Evaluation of Amorphous Oxide Coatings for High-voltage Li-ion Battery Applications using a First-Principles Framework
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Abstract

Cathode surface coatings are widely used industrially as a means to suppress degradation and improve electrochemical performance of lithium-ion batteries. However, developing an optimal coating is challenging as different coating materials may enhance one aspect of performance while hindering another. To elucidate the fundamental thermodynamic and transport properties of amorphous cathode coating materials, here, we present a framework for calculating and analyzing the Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ transport and the stability against delithiation in such materials. Our framework includes systematic workflows of ab-initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations to obtain amorphous structures and diffusion trajectories coupled with an analysis of critical changes of the active ion local environment during diffusion. Based on this data, we provide an estimate of room temperature diffusivities, including statistical error bars, and the evaluation of the coating suitability in terms of its ability to facilitate Li$^+$ transport while blocking O$^{2-}$ transport. Finally, we add the thermodynamic stability analysis of the coating chemistry within the operating voltage of common Li-ion cathodes. We apply this framework to two commonly used amorphous coating materials, Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO. We find that (1) in general, higher Li$^+$ content increases both Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ diffusivities in both Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO. Also, Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ diffuse much faster in ZnO than in Al$_2$O$_3$. (2) However, neither Al$_2$O$_3$ nor ZnO is expected to retain a significant concentration of Li$^+$ at high charge. (3) ZnO performs much more poorly in terms of O$^{2-}$ blocking and hence Al$_2$O$_3$ is preferred for high-voltage cathode applications. These results will help to quantitatively evaluate amorphous materials, such as metal oxides and fluorides, for different performance metrics and facilitate the development of optimal cathode coatings.
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Introduction

After dominating the consumer electronics market for several years as rechargeable power-sources, lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are expanding into the automobile industry.\textsuperscript{1} However, to sustain continuous growth of electrified transportation, improvements in several areas are needed, including the development of novel stable cathode materials,\textsuperscript{3} stable anode materials,\textsuperscript{4} new electrolytes,\textsuperscript{5} and electrolyte additives that can mitigate side reactions between the electrode materials and the electrolytes.\textsuperscript{8} Such side reactions cause a variety of undesirable effects including cation dissolution and associated surface structural degradation, thickening of the solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer, and large irreversible capacity and coulombic efficiency loss.\textsuperscript{10} For example, hydrofluoric acid (HF), formed by degradation of the LiPF$_6$-based electrolyte, is known to attack the cathode particles and accelerate redox-active metal dissolution, thereby lowering the capacity retention upon cycling.\textsuperscript{11,12} Others have reported that the rate of detrimental side reactions increases with the state of charge (SOC), temperature, storage time and cutoff voltage.\textsuperscript{13,14} In addition, oxygen loss from high-voltage cathode materials accelerates capacity/voltage fade and jeopardizes the safety of LIBs.\textsuperscript{15,17} The oxygen can react with the carbonate electrolyte to yield various carbonate gases and undermine cycling stability.\textsuperscript{18} Therefore, enhanced stabilization of the electrode surface is desirable to achieve a highly stable and safe performance of the LIBs.

A common approach to suppress cathode degradation and slow down the cathode-electrolyte side-reactions is to apply a protective coating on the cathode surface. Since the demonstration of improved capacity retention in Al$_2$O$_3$-coated LiCoO$_2$,\textsuperscript{19} there have been numerous studies on Al$_2$O$_3$-coated cathodes and many other coating materials, such as phosphates, carbonates, fluorides and oxides, have been reported to exhibit increased capacity, capacity retention and rate capability.\textsuperscript{20} The underlying mechanisms proposed to explain the improved performance of coated-cathode materials include: (1) improved charge transfer at the cathode surface; (2) modification of the cathode surface chemistry; (3) decreased reaction with HF in electrolyte; (4) formation of a physical barrier between the cathode and electrolyte.\textsuperscript{21} Despite
the significant body of work focusing on coated-cathode materials, developing an optimal coating and understanding the many possible roles of coating materials for specific cathode materials is still challenging as a coating material may enhance one aspect of performance while hindering another. For example, both $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{ZnO}$ coatings have been reported to improve the structural and electrochemical properties of cathode materials,\cite{22,23} however, due to their insulating character the film thickness should be properly tuned to avoid the coating layer from reducing the capacity and rate behavior.\cite{24,25} Furthermore, most reports focus on the lithium transport in various coating materials, however, the oxygen transport should be considered as well, as oxygen loss is responsible for increased surface impedance, capacity fade and thermal runaway, especially for high-voltage cathodes.\cite{26} Therefore, besides the aforementioned four functionalities, an optimal coating material should also retain oxygen and hence improve the thermal stability of the cathodes.\cite{25,27,28}

In this work, we present a framework for calculating and analyzing $\text{Li}^+$ and $\text{O}^{2-}$ transport as well as the chemical stability in cathode coating materials. Specifically, we target amorphous coating materials, as amorphous films tend to be more conformal, with reduced grain boundaries, dislocations, or other highly defective regions that exist in a polycrystalline films. Therefore, amorphous coatings are more likely to reduce the kinetics of $\text{O}^{2-}$ transport, and effectively suppress corrosion.\cite{30} Furthermore, most coatings exhibit amorphous character\cite{22,23}, although coatings with polycrystalline domains can be achieved depending on the synthesis methods and conditions.\cite{31,32} Our framework includes systematic workflows of ab-initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations to obtain representative amorphous structures and associated diffusion trajectories coupled with an analysis of critical changes in the local environment of the active ion during diffusion. Based on this data, we provide an estimate of room temperature diffusivities, including statistical error bars, and the evaluation of the materials suitability in terms of its ability to facilitate $\text{Li}^+$ transport while blocking $\text{O}^{2-}$ transport. Finally, we add an analysis of thermodynamic stability of the coating chemistry as a function of the cathode state of charge. We implement this framework to study two
commonly used amorphous coating materials, Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, and make recommendations based on the analyses.

**Computational Details and Structural Modeling**

Density functional theory (DFT) electronic structure calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)$^{33,34}$, with Projector Augmented Wave (PAW) potentials$^{35}$. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) as parametrized by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)$^{36}$ was used for the exchange-correlation functional. Due to the large unit cells describing the amorphous state, we employed Γ-point only Brillouin zone integration at a plane-wave cutoff energy of 400 eV and a time step of 2 fs in the AIMD simulations.

For optimal relevance to industrially-relevant materials, we focused on conformal ultra-thin coatings$^{23,37}$, where defect chemistry and impurity content could lead to off-stoichiometry and some electronic conductivity.$^{38–40}$ Hence, the exact composition may be ambiguous and motivates us to employ more generalized models for capturing the range of possible electronic and ionic transport through the thin amorphous layer. Recently, Xu et al.$^{41}$ clearly formulated three different scenarios of Li$^+$ diffusion in thin coatings (see Fig. 1): (1) The electron conducting model, where the Li$^+$ accompanies the electron through a sufficiently electronically conductive coating, which requires that the coating cation(s) are reduced during Li$^+$ diffusion; (2) The electrolyte model, where the electronically insulating coating acts as an electrolyte that incorporates Li$^+$ with compensating negative charge in the coating. In this model, the inherent coating cation charge is not affected; (3) The space charge model, where Li$^+$ tunnels through an electronically insulating coating without an accompanying electron, however at the cost of a space charge build-up due to the absence of negative compensating charge. The space charge model was ruled out as the build-up electric field exceeds the dielectric breakdown strength for reasonable coating thicknesses (> 1 nm) and disagrees with
observed overpotential behavior.\textsuperscript{41}

In this work, we focus on understanding transport and stability in two known coating materials: Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and ZnO, and employ both the electron conduction model (1) as well as the electrolyte model (2) as illustrated in Fig. 1a, b. To simulate the electron conducting model, we inserted extra Li\textsuperscript{0} into amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and ZnO to generate Li\textsubscript{2x}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Li\textsubscript{2x}ZnO, respectively, with Al\textsuperscript{3+} and Zn\textsuperscript{2+} being consequently reduced.\textsuperscript{42} This model is similar to previous studies on lithiated amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{42,43} However, a very high degree of lithiation of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} was employed, up to Li\textsubscript{3.4}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, such that a majority of the coating cation Al\textsuperscript{3+} is reduced to Al\textsuperscript{2+} and Al\textsuperscript{1+}. It is worth noting that Al\textsuperscript{2+} and Al\textsuperscript{1+} are not stable and commonly only exist in gas phase\textsuperscript{44}; hence we expect that only a finite amount of Li\textsuperscript{+} and accompanying electrons may be absorbed by Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. For this reason, we considered a maximum of 1.1 (Li\textsuperscript{+}+e\textsuperscript{−}) per Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} unit, i.e., Li\textsubscript{1.1}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. The electron conducting model may be physically motivated by the propensity for high-dielectric constant oxides such as Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} to form oxygen vacancies\textsuperscript{45,46} and ZnO as a well-known n-type semiconductor.\textsuperscript{7} To simulate the electrolyte model, we inserted non-charged Li\textsubscript{2}O, representing the ‘solvated’ Li\textsuperscript{+} cation into amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and ZnO to generate Li\textsubscript{2x}Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3+x} and Li\textsubscript{2x}ZnO\textsubscript{1+x}, respectively. In this model, similar to the diffusion of Li\textsuperscript{+} cations in an electrolyte, there are two transport mechanisms for Li\textsuperscript{+} diffusion: (1) vehicular diffusion, where the Li\textsuperscript{+} diffuses with its coordination shell and (2) structural diffusion, where the Li\textsuperscript{+} moves by bond-breaking/formation and exchanging O\textsuperscript{2−} anions in its solvating shell. These two diffusion mechanisms can also be applied to O\textsuperscript{2−} diffusion.

We implemented a “liquid-quench” process to generate the amorphous structures, in which heating, equilibration, and quenching were done through an AIMD workflow, which has been used previously for simulations of Si/SiO\textsubscript{2} lithiation behavior\textsuperscript{48} as well as understanding the structure of self-passivating layers\textsuperscript{30}. The initial amorphous structures were generated by Packmol package\textsuperscript{49} consisting of 100 atoms of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} or ZnO with extra x Li\textsubscript{2}O (2x Li) for electrolyte (electron conducting) models. For the Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} thin film grown by atomic
layer deposition (ALD), the O/Al atomic ratio is typically higher than 1.5.\textsuperscript{50} On the other hand, there are excess Zn\textsuperscript{2+} ions in ZnO film by ALD, with O/Zn<1.\textsuperscript{51} To generate the liquid phases of the amorphous structures, the initial structures were “heated” at 3000 K and a sequence of 4 ps AIMD simulations in the NVT ensemble were employed to equilibrate the external pressure, wherein the cell volume is rescaled according to the average external pressure before the next AIMD simulation until the averaged external pressure was below 5 kBar in a 2 ps duration. Another approach to equilibrate the external pressure and find the optimal volume is sampling several AIMD simulations with different lattice constant scale factors, thus obtaining the lowest energy state.\textsuperscript{55} The energy equilibration was achieved when the difference between the averaged energy per atom in a 2 ps duration and the averaged energy per atom in a 4 ps duration is smaller than 1 meV/atom. Next, the liquid phases were simulated for additional 10 ps, from which three independent configurations were selected and quenched to 0 K to obtain the ground state atomic positions in the amorphous structures. The quenching workflow consists of a sequence of 0.4 ps AIMD simulations, during which the temperature is reduced by 500 K. To ensure a slow quench process, we also equilibrated the structure for additional 1 ps after each quench step. The radial distribution functions (RDFs) of Al-O and Zn-O pairs in all the electrolyte and electron conducting models at 0 K are plotted in Fig. S1a-d. Comparing to previous work on amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and ZnO,\textsuperscript{42,47} the RDFs for the generated structures in this work show similar bond lengths and coordination environments. The Al-O and Zn-O bond lengths remain unchanged with an increase of Li\textsuperscript{+} content and are estimated to be 1.8 and 2.0 Å, respectively. The O coordination numbers for Al and Zn in electrolyte models stay relatively unchanged while decreasing almost linearly in electron conducting models (see Fig. S1e and f). To perform the diffusion analysis, a series of ionic diffusion trajectories at T = 1400, 1600, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2100, and 2200 K were generated according to the following procedure: for each configuration we equilibrated the structure at different temperatures and then simulated an 80 ps diffusion trajectory at the corresponding temperature. In the end, there were three diffusion
trajectories for each temperature. Fig. S2 in Supporting Information illustrates the entire AIMD and DFT workflows, which were built on the pymatgen$^{52}$, custodian$^{52}$, fireworks$^{53}$, and atomate$^{54}$ codes and can be found as part of the open-source mpmorph package at http://github.com/materialsproject/mpmorph.

As part of our analyses, we extract features within ionic diffusion trajectories, such as vibrational motion at fixed sites and translational motion between two sites.$^{43,55,56}$ Specifically, ions localized at a particular site for several oscillation periods are deemed vibrational motion while hopping to another site represents translational motion. To capture the ionic vibrational and translational motions, we apply density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) on each obtained diffusion trajectory. For these separate motions, we characterize the changes in local coordination environments during the ionic diffusion by calculating the number of nearest neighbors.

The self-diffusion coefficients ($D$) of Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ ions in amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO were obtained using the Einstein relation: $D = \frac{d \langle \delta r^2 \rangle}{6 dt}$, where $t$ represents timestep, $r$ the ion position and $\langle \delta r^2 \rangle$ the mean square displacement (MSD). As the linear MSD vs. $t$ relation does not hold for all the ranges of timesteps,$^7$ we exclude the ballistic region at short $t$ and the poor statistical region at large $t$ when linearly fitting $D$. We calculate the MSD of each ion within temperature window of 1400 to 2200 K and determine the $D$ value at $T = 1400, 1600, 1800, 1900, 2000, 2100,$ and 2200 K. Fig. S3 illustrates the MSD of Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ ions in amorphous Li$_{0.3}$Al$_2$O$_3$, Li$_{0.3}$Al$_2$O$_{3.15}$, Li$_{0.12}$ZnO and Li$_{0.12}$ZnO$_{1.06}$ at various temperatures. It should be pointed out that the $D$ value at each temperature was averaged over three independent diffusion trajectories (as mentioned earlier). As ionic diffusion in slow diffusers at room temperature is not accessible from direct AIMD simulations due to the low rate of ion hops, the $D$ values at room temperature were extrapolated from those at high temperatures using the Arrhenius relation of $D$ as a function of $T$: $D = D_0 exp(-E_a/k_B T)$, where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $D_0$ is the pre-exponential factor and $E_a$ is the activation energy of ion diffusion, which can be determined by fitting the data of $log D$ vs. $1/T$ to the
Figure 1: Schematic illustrations of Li⁺ transport models in amorphous coatings. (a) The electron conducting model, where the Li⁺ accompanies the electron through a sufficiently electronically conductive coating. (b) The electrolyte model, where the electronically insulating coating acts as an electrolyte that incorporates Li⁺ with compensating negative charge in the coating. (c) The space charge model, where Li⁺ tunnels through an electronically insulating coating without an accompanying electron.

Arrhenius relation. Moreover, compared to the diffusion trajectories at higher temperatures, the trajectories at lower temperatures typically exhibit fewer ion hops thus yielding fitted D values with higher statistical uncertainty. Therefore, we take into account the statistical uncertainty of the D value at each temperature when fitting the Arrhenius relation by assigning the standard deviation of logD as the weight for each averaged D. Fig. S4 and S5 in Supporting Information display the Arrhenius plots for all the amorphous compounds considered in this work.

Results and discussion

To obtain an understanding of the transport mechanisms, we investigate how Li⁺ and O²⁻ diffuse through the amorphous coating and the chemical coordination environments that enhance or limit their diffusion. For illustration of representative trends, we plot the trajectories of one Li⁺ and one O²⁻ in Li₀.₃Al₂O₃.₁₅ (Fig. 2a, b) and Li₀.₁₂ZnO₁.₀₆ (Fig. 2c, d) at 2000 K for 40 ps. It is evident from the figure that the Li⁺ diffuses longer distances than the O²⁻ ion during the same amount of time. Also, both Li⁺ and O²⁻ diffuse significantly
faster in ZnO than in Al₂O₃. The trajectories of Li⁺ and O²⁻ ions in Fig. 2 also show their active vibrational and translational motions, which are represented by the yellow and green dots in Fig. 2, respectively. We observe that the Li⁺ and O²⁻ ions vibrate around their local minimum positions and then translate to another local minimum state by passing through the intermediate, activated state. For each time step, we analyze the number of nearest neighbors of the Li⁺ and O²⁻ ions during the vibrational and translational motions to elucidate the changes of chemical coordination environments during the ion diffusion. We find that during vibrational motion, Li⁺ is bonded to more O²⁻ ions than during translational motions, which implies that Li⁺ is trapped at its local equilibrium site by the neighboring O²⁻ ions, and its translation to another vibration site is initiated by the Li-O bond breaking/formation process. Similarly, O²⁻ ion is trapped by its neighboring cations, i.e. Al³⁺, Zn²⁺ or Li⁺, and its translation is promoted by breaking cation-anion bonds. We note that this analysis is in agreement with previously proposed ionic conduction mechanism in amorphous Al₂O₃, Na₂Si₂O₅ and SiO₂.⁴³,⁵⁵,⁵⁷

To further improve our understanding on diffusion-promoting motifs, we calculate the number of nearest neighbors of Li⁺ and O²⁻ ions for electron conducting and electrolyte models to characterize the changes in local coordination environments as a function of Li⁺ concentration during diffusion. In Fig. 3, we present the average number of O²⁻ neighbors during Li⁺ diffusion and the average number of Al³⁺/Zn²⁺ neighbors during O²⁻ diffusion for LiₓAl₂O₃/LiₓAl₂O₃⁺ₓ and LiₓZnO/LiₓZnO₁₊ₓ at 2000 K for 40 ps. Consistently, we observe a decrease in the O²⁻ coordination number with Li⁺ during Li⁺ diffusion and similarly, the number of Al³⁺(Zn²⁺) neighbors during O²⁻ diffusion, as a function of increasing Li⁺ concentration. This implies a higher Li⁺ as well as O²⁻ mobility in amorphous structures with higher Li⁺ content. In addition, the number of O²⁻ neighbors during Li⁺ diffusion in ZnO is smaller than that in Al₂O₃, consistent with a higher Li⁺ diffusivity in ZnO. On the other hand, in ZnO, the O²⁻ ion exhibits a higher coordination number to Zn²⁺ neighbors as compared to Al³⁺ in Al₂O₃. However, as shown below, the Al-O bond is stronger than the
Figure 2: Trajectories of one Li$^+$ and one O$^{2-}$ in amorphous Li$_{0.3}$Al$_2$O$_{3.15}$ (a, b) and Li$_{0.12}$ZnO$_{1.06}$ (c, d) at 2000 K for 40 ps. Yellow and green dots represent vibrational and translational motions, respectively.

Zn-O bond such that the bond-breaking process necessary for translation is less favorable in Al$_2$O$_3$ as compared to ZnO. Therefore, the O$^{2-}$ diffusivity is limited by both the cation coordination number and the cation-oxygen bond strength.

The trends towards higher ionic diffusivity (both Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$) with higher Li$^+$ content of the coating begs the question if such Li-containing coatings are thermodynamically stable at high charge. To estimate the stability of Li-containing coating materials, we calculate the phase diagram of the amorphous Li-Al-O and Li-Zn-O systems as a function of Li and O chemical potentials in Fig. 4a, b. We emphasize that all phases were generated from the compounds in their amorphous structures, which were obtained from the “liquid-quench” process outlined in previous section. In Fig. S6, we also plot the phase diagrams of Li-Al-O and Li-Zn-O systems using the crystalline phases. Recently, Aykol et al. proposed a thermodynamic upper limit on the energy scale for synthesizability of metastable crystalline polymorphs.$^7$ At 0 K, the energy limit is defined on the basis of the amorphous state, above
which a polymorph cannot be stabilized. Therefore, the Gibbs free energy of amorphous phase is higher than that of its synthesizable polymorph counterparts. For Al$_2$O$_3$, ZnO and Li$_2$O, the amorphous limits are all approximately 0.2 eV/atom.$^7$ The vertical green dash lines in Fig. 4 represent a typical operating voltage of 2.5-4.5 V vs Li metal for high-voltage cathode materials. We observe several Li-containing phases to be synthetically accessible. One example is Li$_6$ZnO$_4$, which indeed has been experimentally confirmed in ZnO-coated cathodes.$^{58}$ However, at high charge state, Li-containing Al oxide or Zn oxide phase, such as LiAlO$_2$ and Li$_6$ZnO$_4$, are unstable and are expected to delithiate and decompose to Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, respectively. This conclusion is in good agreement with the experimental results, where the Al$_2$O$_3$ surface film is stable against electrochemical charge and discharge between 2.0 and 4.6 V, and no Li-containing Al oxide has been detected.$^{22}$ On the other hand, it should be noted that the surface Al$_2$O$_3$ layer can be lithiated to form a stable Li-Al-O glass, e.g. LiAlO$_2$, but at a much lower cycling voltage, e.g. 1 mV to 2.5 V.$^{7}$ These results indicate that, while higher Li$^+$ content may promote higher ionic diffusion, low Li$^+$ content of Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO coating formulations provide a more realistic model given stability constraints from exposure to highly charged cathodes.

Using the methodology described in the previous section, we estimate the room temperature self-diffusion coefficients of Li$^+$ ($D_{rt}^{Li}$) and O$^{2-}$ ($D_{rt}^{O}$) in Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO. Fig. 5 shows the extrapolated $D_{rt}^{Li}$ and $D_{rt}^{O}$ values as a function of Li$^+$ concentration. Table S1 and S2 list the calculated activation energy $E_a$, pre-exponential factor $D_0$, extrapolated $D_{rt}$ and conductivity $C_{rt}$ for Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ diffusion in all the considered compositions. Our calculated $E_a$ of Li$^+$ diffusion in Al$_2$O$_3$ are close to the experimental values reported by Glass et al. in Li$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$ glasses.$^7$ Three observations regarding the variance of $D_{rt}$ values can be extracted: (1) In general, a higher Li$^+$ content leads to higher $D_{rt}^{Li}$ and $D_{rt}^{O}$ values, which corresponds well with the decreased O$^{2-}$ coordination number of Li$^+$ as well as the lower cation (Al$^{3+}$/Zn$^{2+}$) coordination number of O$^{2-}$ during diffusion (e.g. translational motion) in Fig. 3. (2) Compared with the electron conducting model, the electrolyte model results in
Figure 3: Averaged number of O neighbors during Li$^+$ diffusion (a, c) and averaged number of Al and Zn neighbors during O$^{2-}$ diffusion (b, d) for amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO at 2000 K for 40 ps.

faster Li$^+$ diffusion in Al$_2$O$_3$. This suggests that the significantly impeded electron hopping$^{50}$ in amorphous Al$_2$O$_3$ would present a bottleneck for Li$^+$ transport. Interestingly, there is no major difference in D_Li$^{rt}$ between the electron conducting and the electrolyte models in ZnO, which implies that the electron mobility in semiconducting ZnO is fast enough to support Li$^+$ diffusion. Indeed, the room temperature electrical conductivity of ZnO is orders of magnitude higher than that of Al$_2$O$_3$. Further, the electron conducting model promotes O$^{2-}$ transport in Al$_2$O$_3$, which suggests that the reduced Al cations exhibit a weaker bond to oxygen, while Al cations remain 3$^+$ state in electrolyte model. (3) We find that Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ diffuse significantly faster in ZnO than in Al$_2$O$_3$. For Li$^+$ diffusion, this is mainly rationalized by the lower oxygen coordination number for Li$^+$ in ZnO, as compared to that of Al$_2$O$_3$ (Fig. 3a, c). However, to analyze the factors affecting O$^{2-}$ diffusion, we estimate
Figure 4: Phase diagram of Li-Al-O (a) and Li-Zn-O (b) systems as a function of Li and O chemical potentials. All the compositions are in amorphous phases. The green dash lines represent a typical high-voltage Li-ion battery operating voltage 2.5-4.5 V vs Li metal. (c) Calculated O\textsuperscript{2−} room temperature self-diffusion coefficients and -ICOHP values for amorphous Li\textsubscript{2}O, Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, ZnO and Sb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}.

the variance of bond strength between Al-O and Zn-O. To obtain a quantitative measure of the bond strength, we calculate the averaged integration of projected crystal orbital Hamiltonian populations (ICOHP) up to the Fermi level of Al-O and Zn-O in amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and ZnO, respectively, using the LOBSTER codes\textsuperscript{62}. The averaged ICOHP values are determined by averaging over all the ICOHP values of metal-O bonds that exhibit a bond length less than 2.6 Å. The results are shown in Fig. 4c along with the D\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{rt}} and ICOHP values of amorphous Li\textsubscript{2}O and Sb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} for comparison. It can be seen that a higher -ICOHP value of metal-O bond corresponds to a lower D\textsubscript{O\textsubscript{rt}} and the -ICOHP value of Al-O bond (4.13 eV) is higher than that of Zn-O bond (3.31 eV), which suggest a stronger Al-O bond as compared to Zn-O. Therefore, the slower diffusion of O\textsuperscript{2−} in Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} with its underlying cation-oxygen bond-breaking mechanism can be rationalized by the stronger Al-O bond as compared to the Zn-O one, even though amorphous ZnO exhibits a higher cation-oxygen coordination number than amorphous Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}.

Finally, using the obtained transport results, we estimate and discuss the impact of coating material choice on a model cathode performance, addressing facile Li\textsuperscript{+} transport while attempting to impede O\textsuperscript{2−} transport. Assuming a spherical LiNiO\textsubscript{2} model cathode
particle of 10 $\mu$m in diameter$^{63}$ and a Li$^+$ diffusivity of $D_{rt}^{Li} = 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$/s in LiNiO$_2$ produce an averaged time of 625 s for Li$^+$ to transport from the particle to the surface. A lower bound coating thickness of 1 nm and an estimated Li$^+$ diffusivity in Al$_2$O$_3$ of $D_{rt}^{Li} = 10^{-16}$ cm$^2$/s result in a Li$^+$ transport time of 100 s through the coating, which is 14% of the total transport time from the cathode particle into the electrolyte. However, a thicker coating of 10 nm$^{64}$ increases the estimated Li$^+$ diffusion time within the coating to 10,000 s, increasing the total diffusion time to 10,625 s. Hence, thick conformal Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings could significantly reduce Li-ion conductivity and rate capability of the electrode.$^{24,65}$ However, it is worth pointing out that an Al$_2$O$_3$ coating can substantially reduce the kinetics of oxygen transport from the electrode to the electrolyte, due to the low O$^{2-}$ diffusivity in Al$_2$O$_3$. For example, considering $D_{rt}^{O} = 10^{-20}$ cm$^2$/s, even with 1 nm Al$_2$O$_3$ conformal coating, the estimated time for O$^{2-}$ to transport through the coating would be $10^6$ s. Turning to ZnO, an 1 nm coating and an estimated Li$^+$ diffusivity of $D_{rt}^{Li} = 10^{-10}$ cm$^2$/s result in an estimated time of 100 $\mu$s for Li$^+$ transport through the coating. However, the corresponding analysis, assuming $D_{rt}^{O} = 10^{-14}$ cm$^2$/s, for O$^{2-}$ diffusion yields 1 s, which indicates that amorphous ZnO would not be preferred for high-voltage oxide cathode coatings, despite facile Li$^+$ diffusion properties. It should be noted that our estimated diffusion time is based on self-diffusion, i.e., we neglect the driving force of the chemical potential gradient across the coating. Thus, our estimation is likely a lower-bound approximation for the Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ transport, however the consideration of a chemical potential difference between the cathode and electrolyte will affect each coating equally. Overall, we predict that Al$_2$O$_3$ would be a better conformal coating for high-voltage oxide cathodes as compared to ZnO, however, care should be taken to limit the thickness.

Based on the Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ transport behavior in Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, we find, not surprisingly, that in these materials Li$^+$ transport is correlated with O$^{2-}$ transport, i.e., a slower O$^{2-}$ diffusion in Al$_2$O$_3$ leads to a slower Li$^+$ diffusion. However, we stress that such correlation may only hold in binary oxides. For example, in chlorides or bromides, Li$^+$ is likely
Figure 5: Calculated room temperature self-diffusion coefficients of Li$^+$ (a) and O$^{2-}$ (b) in Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO as a function of Li$^+$ concentration. Blue and grey lines represent Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, respectively. Open and closed circles represent electron conducting and electrolyte models, respectively.

to bond to Cl$^-$ or Br$^-$, interfering less with the transport of any possible neighboring O$^{2-}$ ions. In such materials, Li$^+$ translation is likely initiated by a Li-Cl or Li-Br bond breaking/formation process. Interestingly, Li$_3$YCl$_6$ and Li$_3$YBr$_6$ have been found to exhibit high room-temperature Li-ion conductivity as the solid-electrolyte materials. Along with their high chemical and electrochemical stability, Li$_3$YCl$_6$ and Li$_3$YBr$_6$ also show promise as cathode coating materials. In addition, numerous polyanionic oxides, such as NASICON- and LISICON-type phosphates, have also been proposed to exhibit high room-temperature Li-ion conductivity. The covalency of oxygen with the non-metal cation in the polyanion also enhances the bond strength with O$^{2-}$ and increases the oxidation limit of polyanionic oxides. Therefore, polyanionic oxides are likely a promising category of cathode coating materials from the perspective of facile Li$^+$ transport and impeded O$^{2-}$ transport.

Conclusions

Today’s Li-ion batteries materials rely on engineering solutions to perform at conditions far from their equilibrium. For example, cathode degradation at high charge is commonly suppressed by applications of physical barriers in the form of thin protective coatings, which
are ideally transparent to the active Li$^+$ ion, while suppressing oxygen release from the cathode as well as undesirable reactions with the electrolyte. These thin, non-crystalline and defective coating materials and their functionality as a function of chemistry and structure are extremely difficult to characterize and monitor in situ. To improve our understanding and future rational design of optimal cathode coating materials for Li-ion energy storage applications, we here explore transport mechanisms and thermodynamic stability in two known amorphous coating materials: Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO. We use extensive ab-initio molecular dynamics to produce reasonable model systems for the amorphous phases, and explore the diffusion of Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ as a function of Li$^+$ content. Given the uncertainty of transport modes in these non-crystalline coatings, we employ two different models to capture the diffusion behavior: (1) Electron conducting model and (2) Electrolyte model. The following observations and conclusions are made:

(1) In general, higher Li$^+$ content improves both Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ transport in Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, which is rationalized by the lower coordinating number of neighboring O$^{2-}$ and Al$^{3+}$ (Zn$^{2+}$) ions, respectively. Also, Li$^+$ and O$^{2-}$ diffuse much faster in ZnO than in Al$_2$O$_3$. In ZnO, ionic transport is facilitated by the lower oxygen coordinations during Li$^+$ diffusion, as well as the higher electronic conductivity. In Al$_2$O$_3$, the stronger Al-O bond impedes the bond breaking mechanism necessary for oxygen translational motion.

(2) Considering both Li$^+$ diffusivity as well as O$^{2-}$ transport as selection metrics, Al$_2$O$_3$ provides a better conformal cathode coating than ZnO. However, its coating thickness should be thin (1 nm) to avoid the coating layer from negatively impacting the Li-ion conductivity and capacity of the electrode.

(3) For Al$_2$O$_3$ and ZnO, even though their lithiated counterparts, such as LiAlO$_2$, can achieve a faster Li$^+$ diffusion, they are not stable against delithiation and subsequent decomposition at a state of high charge.

In summary, we present a framework to study amorphous materials as the conformal coating for high-voltage cathodes. The framework includes series of AIMD simulations to
obtain amorphous structures and diffusion trajectories, the analysis of the change in coordinating environments during diffusion, the estimation of room temperature diffusivities and finally the evaluation of the coating suitability in terms of its ability to facilitate Li\(^+\) transport while blocking O\(^{2-}\) transport. We believe this framework can be productively used to study other amorphous metal oxides, such as MgO and Ta\(_2\)O\(_5\), and fluorides, such as AlF\(_3\) and CaF\(_2\) as well as to help design new chemical coating formulations.

**Acknowledgement**

The authors thank Dr. Gerbrand Ceder and Kara D. Fong for useful discussions. This work was intellectually led by the Battery Materials Research (BMR) program under the Assistant Secretary for Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Office of Vehicle Technologies of the U.S. Department of Energy, Contract DEAC02-05CH11231. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center (NERSC).

**Supporting Information Available**

Radial distribution functions and oxygen coordination number for Li\(_{2x}\)Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), Li\(_{2x}\)Al\(_2\)O\(_{3+x}\), Li\(_{2x}\)ZnO and Li\(_{2x}\)ZnO\(_{1+x}\); schematic illustration of AIMD and DFT workflows; mean square displacement of Li\(^+\) and O\(^{2-}\) ions; Arrhenius plots of Li\(^+\) and O\(^{2-}\) self-diffusivity \(D\); O\(^{2-}\) self-diffusivity \(D\) in amorphous oxides; Phase diagram of Li-Al-O and Li-Zn-O systems; calculated activation energy \(E_a\), pre-exponential factor \(D_0\), extrapolated room temperature diffusivity \(D_{rt}\) and conductivity \(C_{rt}\). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at [http://pubs.acs.org/](http://pubs.acs.org/).
References


(4) Wang, H.; Fu, J.; Wang, C.; Wang, J.; Yang, A.; Li, C.; Sun, Q.; Cui, Y.; Li, H. A Binder-free High Silicon Content Flexible Anode for Li-ion Batteries. *Energy & Environmental Science* 2020,


(14) Yang, L.; Ravdel, B.; Lucht, B. L. Electrolyte Reactions with the Surface of High Voltage LiNi0.5Mn1.5O4 Cathodes for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters* **2010**, *13*.

(15) Noh, H.-J.; Youn, S.; Yoon, C. S.; Sun, Y.-K. Comparison of the Structural and Electrochemical Properties of Layered Li[NixCoyMnz]O2 (x = 1/3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8 and 0.85) Cathode Material for Lithium-Ion Batteries. *Journal of Power Sources* **2013**, *233*, 121–130.
(16) Hwang, S.; Chang, W.; Kim, S. M.; Su, D.; Kim, D. H.; Lee, J. Y.; Chung, K. Y.; Stach, E. A. Investigation of Changes in the Surface Structure of Li$_x$Ni$_0.8$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$O$_2$ Cathode Materials Induced by the Initial Charge. *Chemistry of Materials* 2014, 26, 1084–1092.


(22) Zhang, X.; Belharouak, I.; Li, L.; Lei, Y.; Elam, J. W.; Nie, A.; Chen, X.; Yassar, R. S.; Axelbaum, R. L. Structural and Electrochemical Study of Al$_2$O$_3$ and TiO$_2$ Coated Li$_{1.2}$Ni$_{0.13}$Mn$_{0.54}$Co$_{0.13}$O$_2$ Cathode Material Using ALD. *Advanced Energy Materials* 2013, 3, 1299–1307.


(57) Ostadhossein, A.; Kim, S.-Y.; Cubuk, E. D.; Qi, Y.; van Duin, A. C. T. Atomic Insight into the Lithium Storage and Diffusion Mechanism of SiO2/Al2O3 Electrodes of


(64) Myung, S.-T.; Izumi, K.; Komaba, S.; Yashiro, H.; Bang, H. J.; Sun, Y.-K.; Kumagai, N. Functionality of Oxide Coating for Li[Li0.05Ni0.4Co0.15Mn0.4]O2 as Positive Electrode Materials for Lithium-Ion Secondary Batteries. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2007, 111, 4061–4067.

Graphical TOC Entry