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Abstract  Carbonyls are an important group of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) that play critical roles in tropospheric chemistry. To better understand the formation mechanisms of carbonyl compounds, extensive measurements of carbonyls and related parameters were conducted in Beijing in summer 2008. Formaldehyde (11.17 ± 5.32 ppbv), acetone (6.98 ± 3.01 ppbv), and acetaldehyde (5.27 ± 2.24 ppbv) were the most abundant carbonyl species. Two dicarbonyls, glyoxal (0.68 ± 0.26 ppbv) and methylglyoxal (MGLY; 1.10 ± 0.44 ppbv), were also present in relatively high concentrations. An observation-based chemical box model was used to simulate the in situ production of formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, glyoxal, and MGLY and quantify their contributions to ozone formation and RO₂ budget. All four carbonyls showed similar formation mechanisms but exhibited different precursor distributions. Alkenes (mainly isoprene and ethene) were the dominant precursors of formaldehyde, while both alkenes (e.g., propene, i-butene, and cis-2-pentene) and alkanes (mainly i-pentane) were major precursors of acetaldehyde. For dicarbonyls, both isoprene and aromatic VOCs were the dominant parent hydrocarbons of glyoxal and MGLY. Photolysis of oxygenated VOCs was the dominant source of RO₂ radicals (approximately >80% for HO₂ and approximately >70% for RO₂) in Beijing. Ozone production occurred under a mixed-control regime with carbonyls being the key VOC species. Overall, this study provides some new insights into the formation mechanisms of carbonyls, especially their parent hydrocarbon species, and underlines the important role of carbonyls in radical chemistry and ozone pollution in Beijing. Reducing the emissions of alkenes and aromatics would be an effective way to mitigate photochemical pollution in Beijing.

1. Introduction

Carbonyl compounds, such as aldehydes, ketones, and dicarbonyls, are ubiquitous in the troposphere and have a critical influence on atmospheric chemistry. The photolysis of carbonyl compounds is a significant source of radicals and hence determines the atmospheric oxidizing capacity (Liu, Wang, Gu, et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2016). As an important class of reactive volatile organic compounds (VOCs), carbonyls are principal precursors of ozone (O₃) and secondary organic aerosols (SOAs) (Atkinson, 2000; Fu et al., 2008; Rao et al., 2012; Xue et al., 2016). High concentrations of carbonyls, such as formaldehyde (HCHO) and acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), can cause detrimental effects (e.g., carcinogenic risks) to human health (Huang et al., 2011). Carbonyls are important products from the oxidation of various hydrocarbons (Atkinson, 2000) and can also be emitted directly from the combustion of fossil fuels, natural vegetation, and biomass (Liu et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2001). Hence, pollution by carbonyls has attracted much attention in recent years given their significant role in atmospheric chemistry, health risks, and the complexity of their formation mechanisms.

Previous work has reported on the serious carbonyl pollution in China as a result of its rapid industrialization and urbanization. Numerous measurements of ambient carbonyls have been conducted, focusing on the pollution levels and potential sources of formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in the urban atmosphere (Duan et al., 2012; Li et al., 2010; Ling et al., 2016; Lü et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2012). For example, Liu et al. (2009) reported high levels of oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) in Beijing and apportioned about half of the acetaldehyde to secondary sources with a least squares linear-fit approach. In the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) region of eastern China, Wang et al. (2015) reported that about 34.9% of the measured HCHO was...
attributable to photochemical production. In comparison with HCHO and CH$_2$CHO, less attention has been paid to the dicarbonyls, such as glyoxal (CHOCHO) and methyl glyoxal (MGLY), which are important precursors of O$_3$ and SOAs (Fu et al., 2008; Ho et al., 2014; Lim et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2010; Liu, Wang, Vrekoussis, et al., 2012). Indeed, Chan Miller et al. (2016) identified the Pearl River Delta (PRD) region as a hot spot for CHOCHO from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument satellite observations. Li et al. (2014) also observed high concentrations of CHOCHO at a semirural site in the PRD. More efforts are needed to shed light on the characteristics and formation mechanisms of dicarbonyls, especially in the major industrialized regions of China, such as the PRD, YRD, and North China Plain.

Considering the remarkable contribution of secondary formation to ambient carbonyls, a detailed understanding of their formation chemistry and precursors is essential to establish effective measures to control carbonyl pollution. It has been shown that these carbonyl compounds are produced via the oxidation reaction chains of various hydrocarbons, and the dominant primary precursors are quite inhomogeneous between different carbonyl species and different study areas. In remote areas, HCHO production is mainly attributable to the methane (CH$_4$) oxidation reaction by OH radicals, whereas in semirural areas, it is dominated by isoprene (C$_5$H$_8$) degradation (Li et al., 2014). In comparison, in urban areas, anthropogenic alkenes are usually important precursors of HCHO (Liu et al., 2015). Anthropogenic alkenes and alkanes dominate the secondary production of CH$_2$CHO (Liu et al., 2015). Globally, the oxidation of isoprene and ethyne are the major formation pathways of CHOCHO and MGLY (Fu et al., 2008). However, in the polluted PRD area, anthropogenic aromatics are proposed to be the major precursors of CHOCHO (Chan Miller et al., 2016; Li et al., 2014; Liu, Wang, Vrekoussis, et al., 2012). Obviously, identification of the parent hydrocarbon species and quantification of the carbonyl-precursor relationships are essential prerequisites for understanding carbonyl formation and developing pollution control strategies.

Rapid industrialization and urbanization has also led to severe ozone air pollution in China, with surface O$_3$ concentrations frequently exceeding the national ambient air quality limits (Wang et al., 2006, 2016; Xue et al., 2011; Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014; Xue, Wang, Louie, et al., 2014). Over the past decade, the continuously improving knowledge of the O$_3$-precursor relationship has supported the formulation of antipollution strategies (Chou et al., 2011; Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014). For instance, considering the VOC-limited regime of O$_3$ formation in many urban areas, such as Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou, the key VOC groups contributing the most to O$_3$ formation have been further assessed and subjected to a series of strict control measures (Cheng et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2011; Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014). However, these studies have mainly focused on hydrocarbons, with only occasional attention being paid to carbonyls because of the limited observational data. A recent study has indicated the dominant role of OVOCs in the radical sources (RO$_x$ = OH + RO$_2$ + HO$_2$) in the polluted Hong Kong and PRD region (Xue et al., 2016). These RO$_x$ radicals can dominate the atmospheric oxidative capacity (AOC) and thus govern the O$_3$ formation (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). Consequently, the quantitative effects of carbonyls on O$_3$ formation, atmospheric oxidizing capacity, and radical chemistry require further evaluation to comprehensively understand the cause of the O$_3$ problem.

In this study, to better understand the chemical cause of photochemical pollution, we investigate the formation mechanism of major carbonyls in Beijing during the summer of 2008. We first show the concentration levels and chemical compositions of the observed carbonyls. We then analyze the photochemical formation processes of HCHO, CH$_2$CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY, with the application of an observation-based chemical box model constrained by a full suite of observed chemical species and meteorological parameters. Through this detailed chemical analysis, we have aimed to explicitly explore the dominant formation pathways and the primary precursor species of carbonyls. Sensitivity experiments were conducted to diagnose the formation regimes of major carbonyls. Finally, we elaborate on the impacts of carbonyl compounds on O$_3$ formation and the RO$_x$ chemical budget in Beijing.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Experiments

The field experiments were conducted on the rooftop of a three-story building (15 m above the ground level) in the Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences (CRAES). This is a suburban site situated in the northern part of the center of Beijing and closed to the fifth ring road (see Figure 1). When southerly winds...
prevail, it is normally located downwind of downtown Beijing. Detailed information about this site has been described elsewhere (Wang et al., 2010; Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014).

The concentrations of carbonyls, methane (CH$_4$), and nonmethane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) were determined simultaneously on high O$_3$ episode days from 16 July to 26 August 2008. There was at least one sample on each selected O$_3$ episode day. In addition, to capture the contributions of photochemical reactions to carbonyls and O$_3$ pollution, there were more samples (up to seven) on particular episode days (Wang et al., 2010). Ambient carbonyl samples were collected by drawing ambient air through a 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine-coated sorbent cartridge. The samples were taken from 07:00 to 19:00 local time (LT) with a flow rate of 1 L min$^{-1}$ and a duration of 2 h. A total of 94 samples were obtained during the field campaign. After sampling, a high-performance liquid chromatography (PerkinElmer Series 2000) system was used for the detection of carbonyl species in the air laboratory of the Hong Kong Polytechnic University (Ho & Yu, 2004; Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014). In total, 17 carbonyl species were identified and quantified in this study.

CH$_4$ and NMHCs were sampled by taking whole ambient air in 2 L stainless steel canisters and analyzed using a five-column multiple gas chromatograph (GC) system equipped with flame ionization detector, mass spectrometry detector, and electron capture detector (ECD) at the University of California at Irvine, with a detection limit of 3 pptv for all quantified VOC species (Simpson et al., 2010). The samples were taken every 2 h from 07:00 to 19:00 LT with a duration of 2 min. In total, 109 canister samples were taken during the sampling period.

The concentrations of O$_3$, NO, NO$_2$, NO$_x$, and peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and the meteorological parameters, including ambient temperature, relative humidity (RH), wind speed and direction, and total ultraviolet radiation, were continuously monitored with a set of commercial instruments. Brief descriptions are as follows. O$_3$ was determined using a commercial ultraviolet absorption analyzer (TEI model 49i), CO using a nondispersive infrared analyzer (API model 300EU), NO and NO$_x$ using a chemiluminescence method (TEI model 42i and TEI model 42cy, equipped with a blue light converter and molybdenum oxide converter, respectively), and PAN using a commercial GC-ECD analyzer (Meteorologie Consult GmbH). The details of the calibration and quality assurance of the above instruments can be found elsewhere (Wang et al., 2001; Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014).

### 2.2. Observation-Based Model

The observation-based model for investigating atmospheric oxidative capacity and photochemistry (OBM-AOCP) has proved to be a robust tool for explicit simulation of atmospheric chemistry. It has been extensively applied to simulate O$_3$ formation (Xue et al., 2013; Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014), PAN production (Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014), atmospheric oxidative capacity, and RO$_x$ chemistry (Xue et al., 2016). In this study, the model was updated to the newest version of the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM, v3.3; http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/). This nearly explicit mechanism uses about 17,000 reactions of approximately 6,700...
species plus the latest International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry inorganic chemistry evaluation inorganic chemistry evaluation (Jenkin et al., 2003; Jenkin, Young, & Rickard, 2015; Saunders et al., 2003). In addition to the homogeneous chemistry, heterogeneous chemical processes, dry deposition, and dilution mixing within the boundary layer are also considered in this model. A detailed description of the configuration and validation of this model has been provided elsewhere (Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2016) and is also documented in the supporting materials (Zhang et al., 2003).

In this study, the OBM-AOCP model was applied to simulate the photochemical production and destruction of major carbonyls (i.e., HCHO, CH₃CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY) and O₃ and to elucidate the AOC and ROₓ budget in Beijing. The calculation of ozone production rates and ROₓ budget has been described elsewhere (Xue et al., 2013; Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014; Xue et al., 2016). Here we introduce the computation of reaction rates of secondary carbonyls in detail. In the troposphere, secondary carbonyls are formed through a variety of reactions. Specifically, 399, 163, 149, and 202 chemical reactions are related to the formation of HCHO, CH₃CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY, respectively, in the MCM. These reactions were explicitly tracked in our model and grouped into several major production routes: reactions of RO + O₂, photolysis of OVOCs, O₃ + OVOCs, OH + OVOCs, and propagation of radicals (i.e., mutual reactions of RCO3,H O2,N O3, and RO2 radicals to produce carbonyls). The production rate of carbonyls can be obtained by adding these reaction rates together. In addition to the formation processes, the destruction routes of carbonyls were also tracked. In general, the chemical loss of carbonyls was dominated by reactions with OH and NO₃ and photolysis. The carbonyl destruction rates can be calculated as the sum of these loss rates. Thus, the net production rate of carbonyls (Net P(carbonyls)) can be quantified by the difference between the production rate (P(carbonyls)) and the loss rate (L(carbonyls)).

In the calculations, the observed concentrations of O₃,S O₂, CO, NO, NO₂,N O₃y, and meteorological parameters in Beijing over the period from 16 July to 26 August 2008. Clearly, severe photochemical

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Concentration Levels and Chemical Compositions

Figure 2 shows the measured time series of the major carbonyl compounds, O₃, CO, NO₂, NOₓ, and meteorological parameters in Beijing over the period from 16 July to 26 August 2008. Clearly, severe photochemical
air pollution, as indicated by high concentrations of O₃ and PAN, was encountered during the early stages of
the investigation period (e.g., 22 to 25 July) with higher temperatures and lower RH. The levels of carbonyls
were also very high, with peak values of 1.21 ppbv and 2.03 ppbv recorded for CHOCHO and MGLY,
respectively, in the late afternoon (17:00 LT) of 23 July. In comparison, reasonable air quality was observed
during the later period with lower concentrations of O₃, PAN, and carbonyls (with the exception of 13
August), which can be ascribed to the different weather conditions (frequent rainfall and lower
temperature) (Wang et al., 2010). However, the peak HCHO and CH₃CHO values (35.08 and 15.82 ppbv)
were observed on 13 August, which may be interpreted as acute irregular emissions surrounding the
sampling site, given the weakened local photochemistry with lower UV levels and temperatures. In
addition, clear day-to-day variation patterns of O₃ and carbonyl concentrations with nearly simultaneous
peaks at noon were seen, which demonstrates the contribution of photooxidation reactions to O₃ and
carbonyls in the daytime. These observations highlight the severe photochemical air pollution during the
pollution episodes in Beijing and indicate the secondary sources of the observed O₃ and carbonyls.

Table 1 lists the statistical results of the measurement data for the top 10 carbonyl species and related pollu-
tants during the whole investigation. The average total concentration (±standard deviation) of these carbonyl
compounds was 28.49 ± 10.64 ppbv, with a range from 7.96 to 76.09 ppbv. HCHO was the most abundant
carbonyl, with an average value of 11.17 ± 5.32 ppbv and ranging from 3.14 to 35.08 ppbv, and accounted
for 39.2% of the measured top 10 carbonyls. Such levels of HCHO in Beijing are comparable to those mea-
sured in Guangzhou (10.9 ppbv) (Lü et al., 2009) but two to four times higher than those measured in other
urban areas, for example, 4.62 ppbv in Nanjing (Guo et al., 2016) and 2.9 ppbv in Hong Kong (Ling et al., 2016).
Acetone was the second most abundant carbonyl (6.98 ± 3.01 ppbv), followed by CH₃CHO (5.27 ± 2.24 ppbv).
It is clear that HCHO, acetone, and CH₃CHO were the principal carbonyl compounds, accounting for approxi-
mately 82% of the total top 10 carbonyls. Interestingly, in addition to these three abundant carbonyls
discussed above, two dicarbonyls, CHOCHO and MGLY, also presented abundant levels (0.68 ± 0.26 and
1.10 ± 0.44 ppbv, respectively) despite their high reactivity. These two dicarbonyls have been pointed out
as important precursors of SOAs and O₃ (Fu et al., 2008; Ho et al., 2014). However, the concentration levels
and formation mechanisms of these two dicarbonyls in China have not been thoroughly understood. Ho
et al. (2014) reported the levels of CHOCHO (0.23 ppbv) and MGLY (0.30 ppbv) in summer in urban Xi’an,
which were much lower than those measured in the present study in Beijing. In the following sections, we
Table 1

Statistics of the Top 10 Carbonyl Compounds and Related Pollutants Measured in Beijing From 16 July to 26 August 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (ppbv)</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mean ± SD (ppbv)</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>624 ± 268</td>
<td>139–1,570</td>
<td>n-Octane</td>
<td>49 ± 41</td>
<td>6–319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₃</td>
<td>49 ± 31</td>
<td>1–190</td>
<td>n-Nonane</td>
<td>44 ± 30</td>
<td>4–163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOₓ</td>
<td>13.10 ± 8.21</td>
<td>1.37–88.38</td>
<td>2,2-Dimethylbutane</td>
<td>57 ± 30</td>
<td>12–197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCHO</td>
<td>11.17 ± 5.32</td>
<td>3.14–35.08</td>
<td>2,3-Dimethylbutane</td>
<td>224 ± 132</td>
<td>26–716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>6.98 ± 3.01</td>
<td>2.44–22.15</td>
<td>2-Methylpentane</td>
<td>420 ± 237</td>
<td>30–1,408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃CHO</td>
<td>5.27 ± 2.24</td>
<td>1.73–15.82</td>
<td>3-Methylpentane</td>
<td>262 ± 173</td>
<td>22–965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGLY</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.44</td>
<td>0.34–2.03</td>
<td>2-Methylhexane</td>
<td>148 ± 92</td>
<td>8–529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHOCHO</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.20–1.20</td>
<td>3-Methylhexane</td>
<td>184 ± 112</td>
<td>42–608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl ethyl ketone</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.39</td>
<td>BDL – 2.15</td>
<td>2,4-Dimethylpentane</td>
<td>269 ± 224</td>
<td>23–1,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovaleraldehyde</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.51</td>
<td>BDL – 2.10</td>
<td>2,3-Dimethylpentane</td>
<td>38 ± 31</td>
<td>4–229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iso- + n-butyaldehyde</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.22</td>
<td>BDL – 1.49</td>
<td>2,2,4-Trimethylpentane</td>
<td>66 ± 158</td>
<td>4–1,323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonanaldehyde</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.30</td>
<td>BDL – 1.27</td>
<td>2,3,4-Trimethylpentane</td>
<td>24 ± 58</td>
<td>3–401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionaldehyde</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.21</td>
<td>BDL – 1.23</td>
<td>Cyclopentane</td>
<td>69 ± 43</td>
<td>9–216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total OVOCs</td>
<td>28.49 ± 10.64</td>
<td>7.96–76.09</td>
<td>Methylcyclohexane</td>
<td>31 ± 22</td>
<td>4–138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene</td>
<td>1,575 ± 1,283</td>
<td>82–7,591</td>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>109 ± 102</td>
<td>12–575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethene</td>
<td>1,965 ± 1,362</td>
<td>285–7,664</td>
<td>Methylcyclohexane</td>
<td>61 ± 54</td>
<td>3–299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propene</td>
<td>274 ± 234</td>
<td>35–1,473</td>
<td>i-Pentane</td>
<td>1,801 ± 1,350</td>
<td>177–11,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Butene</td>
<td>258 ± 239</td>
<td>32–1,540</td>
<td>n-Pentane</td>
<td>592 ± 424</td>
<td>54–1,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-Butene</td>
<td>83 ± 80</td>
<td>8–468</td>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>1,244 ± 717</td>
<td>99–3,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-2-Butene</td>
<td>80 ± 72</td>
<td>10–408</td>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>1,681 ± 1,578</td>
<td>174–12,341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
<td>36 ± 39</td>
<td>4–246</td>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>439 ± 329</td>
<td>49–1,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trans-2-Pentene</td>
<td>49 ± 46</td>
<td>3–344</td>
<td>m + p-Xylene</td>
<td>581 ± 494</td>
<td>70–2,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Methyl-1-butene</td>
<td>66 ± 51</td>
<td>6–307</td>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>172 ± 152</td>
<td>14–908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>2.20 ± 0.38</td>
<td>1.89–4.48</td>
<td>i-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>23 ± 13</td>
<td>4–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyne</td>
<td>2,719 ± 1,565</td>
<td>224–7,613</td>
<td>n-Propylbenzene</td>
<td>32 ± 28</td>
<td>4–233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethane</td>
<td>3,401 ± 1,826</td>
<td>950–8,810</td>
<td>3-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>65 ± 65</td>
<td>6–517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propane</td>
<td>2,189 ± 1,676</td>
<td>256–7,994</td>
<td>4-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>36 ± 42</td>
<td>3–369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i-Butane</td>
<td>1,687 ± 2,019</td>
<td>177–20,805</td>
<td>2-Ethyltoluene</td>
<td>33 ± 37</td>
<td>3–323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butane</td>
<td>1,535 ± 1,128</td>
<td>116–5,437</td>
<td>1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>22 ± 42</td>
<td>3–388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Hexane</td>
<td>329 ± 260</td>
<td>24–1,571</td>
<td>1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>71 ± 102</td>
<td>6–918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Heptane</td>
<td>119 ± 86</td>
<td>7–423</td>
<td>1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene</td>
<td>29 ± 62</td>
<td>3–581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean concentrations ± standard deviations in pptv; CO, O₃, NOₓ, and carbonyls in ppbv; CH₄ in ppmv. bBDL: below detection limit

will focus on the formation mechanisms of four abundant and reactive carbonyls, i.e., HCHO, CH₃CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY.

3.2. Formation Mechanisms and Principal Precursors of Major Carbonyls

To dissect the photochemical formation mechanisms of the major carbonyls in Beijing, detailed modeling analyses were conducted to identify the major formation pathways and principal precursor species of HCHO, CH₃CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY. Four photochemical pollution cases (i.e., 23 and 24 July and 13 and 20 August 2008) were selected as representative cases for which detailed measurements of hydrocarbons and carbonyls were available. Examination of the NOₓ/NO and NO₂/NO ratios in these cases suggested that the air masses sampled during the daytime were rather photochemically aged (see Figure S8 in the supporting information), and hence, secondary formation is expected to be significant. In general, the modeling results among different cases were consistent with each other, and thus, only the results on 23 and 24 July 2008 were shown in the context, with the results of the other cases provided in the supporting materials (Figures S9–S13).

3.2.1. In Situ Formation Pathways

According to the MCM, there are five main types of reactions for the in situ formation of carbonyls, specifically, OVOC photolysis, O₃ + OVOCs, OH + OVOCs, radical propagation reactions, and RO + O₂ reactions. Overall, we found that RO + O₂ reactions made the dominant contribution to the formation of HCHO and CH₃CHO and RO + O₂ and OH + OVOC reactions made comparable contributions to the formation of CHOCHO and MGLY. Considering the dominant role of the RO + O₂ and OH + OVOCs pathways, further refinement and classification was conducted by labeling the first-generation precursors of RO and OVOCs in the model.
Figures 3–6 illustrate the detailed formation and loss pathways of HCHO, CH$_3$CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY during the two cases. Overall, the formation and loss processes of carbonyls were consistent for each case but differed among carbonyl species. On average, HCHO production was dominated by the reactions of RO + O$_2$, accounting for 87% and 88% of the total production rate on 23 and 24 July, respectively. The O$_3$ + OVOC reactions and other reaction pathways (including radical propagation reactions, OVOC photolysis, and OH + OVOC reactions) made only minor contributions (<7%) (see Figure 3). Further classification of the RO + O$_2$ pathway revealed that the reaction of CH$_3$O + O$_2$ alone made an important contribution (56% and 53%), followed by RO produced by alkenes including biogenic hydrocarbons (29% and 32%). Overall, the

Figure 3. Model-simulated in situ HCHO production rate (P(HCHO)), destruction rate (L(HCHO)), net rate (Net(HCHO)), and breakdown of P(HCHO) and L(HCHO) on 23 and 24 July 2008.

Figure 4. The same as Figure 3 but for CH$_3$CHO.

Figures 3–6 illustrate the detailed formation and loss pathways of HCHO, CH$_3$CHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY during the two cases. Overall, the formation and loss processes of carbonyls were consistent for each case but differed among carbonyl species. On average, HCHO production was dominated by the reactions of RO + O$_2$, accounting for 87% and 88% of the total production rate on 23 and 24 July, respectively. The O$_3$ + OVOC reactions and other reaction pathways (including radical propagation reactions, OVOC photolysis, and OH + OVOC reactions) made only minor contributions (<7%) (see Figure 3). Further classification of the RO + O$_2$ pathway revealed that the reaction of CH$_3$O + O$_2$ alone made an important contribution (56% and 53%), followed by RO produced by alkenes including biogenic hydrocarbons (29% and 32%). Overall, the
modeled explicit HCHO chemistry underlined the important role of alkene hydrocarbons in the secondary formation of HCHO, which was in good agreement with previous reports by Liu et al. (2015).

The reactions of RO with O$_2$ dominated the CH$_3$CHO production (72% and 74% for each case), followed by the reactions of OH + OVOCs (19% and 15%), O$_3$ + OVOCs (8% and 9%), and negligible levels of the other reactions (including radical propagation reactions and OVOC photolysis) (1% and 2% in total). Further classification of the control route (RO + O$_2$) suggested that the reactions of C$_2$H$_5$O + O$_2$ contributed 34% and 35% to the total production rate of CH$_3$CHO. In particular, RO produced by the oxidation of alkenes showed a comparable role (26% and 27%) to the C$_2$H$_5$O + O$_2$ reaction (see Figure 4). Hence, the oxidation of alkene hydrocarbons also contributed to an important proportion of the CH$_3$CHO production.

**Figure 5.** The same as Figure 3 but for CHOCHO.

**Figure 6.** The same as Figure 3 but for MGLY.
For CHOCHO, the reactions of RO and O2 were still the significant pathway (54% and 56%), with OH + OVOC reactions being another important formation route (29% and 28%), followed by O3 + OVOC reactions (12% in both cases) (see Figure 5). The RO + O2 reactions can be further categorized into two subtypes considering the different precursors of RO radicals. One of these subtypes is the reactions of RO produced by the oxidation of alkenes with O2, which contributed 26% and 29% to the in situ formation of CHOCHO, and the other is the reactions of RO produced from aromatics with O2 (28% and 27%). For the OH + OVOC reactions, there are also two subtypes. The OH-initiated oxidation of OVOCs produced from the oxidation of aromatics accounted for 17% and 16% of the CHOCHO formation, followed by the reactions of OVOCs formed from alkenes (7% in both cases). In addition, the OH + C2H2 reaction alone accounted for approximately 5% in both cases. Therefore, the aromatic VOCs contributed the most to the CHOCHO production (>43% in total). These results were in accordance with the satellite-based modeling analysis over the PRD region by Chan Miller et al. (2016), which suggested that the CHOCHO hot spot over the PRD can be ascribed to the emissions of aromatics.

The formation of MGLY was dominated by the reactions of OH + OVOCs (41% and 38%) and RO + O2 (31% and 35%) (see Figure 6). The O3 + OVOC reactions accounted for 17% and 16% for the two sampling dates, and other routes such as OVOC photolysis and radical propagation reactions were responsible for the remainder. The reactions of RO + O2 and OH + OVOCs were further classified into a number of routes by tracking their parent precursors. Specifically, the RO radicals produced from aromatics accounted for 27% and 32%. The OH-initiated reactions of OVOCs formed from the oxidation of alkenes contributed 27% and 24%, followed by the OVOCs produced from aromatics (12% for both cases) and alkanes (2% for both cases). Statistically, the oxidation of alkenes and aromatics accounted for at least 24% and 39% of the MGLY secondary formation in Beijing.

Figures 3–6 also show the loss pathways and net rates for the target carbonyls. It can be clearly seen that the destruction pathways for these four carbonyls were essentially the same, and they were governed by the reactions with OH and photolysis. Specifically, the chemical losses of HCHO and MGLY were under the combined control of both reactions with OH and photolysis. In contrast, the CH3CHO and CHOCHO losses were mainly governed by the reactions with OH. The daytime average (07:00 to 18:00 LT) net production rates of HCHO, CHOCHO, and MGLY were estimated as 1.04 to 1.32, 0.11 to 0.13, and 0.05 to 0.06 ppb h⁻¹, respectively. However, it is noteworthy that the CH3CHO production was significantly lower than the CH3CHO destruction in both cases, implying the net chemical loss of CH3CHO. The CH3CHO observed in this study was strongly associated with primary sources and regional residuals, and the in situ photochemistry could have a negative effect on the accumulation of CH3CHO (Altemose et al., 2015).

### 3.2.2. Identification of Key Precursor Species

The aforementioned analyses indicated the significant roles of alkenes and aromatics in the secondary formation of carbonyls in Beijing. In this section, we further identify the key precursors by calculating the relative incremental reactivity (RIR), which has been applied in many previous studies (Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014; Xue, Wang, Wang, et al., 2014). More than 50 hydrocarbon species were categorized into five subgroups: alkenes, biogenic hydrocarbons (BHC, including isoprene, α-pinene, and β-pinene in this study), C4HC (alkanes with four or more carbons), aromatics, and less reactive hydrocarbons (LRHC, including ethane, propane, ethyne, and methane in this study) (Xue, Wang, Gao, et al., 2014).

Figure 7 shows the calculated RIRs of the major VOC groups for the carbonyl formation. It can be clearly seen that the RIR results were quite similar for the two cases. Overall, the RIRs for these five groups were all positive, revealing that reducing their emissions would effectively mitigate the carbonyl pollution in Beijing. In particular, the HCHO production was mainly controlled by BHC and anthropogenic alkenes with the highest RIR values, which agrees with the previous study in Beijing (Liu et al., 2015). With regard to CH3CHO, it is interesting to note that in addition to anthropogenic alkenes, which were a significant contributor, C4HC also played an important role in the secondary formation of CH3CHO. In terms of CHOCHO, aromatics and BHC presented the highest RIR values, suggesting that these species played the dominant role in the CHOCHO formation in Beijing. Therefore, cutting the emissions of aromatic VOCs would be an effective method to reduce the levels of CHOCHO and thus O3 and aerosol pollution in Beijing. In terms of MGLY, BHC, aromatics, and alkenes all showed high RIR values, indicating that control of the emissions of aromatics and alkenes should be efficient for the abatement of MGLY production in Beijing.
With the explicit mechanism of MCM, the OBM-AOCP model is capable of identifying the carbonyl precursors at the species level and quantifying the relationships between carbonyl species and their precursors. To investigate the relative contribution of the individual VOC species (in total 50 species were identified in this study) to the formation of carbonyls, a series of sensitivity model simulations were further conducted. Figure 8 shows the top 10 VOC species with the highest RIR values. For HCHO, two alkene compounds,
isoprene (C5H8) and ethene (C2H4), were found to dominate the total RIR value of VOCs, indicating that the local HCHO formation in Beijing is mainly attributable to C5H8 and C2H4. This suggests that reducing anthropogenic emissions of C2H4 would reduce the HCHO pollution. In comparison, for CH3CHO, propene (C3H6), i-butene, cis-2-pentene, and i-pentane showed the highest RIR values, which is consistent with previous results suggesting that alkenes and alkanes were the main precursors of CH3CHO at an urban site in Beijing (Liu et al., 2015). In terms of CHOCHO, in addition to C5H8 showing the highest RIR, anthropogenic aromatics, especially toluene, also presented a significant contribution. For MGLY, C5H8 and another aromatic compound, m-xylene, showed the highest RIR values. Overall, the dominant primary precursors were quite inhomogeneous for the various carbonyls, indicating that various control strategies should be in place to mitigate various carbonyl compounds.

### 3.3. Impacts on AOC, ROx Budget, and O3 Formation

AOC calculations were conducted to investigate the potential role of carbonyls in the atmospheric oxidizing capacity in Beijing during the photochemical smog episodes. Briefly, AOC was calculated by summing the total reaction rates of CH4, CO, SO2, NOx, and VOCs oxidized by OH, O3, and NO3, respectively. A detailed description can be found elsewhere (Xue et al., 2015, 2016). The time series of the model-simulated AOC and the corresponding AOC composition profiles for 23 and 24 July 2008 are illustrated in Figure 9. Generally, the AOC showed prominent peaks at noon (~1.32 × 10^8 and 1.45 × 10^8 molecules cm^{-3} s^{-1} for the 2 days). The daytime average values of AOC were 7.36 × 10^7 and 7.72 × 10^7 molecules cm^{-3} s^{-1} on 23 and 24 July, respectively. These levels are comparable to those determined at Tung Chung in Hong Kong, southern China (Xue et al., 2016). As seen in Figure 9, OH was the dominant oxidant, contributing to 92% of the AOC in both cases. To further assess the OH oxidation, the OH reactivity composition profiles for different VOCs (not only the measured species but also the modeled intermediates), CO, SO2, and NOx were also calculated (see Figure 9, bottom). It is clear that the oxidation of OVOCs (mainly carbonyls) contributed the most to the OH reactivity (i.e., 49% and 43% for each case). In addition, oxidation of CO contributed on average 23% and 25% for both cases, with SO2, NOx, alkanes, alkenes, and aromatics each accounting for 4% to 10% of the OH reactivity. These results are similar to the previous studies in Hong Kong and the PRD.
region (Xue et al., 2016), which underlined the dominant role of carbonyls in OH reactivity and the atmospheric oxidizing capacity.

The RO\textsubscript{x} chemistry was also elucidated using the OBM-AOCP model. Figures 10a–10c show the breakdown of OH, RO\textsubscript{2}, and HO\textsubscript{2} production rates (P\textsubscript{OH}, P\textsubscript{RO2}, and P\textsubscript{HO2}) for the two dates. O\textsubscript{3} photolysis was the predominant OH source with daytime average production rates of 1.19 and 1.21 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1} on 23 and 24 July, respectively. The remaining primary OH sources, including HONO, OVOCs, and HNO\textsubscript{3} photolysis and O\textsubscript{3} + VOC reactions, accounted for relatively minor contributions. It should be noted that HONO was not measured in this study but predicted by the box model. Some recent studies have indicated that the current models generally cannot explain the measured ambient HONO concentrations (Liu, Wang, Gu, et al., 2012); thus, the estimated contribution of HONO photolysis in the present study is expected to be underestimated. For HO\textsubscript{2}, 56% to 61% of its primary production was from HCHO photolysis, with mean production rates of 1.21 and 1.06 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1} in the daytime, and 31% to 35% was from photolysis of other OVOCs with mean production rates of 0.63 and 0.66 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1}. These results are generally in line with those calculated in the polluted areas of Hong Kong (Xue et al., 2016) and Beijing (Liu, Wang, Gu, et al., 2012). In comparison, for RO\textsubscript{2}, the photolysis of OVOCs contributed the most (74% and 73%) during the daytime with mean production rates of 1.16 and 1.25 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1} on 23 and 24 July, respectively. Furthermore, the VOCs + NO\textsubscript{3} reactions were found to be not only the second most important source of RO\textsubscript{2} throughout the daytime (19% and 22%) but also the biggest contributor of RO\textsubscript{2} at night (94% and 92%), indicating the important role of NO\textsubscript{3} in the AOC in the polluted atmosphere. In general, the high contributions of NO\textsubscript{3} radical are mainly attributed to the high abundances of O\textsubscript{3} and NO\textsubscript{2} (Xue et al., 2016). Overall, this analysis of the radical budget highlighted the important role of OVOCs in the primary production of HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} and hence the initiation of atmospheric oxidation chemistry.
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**Figure 10.** Breakdown of (a) P\textsubscript{OH}, (b) P\textsubscript{RO2}, and (c) P\textsubscript{HO2} at CRAES on 23 and 24 July 2008.
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**Figure 11.** The model-calculated RIRs for major O\textsubscript{3} precursors and the VOC groups on 23 and 24 July 2008.
As mentioned above, severe O₃ pollution was encountered in Beijing during the early sampling period. To understand the O₃ formation regimes, we also performed sensitivity model simulations to determine the O₃-precursor relationships. The results are summarized in Figure 11. It can be seen that TVOCs (total VOCs, including carbonyls), NOₓ and CO all showed positive RIR values, indicating that the in situ O₃ formation in Beijing was under a mixed-control regime. This result is consistent with that of Liu, Wang, Gu, et al. (2012), which indicated a transition regime of O₃ formation at an urban site in Beijing. Moreover, the RIR of TVOCs was much higher than those of NOₓ and CO, which reveals that cutting VOC emissions would be more effective for controlling O₃ pollution. In addition, to further reveal the effects of the VOC subgroups, including carbonyls (only the measured carbonyl species), BHC, alkenes, aromatics, C₄H₃C, and LRHC, on O₃ formation, their corresponding RIR values were also calculated (see Figures 11c and 11d). It can be clearly seen that carbonyls exhibited the greatest RIR values (i.e., 0.42 and 0.30) for the two cases, suggesting their dominant role in O₃ production in Beijing. Overall, these analyses reveal the important contributions of carbonyls to the atmospheric photochemistry and O₃ formation in the polluted atmosphere of Beijing and demonstrate the urgent need for further understanding of the pollution characteristics and sources of carbonyls in the urban areas of China.

4. Conclusions

Our field experiments performed at a suburban site of Beijing in the summer of 2008 revealed severe photochemical air pollution accompanied by high concentrations of carbonyls and O₃, which provided an opportunity to probe the photochemistry of the polluted atmosphere. During the investigation, in addition to high levels of HCHO, acetone, and CH₂CHO, CHOCHO and MGLY also showed relatively abundant concentrations. Secondary formation was found to be an important source of these abundant carbonyls. The reactions of RO + O₂ and/or OH + OVOCs dominated the photochemical formation of carbonyls. Specifically, the oxidation of alkenes (mainly C₃H₆ and C₄H₈) is the major formation route of HCHO. The degradation of alkenes, such as C₃H₆, i-butene, and cis-2-pentene, and that of alkanes, predominantly i-pentane, played an important role in the CH₂CHO formation. The oxidation of isoprene and aromatics dominated the secondary formation of CHOCHO and MGLY. Therefore, reducing the emissions of alkenes and aromatics should be an efficient way to mitigate carbonyl formation and thus O₃ and secondary aerosol pollution in Beijing.

Strong atmospheric oxidizing capacity was predicted (7.36 to 7.72 × 10⁷ molecules cm⁻³ s⁻¹) during the smog episodes, with OH contributing to approximately 92% of the daytime oxidation. The photolysis of OVOCs was the dominant primary ROₓ source. Sensitivity analyses showed that O₃ production was under a mixed-control regime with OVOCs (mainly carbonyls) being the key species. Overall, this study underlined the important role of carbonyls in photochemical reactions, oxidative capacity, and radical chemistry in the polluted atmosphere of Beijing and provided insights into carbonyl pollution and its formation mechanisms. The findings of this study will be helpful in the development of effective control strategies to mitigate the severe photochemical air pollution in Beijing and other polluted areas of China.
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