UC Berkeley
UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Effects of Early Life Adversity on Pubertal Timing and Tempo in Black and White Girls: The National Growth and Health Study

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/19f9p8vb

Journal

Psychosomatic Medicine, 84(3)

ISSN

0033-3174

Authors

Hamlat, Elissa June
Laraia, Barbara
Bleil, Maria E
et al.

Publication Date

2022-04-01

DOI

10.1097/psy.0000000000001048

Peer reviewed

Effects of early life adversity on pubertal timing and tempo in Black and White girls: The National Growth and Health Study

Elissa J. Hamlat, PhD[1], **Barbara Laraia, PhD**[2], **Maria E. Bleil, PhD**[3], **Julianna Deardorff, PhD**[2], **A. Janet Tomiyama, PhD**[4], **Mahasin Mujahid, PhD**[2], **Grant S. Shields, PhD**[5], **Kristy Brownell, BA**[7], **George M. Slavich, PhD**[6], **Elissa S. Epel, PhD**[1]

[1]Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California, San Francisco

[2]School of Public Health, University of California, Berkeley

[3]School of Nursing, University of Washington

[4]Department of Psychology, University of California, Los Angeles

[5]Department of Psychological Science, University of Arkansas

[6]Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology and Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles

[7]Kaiser Permanente Department of Research

Abstract

Objective: Although exposure to abuse in early life predicts earlier pubertal timing, especially for girls, it is unclear if this association generalizes to non-abuse stressors. Additionally, the impact of race on the stress-maturation association remains unknown. To address these issues, we examined whether race moderates the effects of early adversity on pubertal timing and tempo using a longitudinal study design.

Method: In a cohort of 9 and 10 year old Black and White girls, pubertal (areolar and pubic hair) maturation was assessed annually over seven years. In adulthood, 368 participants (186 Black, 182 White) reported on abuse and non-abuse stressors they experienced from ages 0–12.

Results: Early life abuse was associated with earlier pubertal timing, as indexed by younger age at menarche, $b = -0.22$, $p = .005$, 95% CI [−0.39, −0.06], and greater pubic hair development, $b = 0.11$, $p = .003$, 95% CI [0.04, 0.18], in addition to slower pubertal tempo, as indexed by slower rate of pubic hair, $b = -0.03$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [−0.05, −0.01], and areolar, $b = -0.02$, $p = .02$, 95% CI [−0.03, −0.003], development. These associations were not found for non-abuse adversity. Black girls with early life abuse had greater pubic hair development, $b = 0.23$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [0.12, 0.35], and were slower in pubic hair tempo, $b = -0.07$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [−0.09, −0.04], than their White counterparts.

Correspondence regarding this article should be addressed to Elissa J. Hamlat, Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, 3333 California Street, Suite 4655, San Francisco, CA 94118. Elissa.Hamlat@ucsf.edu.

Author Manuscript

Conclusions: Screening for early life abuse may help address health disparities related to earlier pubertal timing.

Early life adversity can have lifelong consequences in the form of poor physical and mental health, including increased risk of cardiovascular disease, obesity, asthma, and depression (1–3). Early adversity has been conceptualized as exposure to adverse acute or chronic stressors that threaten a child's physical or emotional security, including experiences of abuse and neglect, discrimination, material deprivation (e.g., poverty), and neighborhood disorder (1–7). Early adversity increases the risk for 9 out of the 10 leading causes of death in the U.S., and significantly increases the likelihood of problematic substance use and mental illness (4–6). Understanding the mechanisms linking early adversity to health is necessary to elucidate the pathophysiology of stress-related disorders and help inform the development of more effective interventions for reducing the negative effects of early adversity.

One potential mechanism by which early adversity may affect health is through earlier pubertal maturation (7). Particularly for girls, earlier pubertal maturation has serious costs including psychosocial (e.g., depression, teenage pregnancy) and physical health outcomes (e.g., cardiovascular disease, reproductive cancers) (11–15). The term "pubertal timing" refers to a child's physical pubertal development (i.e., secondary sexual characteristics) compared to other children of the same age and same sex; in girls, age at first menstruation (menarche) is the most commonly used assessment of pubertal timing. Early pubertal timing (i.e., maturing early in comparison to same-age same-sex peers) has been associated with higher rates of mortality from all causes, but particularly those related to cardiovascular disease and reproductive cancers (13–20). Early pubertal timing also has been associated with risk factors such as higher fasting insulin, higher diastolic blood pressure, decreased HDL cholesterol, higher adult BMI (e.g., 21- 25), higher insulin resistance and fasting glucose (26), as well as with accelerated biological aging (28).

As alluded to above, there is evidence that early life adversity may contribute to earlier pubertal maturation (30). For example, one study found evidence that childhood maltreatment accelerated pubertal development in girls in the foster care system, and early pubertal development mediated the relation between maltreatment and internalizing symptoms (56). Rooted in the principles of life history theory (9–10), the psychosocial acceleration hypothesis proposes that adverse environments signal to girls that the risks associated with delaying reproduction outweigh the risks of earlier reproductive readiness. Consequently, to enhance reproductive fitness, pubertal maturation may be accelerated. The original formulation of the hypothesis was specific to early adversity characterized by stressful family environments (e.g., family conflict and coercive family relationships) and "resource-poor" environments were theorized to delay puberty (9, 10).

Racial Differences in Pubertal Development

The negative sequalae associated with earlier pubertal timing may be at least partly responsible for the subsequent health disparities evident for Black versus White women (27). Black girls have significantly earlier menarche (31) and breast and pubic hair development (37) than White girls. Black girls also have larger pre-pubertal body mass index (BMI), which may contribute to earlier pubertal maturation (33); however, even after accounting for BMI, Black girls still show significantly earlier pubertal timing than White girls (31, 37). Other factors in addition to BMI (e.g., early adversity) may help to explain the earlier development of Black girls in reference to White girls.

In accordance with the psychosocial acceleration hypothesis, experiencing early life adversity in a socio-cultural context of institutional racism may signal an especially risky environment and lead to earlier pubertal maturation in Black girls. The "weathering" hypothesis posits that exposure to race-related disadvantage prematurely ages or "weathers" the bodies of Black women and leads to early health deterioration (e.g., 58–59). There has been related evidence that the same risk or protective factors may lead to different physiological effects for Black and White individuals. For example, education has been associated with younger biological aging for White adults but not for Black adults; in fact, completing a college degree can forecast worse physical health for Blacks (46, 48). Similarly, after accounting for BMI, a lack of neighborhood recreation was associated with earlier pubertal development, but only for Black girls (73).

Timing and Tempo of Pubertal Development

To fully understand the antecedents and consequences of puberty, research needs to move beyond examining pubertal timing alone by also considering other individual factors related to pubertal development (8). "Pubertal tempo" refers to a child's rate of change of secondary sexual characteristics over a period of time (usually the duration of the pubertal transition). Indeed, faster pubertal tempo has also been associated with increases in depressive symptoms, internalizing and externalizing problems, and riskier sexual behavior, and slower pubertal tempo has been associated with higher depressive symptoms, increases in depressive symptoms, and risk for breast cancer (38–43). To date, only a few studies have examined how early adversity relates to pubertal tempo and the resulting findings have been inconsistent. In one study, physical abuse predicted faster pubertal tempo (56), and in another study, maltreatment was unrelated to pubertal tempo (57).

Girls who enter puberty earlier may spend more time in the pubertal transition, which would lead to an overall slower pubertal tempo (44). Black girls demonstrate earlier pubertal timing as compared to White girls; however, this does not necessarily indicate that Black girls have a significantly different pubertal tempo than White girls (27). More research on racial differences in pubertal tempo is needed; however, there is evidence that Black girls may have a slower pubertal tempo than White girls, and earlier pubertal timing and a slower pubertal tempo were associated with experiencing more depressive symptoms, specifically for Black girls (42).

The Present Study

To date, research on the relation between early life adversity and pubertal timing has usually examined one specific form of early life adversity—for example, abuse or maltreatment. Most studies have investigated sexual abuse in early life and found an association between sexual abuse and earlier pubertal timing (e.g., 49- 53, 57). Moreover, a meta-analysis has concluded that abuse/threat in early life is related to earlier pubertal development, whereas deprivation and socioeconomic status (SES) are not (47). A few studies have examined pubertal tempo, but these investigations have specifically examined abuse or maltreatment, except for Ellis et al. (87) who found that among children with higher stress reactivity, low quality parent-child relationships were associated with earlier pubertal timing and a faster pubertal tempo (from approximately age 9 to 12.5 years old).

Extant research has yet to establish that the association between early life abuse and early pubertal timing generalizes to non-abuse adversity in early life. In fact, some evidence suggests that deprivation or neglect in early life may result in delayed pubertal development (78). Using self-report of pubertal development, recent research with Puerto Rican youth found that cumulative early adversity (i.e., parental loss, neglect and abuse, parental maladjustment, and exposure to violence) led to earlier pubertal timing for girls; however, no association was evident for adversity and pubertal tempo (67). In the present study, therefore, we sought to examine if both abuse and non-abuse adversity in early life influence pubertal timing and pubertal tempo. Moreover, most research on pubertal development has been conducted with White samples, and research on the associations between early adversity and pubertal maturation in Black girls is limited. To our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine if both abuse and non-abuse adversity significantly influences pubertal timing and tempo in both Black and White girls.

To address these questions, we used data from a well-characterized longitudinal cohort study, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study (NGHS; 60), which followed young Black and White girls for ten years. Girls were initially recruited to be age 9 or 10 at the first assessment. We included NGHS data from seven annual assessments of pubertal development (i.e., age at menarche, areolar and pubic hair evaluations) in Black and White girls between ages 9 and 17 years. Our primary aim was to characterize how abuse- and non-abuse stressors occurring in early life were related to pubertal timing and pubertal tempo. Some studies have operationalized early life adversity as low SES. In the present study, SES was treated as a demographic variable and included as a covariate. Pubertal timing was operationalized as pubic hair and areolar development at age 10, as well as age at menarche. Pubertal tempo was operationalized as the rate of pubic hair and areolar development from ages 9 to 16. Secondarily, we examined if documented associations between early adversity (abuse and non-abuse) and pubertal timing and/or pubertal tempo differed for Black vs. White girls. Based on the prior research summarized above, we hypothesized that both abuse and non-abuse adversity in early life would predict early pubertal timing for both Black and White girls. As pubertal tempo is understudied, we had no specific *a priori* hypotheses about the effects of early life adversity on pubertal tempo.

Method

Participants and Procedure

The initial aims of NGHS were to track cardiovascular risk factors and other health-related variables annually from childhood through young adulthood in 1,209 Black and 1,166 White girls. In 1987–1988, the NGHS Contra Costa County cohort (887 girls) was initially recruited at ages 9 and 10 from public and parochial schools in the Richmond Unified School District area. The original investigators chose Richmond, CA based on census data that showed approximately equal percentages of Black and White children with the smallest income and occupational disparity between the races. More details about the initial study sample recruitment are available (60). Retention across the initial 10-year study period was 89% (61).

In 2016, we began a follow-up of the NGHS Contra Costa County cohort to assess adult health and well-being in midlife (ages 38–43). Eligibility criteria for this follow-up study included: (1) being an original NGHS participant; (2) not pregnant at the time of recruitment, and had not experienced a pregnancy, miscarriage, or abortion within the last three months; and (3) not living abroad or incarcerated or otherwise institutionalized. Institutional Review Board approval for the study was obtained from the University of California and all participants provided informed consent. As a result of extensive recruitment efforts, over 73% of eligible women (307 Black and 317 White) were enrolled in the follow-up study. Of these women, 396 completed an interview-based assessment of early life adversity. The study sample consisted of 368 women (186 Black and 182 White, M_{age} = 39.1, SD_{age} = 1.1) who had at least one assessment of pubertal maturation from the first seven years of the initial NGHS study and who had completed the assessment of early life adversity.

Measures

Early Life Adversity—The Stress and Adversity Inventory (STRAIN) (65) was used to retrospectively assess the number of early life stressors experienced (see https://www.strainsetup.com). The STRAIN is a NIMH/RDoC-recommended system that reliably assesses a person's cumulative exposure to stress over the life course by systematically inquiring about a diverse array of acute life events and chronic difficulties. Stressors occurring in early life (e.g., childhood maltreatment, separation from a parent/caregiver, serious illness/death of family member or friend, living in an unsafe neighborhood) are queried in detail. Among other questions, respondents are asked at what age the stressor occurred. We subdivided stressors that were endorsed as happening before age 13 into the categories abuse and non-abuse adversity. Examples of abuse-related adversity included stressors involving emotional abuse, sexual abuse, physical abuse, and prolonged harsh parental discipline. Examples of non-abuse-related adversity included stressors involving education (e.g., failing out of school), housing (e.g., not having a stable place to live), and crime (e.g., house broken into, held-up or robbed). The "abuse" and "non-abuse adversity" variables represent the cumulative endorsed items of abuse or non-abuse-related adversity, respectively, before the age of 13.

Existing literature has shown that cumulative early life adversity predicts health across the lifespan (32). The STRAIN has demonstrated good concurrent and discriminant validity, strong predictive and incremental validity across a variety of clinical and behavioral outcomes, and outstanding test-retest reliability over time (e.g., $r_{icc} > 0.9$; 35–36). It has also been shown to detect race-based differences in lifetime stress exposure (45).

Pubertal Assessment—Physical evaluations of pubertal maturation were made by trained registered nurses and all evaluations were reviewed by a specialist in adolescent medicine (29). Age at menarche (first menstruation) is the most common operationalization of pubertal timing. Girls were asked to self-report age at menarche (if menstruating) at each physical evaluation. Pubic hair and areolar maturation evaluations were conducted based on Tanner staging principles (62), which are the "gold standard" of pubertal assessment (64). Reference stages illustrated the criteria for Black girls as well as for White girls. Pubic hair development was rated from stages 1 to 5 and areolar development was rated from stages 1 to 4, ranging from 1 = "*prepubertal/no development*" to 4/5 ="*mature stage.*" Areolar staging is highly correlated with Tanner breast staging (e.g., areolar stage 2 = Tanner breast stage 2) and considered less subjective (63), as adipose tissue may be mistaken for breast tissue in young girls. To assess pubertal timing, age was centered (the intercept) at the average age at Time 1 (10 years old). For pubertal tempo, a linear slope represented pubertal development from ages 9 to age 16.

Socioeconomic Status—Highest level of education for each parent (both mother and father, if known) and annual household income were self-reported at baseline (ages 9–10). Parental education was coded as 0 = both parents have high school diploma or less and 1 = at least one parent has had some college education. Annual household income was coded 0 = less than $20,000 and 1= $20,000 or more. Maximum level of parental education and annual household income were used as fixed covariates in all models.

Body Mass Index—Height and weight were measured at each of the seven timepoints. All measurements were taken twice and repeated a third time if the first two measurements differed by more than 0.5 cm (height) or 0.3 kg (weight). During the measurements, the girls wore either paper hospital gowns or large T-shirts of known weight. BMI (weight (kg) / height in meters^2) was calculated for each timepoint and was subsequently used as a time-varying covariate in all analysis.

Data Analysis

To determine if there was a significant association between early life adversity and age at menarche, we conducted hierarchical linear regressions. After covariates of parents' education, household income, BMI at Time 1 (age 9–10) and age at Time 1 (to 2 decimals) were entered, the variable representing abuse-related adversity was entered into the model. In secondary analyses, a term representing abuse moderated by race (0 = White, 1 = Black) was entered into the model. In a separate model with the same covariates, the variable representing non-abuse adversity was entered into the model. In secondary analyses, a term representing non-abuse adversity moderated by race was entered into the model.

To assess pubertal tempo, we constructed growth curve models separately for pubic hair (Figure 1) and areolar (Figure 2) development. Age (to two decimal places) at the assessments was included as a Level 1, time-varying predictor so that the exact age at assessment and the exact time duration between assessments was allowed to vary from child to child and from assessment to assessment. Multi-level modeling (lme4, 68) was used with restricted maximum likelihood estimation (REML), with incomplete data treated as missing at random. To assess pubertal timing, age was centered (the intercept) at the average age at Time 1 (10 years old). For pubertal tempo, a linear slope represented pubertal development from ages 9 to age 16. For both areolar and pubic development, there was significant variance in the intercept (Tables 1–2) but not in the slope (< .01, "singular fit"); thus, the intercept remained random in both models and the slope was fixed (68). We included maximum level of parental education and annual household income at Time 1 as fixed covariates in all models. As BMI was measured at every timepoint, mean centered BMI was included as a time-varying covariate. Race, early adversity, and the interaction of race and early life adversity were included as moderators of the intercept and slope. Separate models were conducted for abuse and non-abuse adversity.

Results

Descriptive statistics are reported in Table 1. On average, participants reported 0.61 (SD = 0.83, range 0 to 5) stressors involving abuse before age 13, with 55.7% reporting no abuse. There was no significant difference in the number of abuse-related stressors reported by Black participants (M = 0.54, SD = 0.73) vs. White participants (M = 0.69, SD = 0.92), $t(366)$ = 1.79, p = . 08. On average, participants experienced 0.74 (SD = 0.92, range 0 to 5) early life non-abuse related stressors, with 50.5% reporting no non-abuse adversity. There was no significant difference in the number of non-abuse stressors experienced by Black (M = 0.66, SD = 0.86) vs. White participants (M = 0.82, SD = 0.98), $t(366)$ = 1.75, p = .08.

At baseline, which occurred when girls had an average age of 10, the majority of participants were Tanner stage 1 (prepubertal/no development) in pubic hair development (77.7%) and areolar development (71.1%). The remainder of participants were Tanner stage 2 (pubic hair 8.6%, areolar 25.3%) or 3 (pubic hair 12.8%, areolar 3.5%). By approximately age 16, all girls had completed pubic hair (stage 5) and areolar development (stage 4). Black girls achieved menarche at approximately 12 years old, which was significantly earlier (approximately six months) than White girls. From approximately ages 10 to 13, Black girls were significantly more advanced in terms of pubic hair development as compared to White girls; however, this difference disappeared by age 14. At all assessments, Black girls were significantly more advanced in areolar development and had higher BMI as compared to White girls.

Growth of Areolar and Pubic Hair Development

Adjusting for mothers' education, household income at Time 1, and BMI across the study, when compared to White girls, Black girls had more advanced pubic hair development than at age 10, and a slower pubic hair tempo than from ages 9 to 16. On average, Black girls were Tanner stage 1.74 and White girls were Tanner stage 1.20 in pubic hair development at

age 10. Black girls increased 0.59 Tanner stages/year and White girls increased 0.68 Tanner stages/year in pubic hair development from ages 9 to 16.

Adjusting for mothers' education, household income at Time 1, and BMI across the study, when compared to White girls, Black girls had more advanced areolar development at age 10, and a slower areolar tempo than White girls from ages 9 to 16. On average, Black girls were Tanner stage 1.71 and White girls were Tanner stage 1.38 in areolar development at age 10. Black girls increased 0.40 Tanner stages/year and White girls increased 0.44 Tanner stages/year in areolar development from ages 9 to 16.

Effects of Early Life Adversity on Age at Menarche

Adjusting for race, parents' education, household income, BMI at Time 1 (age 9/10) and age at Time 1, greater abuse was associated with younger age at menarche (indicating earlier pubertal timing), $b = -0.22$, $p = .005$, 95% CI [−0.39, −0.06] (Table 2). Non-abuse adversity was not significantly associated with age of menarche, $b = -0.04$, $p = .56$, 95% CI [−0.17, 0.09]. Age at menarche was not related to abuse exposure as moderated by race, $b = -0.15$, $p = .34$, 95% CI [−0.45, 0.16], or non-abuse stressor exposure as moderated by race, $b = 0.08$, $p = .56$, 95% CI [−0.18, 0.35].

Effects of Early Life Adversity on Areolar and Pubic Hair Development

Abuse

Adjusting for race, parents' education, household income, and BMI, early life abuse was significantly associated with more advanced pubic hair development at age 10 (indicating earlier pubertal timing), $b = 0.11$, $p = .003$, 95% CI [0.04, 0.18], and also a slower tempo of pubic hair development from 9 to 16, $b = -0.03$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [−0.05, −0.01] (Table 3). Adjusting for race, parents' education, household income, and BMI, early life abuse was not significantly associated with areolar development at age 10, $b = 0.06$, $p = .07$, 95% CI [−0.004, 0.12]; however, early life abuse was significantly associated with a slower tempo of areolar development from 9 to 16, $b = -0.02$, $p = .02$, 95% CI [−0.03, −0.003].

Moderation by Race.—Race moderated the association between early life abuse and pubic hair development, $b = -0.06$, $p = .001$, 95% CI [−0.09, 0.02]. Namely, for Black girls, early abuse was significantly associated with more advanced pubic hair development at age 10 (indicating earlier pubertal timing), $b = 0.23$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [0.12, 0.35], and a slower tempo of pubic hair development from 9 to 16, $b = -0.07$, $p < .001$, 95% CI [−0.09, −0.04]. In contrast, race did not moderate the association between abuse and areolar development, $b = -0.02$, $p = .12$, 95% CI [−0.05, 0.01].

Non-abuse-related Adversity

Adjusting for race, parents' education, household income, and BMI, non-abuse-related stressors occurring in early life were not associated with pubic hair development at age 10, $b = 0.01$, $p = .67$, 95% CI [−0.05, 0.08], or with pubic hair tempo from 9 to 16, $b = -0.01$, $p = .37$, 95% CI [−0.02, 0.01]. Similarly, adjusting for race, parents' education, household income, and BMI, non-abuse-related stressors were not related to areolar development at

age 10, $b = -0.01$, $p = .77$, 95% CI [−0.06, 0.05], or with areolar tempo from 9 to 16, $b = -0.001$, $p = .84$, 95% CI [−0.01, 0.01].

Moderation by Race.—Race did not moderate the association between non-abuse-related adversity and pubic hair development, $b = 0.01$, $p = .74$, 95% CI [−0.03, 0.04], or between non-abuse-related adversity and areolar development, $b = -0.02$, $p = .24$, 95% CI [−0.04, 0.01].

Discussion

To date, few studies have investigated pubertal tempo as an outcome of interest, and to our knowledge, the present study is the first to examine racial differences in the influence of early life adversity on pubertal tempo. In a longitudinal cohort of Black and White girls assessed annually from childhood through adolescence, we found that early life abuse was associated with earlier pubertal timing (i.e., younger age at menarche, more pubic hair development at age 10) and slower pubertal tempo (i.e., slower rate of pubic hair and areolar maturation from ages 9 to 16). In contrast, non-abuse-related stressors were not associated with pubertal timing or tempo. Early life abuse appeared to amplify the already-significant race differences in pubertal timing and tempo, in that Black girls with early life abuse were earlier in their pubertal timing and slower in their pubertal tempo than White girls with early life abuse. These results held while adjusting for BMI at all timepoints, parental education, and household income at baseline.

Consistent with existing meta-analytic data (47), in the present study, only early life abuse was associated with earlier pubertal timing; additionally, non-abuse adversity before age 13 was not associated with pubertal timing. Conflictual family relationships in childhood have been reliably associated with earlier pubertal timing in exclusively non-Hispanic White samples (e.g., 87). In the one study that examined race as a moderator of the association between adversity and pubertal timing, poverty in early life was associated with earlier age at menarche for White girls, but there was no association between poverty and menarche for Black girls (55). Only one other study has examined race as a moderator of pubertal tempo, and consistent with Keenan et al. (42), Black girls exhibited earlier pubertal timing and slower pubertal tempo than White girls in the present study. To our knowledge, given that prior research has not included race as a moderator of the early adversity-pubertal maturation relationship, it is difficult to directly compare the present findings to those of previous studies. Studies of predominantly White children that include non-White girls may not have the number of Black participants needed to be sufficiently powered to show significant differences.

As the amount of early life abuse experienced did not significantly differ between Black and White girls, experiencing more abuse did not appear to explain the earlier pubertal timing and slower tempo of Black vs. white girls. Experiencing early life adversity as a Black child in the context of institutional racism (i.e., the United States) may confer an additional level of risk that contributes to the influence on pubertal development. Race-related risk factors (not measured in this study) may interact with early life abuse and result in an adverse environment that fosters earlier reproductive readiness. If Black girls start

showing more accelerated biological aging in childhood than White girls (e.g., in the form of earlier pubertal maturation), this may suggest that the weathering process (58–59) begins in childhood. Moreover, the stronger association between early abuse and pubertal maturation in Black girls may result in a higher likelihood of experiencing the negative consequences associated with earlier pubertal timing and slower tempo. For example, earlier pubertal timing and a slower pubertal tempo have been found to be associated with experiencing more depressive symptoms, specifically for Black girls (42).

In the present study, early life abuse appeared to have a stronger association with pubic hair development than areolar development. Early abuse was related to early pubertal timing as indexed by age of menarche and pubic hair development at age 10, and with slower pubic hair and areolar tempo; however, the relation between early abuse and areolar development at age 10 was not significant ($p = .07$). Black girls demonstrated a stronger association between abuse and pubic hair development than White girls, and this moderated effect by race was not evident for the association between early life abuse and areolar development. These discrepancies may be due to lack of power; therefore, research should continue to examine the relation between early life abuse and both pubic hair and areolar development. Replicating the present findings is necessary before drawing strong conclusions from these results.

Pubertal maturation consists of two processes—adrenarche and gonadarche—and each involves a different endocrine axis: the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal (HPG) axis, respectively (81). The development of pubic hair is understood to represent adrenarche and breast development (and menarche) to represent gonadarche (82). The duration between pubertal onset and menarche varies across individuals (83), and early breast or pubic hair development is not necessarily followed by early menarche (84–85). Most studies that have examined associations between early life adversity and pubertal timing have used self-reported age of menarche (a relatively late event in the pubertal transition) to represent pubertal timing (47). Girls who have an earlier age of menarche tend to have a much shorter time to full reproductive competence (when cycles are consistently ovulatory and consequently, a greater likelihood of pregnancy), onset of sexual activity and earlier age at first birth; although, these traits are also genetically correlated (10). Associations found with younger age at menarche would not necessarily hold for earlier pubic hair or breast development, which have been understudied in comparison to menarche.

The specific mechanisms by which early adversity confers risk for earlier pubertal timing are unknown. The signal from abusive environments that results in the acceleration of puberty does not necessarily mean that girls have a conscious assessment that delaying reproduction is risky (86). One possibility is that exposure to highly stressful environments in early life upregulates stress reactivity systems (79), which leads to a recalibration of the HPA axis that accelerates adrenarche (10, 80) and results in a more "adult-like" inverse coupling of the HPA and HPG axes (54). This inverse coupling of the HPA and HPG axes may trigger the release of hormones via the HPG axis and so result in earlier maturation (69, 70). Early pubertal timing may be accompanied by a compensatory process that extends

maturation (72). Girls who enter puberty earlier appear to spend more time in the pubertal transition, which would lead to an overall slower pubertal tempo (44, 71).

The study has several limitations that should be considered. First, early life adversity was retrospectively assessed and could have been influenced by cognitive and/or self-report biases that affect accuracy (74–75). Although retrospective report of maltreatment is commonly-used, feasible, and useful with an adult sample (76–77), future research would benefit from including both prospective and retrospective reports of early life adversity, which can differ. Second, some participants had already begun pubertal development at the time of the first assessment. Specifically, at the first examination, 29% of the sample had begun areolar development and 22% had begun pubic hair development (Tanner stage 2 or higher). Ideally, we would have had an earlier assessment where all of the participants were stage 1 in both areolar and pubic hair development. Consequently, we may have missed the onset of maturation for those girls who experienced the earliest onset of pubertal development. Third, the interview we used for assessing early life stress is well-validated and assesses stressors associated with race and discrimination, but it is not designed to directly assess these stressors, which is a limitation that could be remedied in future work. Finally, although the present study included both self-report and physical examination of pubertal development, future research should consider also incorporating other metrics of pubertal development, such as hormonal measures.

Despite these limitations, the study has significant strengths. First, the study design was ideal for investigating the influence of early life adversity on pubertal timing at age 10, when there was significant variability in both areolar and pubic hair development, as well as pubertal tempo over seven years. Second, pubertal assessments were conducted annually using the "gold standard" of Tanner staging via physical examination by a medical professional. As a result, in contrast to most work, the study was able to examine pubertal timing and tempo using both areolar and pubic hair development. Many studies of pubertal development have been conducted with self-report measures and cannot provide separate assessments of areolar and pubic development. Finally, pubertal development was assessed prospectively and repeatedly (i.e., seven times) from pre-adolescence to late adolescence, in a moderately large sample of equal numbers of Black and White girls. In contrast to many prior studies of early life adversity and pubertal maturation, our cell sizes were large enough to permit the examination of racial differences with limited SES confounding.

In sum, in the context of a comprehensive longitudinal study, Black girls experienced pubertal development earlier than White girls and over a longer time period, thus indicating slower pubertal tempo. Moreover, abuse (but not non-abuse) stressors occurring in early life were associated with earlier pubertal timing at age 10 and slower pubertal tempo from ages 9 to 16, and this association was stronger for Black vs. White girls. Early life abuse therefore appears to result in earlier pubertal maturation, which has serious psychosocial and health-related consequences. Screening for early life abuse could help to identify youth at risk of earlier puberty who would benefit from intervention during this sensitive developmental period to head-off increased risk for health problems later in life. Any modifiable factors that influence puberty, such as exposure to abuse in early life, may be important targets to aid in the amelioration of race-related health disparities.

Acknowledgments

ACRONYMS:

NHLBI	National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute
NGHS	NHLBI Growth and Health Study
ELA	early life adversity
BMI	body mass index
HPA	hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal
HPG	hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal
STRAIN	Stress and Adversity Inventory
NIMH	National Institute of Mental Health
RDoC	Research Domain Criteria
MLM	multi-level modeling
REML	restricted-maximum likelihood

References

1. Chapman DP, Whitfield CL, Felitti VJ, Dube SR, Edwards VJ, & Anda RF (2004). Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of depressive disorders in adulthood. Journal of Affective Disorders, 82(2), 217–225. [PubMed: 15488250]

2. Gilbert LK, Breiding MJ, Merrick MT, Thompson WW, Ford DC, Dhingra SS, & Parks SE (2015). Childhood adversity and adult chronic disease: An update from ten states and the District of Columbia, 2010. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 48(3), 345–349. [PubMed: 25300735]

3. Su S, Jimenez MP, Roberts CTF, & Loucks EB (2015). The role of adverse childhood experiences in cardiovascular disease risk: A review with emphasis on plausible mechanisms. Current Cardiology Reports, 17, 88. [PubMed: 26289252]

4. Hughes K, Bellis MA, Hardcastle KA, Sethi D, Butchart A, Mikton C, … Dunne MP (2017). The effect of multiple adverse childhood experiences on health: a systematic review and meta-analysis. The Lancet Public Health, 2(8), e356–e366. [PubMed: 29253477]

5. Merrick MT, Ford DC, Ports KA, Guinn AS, Chen J, Klevens J, ... & Ottley, P. (2019). Vital signs: Estimated proportion of adult health problems attributable to adverse childhood experiences and implications for prevention—25 States, 2015–2017. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 68(44), 999–1005. [PubMed: 31697656]

6. Putnam KT, Harris WW, & Putnam FW (2013). Synergistic childhood adversities and complex adult psychopathology. Journal of Traumatic Stress, 26(4), 435–442. [PubMed: 23893545]

7. Bleil ME, Adler NE, Appelhans BM, Gregorich SE, Sternfeld B, & Cedars MI (2013). Childhood adversity and pubertal timing: Understanding the origins of adulthood cardiovascular risk. Biological Psychology, 93(1), 213–219. [PubMed: 23428374]

8. Mendle J. (2014). Beyond pubertal timing. Current Directions in Psychological Science, 23(3), 215–219.

9. Belsky J, Steinberg L, & Draper P. (1991). Childhood experience, interpersonal development, and reproductive strategy: An evolutionary theory of socialization. Child Development, 62(4), 647–670. [PubMed: 1935336]

10. Ellis BJ (2004). Timing of pubertal maturation in girls: An integrated life history approach. Psychological Bulletin, 130(6), 920–958. [PubMed: 15535743]

11. Deardorff J, Gonzales NA, Christopher FS, Roosa MW, & Millsap RE (2005). Early puberty and adolescent pregnancy: The influence of alcohol use. Pediatrics, 116(6), 1451–1456. [PubMed: 16322170]

12. Dunbar J, Sheeder J, Lezotte D, Dabelea D, & Stevens-Simon C. (2008). Age at menarche and first pregnancy among psychosocially at-risk adolescents. American Journal of Public Health, 98(10), 1822–1824. [PubMed: 18703451]

13. Lakshman R, Forouhi NG, Sharp SJ, Luben R, Bingham SA, Khaw KT, … Ong KK (2009). Early age at menarche associated with cardiovascular disease and mortality. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 94(12), 4953–4960. [PubMed: 19880785]

14. Golub MS, Collman GW, Foster PMD, Kimmel CA, Rajpert-De-Meyts E, Reiter EO, … Toppari J. (2008). Public health implications of altered puberty timing. Pediatrics, 121(S3), S218–230. [PubMed: 18245514]

15. Mendle J, Turkheimer E, & Emery RE (2007). Detrimental psychological outcomes associated with early pubertal timing in adolescent girls. Developmental Review, 27(2), 151–171. [PubMed: 20740062]

16. Charalampopoulos D, McLoughlin A, Elks CE, & Ong KK (2014). Age at menarche and risks of all-cause and cardiovascular death: A systematic review and meta-analysis. American Journal of Epidemiology, 180(1), 29–40. [PubMed: 24920784]

17. Giles LC, Glonek GFV, Moore VM, Davies MJ, & Luszcz MA (2010). Lower age at menarche affects survival in older Australian women: Results from the Australian Longitudinal Study of Ageing. BMC Public Health, 10, 341. [PubMed: 20546623]

18. Jacobsen BK, Heuch I, & Kvåle G. (2007). Association of low age at menarche with increased all-cause mortality: A 37-year follow-up of 61,319 Norwegian women. American Journal of Epidemiology, 166(12), 1431–1437. [PubMed: 17875585]

19. Jacobsen BK, Oda K, Knutsen SF, & Fraser GE (2009). Age at menarche, total mortality and mortality from ischaemic heart disease and stroke: the Adventist Health Study, 1976–88. International Journal of Epidemiology, 38(1), 245–252. [PubMed: 19188208]

20. Tamakoshi K, Yatsuya H, & Tamakoshi A. (2011). Early age at menarche associated with increased all-cause mortality. European Journal of Epidemiology, 26(10), 771–778. [PubMed: 22006230]

21. Laitinen J, Power C, & Järvelin MR (2001). Family social class, maternal body mass index, childhood body mass index, and age at menarche as predictors of adult obesity. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 74(3), 287–294.

22. Pierce MB, Kuh D, & Hardy R. (2010). Role of lifetime body mass index in the association between age at puberty and adult lipids: Findings from men and women in a British birth cohort. Annals of Epidemiology, 20(9), 676–682. [PubMed: 20696407]

23. Pierce MB, & Leon DA (2005). Age at menarche and adult BMI in the Aberdeen Children of the 1950s Cohort Study. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 82(4), 733–739.

24. Prentice P, & Viner RM (2013). Pubertal timing and adult obesity and cardiometabolic risk in women and men: A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Journal of Obesity, 37, 1036–1043. [PubMed: 23164700]

25. Widén E, Silventoinen K, Sovio U, Ripatti S, Cousminer DL, Hartikainen AL, … Palotie A. (2012). Pubertal timing and growth influences cardiometabolic risk factors in adult males and females. Diabetes Care, 35(4), 850–856. [PubMed: 22338106]

26. Frontini MG, Srinivasan SR, & Berenson GS (2003). Longitudinal changes in risk variables underlying metabolic Syndrome X from childhood to young adulthood in female subjects with a history of early menarche: The Bogalusa Heart Study. International Journal of Obesity, 27(11), 1398–1404. [PubMed: 14574352]

27. Bleil ME, Booth-LaForce C, & Benner AD (2017). Race disparities in pubertal timing: implications for cardiovascular disease risk among African American women. Population Research and Policy Review, 36(5), 717–738. [PubMed: 30127541]

28. Herman-Giddens ME (2006). Recent data on pubertal milestones in United States children: the secular trend toward earlier development. International Journal of Andrology, 29(1), 241–246. [PubMed: 16466545]

29. Hamlat EJ, Prather AA, Belsky J, & Epel ES (in press). Early life adversity, pubertal timing, and epigenetic age acceleration in adulthood. Developmental Psychobiology.

30. Bleil ME, Spieker SJ, Gregorich SE, Thomas AS, Hiatt RA, Appelhans BM, ... & Booth-LaForce C. (2021). Early life adversity and pubertal timing: implications for cardiometabolic health. Journal of Pediatric Psychology, 46(1), 36–48. [PubMed: 33120426]

31. Freedman DS, Khan LK, Serdula MK, Dietz WH, Srinivasan SR, & Berenson GS (2002). Relation of age at menarche to race, time period, and anthropometric dimensions: The Bogalusa Heart Study. Pediatrics, 110(4), e43.

32. Danielson R, & Sanders GF (2018). An effective measure of childhood adversity that is valid with older adults. Child Abuse & Neglect, 82, 156–167. [PubMed: 29906739]

33. Styne DM (2004). Puberty, obesity and ethnicity. Trends in Endocrinology and Metabolism, 15, 472–478. [PubMed: 15541646]

34. Euling SY, Herman-Giddens ME, Lee PA, Selevan SG, Juul A, Sørensen TI, Dunkel L, Himes JH, Teilmann G, & Swan SH (2008). Examination of US puberty-timing data from 1940 to 1994 for secular trends: Panel findings. Pediatrics, 121(S3), S172–S191 [PubMed: 18245511]

35. Cazassa MJ, Oliveira MDS, Spahr CM, Shields GS, & Slavich GM (2020). The Stress and Adversity Inventory for Adults (Adult STRAIN) in Brazilian Portuguese: Initial validation and links with executive function, sleep, and mental and physical health. Frontiers in Psychology, 10, 3083. [PubMed: 32063871]

36. Sturmbauer SC, Shields GS, Hetzel EL, Rohleder N, & Slavich GM (2019). The Stress and Adversity Inventory for Adults (Adult STRAIN) in German: An overview and initial validation. PLoS One, 14(5), e0216419.

37. Wu T, Mendola P, & Buck GM (2002). Ethnic differences in the presence of secondary sex characteristics and menarche among US girls: The Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. Pediatrics, 110(4), 752–757. [PubMed: 12359790]

38. Beltz AM, Corley RP, Bricker JB, Wadsworth SJ, & Berenbaum SA (2014). Modeling pubertal timing and tempo and examining links to behavior problems. Developmental Psychology, 50(12), 2715–2726. [PubMed: 25437757]

39. Bodicoat DH, Schoemaker MJ, Jones ME, McFadden E, Griffin J, Ashworth A, & Swerdlow AJ (2014). Timing of pubertal stages and breast cancer risk: The Breakthrough Generations Study. Breast Cancer Research, 16(1), 2019–2020.

40. Colditz GA, & Bohlke K. (2014). Priorities for the primary prevention of breast cancer. CA: A cancer journal for clinicians, 64(3), 186–194. [PubMed: 24647877]

41. Houghton LC, Jung S, Troisi R, Leblanc ES, Snetselaar LG, Hylton NM, … Dorgan JF (2019). Pubertal timing and breast density in young women: A prospective cohort study. Breast Cancer Research, 21(1), 1–8. [PubMed: 30611295]

42. Keenan K, Culbert KM, Grimm KJ, Hipwell AE, & Stepp SD (2014). Exploring the complex association between pubertal development and depression in African American and European American girls. Journal of Abnormal Psychology, 123(4), 725–736. [PubMed: 25314262]

43. Marceau K, Ram N, Houts RM, Grimm KJ, & Susman EJ (2011). Individual differences in boys' and girls' timing and tempo of puberty: Modeling development with nonlinear growth models. Developmental Psychology, 47(5), 1389–1409. [PubMed: 21639623]

44. Lee Y, & Styne D. (2013). Influences on the onset and tempo of puberty in human beings and implications for adolescent psychological development. Hormones and Behavior, 64, 250–261. [PubMed: 23998669]

45. Smith T, Johns-Wolfe E, Shields GS, Malat J, Jacquez F, & Slavich GM (2020). Associations between lifetime stress exposure and prenatal health behaviors. Stress and Health, 36, 384–395. [PubMed: 31994297]

46. Gaydosh L, Schorpp KM, Chen E, Miller GE, & Harris KM (2018). College completion predicts lower depression but higher metabolic syndrome among disadvantaged minorities in young adulthood. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115(1), 109–114.

47. Colich NL, Rosen ML, Williams ES, & McLaughlin KA (2020). Biological aging in childhood and adolescence following experiences of threat and deprivation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychological Bulletin, 146(9), 721–764. [PubMed: 32744840]

48. Liu Z, Chen BH, Assimes TL, Ferrucci L, Horvath S, & Levine ME (2019). The role of epigenetic aging in education and racial/ethnic mortality disparities among older U.S. Women. Psychoneuroendocrinology, 104, 18–24. [PubMed: 30784901]

49. Boynton-Jarrett R, Wright RJ, Putnam FW, Lividoti Hibert E, Michels KB, Forman MR, & Rich-Edwards J. (2013). Childhood abuse and age at menarche. Journal of Adolescent Health, 52(2), 241–247.

50. Brown DW, Anda RF, Tiemeier H, Felitti VJ, Edwards VJ, Croft JB, & Giles WH (2009). Adverse childhood experiences and the risk of premature mortality. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 37(5), 389–396. [PubMed: 19840693]

51. Herman-Giddens ME, Sandler AD, & Friedman NE (1988). Sexual precocity in girls: an association with sexual abuse? American Journal of Diseases of Children, 142(4), 431–433. [PubMed: 3348186]

52. Noll JG, Trickett PK, Long JD, Negriff S, Susman EJ, Shalev I, … Putnam FW (2017). Childhood sexual abuse and early timing of puberty. Journal of Adolescent Health, 60(1), 65–71.

53. Vigil JM, Geary DC, & Byrd-Craven J. (2005). A life history assessment of early childhood sexual abuse in women. Developmental Psychology, 41(3), 553–561. [PubMed: 15910162]

54. Ruttle PL, Shirtcliff EA, Armstrong JM, Klein MH, & Essex MJ (2015). Neuroendocrine coupling across adolescence and the longitudinal influence of early life stress. Developmental Psychobiology, 57(6), 688–704. [PubMed: 23775330]

55. Reagan PB, Salsberry PJ, Fang MZ, Gardner WP, & Pajer K. (2012). African-American/white differences in the age of menarche: Accounting for the difference. Social Science & Medicine, 75(7), 1263–1270. [PubMed: 22726619]

56. Mendle J, Leve LD, Van Ryzin M, Natsuaki MN, & Ge X. (2011). Associations between early life stress, child maltreatment, and pubertal development among girls in foster care. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 21(4), 871–880. [PubMed: 22337616]

57. Negriff S, Blankson AN, & Trickett PK (2015). Pubertal timing and tempo: Associations with childhood maltreatment. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 25(2), 201–213. [PubMed: 26146470]

58. Geronimus AT (1992). The weathering hypothesis and the health of African-American women and infants: evidence and speculations. Ethnicity & Disease, 2(3), 207–221. [PubMed: 1467758]

59. Geronimus AT, Hicken M, Keene D, & Bound J. (2006). "Weathering" and age patterns of allostatic load scores among blacks and whites in the United States. American Journal of Public Health, 96(5), 826–833. [PubMed: 16380565]

60. The National Heart Lung and Blood Institute Growth and Health Study Research Group. (1992). The obesity and cardiovascular disease risk factors in black and white girls: the NHLBI Growth and Health Study. American Journal of Public Health, 82(12), 1613–1620. [PubMed: 1456335]

61. Kimm SY, Barton BA, Obarzanek E, McMahon RP, Sabry ZI, Waclawiw MA, … Daniels SR (2001). Racial divergence in adiposity during adolescence: The NHLBI Growth and Health Study. Pediatrics, 107(3), e34.

62. Marshall WA, & Tanner JM (1969). Variations in pattern of pubertal changes in girls. Archives of Disease in Childhood, 44(235), 291–303. [PubMed: 5785179]

63. Biro FM, Falkner F, Khoury P, Morrison JA, & Lucky AW (1992). Areolar and breast staging in adolescent girls. Adolescent and Pediatric Gynecology, 5(4), 271–272.

64. Dorn LD, Dahl RE, Woodward HR, & Biro F. (2006). Defining the boundaries of early adolescence: A user's guide to assessing pubertal status and pubertal timing in research with adolescents. Applied Developmental Science, 10(1), 30–56.

65. Slavich GM, & Shields GS (2018). Assessing lifetime stress exposure using the Stress and Adversity Inventory for Adults (Adult STRAIN): An overview and initial validation. Psychosomatic Medicine, 80(1), 17–27. [PubMed: 29016550]

66. Slavich GM (2019). Stressnology: The primitive (and problematic) study of life stress exposure and pressing need for better measurement. Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 75, 3–5.

67. Suglia SF, Chen C, Wang S, Cammack AL, April-Sanders AK, McGlinchey EL, ... & Duarte CS (2020). Childhood adversity and pubertal development among Puerto Rican boys and girls. Psychosomatic Medicine, 82(5), 487–494. [PubMed: 32515925]

68. Bates D, Maechler M, & Bolker B. (2015). Walker., S. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software, 67(1), 1–48.

69. Negriff S, Saxbe DE, & Trickett PK (2015). Childhood maltreatment, pubertal development, HPA axis functioning, and psychosocial outcomes: An integrative biopsychosocial model. Developmental Psychobiology, 57(8), 984–993. [PubMed: 26358357]

70. Saxbe DE, Negriff S, Susman EJ, & Trickett PK (2015). Attenuated hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis functioning predicts accelerated pubertal development in girls 1 year later. Development and Psychopathology, 27(3), 819–828. [PubMed: 25154521]

71. Martí-Henneberg C, & Vizmanos B. (1997). The duration of puberty in girls is related to the timing of its onset. The Journal of Pediatrics, 131(4), 618–621. [PubMed: 9386670]

72. Gamble J. (2017). Puberty: Early starters. Nature, 550(7674), S10–S11. [PubMed: 28976952]

73. Deardorff J, Fyfe M, Ekwaru JP, Kushi LH, Greenspan LC, & Yen IH (2012). Does neighborhood environment influence girls' pubertal onset? findings from a cohort study. BMC pediatrics, 12(1), 27. [PubMed: 22414266]

74. Hardt J, & Rutter M. (2004). Validity of adult retrospective reports of adverse childhood experiences: Review of the evidence. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 45, 260–273.

75. Reuben A, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Belsky DW, Harrington H, Schroeder F, … Danese A. (2016). Lest we forget: Comparing retrospective and prospective assessments of adverse childhood experiences in the prediction of adult health. Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines, 57(10), 1103–1112.

76. Baldwin JR, Reuben A, Newbury JB, & Danese A. (2019). Agreement between prospective and retrospective measures of childhood maltreatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA psychiatry, 76(6), 584–593. [PubMed: 30892562]

77. Newbury JB, Arseneault L, Moffitt TE, Caspi A, Danese A, Baldwin JR, & Fisher HL (2018). Measuring childhood maltreatment to predict early-adult psychopathology: Comparison of prospective informant-reports and retrospective self-reports. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 96, 57–64. [PubMed: 28965006]

78. Sumner JA, Colich NL, Uddin M, Armstrong D, & McLaughlin KA (2019). Early experiences of threat, but not deprivation, are associated with accelerated biological aging in children and adolescents. Biological Psychiatry, 85(3), 268–278. [PubMed: 30391001]

79. Boyce WT, & Ellis BJ (2005). Biological sensitivity to context: I. An evolutionary–developmental theory of the origins and functions of stress reactivity. Development and Psychopathology, 17(2), 271–301. [PubMed: 16761546]

80. Cizza G, Dorn LD, Lotsikas A, Sereika S, Rotenstein D, & Chrousos GP (2001). Circulating plasma leptin and IGF-1 levels in girls with premature adrenarche: potential implications of a preliminary study. Hormone and Metabolic Research, 33(03), 138–143. [PubMed: 11355746]

81. Dorn LD, & Biro FM (2011). Puberty and its measurement: A decade in review. Journal of Research on Adolescence, 21(1), 180–195.

82. Biro FM, Huang B, Daniels SR, & Lucky AW (2008). Pubarche as well as thelarche may be a marker for the onset of puberty. Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology, 21(6), 323–328. [PubMed: 19064225]

83. Hiatt RA, Stewart SL, Hoeft KS, Kushi LH, Windham GC, Biro FM, ... & Braithwaite D. (2017). Childhood socioeconomic position and pubertal onset in a cohort of multiethnic girls: implications for breast cancer. Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention Biomarkers, 26(12), 1714–1721.

84. Pantsiotou S, Papadimitriou A, Douros K, Priftis K, Nicolaidou P, & Fretzayas A. (2008). Maturational tempo differences in relation to the timing of the onset of puberty in girls. Acta Paediatrica, 97(2), 217–220. [PubMed: 18177444]

85. Ellis BJ, Shirtcliff EA, Boyce WT, Deardorff J, & Essex MJ (2011). Quality of early family relationships and the timing and tempo of puberty: Effects depend on biological sensitivity to context. Development and Psychopathology, 23(1), 85. [PubMed: 21262041]

86. Rickard IJ, Frankenhuis WE, & Nettle D. (2014). Why are childhood family factors associated with timing of maturation? A role for internal prediction. Perspectives on Psychological Science, 9(1), 3–15. [PubMed: 26173236]

87. Manuck SB, Craig AE, Flory JD, Halder I, & Ferrell RE (2011). Reported early family environment covaries with menarcheal age as a function of polymorphic variation in Estrogen Receptor-α (ESR1). Development and Psychopathology, 23(1), 69–83. [PubMed: 21262040]

Figure 1. Pubic hair development from ages 9 to 16 in Black and White girls

Figure 2. Areolar development from ages 9 to 16 in Black and White girls

Table 1.

Descriptive statistics for Black (n =186) and White (n = 182) girls.

		Time 1	Time 2	Time 3	Time 4	Time 5	Time 6	Time 7
Age	Total	**9.94 (0.55)**	**10.88 (0.55)**	**11.89 (0.58)**	**12.88 (0.56)**	**13.87 (0.58)**	**14.89 (0.57)**	**15.94 (0.57)**
M (SD)	Black	9.95 (0.57)	10.90 (0.58)	11.93 (0.60)	12.90 (0.57)	13.90 (0.60)	14.89 (0.58)	15.94 (0.58)
	White	9.93 (0.54)	10.86 (0.53)	11.85 (0.57)	12.85 (0.55)	13.84 (0.56)	14.89 (0.55)	15.93 (0.56)
	(t)	0.42	0.72	1.33	0.80	0.98	0.08	0.18
Areolar Tanner Stage	Total	**1.32 (0.54)**	**1.81 (0.69)**	**2.57 (0.75)**	**2.79 (0.79)**	**3.07 (0.81)**	**3.71 (0.50)**	**4.00 (0)**
M (SD)	Black	1.48 (0.62)	1.94 (0.71)	2.77 (0.76)	2.93 (0.80)	3.22 (0.72)	3.79 (0.41)	4.00 (0)
	White	1.17 (0.39)	1.68 (0.64)	2.36 (0.69)	2.64 (0.75)	2.92 (0.87)	3.61 (0.57)	4.00 (0)
	(t)	5.57 ***	3.52 ***	5.14 ***	3.37 ***	3.40 ***	3.27 **	---
Pubic Hair Tanner Stage	Total	**1.36 (0.71)**	**1.80 (0.85)**	**2.70 (0.88)**	**3.55 (0.95)**	**4.17 (0.75)**	**4.55 (0.58)**	**5.00 (0)**
M (SD)	Black	1.54 (0.81)	2.12 (0.77)	2.98 (0.82)	3.80 (0.92)	4.24 (0.69)	4.58 (0.53)	5.00 (0)
	White	1.16 (0.52)	1.50 (0.77)	2.39 (0.84)	3.28 (0.90)	4.09 (0.81)	4.51 (0.64)	5.00 (0)
	(t)	5.33 ***	7.08 ***	6.47 ***	5.10 ***	1.89	1.08	---
Body Mass Index	Total	**18.69 (3.78)**	**19.85 (4.21)**	**20.67 (4.45)**	**22.06 (4.75)**	**23.14 (5.10)**	**23.66 (5.32)**	**24.12 (5.44)**
M (SD)	Black	19.21 (4.07)	20.60 (4.61)	21.60 (4.86)	22.93 (5.07)	24.00 (5.57)	24.53 (5.84)	25.10 (5.85)
	White	18.17 (3.40)	19.08 (3.61)	19.70 (3.75)	21.14 (4.21)	22.19 (4.34)	22.72 (4.53)	23.17 (4.85)
	(t)	2.68 **	3.46 ***	4.09 ***	3.58 ***	3.33 **	3.13 **	3.23 **

		Parents' Education: Some college or more %c(n)	Household Annual Income: $20K + %c(n)		Early Adversity (abuse) M (SD), range 0 to 5		Early Adversity (non-abuse) M (SD), range 0 to 5
	Total	**78.7 (295)**	**62.7 (235)**	Total	0.61 (0.83)	Total	0.74 (0.92)
	Black	78.2 (147)	44.1 (83)	Black	0.54 (0.73)	Black	0.66 (0.86)
	White	79.1 (148)	81.3 (152	White	0.69 (0.92)	White	0.82 (0.98)
	(X²)	0.05	61.56 ***	(t)	1.79	(t)	1.75

Age at Menarche			
M (SD)	Total	**12.25 (1.21)**	
range: 8.48 – 17.20	Black	11.94 (1.20)	
	White	12.49 (1.20)	
	(X²)	4.31 ***	

Note.

*
$p < .05$;

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

**
$p < .01$;

***
$p < .001$.

Table 2.

Effects of early life abuse on age at menarche

Predictor of age of menarche	b	SE	ß	t	p
Household annual income (*At least $20,000*)	−0.23	0.14	−.09	−1.63	.11
Max parental education (*At least some college*)	0.19	0.15	.06	1.21	.23
Race (0 = white, 1 = black)	−0.60	0.14	−.25	−4.44	<.001
Body mass index at Time 1 (age 9/10)	−0.07	0.02	−.23	−4.44	<.001
Age at Time 1	0.24	0.11	.11	2.14	.03
Early life abuse	−0.22	0.08	−.14	−2.84	.005

Table 3.

Effects of early life abuse on linear growth of pubic hair and areolar development

Pubic Hair Development				
Random effects	**Variance**	*SD*		**CI (95%)**
Intercept: centered at age 10 (pubertal timing)	0.13	0.36		(0.32, 0.40)
Fixed effects	Estimate	*SE*	*t*	CI (95%)
Intercept: centered at age 10 (pubertal timing)	1.24	0.07	17.02 ***	(1.10, 1.38)
Slope (pubertal tempo)	0.66	<0.01	66.72 ***	(0.64, 0.68)
Max parental education: some college +	0.04	0.06	0.66	(−0.07, 0.15)
Household annual income: $20,000+	−0.01	0.05	−0.13	(−0.11, 0.10)
BMI: centered, time-varying	0.02	<0.01	4.45 ***	(0.01, 0.03)
Race: 0 = white, 1 = black	0.32	0.05	6.35 ***	(0.22, 0.42)
Abuse count	0.11	0.04	2.98 **	(0.04, 0.18)
Abuse × Slope interaction	−0.03	<0.01	−3.32 ***	(−0.05, −0.01)
Areolar Development				
Random effects	Variance	*SD*		CI (95%)
Intercept: centered at age 10 (pubertal timing)	0.09	0.30		(0.26, 0.33)
Fixed effects	Estimate	*SE*		CI (95%)
Intercept: centered at age 10 (pubertal timing)	1.39	0.06	22.53 ***	(1.27, 1.51)
Slope (pubertal tempo)	0.44	<0.01	51.16 ***	(0.42, 0.45)
Max parental education: some college +	−0.03	0.05	−0.66	(−0.13, 0.06)
Household annual income: $20,000+	0.05	0.04	1.20	(−0.03, 0.14)
BMI: centered, time-varying	0.03	<0.01	6.94 ***	(0.02, 0.04)
Race: 0 = white, 1= black	0.24	0.04	5.52 ***	(0.15, 0.32)
Abuse count	0.06	0.03	1.84 †	(−0.004, 0.12)
Abuse × Slope interaction	−0.02	<0.01	−2.41 *	(−0.03, −0.003)

Note. BMI = body mass index.

† *p* < .10;

* *p* < .05;

** *p* < .01;

*** *p* < .001.