UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

A Syngeneic ErbB2 Mammary Cancer Model for Preclinical Immunotherapy Trials

Permalink

 $\underline{https://escholarship.org/uc/item/19q6q1wq}$

Journal

Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia, 24(2)

ISSN

1083-3021

Authors

Pénzváltó, Zsófia Chen, Jane Qian Tepper, Clifford G <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2019-06-01

DOI 10.1007/s10911-019-09425-3

Peer reviewed



HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2020 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia. 2019 June ; 24(2): 149–162. doi:10.1007/s10911-019-09425-3.

A Syngeneic ErbB2 Mammary Cancer Model for Preclinical Immunotherapy Trials

Zsófia Pénzváltó¹, Jane Qian Chen¹, Clifford G. Tepper², Ryan Davis⁴, Matthew Silvestrini³, Maxine Umeh-Garcia², Colleen Sweeney², and Alexander D. Borowsky^{1,4}

¹Center for Comparative Medicine, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA USA

²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California at Davis, Sacramento, CA USA

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California at Davis, Sacramento, CA USA

⁴Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, School of Medicine, University of California at Davis, Sacramento, CA USA

Abstract

In order to develop a practical model of breast cancer, with *in vitro* and syngeneic-immune intact *in* vivo growth capacity, we established a primary cell line derived from a mammary carcinoma in the transgenic FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mouse, referred to as "NDL^{UCD}". The line was adapted to standard cell culture and can be transplanted into syngeneic FVB/N mice. The line maintained a stable phenotype over multiple *in vitro* passages and rounds of *in vivo* transplantation. NDL^{UCD} tumors in FVB/N mice exhibited high expression of *ErbB2* and *ErbB3* and signaling molecules downstream of *ErbB2*. The syngeneic transplant tumors elicited an immune reaction in the adjacent stroma, detected and characterized using histology, immunophenotyping, and gene expression. NDL^{UCD} cells also expressed PD-L1 *in vivo* and *in vitro*, and *in vivo* transplants were reactive to anti-immune checkpoint therapy with responses conducive to immunotherapy studies. This new NDL^{UCD} cell line model is a practical alternative to the more commonly used 4T1 cells, and our previously described FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-PyVT)634Mul derived Met-1^{fvb2} and FVB/NTg(MMTV-PyVT^{Y315F/Y322F}) derived DB-7^{fvb2} cell lines. The NDL^{UCD} cells remained genetically and phenotypically stable over many generations, with consistent and reproducible results in immune intact preclinical cohorts.

Keywords

PD-L1; mouse model; breast cancer; immunotherapy; Erbb2; syngeneic

Correspondence: Alexander D. Borowsky, adborowsky@ucdavis.edu, +1-916-734-2328. CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

INTRODUCTION:

Mouse models are the primary preclinical models of human disease. Patient derived xenografts of human cancer and human cell lines are widely used in preclinical cancer research for targeted and cytotoxic therapies. However, these experimental models do not recapitulate the host's normal and complex immune response. Thus, they are of limited value for studies of tumor-immune interaction or immunotherapy. Genetically engineered mouse models of cancer have intact immune function, but present practical limitations with respect to penetrance, latency, and heterogeneity [1]. We, and others, have pioneered the practical use of syngeneic transplants to generate nearly identical cohorts for preclinical comparisons and to investigate cancer in an immune intact host. In the face of the growing demand for experimental immunotherapy models, the available selection of syngeneic models of breast cancer is limited. The majority of mammary tumor-immunity studies utilize the "4T1" line, which develops as a rapidly growing, metastatic, mesenchymal-like phenotype, reflecting only a subset of human breast cancers [2]. In a study comparing six syngeneic cancer models, the 4T1 model did not respond to either anti-PD-1 or anti-CTLA4 therapy, two primary immunotherapeutics. Only one model (CT26 colon cancer) showed sensitivity to anti-PD-1 therapy and only two (CT26 and RENCA) to anti-CTLA4 therapy [3]. Here, we describe a novel syngeneic HER2 positive model of breast cancer, NDL^{UCD} which expresses PD-L-1 and is reactive to anti-PD-1 therapy [4] - and we provide a detailed pathologic description of the host immune reaction and molecular phenotype of the model.

The cell line, derived from a mammary carcinoma arising in an FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mouse, carries an in-frame deletion of the rat HER2/neu protooncogene in the same region as the human 16 splice variant, resulting in disulfide-bond stabilized, constitutively active HER2 homodimers [5]. The 16 splice variant in humans skips exon 16, which forms part of the extracellular domain of the protein. This variant HER2 receptor forms constitutively activated homodimers, stabilized by disulfide bonds. The 16 splice variant is common in human breast cancers, present in 90% of HER2 tumors at a transcript frequency of 9% on average, with some evidence that some fraction of this isoform is required for transformation [6, 7].

Immune cell infiltration in breast cancers, especially in the more aggressive HER2 positive and triple negative subtypes, has been shown to correlate with better outcome (reviewed in [8]). Constitutively active HER2 is a prototype neoantigen and known to be immuno-recognized [9]. HER2 reactive circulating T-cells are often present in HER2 positive breast cancer patients and the presence of HER2 reactive CD8 cells correlates with a better prognosis [10, 11]. HER2 positive tumors with more infiltrating lymphocytes have a greater benefit from anti-HER2 (trastuzumab) therapy [12] and the ErbB2 16 splice variant may specifically activate the tumor immune microenvironment [7]. The ErbB2 16-like/HER2 expressing NDL^{UCD} transplant is a suitable model to investigate these tumor-host interactions, particularly in the setting of novel combined immunotherapies.

METHODS:

Animal care:

FVB/N mice were purchased from Charles River (Wilmington, MA), the FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mice were provided by William J. Mueller (McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada) [5]. Mice were housed in a vivarium under NIH guidelines and all animal experiments followed protocols approved by the UC Davis Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

Establishing the cell line:

Primary tumors, from FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mouse, were washed in PBS (Invitrogen Carlsbad, CA) twice, and then dissociated in serum-free DMEM:F12 (Invitrogen) buffered with HEPES (Invitrogen), supplemented with 0.5 mg/ml Penicillin/ Streptomycin (Invitrogen), 2% bovine serum albumin fraction V (Invitrogen), 5 µg/ml insulin (Sigma Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO), 10 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma Aldrich), and 3 mg/ml collagenase (Worthington Biochemical Corp., Lakewood, NJ). The tissue was minced manually with enough serum-free digestion reagent to allow for complete coverage of the tissue. This mixture was digested in a sterile 50 mL tube with gentle agitation overnight at room temperature, then centrifuged at 80x g for 1.5 minutes, the cell pellet was washed with DMEM:F12 and centrifuged agian at 80x g for 4 minutes. The remaining cell pellet was plated in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 0.5 mg/ml Penicillin/Streptomycin.

Tissue culture:

Cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 0.5 mg/ml Penicillin-Streptomycin. Differential trypsinization was used to eliminate fibroblast contamination and, after passage five, a single cell suspension was labeled with CD49f (Integrin alpha6) and sorted in a Cytomation (Fort Collins, CO) MoFlo cell sorter to exclude all non-epithelial cells. For *in vitro* growth evaluation, 200,000 cell/3 ml media/well (approximately 6,667 cells/ml) were plated in six well plates. Triplicate wells were harvested and three samples per well were counted with a hemocytometer each day for four days when cells reached confluency.

Cell line transplantation:

The cell line was transplanted into syngeneic FVB/N female mice by cell line injection into the mammary fat pad (orthotopically) as described previously [13, 14]. Briefly, the bilateral inguinal mammary fat pads of the mice were injected with $0.1-2 \times 10^6$ cells/20 µl PBS solution with a 25-gauge needle. Tumors were palpable 10-14 days after transplantation. Alternatively, serial transplantation of 0.5-1 mm³ frozen or fresh tumor tissue into the mammary fat pad or subcutaneous space reliably establishes new tumor grafts as described previously [13]. Growth of palpable tumors was measured with calipers.

Tail-vein injection:

To evaluate metastatic potential, tail-vein injections of the NDL^{UCD} cell line were performed as described previously [13]. Six animals were injected with passage 15 NDL^{UCD} cells.

Cells were trypsinized (Invitrogen) and washed with PBS, then 10^6 cells/100 µl PBS solution per animal were injected using a 25-gauge needle.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC)

Tissue removal, preparation and immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described [14, 15]. Slides were scanned on an Aperio AT2 ScanScope (Leica Biosystems, Danvers, MA). The image analysis for counting marker-positive cells was performed with QuPath [16]. Digital images were processed using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

The antibodies and dilutions used were, anti-CD4 (4SM95) (eBioscience, Waltham, MA, dilution: 1:100); anti-CD8a (4SM15) (eBioscience, dilution: 1:400); anti-FOXP3 (FJK-16s) (eBioscience, dilution: 1:100); anti-PD-1 (EPR20665) (Abcam, Cambridge, UK, dilution: 1:500); anti-PD-L1 (E1L3N XP) (Cell Signalling Technology, Danvers, MA, dilution: 1:200); anti-CK818 (20R-cp004) (Fitzgerald, Acton, MA, dilution: 1:2000); anti-F4/80 (BM8) (eBioscience, 1:100); anti-B220 (RA3-6B2) (BD Pharmigen, San Jose, CA, dilution: 1:800); anti-ER (MC-20) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, dilution: 1:1000); anti-KI67 (RB-1510-P) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, dilution: 1:800); anti-PR (A0098) (Dako, Santa Clara, CA, dilution: 1:500); anti-ERBB2 (RM-2112-5) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, dilution 1:1000); anti E-cadherin (24E10) (Cell Signalling Technology, dilution 1:1000).

CD3, PD-1 and ECAD were detected with multiplex IHC, performed with tyramide signal amplification (TSA)-based fluorescence color visualization according to the manufacturer's protocol (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). Visualization of multiplexed images was performed with an LSM710 laser scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Oberkochen, Germany).

Western blot analysis:

Antibodies for western blotting analysis were, anti-ERBB3 (OP120) and anti-ERBB2 (OP15) (EMD Millipore, Burlington, MA); anti-SHC (PG-797) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX); anti-CDKN1A (SXM30) (BD Biosciences); anti-PD-L1 (E1L3N XP) (Cell Signalling Technology) and anti-β-Actin (AC-15) (Sigma-Aldrich).

Total protein was extracted from cells using RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 1% TritonX-100, 0.5% NaDeoxycholate). After incubation on ice, cells were scraped from T25 flasks, lysates were vigorously vortexed and centrifuged at 13,000 x g for 15 minutes. Lysate supernatants were placed into new microcentrifuge tubes and protein concentrations were determined using BCA kit (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). A unit of 20 μ g of cell lysate was denatured in 6X Laemmli sample buffer ((50 mmol 1-1 Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 2% SDS, 10% glycerol, 0.25% β-mercaptoethanol and bromophenol blue (1 mg/ml)) at 95°C for 5 minutes. 2X Laemmli sample buffer was added to samples to bring to a final volume of 40 μ l. Samples were separated on an 8% or 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, and then transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA). Membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat dry milk in TBST (Tris-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20), and incubated with specific primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, washed with

TBST, and detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for one hour at room temperature, and ECL (Pierce) chemiluminescence on an Alpha Innoteceh Digital Imaging Station. Blot images are a representation of three technical replicates.

RNA isolation:

Differential gene expression of the NDL^{UCD} cell line was compared to two other mouse mammary carcinoma cell lines (Met-1^{fvb2}[13] and SSM2^{UCD} [17]). Cells were propagated *in vitro* and injected $(0.1 - 2.0 \times 10^6$ cells) bilaterally into the uncleared mammary fat pads of 6- to 8-week-old female FVB/N mice. Tumors were harvested when they reached a maximum width of 5-10 mm and 1-2 mm sections were snap-frozen. RNA was isolated using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). RNA concentration and purity were assessed with a NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and quality assessments (e.g., RNA integrity) were made using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Three samples per line were then analysed with Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Arrays (Thermo Fisher Scientific) (note: two Met-1^{fvb2} samples originated from different injection sites in the same mouse, all other samples were from individual recipient mice).

Microarray:

Microarray gene expression profiling was performed by the UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center Genomics Shared Resource (GSR) on Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 Sense Target (ST) Arrays (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Biotinylated sense strand DNA targets were prepared from total RNA (100 ng) using the Ambion WT Expression Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and Affymetrix GeneChip WT Terminal Labeling Kit. Briefly, double-stranded cDNA was prepared followed by *in vitro* transcription to generate antisense cRNA (aRNA), which was used as template for a second cycle cDNA synthesis in a dUTP-containing reaction mixture. The dUTP-containing cDNA was fragmented by treatment with uracil-DNA glycosylase (UDG) and apurinic/apyrimidinic endonuclease 1 (APE1). DNA was then end-labeled with biotin using the DNA Labeling Reagent and deoxynucleotidyl transferase (TdT). All microarray processing procedures, including target hybridization, washing, staining, and array scanning were performe according to Affymetrix's standard protocols.

Microarray data analysis:

The microarray data processing and statistical analyses were performed in the R language and statistical environment [18]. For the direct comparison of the tumors that arose from three mouse mammary carcinoma cell lines (NDL^{UCD}, Met-1^{fvb2}, SSM2^{UCD}), raw files were background-corrected, summarized, and normalized using the Robust Multi-array Average (RMA) method with the "oligo" Bioconductor package (version 1.40.2) [19]. Quality was checked before and after processing with the "ArrayQualityMetrics" Bioconductor package (version 3.32.0) [4] and there were no outliers identified. The Rank Product statistics were used to identify differentially expressed genes in pairwise comparison (between NDL^{UCD} versus SSM2^{UCD}, and NDL^{UCD} versus Met-1^{fvb2}; the comparison of Met-1^{fvb2} with SSM2^{UCD} was not performed in this study) (significance limit: pfp<0.05) with the "rankprod" Bioconductor package (version 3.2.0). For functional annotation of the significant gene lists DAVID 6.8 were used [24, 25], searching Gene

Ontology categories and relevant pathways in the KEGG pathways [20] database. Cluster 3.0 [21] was used for clustering tasks. Hierarchical clustering with average linkage was performed. The clustering was visualized with Java TreeView [22].

RNA-Sequencing

For whole-transcriptome analysis (next-generation sequencing (NGS)-based RNA-Sequencing (RNA-Seq)) total RNA-Seq sequencing libraries were prepared from snapfrozen tumor samples. Briefly, rRNA-depleted RNA was prepared from total RNA input (1-5 µg) by Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Epicentre, Madison, WI). Directional Total RNA-Seq libraries were prepared from rRNA-depleted RNA (50 ng) using the ScriptSeq v2 RNA-Seq Library Preparation Kit (Epicentre) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Briefly, 5',3'-di-tagged cDNA was synthesized using tagged random hexamer-primed cDNA synthesis primers followed by annealing of 3'-terminal-tagging oligo (TTO) and extension with DNA Polymerase. cDNA was purified with Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Pasadena, CA). Illumina adaptor sequences and indexes (barcodes) were incorporated during library amplification with the appropriate PCR primers and high-fidelity FailSafe PCR Enzyme Mix, and ScriptSeq v2 libraries were purified and quantitated with the Qubit fluorometer (Invitrogen) with insert sizes determined with the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer. The molar concentration of PCR-competent sequencing templates in the libraries were determined by quantitative PCR with the KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Kapa Biosystems, Wilmington, MA). The libraries were then loaded on TruSeq paired-end flow cells for cluster generation with the cBot (Illumina, San Diego, CA) followed by sequencing (40-bp single-read) with the Genome Analyzer IIx (Illumina) using Illumina TruSeq SBS Kit v5-GA kitted reagents and according to the manufacturer's protocols [23].

RNA-Sequencing data analysis

Image processing, base calling, and quality scoring (Phred) were executed by SCS 2.6/RTA 1.6 software (Illumina). The TopHat-Cufflinks workflow was utilized for analysis of gene and transcript isoform expression [24]. For this, read alignment to the reference mouse genomic sequence (July 2007 NCBI37/mm9) and splice junction mapping were performed with TopHat [4] and allowing for a maximum of two mismatches. Cufflinks was used for transcript assembly, quantitation, and differential expression [5]. Transcript abundance values were expressed as fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped (FPKM). Mapped sequence data was visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer [6].

Statistics:

Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism or R. Statistics of the gene expression analysis are discussed above under microarray data analysis. One-way ANOVA with two-stage step-up method of Benjamini, Krieger and Yekutieli [25] for controlling false discovery ratio was used to compare immune cell infiltration. Spearman's rank correlation was used to assess correlation between the density of different immune cells in the tumor and the stroma. Significance limit was set as p<0.05, no multiple testing correction was performed.

RESULTS

Establishing the cell line

Primary tumor cell dissociation and adaptation to adherent cell culture was implemented, with purification utilized both traditional and flow sorting methods as described [13, 26] to eliminate non-epithelial cells. The cells grew *in vitro*, adherent to the dish surface, with a uniform epithelial phenotype that did not change or drift over many passages (more than 30).

Growth rate

In vitro and *in vivo* serial transplant growth rates were evaluated. The NDL^{UCD} cell in 2D culture showed a slightly slower growth compared to many other frequently used mouse cell lines with an *in vitro* population doubling time of 1.18 days (*in vitro* growth of B16F10 melanoma line = 0.49 days, 4T1 breast line = 0.95 days [27].) The *in vivo* doubling rate of NDL^{UCD} tumors was 4.871 days (data used for calculating *in vivo* population doubling has been previously published [4]). In vitro growth (Figure1. a) h)) and in vivo growth (Figure1 b)) are shown.

Pathology

Primary mammary carcinomas in the FVB/N-Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mouse have a consistent "signature" phenotype (as described in [28] and [29]) characterized by a nodular growth pattern, with high vascularity, and minimal central necrosis. Three distinct structural zones have been described [30]. A zone of differentiation is located at the periphery of the nodules, approximately 3-5 cell layers in diameter. Immediately subjacent to this peripheral zone is a proliferative area which has the most intense ERBB2 membrane expression. In the innermost central zone, the cells remain epithelioid without metaplastic (spindled or squamous) features, but here the cells have smaller nuclei and less cytoplasm with lower levels of ERBB2 expression. The centers of larger tumors frequently have areas of necrosis.

The orthotopic mammary fat pad transplanted NDL^{UCD} cells recapitulated the "signature" tumor phenotype described for the native transgenic tumors (Figure 1. e) H&E). These were solid, nodular carcinomas, well vascularized with the same zonation areas and the same proliferation rates, (Figure 1. g)), and ERBB2 expression levels (Figure 1. f)). The ER and PR immunoreactivity were weak (Figure 1. c) d)).

Metastatic potential

After tail vein injection of 10⁶ NDL^{UCD} cells into the syngeneic FVB/N female mice metastasis lesion were histologically confirmed in 3 out of 6 mice. The detected metastases invaded into the alveolar parenchyma, characteristic of "true" metastases as compared to intravascular embolic deposits [31]. (Figure 1. i) j) k)).

Erbb2 pathway activation

Erbb2 was highly expressed as detected by IHC, western blot, and mRNA expression analyses (microarray and RNA-Seq (average of 195 FPKM)) (Figure 2). Components of the *Erbb2* activation pathway were highly expressed including *Mapk1*, *Map2k1*, *Akt1*, *Erbb3*, *Nck1*, *Ptk2*, *Shc1*, *Map2k4*, *p27*, *p21*, *Ras* and *Src*. Protein expression of ERBB2, ERBB3,

CDKN1A and SHC were validated in western blot from the 2D cultured cells. The protein expression pattern of these genes in Met-1^{fvb2}, NDL^{UCD} and SSM2^{UCD} cultured cells reflected the RNA expression levels observed in tumor samples.

Immune cell infiltration

The host reaction in primary tumors from the parental Tg(MMTV-ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul mouse and transplants from the NDL^{UCD} cell line were analyzed and compared.

A significant host reaction was present in primary Tg(MMTV ErbB2*)NDL2-5Mul samples characterized as predominantly fibroblastic with collagen stroma replacing adipose adjacent to the *in situ* early tumors, increasing around larger masses. Syngeneic transplant NDL^{UCD} tumors elicited a similar fibroblast component and collagen stroma (Figure 3). A scattered mixed inflammatory component was observed in the surrounding stroma, with predominantly macrophages and T-lymphocytes, and few B-lymphocytes. CD8 and CD4 positive T-lymphocytes and occasional macrophages were found infiltrating the tumors. Scattered FOXP3 positive cells (regulatory T-cells) and B-lymphocytes were also present.

The differences between the host response to primary and transplant tumors and their respective tumor-immune phenotypes [32] were compared. The immune cell accumulation in the i) *tumor adjacent stroma*, defined as a band (250 µm wide) immediately adjacent to the periphery of the tumor; and in the ii) *peripheral tumor*, defined as the most peripheral area of tumor (250 µm wide) were evaluated. In each tumor, the surrounding *tumor adjacent stroma* had higher density of immune cells than the *peripheral tumor* infiltrating cells. This difference, however, was statistically significant only in the NDL^{UCD} tumors, suggesting tumor cell/area immune suppression or the so-called "immune-excluded" phenotype [32]. The overall host reaction was more robust in the NDL^{UCD} tumors compared to the primary tumors. Transplants had significantly higher CD4, CD8, FOXP3, B-cell and macrophage density in the tumor adjacent stroma than the primary tumors (Figure 3).

The spatial distribution of immune cell infiltrates around the tumors was not uniform but concentrated in discontinuous areas or "pockets". The immunocytic stromal pockets corresponded with the highest density of immune cell infiltration into the tumor (Figure 4).

Significant deviations were observed within the same biological groups (transplants or primary tumors) in the measured immune cell densities as shown in Figure 3. We hypothesized that this deviation originated from individual variance between immunogenicity of the tumors (inter-tumoral heterogeneity of immune cell attraction). To investigate tumor to tumor immunogenicity, the correlation of the density of different lymphocytes throughout the six NDL^{UCD} transplant tumors (from three animals) and three primary tumors (from three animals) was analyzed by Spearman's rank correlation (correlation defined as p<0.05, multiple testing correction was not performed). The density of CD4 positive cells in the *tumor adjacent stroma* correlated with the T-reg and B-cell density in the *tumor adjacent stroma* CD8 density correlated with the *tumor adjacent stroma* T-reg and B-cell density as well as CD8 and T-reg *peripheral tumor* infiltration. *Tumor adjacent stroma* T-reg density correlated with stromal B-cell, CD4 and CD8 density

and *peripheral tumor* infiltrating CD4 and T-reg density. The *tumor adjacent stroma* macrophage density correlated with the *peripheral tumor* infiltrating CD4 and macrophage density. Between individual tumors there was a range of total inflammatory infiltrate, but the composition and relative proportions of different cell subsets were similar across all tumors.

PD-1 and PD-L-1 expression

NDL^{UCD} cells were previously found to be reactive to anti-PD-1 [5]. Therefore, PD-1 and PD-L1 were evaluated using IHC. PD-L1 IHC documented membrane accentuated cytoplasmic immunoreactivity in NDL^{UCD} tumor cells (Figure 5). Expression intensity varied by tumor *zone*, with enlarged cells with lower intensity of staining in the tumor edge, and stronger PD-L1 staining in the proliferative zone. The NDL^{UCD} cells retained PD-L1 positivity *in vitro*, demonstrated by both IHC (both nuclear and weaker cytoplasmic/ membrane immunoreactivity) and western blot of total cell lysates. PD-1 IHC showed scattered T-cells with strong positive staining, and no expression by tumor cells (Figure 5).

Gene expression

NDL^{UCD} tumors were directly compared to two previously described mouse mammary carcinoma cell lines: SSM2^{UCD} [17], derived from Stat1–/– estrogen receptor positive, luminal B tumors, and Met-1^{fvb2}[13] derived from PyVT (Polyomavirus middle T-antigen) tumors, respectively. Pairwise comparisons with rank product statistics were performed comparing NDL^{UCD} tumors to the two other cell lines. 483 genes were significantly overexpressed in NDL^{UCD} over Met-1^{fvb2}, 149 genes were significantly overexpressed in Met-1^{fvb2} over NDL^(UCD). 426 genes were significantly overexpressed in NDL^{UCD} over SSM2^{UCD} and 111 genes overexpressed in the SSM2^{UCD} over NDL^{UCD} (at least two-fold expression change.) The full list of the significant differentially expressed genes is available in Supplementary Material 1. The identified gene lists were substantially overlapping with 42.5% of the NDL^{UCD}-Met-1^{fvb2} and 50.1% of the NDL^{UCD}-SSM2^{UCD} differentially expressed genes were performed using DAVID Bioinformatics Resources (version 6.8) [33, 34], the identified GO (Gene Ontology) categories and KEGG pathways [20] (Benjamini<0.1) are listed in Supplementary Material 2.

The data suggest that drug metabolism through glutathione or cytochrome P450 was activated in the NDL^{UCD} tumors compared to SSM2^{UCD} or Met-1^{fvb2}. Genes such as *Cyp3a11, Gsta3, Gstt1, Gstt3, Gstm4, Gstm5*, etc. were overexpressed in NDL^{UCD}. The activation of these xenobiotic metabolism pathways can cause resistance to certain cytotoxic chemotherapeutics. Resistance against almost 20 anti-cancer drugs has been associated with elevated levels of glutathione S-transferases (*Gst-s*), including drugs widely used in breast cancer chemotherapy such as topoisomerase 1 and 2 inhibitors or carboplatin [35]. NDL^{UCD} might be a model for studying chemoresistance through GST activation and overexpression. This is the subject of ongoing work in the lab.

Met-1^{fvb2} is a highly metastatic cell line, it's *in vitro* phenotype is described by long thin pseudopodia and philophodia suggesting a high propensity for migration, which was also shown previously with a pore migration assay [36] [13]. NDL^{UCD} cells showed less

migratory and metastatic potential, and these observations are supported by the gene expression results. The NDL^{UCD} tumors were overexpressing many extracellular matrix-cell interaction molecules such as collagens (*Col1a1, Col1a2, Col4a6, Col5a2*), Integrin beta 8, Laminin gamma 2 and others. NDL^{UCD} tumors were overexpressing Claudin 1, Claudin 3, Tight junction protein 2 and Occludin and other molecules involved in tight junctions. In contrast, genes which were identified in epithelial to mesenchymal transformation, cell migration, angiogenesis and metastatic phenotype were overexpressed in the Met-1^{fvb2} tumors, such as *Zeb1, Vegfa, Cdh2, Bmp2* and many others. A panel of tumor suppressor genes important in breast cancer [37] were also compared and visualized in Figure 2. e).

Several genes related to inflammation and immune processes were overexpressed in Met-1^{fvb2} and SSM2^{UCD} compared to NDL^{UCD} including several pro-inflammatory cytokines and cytokine receptors such as *II33, II1r11* (in SSM2^{UCD}), *CcI12, Ccr2, Cxcl9,II18* (in Met-1^{fvb2}). Key genes encoding enzymes of prostaglandin synthesis (*Ptgs2, Hpgd*) were also underexpressed in NDL^{UCD}. These molecules are part of the chronic inflammatory environment which is a hallmark attribute of most cancers and is also characteristic of the SSM2^{UCD} Stat1^{-/-} model [38]. Inflammation can be tumor promoting in many contexts, and may correlated with poor prognosis [39]. NDL^{UCD} compared to Met-1^{fvb2} and SSM2^{UCD} showed lower expression of genes typically associated with a pro-tumor inflammatory environment.

When the samples were clustered according to a list of genes proposed to identify immune infiltration from gene expression data in humans [40] 47 out of the 60 listed human genes could be evaluated (13 genes do not have mouse ortholog). The NDL^{UCD} and Met-1^{fvb2} tumors clustered close together in terms of immune infiltration, while the SSM2^{UCD} cells were more distant. Notably, this may reflect host strain (129SvEv) differences. For the purpose of the comparison, we show here only the NDL^{UCD} and Met-1^{fvb2} lines, both grown in FVB/N immune intact strain background recipient mice (Figure 6.). The pan-leukocyte marker CD45 had lower expression in NDL^{UCD} transplant samples. NDL^{UCD}had higher expression of genes characteristic of B-cells, dendritic cells, a cluster of cytotoxic cell markers, NK cells and T-cell markers.

DISCUSSION

The NDL^{UCD} cell line has been used by colleagues working on mouse mammary carcinoma [4, 14, 26, 41-43] and the origin of the cell line has been briefly discussed in Miller et al. [26], However, a cohesive and comprehensive documentation of the *in vitro* and *in vivo* characteristics has not been previously published. Silvestrini et al. [4] established that the NDL^{UCD} model is responsive to immunotherapy (combination of CpG and anti-PD-1 effectively treats tumor bearing animals). To our knowledge there are no other syngeneic mouse models of breast cancer with sensitivity to anti-PD-1 therapy. This enhances the utility of the model and prompted this more complete characterization.

With rapid advances in tumor immunology and immunotherapy there is a growing demand for transplantable cancer cell lines which can be investigated in the immunocompetent syngeneic mouse [1]. These mouse models are essential for experimental immunotherapy

studies. Many common preclinical models, such as human tissue culture cell line xenografts and "patient derived xenografts" (PDX) mice must be grown in vivo in immunodeficient mouse strains. Meanwhile, genetically engineered mouse models (GEMM) develop tumors in a comparatively appropriate anatomic and histologic surrounding, with an intact tumor immune microenvironment. However, practical disadvantages of these models include variable penetrance and latency, as well as the multiplicity of tumor onset resulting from diffuse oncogene expression in the target tissue [44]. The effect of high tumor multiplicity on immunosurveillance/immunoediting is not well understood. Transplantable (subcutaneous or orthotopic) syngeneic mouse models present another option for studying immuno-oncology in an experimental setting. The number of mouse cancer cell lines is far smaller than the number of available human cell lines, with many, like 4T1, derived from carcinogen induced tumors and fewer from GEMMs (a legacy of the infamous Oncomouse patent [45]). Transplantable tumor models are usually fast growing, and have a lower cost than GEMMs, contributing to greater practical utility. Utilizing cell lines opens up numerous experimental possibilities such as transfection of expression constructs, editing of the genome, exposing the cells to carcinogens or radiation to study mutational heterogeneity, labeling the cells, etc. Despite the high demand for appropriate experimental models, the literature has only a few examples of detailed description of the immune landscape or reactivity to immune therapies in syngeneic mouse models. Among those studies the breast cancer model is almost exclusively the 4T1 model [46, 47], which is characterized by aggressive, metastatic tumors with a mesenchymal phenotype in vitro and spindle cell morphology *in vivo*. These are not typical attributes of most human breast cancers.

Even more importantly the 4T1 model proved to be resistant to both anti-CTL-4 and anti-PD-1 therapies unlike our NDL^{UCD} model [3, 46] which proved to be sensitive to combined intratumoral adjuvant (CpG) and anti-PD-1 checkpoint inhibition immunotherapy. This therapy induced an intense antitumor immune reaction in the NDL^{UCD} tumors, but it was unknown at that time if the NDL^{UCD} cells or any of the surrounding immune cells express PD-L1 [4]. PD-1 receptor is expressed primarily in T-cells and the ligand PD-L1 is primarily expressed in antigen presenting cells but may also be expressed in stromal cells. Some cancers aberrantly express PD- L1, a mechanism selected for immune evasion. Anti-PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibitor therapies have proven to be highly effective in a variety of human tumors, particularly when PD-L1 is expressed by tumor cells in lung cancers and melanoma. PD-L1 epithelial expression in breast cancers was reported with frequencies ranging from 1.7% to 80% in IHC based studies depending on antibodies and different thresholds used [48], with cytoplasmic and membranous localization on tumor cells [49-59].

The NDL^{UCD} cell line expresses cytoplasmic and membranous PD-L1 and therefore provides a suitable preclinical model for PD-L1 positive breast cancer. Anti-PD1 and PD-L1 therapies showed around 20% response rate in various phase I clinical trials as single agents in triple negative breast cancer and the response rates are better when PD-L1 is expressed in the tumor [60]. In the first phase III trial conducted in triple negative breast cancer the anti-PD-1 therapy in combination with chemotherapy significantly improved progression-free and overall survivals compared to chemotherapy alone, especially among patients with PD-L1 positive tumors [61]. Combinational therapies for HER2 and luminal breast cancers are

currently under investigation. We suspect based on current and previous [4] results that HER2 positive patients would benefit from anti-PD-1 combination therapies.

Debate continues over the relative validity of primary tumors in GEMMs compared to syngeneic transplant for immunology studies. Although syngeneic transplants are fast and easy to use, some suggested that they might be more immunogenic than spontaneous tumors and their fast growth alters the immunologic burden on the host body [62]. Other studies failed to identify differences in immunogenicity when comparing transplanted and primary tumors [47]. Here, we documented through histologic/IHC quantitation that tumor surrounding and infiltrating lymphocytes are more abundant in the NDL^{UCD} cell line transplants compared to the primary tumors in the genetically engineered mouse, but are similar in composition.

In summary, the NDL^{UCD} cell line, an ErbB2 driven cell line, maintains a stable phenotype *in vitro* and *in vivo* as orthotopic transplants in the immune intact FVB/N mouse. The model expresses PD-L1 in cell culture and *in vivo* orthografts, with cytoplasmic and membrane expression similar to human breast cancers. We propose that NDL^{UCD} is a useful model to study preclinical cancer-immunology, in particular for combination strategies to augment PD-1/PD-L1 checkpoint inhibition.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The microarray and RNASeq datasets generated during the current study are available in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus repository, under accession number GSE113380. The scanned slides of immunohistochemical stainings are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. All further data are published in the manuscript.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING:

The UC Davis Comprehensive Cancer Center Genomics Shared Resource is supported by Cancer Center Support Grant (P30CA093373) from the National Cancer Institute. The study was supported by U01 CA196406 and U01 CA141582 from the National Cancer Institute's Mouse Models of Human Cancers Consortium.

REFERENCES

- Zitvogel L, Pitt JM, Daillere R, Smyth MJ, Kroemer G: Mouse models in oncoimmunology. Nature reviews Cancer 2016, 16(12):759–773. [PubMed: 27687979]
- 2. Aslakson CJ, Miller FR: Selective events in the metastatic process defined by analysis of the sequential dissemination of subpopulations of a mouse mammary tumor. Cancer research 1992, 52(6):1399–1405. [PubMed: 1540948]
- Mosely SI, Prime JE, Sainson RC, Koopmann JO, Wang DY, Greenawalt DM, Ahdesmaki MJ, Leyland R, Mullins S, Pacelli L et al.: Rational Selection of Syngeneic Preclinical Tumor Models for Immunotherapeutic Drug Discovery. Cancer immunology research 2017, 5(1):29–41. [PubMed: 27923825]

- 4. Silvestrini MT, Ingham ES, Mahakian LM, Kheirolomoom A, Liu Y, Fite BZ, Tam SM, Tucci ST, Watson KD, Wong AW et al.: Priming is key to effective incorporation of image-guided thermal ablation into immunotherapy protocols. JCI insight 2017, 2(6):e90521. [PubMed: 28352658]
- Siegel PM, Ryan ED, Cardiff RD, Muller WJ: Elevated expression of activated forms of Neu/ ErbB-2 and ErbB-3 are involved in the induction of mammary tumors in transgenic mice: implications for human breast cancer. The EMBO journal 1999, 18(8):2149–2164. [PubMed: 10205169]
- 6. Castiglioni F, Tagliabue E, Campiglio M, Pupa SM, Balsari A, Menard S: Role of exon-16-deleted HER2 in breast carcinomas. Endocr Relat Cancer 2006, 13(1):221–232. [PubMed: 16601290]
- Turpin J, Ling C, Crosby EJ, Hartman ZC, Simond AM, Chodosh LA, Rennhack JP, Andrechek ER, Ozcelik J, Hallett M et al.: The ErbB2DeltaEx16 splice variant is a major oncogenic driver in breast cancer that promotes a pro-metastatic tumor microenvironment. Oncogene 2016, 35(47):6053– 6064. [PubMed: 27157621]
- Savas P, Salgado R, Denkert C, Sotiriou C, Darcy PK, Smyth MJ, Loi S: Clinical relevance of host immunity in breast cancer: from TILs to the clinic. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2016, 13(4):228–241. [PubMed: 26667975]
- 9. Peoples GE, Goedegebuure PS, Smith R, Linehan DC, Yoshino I, Eberlein TJ: Breast and ovarian cancer-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes recognize the same HER2/neu-derived peptide. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1995, 92(2):432–436. [PubMed: 7831305]
- Bailur JK, Derhovanessian E, Gueckel B, Pawelec G: Prognostic impact of circulating Her-2reactive T-cells producing pro- and/or anti-inflammatory cytokines in elderly breast cancer patients. J Immunother Cancer 2015, 3:45. [PubMed: 26500775]
- Bailur JK, Gueckel B, Derhovanessian E, Pawelec G: Presence of circulating Her2-reactive CD8 + T-cells is associated with lower frequencies of myeloid-derived suppressor cells and regulatory T cells, and better survival in older breast cancer patients. Breast cancer research : BCR 2015, 17:34. [PubMed: 25849846]
- 12. Loi S, Michiels S, Salgado R, Sirtaine N, Jose V, Fumagalli D, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen PL, Bono P, Kataja V, Desmedt C et al.: Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes are prognostic in triple negative breast cancer and predictive for trastuzumab benefit in early breast cancer: results from the FinHER trial. Ann Oncol 2014, 25(8):1544–1550. [PubMed: 24608200]
- Borowsky AD, Namba R, Young LJ, Hunter KW, Hodgson JG, Tepper CG, McGoldrick ET, Muller WJ, Cardiff RD, Gregg JP: Syngeneic mouse mammary carcinoma cell lines: two closely related cell lines with divergent metastatic behavior. Clinical & experimental metastasis 2005, 22(1):47–59. [PubMed: 16132578]
- Cardiff RD, Hubbard NE, Engelberg JA, Munn RJ, Miller CH, Walls JE, Chen JQ, Velasquez-Garcia HA, Galvez JJ, Bell KJ et al.: Quantitation of fixative-induced morphologic and antigenic variation in mouse and human breast cancers. Lab Invest 2013, 93(4):480–497. [PubMed: 23399853]
- Chen JQ, Mori H, Cardiff RD, Trott JF, Hovey RC, Hubbard NE, Engelberg JA, Tepper CG, Willis BJ, Khan IH et al.: Abnormal Mammary Development in 129:STAT1-Null Mice is Stroma-Dependent. PloS one 2015, 10(6):e0129895. [PubMed: 26075897]
- Bankhead P, Loughrey MB, Fernandez JA, Dombrowski Y, McArt DG, Dunne PD, McQuaid S, Gray RT, Murray LJ, Coleman HG et al.: QuPath: Open source software for digital pathology image analysis. Scientific reports 2017, 7(1):16878. [PubMed: 29203879]
- 17. Chan SR, Vermi W, Luo J, Lucini L, Rickert C, Fowler AM, Lonardi S, Arthur C, Young LJ, Levy DE et al.: STAT1-deficient mice spontaneously develop estrogen receptor alpha-positive luminal mammary carcinomas. Breast Cancer Res 2012, 14(1):R16. [PubMed: 22264274]
- 18. Team RDC: R: A language and environment for statistical computing . R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria 2008.
- Carvalho BS, Irizarry RA: A framework for oligonucleotide microarray preprocessing. Bioinformatics 2010, 26(19):2363–2367. [PubMed: 20688976]
- Kanehisa M, Furumichi M, Tanabe M, Sato Y, Morishima K: KEGG: new perspectives on genomes, pathways, diseases and drugs. Nucleic acids research 2017, 45(D1):D353–D361. [PubMed: 27899662]

- de Hoon MJ, Imoto S, Nolan J, Miyano S: Open source clustering software. Bioinformatics 2004, 20(9):1453–1454. [PubMed: 14871861]
- 22. Saldanha AJ: Java Treeview--extensible visualization of microarray data. Bioinformatics 2004, 20(17):3246–3248. [PubMed: 15180930]
- Bentley DR, Balasubramanian S, Swerdlow HP, Smith GP, Milton J, Brown CG, Hall KP, Evers DJ, Barnes CL, Bignell HR et al.: Accurate whole human genome sequencing using reversible terminator chemistry. Nature 2008, 456(7218):53–59. [PubMed: 18987734]
- 24. Trapnell C, Roberts A, Goff L, Pertea G, Kim D, Kelley DR, Pimentel H, Salzberg SL, Rinn JL, Pachter L: Differential gene and transcript expression analysis of RNA-seq experiments with TopHat and Cufflinks. Nature protocols 2012, 7(3):562–578. [PubMed: 22383036]
- 25. Benjamini Y, Krieger AM, Yekutieli D: Adaptive linear step-up procedures that control the false discovery rate. Biometrika 2006, 93(3):491–507.
- Miller JK, Shattuck DL, Ingalla EQ, Yen L, Borowsky AD, Young LJ, Cardiff RD, Carraway KL 3rd, Sweeney C: Suppression of the negative regulator LRIG1 contributes to ErbB2 overexpression in breast cancer. Cancer research 2008, 68(20):8286–8294. [PubMed: 18922900]
- Yerlikaya A, Erin N: Differential sensitivity of breast cancer and melanoma cells to proteasome inhibitor Velcade. International journal of molecular medicine 2008, 22(6):817–823. [PubMed: 19020781]
- Rosner A, Miyoshi K, Landesman-Bollag E, Xu X, Seldin DC, Moser AR, MacLeod CL, Shyamala G, Gillgrass AE, Cardiff RD: Pathway pathology: histological differences between ErbB/Ras and Wnt pathway transgenic mammary tumors. The American journal of pathology 2002, 161(3):1087–1097. [PubMed: 12213737]
- 29. Borowsky AD: Choosing a mouse model: experimental biology in context--the utility and limitations of mouse models of breast cancer. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011, 3(9):a009670. [PubMed: 21646376]
- DiGiovanna MP, Lerman MA, Coffey RJ, Muller WJ, Cardiff RD, Stern DF: Active signaling by Neu in transgenic mice. Oncogene 1998, 17(14):1877–1884. [PubMed: 9778054]
- Cardiff RD, Kenney N: A compendium of the mouse mammary tumor biologist: from the initial observations in the house mouse to the development of genetically engineered mice. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011, 3(6).
- Chen DS, Mellman I: Elements of cancer immunity and the cancer-immune set point. Nature 2017, 541(7637):321–330. [PubMed: 28102259]
- 33. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nature protocols 2009, 4(1):44–57. [PubMed: 19131956]
- 34. Huang da W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Bioinformatics enrichment tools: paths toward the comprehensive functional analysis of large gene lists. Nucleic acids research 2009, 37(1):1–13. [PubMed: 19033363]
- Townsend DM, Tew KD: The role of glutathione-S-transferase in anti-cancer drug resistance. Oncogene 2003, 22(47):7369–7375. [PubMed: 14576844]
- 36. Jessen KA, Liu SY, Tepper CG, Karrim J, McGoldrick ET, Rosner A, Munn RJ, Young LJ, Borowsky AD, Cardiff RD et al.: Molecular analysis of metastasis in a polyomavirus middle T mouse model: the role of osteopontin. Breast cancer research : BCR 2004, 6(3):R157–169. [PubMed: 15084239]
- 37. Oliveira AM, Ross JS, Fletcher JA: Tumor suppressor genes in breast cancer: the gatekeepers and the caretakers. Am J Clin Pathol 2005, 124 Suppl:S16–28. [PubMed: 16468415]
- 38. Mori H, Chen JQ, Cardiff RD, Penzvalto Z, Hubbard NE, Schuetter L, Hovey RC, Trott JF, Borowsky AD: Pathobiology of the 129:Stat1 (–/–) mouse model of human age-related ERpositive breast cancer with an immune infiltrate-excluded phenotype. Breast cancer research : BCR 2017, 19(1):102. [PubMed: 28865492]
- Mantovani A, Allavena P, Sica A, Balkwill F: Cancer-related inflammation. Nature 2008, 454(7203):436–444. [PubMed: 18650914]
- 40. Danaher P, Warren S, Dennis L, D'Amico L, White A, Disis ML, Geller MA, Odunsi K, Beechem J, Fling SP: Gene expression markers of Tumor Infiltrating Leukocytes. J Immunother Cancer 2017, 5:18. [PubMed: 28239471]

- 41. Hu X, Kheirolomoom A, Mahakian LM, Beegle JR, Kruse DE, Lam KS, Ferrara KW: Insonation of targeted microbubbles produces regions of reduced blood flow within tumor vasculature. Invest Radiol 2012, 47(7):398–405. [PubMed: 22659591]
- Engelberg JA, Giberson RT, Young LJ, Hubbard NE, Cardiff RD: The use of mouse models of breast cancer and quantitative image analysis to evaluate hormone receptor antigenicity after microwave-assisted formalin fixation. J Histochem Cytochem 2014, 62(5):319–334. [PubMed: 24682322]
- 43. Rowson-Hodel AR, Berg AL, Wald JH, Hatakeyama J, VanderVorst K, Curiel DA, Leon LJ, Sweeney C, Carraway KL 3rd: Hexamethylene amiloride engages a novel reactive oxygen speciesand lysosome-dependent programmed necrotic mechanism to selectively target breast cancer cells. Cancer Lett 2016, 375(1):62–72. [PubMed: 26944316]
- 44. Pardoll DM: The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. Nature reviews Cancer 2012, 12(4):252–264. [PubMed: 22437870]
- 45. Smaglik P: NIH cancer researchers to get free access to 'OncoMouse'. Nature 2000, 403(6768): 350.
- 46. Grosso JF, Jure-Kunkel MN: CTLA-4 blockade in tumor models: an overview of preclinical and translational research. Cancer Immun 2013, 13:5. [PubMed: 23390376]
- 47. Lechner MG, Karimi SS, Barry-Holson K, Angell TE, Murphy KA, Church CH, Ohlfest JR, Hu P, Epstein AL: Immunogenicity of murine solid tumor models as a defining feature of in vivo behavior and response to immunotherapy. J Immunother 2013, 36(9):477–489. [PubMed: 24145359]
- Ilie M, Hofman V, Dietel M, Soria JC, Hofman P: Assessment of the PD-L1 status by immunohistochemistry: challenges and perspectives for therapeutic strategies in lung cancer patients. Virchows Arch 2016, 468(5):511–525. [PubMed: 26915032]
- 49. Ali HR, Glont SE, Blows FM, Provenzano E, Dawson SJ, Liu B, Hiller L, Dunn J, Poole CJ, Bowden S et al.: PD-L1 protein expression in breast cancer is rare, enriched in basal-like tumours and associated with infiltrating lymphocytes. Ann Oncol 2015, 26(7):1488–1493. [PubMed: 25897014]
- 50. Bae SB, Cho HD, Oh MH, Lee JH, Jang SH, Hong SA, Cho J, Kim SY, Han SW, Lee JE et al.: Expression of Programmed Death Receptor Ligand 1 with High Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Is Associated with Better Prognosis in Breast Cancer. J Breast Cancer 2016, 19(3):242–251. [PubMed: 27721873]
- 51. Baptista MZ, Sarian LO, Derchain SF, Pinto GA, Vassallo J: Prognostic significance of PD-L1 and PD-L2 in breast cancer. Hum Pathol 2016, 47(1):78–84. [PubMed: 26541326]
- 52. Beckers RK, Selinger CI, Vilain R, Madore J, Wilmott JS, Harvey K, Holliday A, Cooper CL, Robbins E, Gillett D et al.: Programmed death ligand 1 expression in triple-negative breast cancer is associated with tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes and improved outcome. Histopathology 2016, 69(1):25–34. [PubMed: 26588661]
- 53. Dill EA, Gru AA, Atkins KA, Friedman LA, Moore ME, Bullock TN, Cross JV, Dillon PM, Mills AM: PD-L1 Expression and Intratumoral Heterogeneity Across Breast Cancer Subtypes and Stages: An Assessment of 245 Primary and 40 Metastatic Tumors. Am J Surg Pathol 2017, 41(3): 334–342. [PubMed: 28195880]
- 54. Gatalica Z, Snyder C, Maney T, Ghazalpour A, Holterman DA, Xiao N, Overberg P, Rose I, Basu GD, Vranic S et al.: Programmed cell death 1 (PD-1) and its ligand (PD-L1) in common cancers and their correlation with molecular cancer type. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2014, 23(12): 2965–2970. [PubMed: 25392179]
- 55. Mittendorf EA, Philips AV, Meric-Bernstam F, Qiao N, Wu Y, Harrington S, Su X, Wang Y, Gonzalez-Angulo AM, Akcakanat A et al.: PD-L1 expression in triple-negative breast cancer. Cancer immunology research 2014, 2(4):361–370. [PubMed: 24764583]
- 56. Mori H, Kubo M, Yamaguchi R, Nishimura R, Osako T, Arima N, Okumura Y, Okido M, Yamada M, Kai M et al.: The combination of PD-L1 expression and decreased tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes is associated with a poor prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer. Oncotarget 2017, 8(9):15584–15592. [PubMed: 28107186]

- 57. Park IH, Kong SY, Ro JY, Kwon Y, Kang JH, Mo HJ, Jung SY, Lee S, Lee KS, Kang HS et al.: Prognostic Implications of Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes in Association With Programmed Death Ligand 1 Expression in Early-Stage Breast Cancer. Clin Breast Cancer 2016, 16(1):51–58. [PubMed: 26364145]
- 58. Qin T, Zeng YD, Qin G, Xu F, Lu JB, Fang WF, Xue C, Zhan JH, Zhang XK, Zheng QF et al.: High PD-L1 expression was associated with poor prognosis in 870 Chinese patients with breast cancer. Oncotarget 2015, 6(32):33972–33981. [PubMed: 26378017]
- 59. Soliman H, Khalil F, Antonia S: PD-L1 expression is increased in a subset of basal type breast cancer cells. PloS one 2014, 9(2):e88557. [PubMed: 24551119]
- 60. Bertucci F, Goncalves A: Immunotherapy in Breast Cancer: the Emerging Role of PD-1 and PD-L1. Curr Oncol Rep 2017, 19(10):64. [PubMed: 28799073]
- 61. Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, Dieras V, Hegg R, Im SA, Wright GS et al.: LBA1_PR IMpassion130: Results from a global, randomised, double-blind, phase III study of atezolizumab (atezo) + nab -paclitaxel (nab -P) vs placebo + nab -P in treatment-naive, locally advanced or metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (mTNBC). Annals of Oncology, Volume 29 (Supplement 8).
- 62. Ostrand-Rosenberg S: Animal models of tumor immunity, immunotherapy and cancer vaccines. Curr Opin Immunol 2004, 16(2):143–150. [PubMed: 15023405]



Figure 1:

In vitro and *in vivo* growth and phenotype of NDL^{UCD}. a-b) Growth attributes of the NDL^{UCD} cell line, a) *In vitro* growth rate in 2D culture. b) *In vivo* growth rate of transplanted NDL^{UCD} tumor (this data has been previously published [4]). c-g) Immunohistochemistry of the NDL^{UCD} transplant tumors; c) ER, d) PR, e) H&E, f) ERBB2, g) KI67. Arrows indicate normal mammary glands. h) *In vitro* 2D culture showing cobblestone epithelial phenotype. i-k) Lung metastasis, example of a lung showing high multiplicity of NDL^{UCD} tumor outgrowth after tail-vein injection. i) Whole-mount photograph of a lung lobe with multiple NDL^{UCD} outgrowths. j) high tumor multiplicity, arrow indicates tumor shown at a higher magnification in k). k) The lung outgrowths show characteristic NDL^{UCD} phenotype.



Figure 2:

Expression of *ErbB* pathway molecules in the NDL^{UCD} model. a) The *ErbB* pathway as presented in KEGG pathways [20] colored, based on the level of expression of the indicated molecule in the RNA-Seq analysis of NDL^{UCD} transplant tumors (n=3). b) Expression values in the RNASeq analysis used for color coding in the "a" panel. c) Comparison of expression of *ErbB* pathway genes in three transplantable mouse models: NDL^{UCD}, SSM2^{UCD}, Met-1^{fvb2} (Gene expression profiling was performed with Affymetrix Mouse Gene 1.0 ST Arrays (n=6/each model)). Log2 transformed values were utilized for hierarchical clustering and the results are visualized with a heat map. d) Comparison of expression of main tumor suppressor genes in the NDL^{UCD}, SSM2^{UCD}, Met-1^{fvb2} models.

e) Western blot of ErbB2 pathway proteins from cell cultures of three transplantable mouse models (NDL^{UCD}, SSM2^{UCD},Met-1^{fvb2}) (MWM: Molecular Weight Marker).



Figure 3:

Immune cell distribution in syngeneic transplant of NDL^{UCD}. a-f) Representative images of immunohistochemistry on an NDL^{UCD} transplant tumor showing the same region: a) CD8A, b) CD4, c) F4/80, d) FOXP3, e) B220, f) H&E. g-k): Immune cell marker positive cells in the tumor adjacent stroma and in the peripheral tumor of transplanted NDL^{UCD} tumors and primary tumors from the GEMM. n=6 transplant tumors from 3 animals (Tx) n=3 primary tumors from 3 animals (1°). * q<0.05, **q<0.01, ***q<0.001, ****q<0.001



Figure 4:

Illustration of heterogeneity in immune cell infiltration. a-e) Distribution of immune cells in the peripheral tumor and the tumor adjacent stroma; a) CD4, b) CD8A, c) F4/80, d) B220, e) FOXP3. f) The analyzed area shown in the tissue overview, stromal area borders shown in red, peripheral tumor area borders shown in black. g) Example of an area of high CD8 positive cell density in the *peripheral tumor* and in the *tumor adjacent stroma*. h) Example of an area with low CD8 positive cell density in the *peripheral tumor* and in the *tumor adjacent stroma*. h) Example of an area with low CD8 positive cell density in the *peripheral tumor* and in the *tumor adjacent stroma*.



Figure 5:

PD-1 and PD-L1 expression in the NDL^{UCD} model. a) b) PD-1, CD3, ECAD expression stained with multiplex IHC. c) d) PD-1 expressing immune cells. The arrows indicate PD-1 positive cells. e) Positive PD-L1 staining, with typical zonal distribution of staining intensity. f) PD-L1 IHC on cell culture. g) PD-L1 western blot for cultured NDL^{UCD} cells.



Figure 6:

Immune reaction-associated gene expression in two mouse models. Gene expression profiling of NDL^{UCD} and Met-1^{fvb2} transplanted tumors was performed using Affymetrix Gene 1.0 ST Arrays. Samples were clustered using a homologous gene list based on identification of immune infiltration from gene expression data in humans [40]. Log2 transformed and mean-centered values are illustrated.