatorial elections are held. This tends to increase the number of voters participating since turnout is typically greater in presidential election years. However, this also increases the number of races and issues that voters are asked to vote on in presidential election years and may result in less attention being given to local races. Given these tradeoffs, when do you think is the better time to elect local offices in your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Holding them at the same time as presidential elections	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.48	0.42	0.42	0.45	0.43	0.48	0.49	0.58	0.47	0.47	0.46	0.48	0.49	0.42	0.41	0.42
Holding them at the same time as gubernatorial elections	0.27	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.34	0.29	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.25	0.15	0.25	0.29	0.30	0.26	0.29	0.29	0.24	0.28
No opinion	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.29	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.21	0.30	0.35	0.31

Table 7: Q25 In recent years some local jurisdictions have shifted the dates when local offices are elected so that they coincide with when presidential elections are held, rather than when gubernatorial elections are held. This tends to increase the number of voters participating since turnout is typically greater in presidential election years. However, this also increases the number of races and issues that voters are asked to vote on in presidential election years and may result in less attention being given to local races. Given these tradeoffs, when do you think is the better time to elect local offices in your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age						Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents			
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Holding them at the same time as presidential elections	0.47	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.48	0.48	0.43	0.42	0.45	0.47	0.47	0.47	0.48	0.46	0.48	0.45	0.47
Holding them at the same time as gubernatorial elections	0.27	0.30	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.27	0.35	0.36	0.34	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.30	0.29
No opinion	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.30	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.23	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.25	0.25

Table 8: Q25 In recent years some local jurisdictions have shifted the dates when local offices are elected so that they coincide with when presidential elections are held, rather than when gubernatorial elections are held. This tends to increase the number of voters participating since turnout is typically greater in presidential election years. However, this also increases the number of races and issues that voters are asked to vote on in presidential election years and may result in less attention being given to local races. Given these tradeoffs, when do you think is the better time to elect local offices in your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Holding them at the same time as presidential elections	0.47	0.48	0.42	0.40	0.44	0.47	0.50	0.49	0.46	0.57	0.50	0.51	0.47	0.35	0.48	0.58
Holding them at the same time as gubernatorial elections	0.27	0.26	0.31	0.33	0.30	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.31	0.30	0.36	0.29
No opinion	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.30	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.20	0.22	0.26	0.22	0.34	0.16	0.13

Table 9: Q25 In recent years some local jurisdictions have shifted the dates when local offices are elected so that they coincide with when presidential elections are held, rather than when gubernatorial elections are held. This tends to increase the number of voters participating since turnout is typically greater in presidential election years. However, this also increases the number of races and issues that voters are asked to vote on in presidential election years and may result in less attention being given to local races. Given these tradeoffs, when do you think is the better time to elect local offices in your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Political ideology					Education					Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Holding them at the same time as presidential elections	0.47	0.54	0.46	0.40	0.50	0.52	0.41	0.46	0.48	0.52	0.47	0.37	0.44	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.48	0.45
Holding them at the same time as gubernatorial elections	0.27	0.22	0.32	0.30	0.24	0.24	0.29	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.23	0.36	0.23	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.28	0.26
No opinion	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.29	0.27	0.25	0.30	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.30	0.27	0.33	0.26	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.29

Table 10: Q25 In recent years some local jurisdictions have shifted the dates when local offices are elected so that they coincide with when presidential elections are held, rather than when gubernatorial elections are held. This tends to increase the number of voters participating since turnout is typically greater in presidential election years. However, this also increases the number of races and issues that voters are asked to vote on in presidential election years and may result in less attention being given to local races. Given these tradeoffs, when do you think is the better time to elect local offices in your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Holding them at the same time as presidential elections	0.47	0.48	0.48	0.44	0.46	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.47	0.43	0.48	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.43	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.44	0.34
Holding them at the same time as gubernatorial elections	0.27	0.28	0.24	0.31	0.24	0.29	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.22	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.29	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.33	0.33
No opinion	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.23	0.33

Table 11: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.50	0.50	0.49	0.59	0.59	0.52	0.52	0.50	0.53	0.41	0.43	0.49	0.52	0.52	0.47	0.57	0.49	0.56	0.51
Favor	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.29	0.23	0.31	0.18	0.27	0.28	0.22	0.30	0.23	0.26	0.20	0.25
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.16	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.13	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05
No opinion	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.12	0.13

Table 12: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.50	0.54	0.47	0.41	0.52	0.57	0.53	0.49	0.50	0.47	0.51	0.50	0.51	0.47	0.50	0.52	0.49	0.50
Favor	0.27	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.28	0.29	0.25	0.27	0.27	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.05
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.03
No opinion	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.18	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.08	0.10	0.14

Table 13: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.50	0.51	0.49	0.44	0.51	0.56	0.49	0.33	0.52	0.46	0.59	0.49	0.46	0.31	0.61	0.51
Favor	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.23	0.24	0.30	0.36	0.27	0.43	0.24	0.31	0.30	0.30	0.14	0.40
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.03
somewhat Oppose	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.03	0.00
strongly No opinion	0.11	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.24	0.15	0.06	0.10	0.14	0.19	0.33	0.18	0.06

Table 14: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.50	0.61	0.54	0.49	0.43	0.51	0.43	0.53	0.52	0.49	0.44	0.46	0.46	0.53	0.52	0.54	0.53	0.48
Favor	0.27	0.17	0.28	0.27	0.31	0.26	0.28	0.26	0.27	0.27	0.24	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.28
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04
strongly No opinion	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.20	0.13	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.14

Table 15: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.50	0.52	0.52	0.51	0.46	0.55	0.49	0.57	0.49	0.43	0.49	0.51	0.54	0.50	0.46	0.47	0.52	0.48	0.59	0.53
Favor	0.27	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.28	0.32	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.30	0.27	0.29	0.26	0.30	0.20	0.18
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06
No opinion	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.08	0.14

Early June 2024 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 16: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.49	0.50	0.51	0.58	0.56	0.49	0.48	0.44	0.51	0.42	0.43	0.48	0.50	0.48	0.51	0.47	0.47	0.49	0.48
Favor	0.24	0.28	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.25	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.27	0.22	0.26	0.23	0.21	0.26	0.22	0.24	0.20	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.07	0.12	0.08
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.05	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.13	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07
strongly No opinion	0.12	0.11	0.15	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.12	0.14

Table 17: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.49	0.52	0.47	0.42	0.52	0.54	0.51	0.48	0.49	0.46	0.50	0.49	0.50	0.46	0.49	0.51	0.48	0.49
Favor	0.24	0.21	0.27	0.22	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.25	0.25
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.06	0.04
strongly No opinion	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.16	0.09	0.09	0.15

Table 18: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.49	0.50	0.46	0.43	0.48	0.55	0.50	0.39	0.54	0.40	0.58	0.49	0.49	0.46	0.62	0.41
Favor	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.20	0.26	0.31	0.20	0.42	0.20	0.26	0.27	0.20	0.12	0.48
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.01
strongly Oppose	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.18	0.10	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.28	0.20	0.07

Table 19: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Political ideology						Education				Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.49	0.56	0.44	0.45	0.47	0.60	0.42	0.49	0.52	0.51	0.47	0.45	0.43	0.52	0.49	0.54	0.50	0.48
Favor	0.24	0.14	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.21	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.19	0.30	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.24
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06
strongly Oppose	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.18	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.14

Table 20: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.49	0.50	0.57	0.50	0.46	0.56	0.48	0.55	0.48	0.42	0.50	0.51	0.53	0.48	0.44	0.45	0.51	0.52	0.48	0.46
Favor	0.24	0.26	0.17	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.21	0.25	0.29	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.20
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.08	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.10
Oppose strongly	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.12	0.10
No opinion	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.14

Table 21: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.52	0.50	0.51	0.59	0.62	0.52	0.53	0.50	0.56	0.44	0.38	0.49	0.55	0.50	0.48	0.60	0.50	0.55	0.51
Favor	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.20	0.29	0.30	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.28	0.20	0.27	0.18	0.25
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.06
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04
No opinion	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.14

Table 22: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.52	0.55	0.49	0.40	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.52	0.53	0.51	0.51	0.52	0.53	0.49	0.50	0.54	0.51	0.50
Favor	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.26
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.04	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.06
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04
No opinion	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.20	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.14

Table 23: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.52	0.52	0.49	0.42	0.52	0.59	0.50	0.36	0.54	0.46	0.61	0.50	0.50	0.42	0.68	0.44
Favor	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.32	0.21	0.23	0.28	0.33	0.29	0.42	0.20	0.28	0.31	0.22	0.21	0.46
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03
somewhat Oppose	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01
strongly No opinion	0.12	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.23	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.15	0.29	0.06	0.06

Table 24: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.52	0.65	0.55	0.49	0.46	0.50	0.44	0.55	0.51	0.51	0.44	0.47	0.48	0.54	0.53	0.56	0.55	0.49
Favor	0.25	0.13	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.23	0.28	0.26	0.22	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.27
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03
strongly No opinion	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.20	0.13	0.12	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.15

Table 25: Q26 Do you favor or oppose limiting the number of terms that each of these local elected officials are allowed to serve? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.52	0.53	0.50	0.55	0.46	0.57	0.50	0.57	0.50	0.45	0.54	0.52	0.55	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.52	0.49	0.59	0.50
Favor	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.22	0.27	0.29	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.28	0.19	0.25
somewhat Oppose somewhat	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05
Oppose strongly	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.07
No opinion	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.13

Table 26: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (1) if favored District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	3883.00	962.00	445.00	396.00	384.00	218.00	537.00	324.00	213.00	882.00	59.00	1780.00	1607.00	496.00	1880.00	1131.00	648.00	224.00	872.00
weighted n	3927.00	1023.00	340.00	345.00	493.00	237.00	689.00	326.00	363.00	734.00	65.00	1720.00	1682.00	525.00	1826.00	974.00	862.00	266.00	1127.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.74	0.76	0.71	0.76	0.79	0.73	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.67	0.67	0.74	0.73	0.78	0.70	0.81	0.72	0.83	0.74
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.17	0.14	0.12	0.16	0.22	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.20	0.10	0.16	0.11	0.15
16 years (4 terms)	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.11	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.01	0.03
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.03	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.07

Table 27: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (1) if favored District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents			
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US	
unweighted n	3883.00	1987.00	1894.00	499.00	687.00	587.00	1057.00	1053.00	670.00	383.00	1005.00	2866.00	2162.00	347.00	1338.00	1470.00	791.00	1530.00	
weighted n	3927.00	1933.00	1992.00	640.00	734.00	638.00	945.00	969.00	656.00	313.00	967.00	2957.00	2162.00	342.00	1385.00	1496.00	765.00	1575.00	
8 years (2 terms)	0.74	0.73	0.75	0.63	0.70	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.76	0.81	0.72	0.73	0.72	0.77	0.72	0.74	0.77
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.22	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.12	0.19	0.18	0.13	
16 years (4 terms)	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.08	

Table 28: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (1) if favored District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	3883.00	1957.00	860.00	295.00	560.00	243.00	747.00	251.00	115.00	176.00	217.00	412.00	322.00	111.00	67.00	137.00
weighted n	3927.00	1967.00	1031.00	305.00	720.00	263.00	690.00	193.00	103.00	91.00	316.00	518.00	158.00	48.00	42.00	54.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.74	0.71	0.76	0.78	0.75	0.85	0.73	0.66	0.69	0.80	0.76	0.71	0.76	0.83	0.75	0.64
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.19	0.14	0.07	0.17	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.17	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.11	0.07	0.15	0.13
16 years (4 terms)	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.00	0.02
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.14	0.05	0.04	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.22

Table 29: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (1) if favored District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Political ideology						Education				Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post-graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	3883.00	447.00	721.00	1164.00	680.00	854.00	403.00	985.00	1330.00	1149.00	295.00	344.00	390.00	771.00	1159.00	811.00	2219.00	1664.00
weighted n	3927.00	464.00	782.00	1223.00	738.00	707.00	594.00	1498.00	1174.00	652.00	384.00	446.00	453.00	812.00	1096.00	639.00	1978.00	1949.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.74	0.82	0.81	0.78	0.62	0.68	0.74	0.80	0.69	0.70	0.77	0.74	0.75	0.73	0.76	0.71	0.77	0.71
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.09	0.10	0.13	0.28	0.19	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.20	0.09	0.16	0.12	0.16	0.17	0.22	0.16	0.17
16 years (4 terms)	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.08

Table 30: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (1) if favored District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent/Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters	
unweighted n	3883.00	2139.00	322.00	604.00	800.00	806.00	3064.00	1258.00	1638.00	690.00	193.00	2348.00	738.00	534.00	263.00	604.00	3279.00	2001.00	964.00	285.00
weighted n	3927.00	1947.00	315.00	711.00	945.00	845.00	3074.00	1297.00	1638.00	675.00	216.00	1540.00	790.00	966.00	631.00	996.00	2931.00	1848.00	1015.00	64.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.74	0.77	0.64	0.82	0.65	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.74	0.71	0.71	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.70	0.83	0.76
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.14	0.21	0.12	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.21	0.08	0.16
16 years (4 terms)	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.02
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07

Table 31: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (2) if favored Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	3761.00	959.00	432.00	383.00	351.00	209.00	494.00	297.00	197.00	873.00	60.00	1779.00	1521.00	461.00	1930.00	999.00	621.00	211.00	832.00
weighted n	3735.00	1012.00	322.00	334.00	434.00	230.00	625.00	289.00	336.00	710.00	69.00	1692.00	1553.00	491.00	1843.00	842.00	810.00	241.00	1050.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.73	0.76	0.70	0.77	0.73	0.75	0.75	0.71	0.79	0.67	0.63	0.74	0.72	0.71	0.72	0.76	0.68	0.86	0.72
12 years (3 terms)	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.16	0.15	0.18	0.14	0.20	0.21	0.14	0.18	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.06	0.16
16 years (4 terms)	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.11	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.05
No opinion	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.07

Table 32: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (2) if favored Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	3761.00	1880.00	1879.00	491.00	670.00	568.00	1017.00	1015.00	652.00	363.00	993.00	2756.00	2080.00	337.00	1305.00	1418.00	772.00	1477.00
weighted n	3735.00	1797.00	1937.00	586.00	703.00	605.00	912.00	928.00	631.00	297.00	938.00	2794.00	2045.00	325.00	1328.00	1405.00	746.00	1495.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.73	0.71	0.75	0.67	0.68	0.77	0.77	0.74	0.75	0.71	0.81	0.70	0.70	0.74	0.77	0.69	0.72	0.77
12 years (3 terms)	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.10	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.11	0.20	0.19	0.12
16 years (4 terms)	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.04
No opinion	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07

Table 33: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (2) if favored Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	3761.00	1875.00	834.00	290.00	540.00	234.00	741.00	260.00	113.00	169.00	209.00	402.00	326.00	119.00	68.00	133.00
weighted n	3735.00	1860.00	983.00	292.00	685.00	244.00	664.00	196.00	98.00	84.00	297.00	491.00	159.00	52.00	42.00	53.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.73	0.68	0.74	0.77	0.74	0.84	0.77	0.71	0.73	0.82	0.79	0.76	0.78	0.83	0.80	0.66
12 years (3 terms)	0.17	0.22	0.13	0.07	0.15	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.13
16 years (4 terms)	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.08	0.19

Table 34: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (2) if favored Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Political ideology						Education				Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	3761.00	398.00	634.00	1110.00	698.00	905.00	394.00	922.00	1300.00	1130.00	294.00	350.00	376.00	741.00	1099.00	789.00	2118.00	1643.00
weighted n	3735.00	414.00	670.00	1146.00	748.00	744.00	563.00	1385.00	1139.00	639.00	372.00	451.00	409.00	767.00	1032.00	609.00	1875.00	1860.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.73	0.77	0.74	0.75	0.68	0.70	0.71	0.77	0.70	0.71	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.72	0.75	0.66	0.74	0.72
12 years (3 terms)	0.17	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.24	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.19	0.20	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.16	0.17	0.25	0.17	0.16
16 years (4 terms)	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08

Table 35: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (2) if favored Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	3761.00	2063.00	311.00	578.00	790.00	800.00	2947.00	1207.00	1601.00	656.00	198.00	2291.00	714.00	506.00	250.00	584.00	3177.00	2037.00	846.00	267.00
weighted n	3735.00	1859.00	291.00	671.00	904.00	830.00	2897.00	1217.00	1566.00	643.00	209.00	1495.00	757.00	884.00	598.00	940.00	2795.00	1837.00	894.00	60.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.73	0.75	0.69	0.78	0.65	0.75	0.72	0.74	0.74	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.74	0.71	0.73	0.70	0.74	0.73	0.75	0.76
12 years (3 terms)	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.20	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.13	0.15
16 years (4 terms)	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02
No opinion	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07

Table 36: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (3) if favored County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	3931.00	953.00	452.00	401.00	395.00	223.00	538.00	321.00	217.00	901.00	68.00	1792.00	1627.00	512.00	1896.00	1157.00	659.00	219.00	878.00
weighted n	3923.00	1004.00	336.00	343.00	496.00	241.00	687.00	320.00	367.00	744.00	72.00	1716.00	1682.00	525.00	1816.00	972.00	881.00	254.00	1135.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.75	0.75	0.71	0.79	0.81	0.75	0.80	0.78	0.82	0.68	0.70	0.75	0.75	0.79	0.71	0.84	0.71	0.85	0.74
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.22	0.14	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.16
16 years (4 terms)	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.11	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.02
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.08

Table 37: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (3) if favored County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	3931.00	2011.00	1918.00	481.00	682.00	594.00	1086.00	1088.00	695.00	393.00	1016.00	2902.00	2213.00	343.00	1337.00	1505.00	804.00	1529.00
weighted n	3923.00	1916.00	2005.00	601.00	743.00	635.00	957.00	987.00	663.00	323.00	964.00	2956.00	2196.00	329.00	1360.00	1518.00	765.00	1548.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.65	0.70	0.78	0.80	0.79	0.82	0.75	0.80	0.74	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.73	0.74	0.78
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.17	0.15	0.21	0.16	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.20	0.11	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.11	0.18	0.18	0.12
16 years (4 terms)	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.12	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.08

Table 38: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (3) if favored County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	3931.00	1987.00	866.00	301.00	560.00	244.00	752.00	259.00	118.00	174.00	212.00	406.00	333.00	118.00	72.00	136.00
weighted n	3923.00	1971.00	1024.00	305.00	714.00	266.00	685.00	192.00	109.00	89.00	307.00	503.00	167.00	50.00	50.00	54.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.75	0.72	0.76	0.80	0.75	0.86	0.76	0.70	0.68	0.83	0.79	0.75	0.74	0.82	0.67	0.68
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.20	0.14	0.06	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.15	0.11	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.12
16 years (4 terms)	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.14	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.20

Table 39: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (3) if favored County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Political ideology						Education				Household income					Tenure		
	Total	Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	3931.00	466.00	733.00	1175.00	688.00	851.00	415.00	992.00	1343.00	1165.00	293.00	345.00	402.00	783.00	1163.00	827.00	2265.00	1666.00
weighted n	3923.00	466.00	782.00	1224.00	730.00	709.00	606.00	1483.00	1170.00	654.00	374.00	460.00	459.00	816.00	1089.00	632.00	1985.00	1938.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.75	0.86	0.81	0.79	0.66	0.66	0.74	0.82	0.69	0.72	0.78	0.73	0.79	0.74	0.77	0.72	0.78	0.73
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.25	0.22	0.12	0.13	0.20	0.19	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.18	0.17	0.21	0.16	0.16
16 years (4 terms)	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03
No opinion	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.13	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.09

Table 40: Q27 For how many years in total should these local elected officials be allowed to serve? (3) if favored County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters Who Favor Term Limits for Office)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Frequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	3931.00	2180.00	309.00	622.00	800.00	816.00	3100.00	1257.00	1660.00	703.00	205.00	2421.00	724.00	520.00	266.00	603.00	3328.00	2022.00	983.00	291.00
weighted n	3923.00	1949.00	299.00	728.00	937.00	828.00	3087.00	1279.00	1638.00	675.00	226.00	1581.00	776.00	921.00	646.00	1003.00	2920.00	1839.00	1010.00	67.00
8 years (2 terms)	0.75	0.78	0.69	0.83	0.65	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.72	0.76	0.73	0.83	0.75
12 years (3 terms)	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.12	0.21	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.20	0.10	0.13
16 years (4 terms)	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.05
No opinion	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.10	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07

Table 41: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.39	0.40	0.36	0.40	0.47	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.36	0.38	0.43	0.39	0.40	0.38	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.44	0.40
Favor	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.27	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.27	0.22	0.23	0.22	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.08
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.06
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.19	0.22	0.22	0.15	0.24	0.21	0.18	0.23	0.22	0.18	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.24	0.20	0.23

Table 42: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.39	0.43	0.36	0.35	0.39	0.39	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.42	0.39	0.36	0.43
Favor	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.22	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.26	0.25
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.06
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.31	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.19

Table 43: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.39	0.38	0.41	0.43	0.40	0.43	0.41	0.31	0.42	0.36	0.48	0.40	0.38	0.25	0.51	0.45
Favor	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.32	0.20	0.23	0.27	0.31	0.21	0.37	0.23	0.27	0.30	0.25	0.22	0.36
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.08	0.04	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.08	0.13	0.04	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.03
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.15	0.23	0.18	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.17	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.32	0.16	0.09

Table 44: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.39	0.46	0.39	0.39	0.35	0.41	0.38	0.40	0.41	0.37	0.41	0.44	0.39	0.36	0.39	0.42	0.40	0.39
Favor	0.25	0.17	0.26	0.25	0.30	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.25	0.22	0.23	0.20	0.30	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.25
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.06
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.17	0.17	0.20	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.19	0.19	0.22	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.24

Table 45: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (1) District Attorney (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Frequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.39	0.40	0.46	0.41	0.35	0.40	0.39	0.44	0.39	0.33	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.39	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.38
Favor	0.25	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.30	0.25	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.09
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.08
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.18	0.24	0.17	0.26	0.17	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.16	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.22

Table 46: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.40	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.44	0.44	0.36	0.38	0.35	0.37	0.43	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.40	0.39	0.39	0.43	0.40
Favor	0.24	0.25	0.21	0.25	0.27	0.18	0.23	0.27	0.20	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.21	0.24	0.22	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.08
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.13	0.06	0.09	0.06
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.14	0.22	0.21	0.17	0.24	0.22	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.23

Table 47: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.35	0.41	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.40	0.44	0.39	0.38	0.39	0.44	0.38	0.36	0.44
Favor	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.24	0.23	0.27	0.24
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.10	0.06
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.07
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.17	0.22	0.30	0.23	0.20	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.19	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19

Table 48: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.40	0.38	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.31	0.46	0.34	0.49	0.41	0.38	0.25	0.53	0.41
Favor	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.35	0.20	0.21	0.26	0.33	0.17	0.37	0.21	0.24	0.34	0.34	0.19	0.41
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.03
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.19	0.20	0.14	0.23	0.17	0.22	0.27	0.26	0.20	0.18	0.23	0.20	0.34	0.15	0.10

Table 49: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.40	0.44	0.39	0.39	0.37	0.44	0.38	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.41	0.45	0.41	0.36	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
Favor	0.24	0.18	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.21	0.25	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.25	0.29	0.23	0.23	0.25	0.24
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.06
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.24	0.23	0.18	0.20	0.21	0.25	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.23

Table 50: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (2) Sheriff (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Frequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.40	0.41	0.42	0.42	0.36	0.40	0.40	0.44	0.39	0.36	0.44	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.39	0.39	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.37
Favor	0.24	0.25	0.21	0.25	0.22	0.26	0.24	0.21	0.25	0.29	0.24	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.23	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.08	0.08	0.03	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.02	0.10	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.09	0.06	0.13	0.09
strongly No opinion	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.17	0.26	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.22	0.24	0.21	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.18	0.23

Table 51: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.48	0.43	0.38	0.41	0.35	0.38	0.42	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.41	0.47	0.43
Favor	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.21	0.24	0.26	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.22
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.13	0.04	0.07	0.05
strongly No opinion	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.22	0.15	0.22	0.21	0.19	0.24	0.22	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.25	0.20	0.24

Table 52: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.41	0.44	0.38	0.38	0.41	0.40	0.43	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.45	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.44	0.39	0.39	0.44
Favor	0.24	0.23	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.24
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.05	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.06
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.12	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.07
strongly No opinion	0.21	0.18	0.23	0.31	0.24	0.21	0.17	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.19

Table 53: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.41	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.43	0.42	0.33	0.46	0.34	0.50	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.53	0.41
Favor	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.31	0.18	0.23	0.26	0.32	0.17	0.38	0.20	0.25	0.29	0.21	0.20	0.40
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.08	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.06	0.03
strongly No opinion	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.15	0.25	0.18	0.22	0.27	0.27	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.34	0.16	0.11

Table 54: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.41	0.47	0.39	0.41	0.37	0.41	0.42	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.41	0.45	0.42	0.38	0.40	0.42	0.40	0.41
Favor	0.24	0.18	0.26	0.23	0.29	0.23	0.22	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.21	0.26	0.28	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.23
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.06
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.06
strongly No opinion	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.25	0.24	0.19	0.20	0.22	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.24

Table 55: Q28 In most counties, when a candidate for district attorney, sheriff, or county supervisor receives a majority of the votes in a primary election, he or she wins the election outright and does not have to compete again in the general election when more voters are likely to be participating. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that the top two finishers in the primary election for each of these local offices must compete against each other in the general election? (3) County Supervisor (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Frequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.41	0.41	0.48	0.43	0.36	0.42	0.40	0.45	0.40	0.36	0.42	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.41	0.42	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.37
Favor	0.24	0.25	0.17	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.21	0.24	0.30	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.23	0.24
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.07
somewhat Oppose	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.13	0.07
strongly No opinion	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.18	0.26	0.16	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.16	0.22	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.19	0.24

Table 56: Q29 Currently persons running for county sheriff are required to have prior work experience in law enforcement to qualify as a candidate. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that candidates running for county sheriff can come from any profession, and not only law enforcement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Favor strongly	0.20	0.21	0.20	0.15	0.26	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.29	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.25	0.20
Favor	0.14	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.06	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.18	0.08	0.15	0.08	0.14
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.13	0.26	0.14	0.17	0.11	0.19	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.19	0.20	0.10	0.19	0.15	0.18
somewhat Oppose	0.41	0.38	0.40	0.43	0.45	0.36	0.48	0.48	0.48	0.36	0.45	0.36	0.43	0.49	0.33	0.58	0.38	0.44	0.39
strongly No opinion	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.09	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.10

Table 57: Q29 Currently persons running for county sheriff are required to have prior work experience in law enforcement to qualify as a candidate. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that candidates running for county sheriff can come from any profession, and not only law enforcement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Favor strongly	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.16	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.30	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.28	0.17	0.16	0.25
Favor	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.15	0.17	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.18	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.13	0.17
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.22	0.21	0.16	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.19	0.18	0.25	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.16
somewhat Oppose	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.45	0.53	0.51	0.56	0.32	0.44	0.47	0.43	0.31	0.47	0.44	0.34
strongly No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.08

Table 58: Q29 Currently persons running for county sheriff are required to have prior work experience in law enforcement to qualify as a candidate. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that candidates running for county sheriff can come from any profession, and not only law enforcement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Favor strongly	0.20	0.14	0.26	0.42	0.20	0.24	0.26	0.18	0.30	0.24	0.30	0.24	0.29	0.32	0.44	0.16
Favor	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.18	0.13	0.16	0.22	0.29	0.22	0.33	0.14	0.19	0.33	0.38	0.18	0.37
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.07	0.18	0.09	0.19	0.22	0.17	0.21	0.17	0.21	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.16
somewhat Oppose	0.41	0.49	0.34	0.22	0.39	0.38	0.26	0.20	0.22	0.15	0.33	0.29	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.11
strongly No opinion	0.08	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.20

Table 59: Q29 Currently persons running for county sheriff are required to have prior work experience in law enforcement to qualify as a candidate. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that candidates running for county sheriff can come from any profession, and not only law enforcement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Favor strongly	0.20	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.15	0.17	0.26	0.20	0.20	0.15	0.31	0.21	0.25	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.19	0.22
Favor	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.18	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.10	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.16
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.07	0.11	0.17	0.25	0.23	0.10	0.16	0.19	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.12	0.18	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.18
somewhat Oppose	0.41	0.54	0.53	0.39	0.37	0.27	0.34	0.45	0.38	0.43	0.26	0.34	0.34	0.41	0.46	0.48	0.48	0.34
strongly No opinion	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.17	0.09	0.12	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.11

Table 60: Q29 Currently persons running for county sheriff are required to have prior work experience in law enforcement to qualify as a candidate. Do you favor or oppose changing this so that candidates running for county sheriff can come from any profession, and not only law enforcement? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status			Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Frequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Favor strongly	0.20	0.22	0.18	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.23	0.18	0.21	0.21	0.17	0.24	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Favor	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.11	0.16	0.14	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.08	0.19
somewhat Oppose	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.12	0.24	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.17	0.18	0.14	0.18	0.17	0.17	0.17	0.21	0.09	0.17
somewhat Oppose strongly	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.53	0.31	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.37	0.41	0.47	0.42	0.35	0.30	0.32	0.44	0.36	0.58	0.35
No opinion	0.08	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.11	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.09

Table 61: Q5 California has people of many different ethnicities, religions, races, and lifestyles. How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Very important	0.49	0.51	0.49	0.46	0.46	0.58	0.46	0.43	0.49	0.49	0.42	0.51	0.48	0.43	0.62	0.24	0.49	0.43	0.48
Somewhat important	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.24	0.18	0.21	0.27	0.16	0.30	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.21	0.28	0.26	0.28
Not too important	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.22	0.10	0.10	0.10
Not at all important	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.15	0.09	0.20	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.03	0.30	0.08	0.15	0.09
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.06	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.05

Table 62: Q5 California has people of many different ethnicities, religions, races, and lifestyles. How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Very important	0.49	0.39	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.60	0.45	0.43	0.41	0.58	0.46	0.39	0.55
Somewhat important	0.25	0.28	0.23	0.27	0.24	0.25	0.22	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.23	0.26	0.26	0.31	0.23	0.24	0.29	0.25
Not too important	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.16	0.08	0.11	0.16	0.10
Not at all important	0.12	0.17	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.13	0.08
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03

Table 63: Q5 California has people of many different ethnicities, religions, races, and lifestyles. How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Very important	0.49	0.41	0.57	0.75	0.49	0.67	0.54	0.48	0.62	0.53	0.56	0.50	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.70
Somewhat important	0.25	0.29	0.17	0.15	0.19	0.21	0.30	0.37	0.22	0.36	0.27	0.34	0.22	0.20	0.17	0.26
Not too important	0.11	0.13	0.11	0.05	0.14	0.04	0.09	0.08	0.12	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.03
Not at all important	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.03	0.13	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.01	0.01

Table 64: Q5 California has people of many different ethnicities, religions, races, and lifestyles. How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Very important	0.49	0.26	0.36	0.48	0.56	0.70	0.54	0.49	0.46	0.46	0.61	0.58	0.54	0.49	0.44	0.37	0.44	0.53
Somewhat important	0.25	0.17	0.25	0.27	0.30	0.24	0.18	0.24	0.28	0.30	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.26	0.26	0.31	0.26	0.24
Not too important	0.11	0.19	0.16	0.13	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.09
Not at all important	0.12	0.34	0.21	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.09
No opinion	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.05

Table 65: Q5 California has people of many different ethnicities, religions, races, and lifestyles. How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq			2020 Pres Vote					
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Very important	0.49	0.46	0.48	0.55	0.50	0.49	0.49	0.50	0.48	0.49	0.55	0.46	0.47	0.49	0.58	0.55	0.46	0.58	0.25	0.46
Somewhat important	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.23	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.21	0.28	0.30	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.26	0.28	0.22	0.20
Not too important	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.07	0.14	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.08	0.20	0.11
Not at all important	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.08	0.14	0.11	0.16	0.13	0.06	0.07	0.13	0.03	0.31	0.17
No opinion	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.06

Table 66: Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Very well	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.06	0.10
Somewhat well	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.35	0.35	0.45	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.47	0.32	0.41	0.39	0.38	0.47	0.30	0.38	0.36	0.37
Not too well	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.22	0.16	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.20	0.27	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.20	0.23	0.16	0.21
Not at all well	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.14	0.10
Not sure/ no opinion	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.17	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.13	0.21	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.12	0.27	0.20	0.28	0.22

Table 67: Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Very well	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.12
Somewhat well	0.40	0.37	0.42	0.38	0.34	0.39	0.40	0.46	0.47	0.44	0.38	0.41	0.41	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.48	0.39
Not too well	0.21	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.20	0.25	0.20	0.18	0.25	0.24	0.19	0.18	0.24
Not at all well	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.09
Not sure/ no opinion	0.18	0.21	0.16	0.26	0.21	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.19	0.20	0.17	0.16	0.22	0.17	0.16

Table 68: Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Race/ethnicity															
	Total	White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Very well	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.20	0.08	0.16	0.08	0.05	0.22	0.03	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.25	0.00
Somewhat well	0.40	0.42	0.38	0.41	0.37	0.30	0.43	0.49	0.39	0.35	0.41	0.43	0.44	0.47	0.40	0.38
Not too well	0.21	0.18	0.24	0.22	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.19	0.36	0.25	0.25	0.28	0.18	0.20	0.48
Not at all well	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.11	0.14	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.00	0.06
Not sure/ no opinion	0.18	0.21	0.16	0.11	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.15	0.17	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.15	0.08

Table 69: Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Very well	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.10
Somewhat well	0.40	0.22	0.35	0.41	0.48	0.47	0.39	0.37	0.42	0.45	0.36	0.41	0.36	0.42	0.40	0.44	0.42	0.38
Not too well	0.21	0.19	0.19	0.23	0.20	0.22	0.21	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.21	0.23	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22	0.21	0.21
Not at all well	0.10	0.14	0.11	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.10
Not sure/ no opinion	0.18	0.30	0.23	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.20	0.18	0.20	0.14	0.19	0.16	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.15	0.15	0.21

Table 70: Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Very well	0.11	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.10	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.08
Somewhat well	0.40	0.41	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.42	0.40	0.34	0.46	0.37	0.33	0.39	0.38	0.41	0.47	0.29	0.32
Not too well	0.21	0.20	0.24	0.22	0.21	0.20	0.21	0.17	0.22	0.28	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.25	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.21	0.22
Not at all well	0.10	0.09	0.08	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.09	0.20	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.13
Not sure/ no opinion	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.15	0.22	0.19	0.18	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.20	0.23	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.12	0.27	0.25

Table 71: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sieras	Urban	Suburban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
Very well	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Somewhat well	0.38	0.39	0.36	0.31	0.34	0.39	0.34	0.42	0.28	0.45	0.35	0.37	0.39	0.36	0.49	0.23	0.34	0.21	0.31
Not too well	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.30	0.29	0.24	0.25	0.20	0.29	0.21	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.23	0.27	0.28	0.35	0.29
Not at all well	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.23	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.17	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.24	0.10	0.39	0.19	0.26	0.21
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.06	0.14	0.13	0.13

Table 72: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.00	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.00	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
Very well	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.08
Somewhat well	0.38	0.35	0.40	0.32	0.35	0.38	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.45	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.39	0.37	0.36	0.40	0.38
Not too well	0.26	0.27	0.24	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.26	0.23	0.22	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.25
Not at all well	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.24	0.17	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.18
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.17	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.07	0.11

Table 73: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
Very well	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.15	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.02	0.15	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.06	0.27	0.00
Somewhat well	0.38	0.40	0.36	0.45	0.32	0.29	0.39	0.42	0.37	0.34	0.40	0.40	0.41	0.45	0.34	0.34
Not too well	0.26	0.23	0.27	0.22	0.29	0.35	0.28	0.27	0.25	0.49	0.23	0.29	0.26	0.17	0.28	0.41
Not at all well	0.20	0.23	0.18	0.09	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.11	0.10	0.21	0.18	0.09	0.17	0.01	0.06
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.10	0.19

Table 74: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
Very well	0.07	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.11	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07
Somewhat well	0.38	0.21	0.29	0.36	0.50	0.47	0.36	0.34	0.40	0.45	0.35	0.33	0.39	0.37	0.38	0.44	0.40	0.35
Not too well	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.31	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.27	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.31	0.22	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.25
Not at all well	0.20	0.38	0.33	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.19	0.24	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.15	0.21	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.21	0.19
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.16	0.13	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.13

Table 75: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.00	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.00	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
Very well	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.04	0.02
Somewhat well	0.38	0.39	0.33	0.41	0.35	0.36	0.38	0.37	0.38	0.40	0.34	0.45	0.33	0.31	0.36	0.36	0.38	0.48	0.22	0.23
Not too well	0.26	0.25	0.31	0.24	0.26	0.27	0.25	0.24	0.27	0.29	0.19	0.23	0.28	0.29	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.29	0.36
Not at all well	0.20	0.22	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.19	0.23	0.19	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.22	0.22	0.17	0.18	0.21	0.11	0.38	0.27
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.15	0.05	0.13	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.09	0.07	0.12

Table 76: Q7 How well do you feel your local elected officials represent your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Q6 How well do you feel your local elected officials reflect the diversity of your community?					
	Total	Very well	Somewhat well	Not too well	Not at all well	Not sure/ no opinion
unweighted n	5095.00	605.00	2192.00	1034.00	461.00	797.00
weighted n	5095.00	564.00	2041.00	1072.00	484.00	929.00
Very well	0.07	0.37	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.02
Somewhat well	0.38	0.40	0.60	0.26	0.04	0.19
Not too well	0.26	0.13	0.21	0.46	0.22	0.21
Not at all well	0.20	0.07	0.09	0.23	0.69	0.26
Not sure/ no opinion	0.10	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.33

Table 77: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Region										Urbanicity			Party Registration				
		Los Angeles County	San Diego County	Orange County	Inland Empire	Central Coast	Central Valley (total)	Sacramento / North Valley	San Joaquin Valley	SF Bay Area	North Coast/Sier-ras	Urban	Sub-urban	Rural/na	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	NPP / other
unweighted n	5095.00	1226.00	580.00	483.00	479.00	295.00	685.00	401.00	284.00	1248.00	99.00	2350.00	2073.00	672.00	2492.00	1453.00	874.00	276.00	1150.00
weighted n	5095.00	1306.00	448.00	420.00	589.00	311.00	894.00	415.00	479.00	1021.00	106.00	2267.00	2119.00	709.00	2387.00	1217.00	1145.00	346.00	1491.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.10	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.12	0.04	0.07	0.13	0.09
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.77	0.85	0.75	0.81	0.90	0.80	0.87	0.74	0.79	0.86	0.77	0.81	0.85	0.77	0.88	0.79	0.73	0.78
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.05	0.13	0.09	0.17	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.15	0.14

Table 78: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Gender		Age							Nativity of voter		Nativity of parents			Nativity of grandparents		
		Male	Female	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ (net)	65-74	75+	Outside US	US (net)	Both US	One US	Neither US	All US	Some US	None US
unweighted n	5095.00	2581.00	2512.00	711.00	858.00	755.0	1360.00	1411.00	893.00	518.00	1305.00	3771.00	2844.00	453.00	1735.00	1907.00	1068.00	1974.00
weighted n	5095.00	2455.00	2639.00	924.00	924.00	788.0	1197.00	1262.00	833.00	430.00	1249.00	3835.00	2790.00	453.00	1788.00	1906.00	1013.00	2029.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.1	0.11	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.07	0.07	0.12
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.83	0.77	0.75	0.81	0.8	0.80	0.83	0.82	0.86	0.73	0.82	0.84	0.81	0.74	0.84	0.84	0.75
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.1	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.12	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.09	0.13

Table 79: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Race/ethnicity														
		White non-Hispanic	Latino (net)	Spanish dominant (Latino)	Eng dominant (Latino)	Black / African Am	Asian/Pac Isle (net)	Chinese Am	Vietnamese Am	Korean Am	Other AAPI (net)	English dominant	Asian language dominant (net)	Chinese dominant	Viet dominant	Korean dominant
unweighted n	5095.00	2579.00	1138.00	408.00	723.00	302.00	972.00	361.00	148.00	204.00	274.00	542.00	414.00	166.00	87.00	154.00
weighted n	5095.00	2512.00	1393.00	411.00	975.00	327.00	876.00	278.00	132.00	102.00	379.00	648.00	208.00	78.00	56.00	60.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.05	0.12	0.16	0.10	0.21	0.16	0.15	0.26	0.24	0.11	0.12	0.28	0.26	0.36	0.29
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.88	0.73	0.67	0.76	0.68	0.72	0.76	0.53	0.67	0.77	0.76	0.58	0.60	0.42	0.66
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.08	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.21	0.09	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.15	0.23	0.05

Table 80: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Political ideology					Education				Household income					Tenure		
		Strongly conservative	Somewhat conservative	Moderate	Somewhat liberal	Strongly liberal	High school or less	Some college/trade school	College graduate (BA)	Post-graduate education	Less than \$20,000	\$20,000-\$39,999	\$40,000-\$59,999	\$60,000-\$99,999	\$100,000-\$199,999	\$200,000 or more	Own	Rent / other
unweighted n	5095.00	577.00	903.00	1532.00	949.00	1106.00	571.00	1267.00	1715.00	1521.00	420.00	461.00	513.00	975.00	1509.00	1061.00	2893.00	2202.00
weighted n	5095.00	592.00	955.00	1617.00	992.00	918.00	836.00	1900.00	1487.00	859.00	565.00	603.00	594.00	1000.00	1397.00	805.00	2516.00	2579.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.10	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.08	0.06	0.16	0.09	0.13	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.11
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.84	0.84	0.74	0.79	0.86	0.71	0.77	0.84	0.88	0.64	0.77	0.72	0.84	0.82	0.90	0.84	0.77
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.05	0.18	0.12	0.08	0.06	0.20	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.10	0.05	0.09	0.13

Table 81: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Marital status				Union HH		Neighborhood diversity				Voting Freq				2020 Pres Vote				
		Married	Not married/live together	Separated/divorced/widowed	Single/never married	Yes	No	Very	Somewhat	Not too	Not at all	Regular	Intermittent	Infrequent	Non-voter	Non-voters	Voters (net)	Biden voters	Trump voters	3rd party/other voters
unweighted n	5095.00	2773.00	400.00	803.00	1087.00	1013.00	4054.00	1604.00	2130.00	928.00	270.0	3110.00	928.00	697.00	360.00	803.00	4292.00	2630.00	1240.00	377.00
weighted n	5095.00	2473.00	396.00	925.00	1283.00	1008.00	4063.00	1613.00	2130.00	909.00	289.0	2021.00	1003.00	1205.00	866.00	1319.00	3776.00	2387.00	1292.00	90.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.1	0.07	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.08	0.11	0.03	0.08
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.83	0.85	0.75	0.77	0.81	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.82	0.8	0.86	0.78	0.76	0.74	0.75	0.82	0.78	0.88	0.79
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.1	0.07	0.12	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.13

Table 82: Q8 Is it more important to you that your local elected officials reflect your gender, race, ethnicity, religion or sexual orientation or more important to reflect your political values? (Statewide Registered Voters)

	Total	Q5 How important it is for your local elected officials to reflect the diversity of your community?				
		Very important	Somewhat important	Not too important	Not at all important	No opinion
unweighted n	5095.00	2412.00	1332.00	623.00	602.00	124.00
weighted n	5095.00	2482.00	1287.00	573.00	589.00	165.00
More important to reflect gender, race, ethnicity, religion, or sexual orientation	0.09	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.01
More important to reflect political values	0.80	0.73	0.87	0.88	0.94	0.57
Not sure/ no opinion	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.05	0.42