### **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

#### **LBL Publications**

#### **Title**

Production of Mesons by X-Rays

#### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1b28771m

#### **Authors**

McMillan, Edwin M Peterson, Jack M White, R Stephen

#### **Publication Date**

1949-09-01

#### **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

# Radiation Laboratory

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

BERKELEY. CALIFORNIA

#### DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

# UNCLASSIFIED

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

Production of Mesons by X-Rays

Edwin M. McMillan, Jack M. Peterson, and R. Stephen White

September 15, 1949

Berkeley, California

Installation		No. of Copies
Argonne National Laboratory Armed Forces Special Weapons Project Atomic Energy Commission, Washington Battelle Memorial Institute Brookhaven National Laboratory Bureau of Medicine and Surgery Bureau of Ships Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corporation (K-25) Carbide & Carbon Chemicals Corporation (Y-12) Chicago Operations Office Cleveland Area Office Columbia University (Dunning) Columbia University (Failla) Dow Chemical Company General Electric Company, Richland		8 1 2 1 8 1 1 4 4 1 1 1 1
Hanford Operations Office Idaho Operations Office Iowa State College Kansas City Kellex Corporation Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory Los Alamos		1 1 2 1 2 4 3 1
Mallinckrodt Chemical Works Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Gaudin) Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Kaufmann) Mound Laboratory National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics National Bureau of Standards Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory NEPA Project		1 1 3 2 2 2 2
New Brunswick Laboratory New York Operations Office North American Aviation, Inc. Oak Ridge National Laboratory Patent Advisor, Washington Rand Corporation Sandia Base Sylvania Electric Products, Inc.		1 5 1 8 1 1 1
Technical Information Branch, ORE U.S. Public Health Service UCLA Medical Research Laboratory (Warren) University of California Radiation Laboratory University of Rochester University of Washington Western Reserve University (Friedell) Westinghouse		15 1 5 2 1 2 4
	Total	119

Radiation Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California Production of Mesons by X-Rays

الراهية ومفرور فوائد المتالع فالماك

Edwin M. McMillan, Jack M. Peterson, and R. Stephen White

Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics University of California, Berkeley, California

September 15, 1949

#### Introduction

At the spring, 1949, meeting of the National Academy of Sciences (1) a pre-

## (1) E. M. McMillan and J. M. Peterson, Science <u>109</u>, 438 (1949) (A)

liminary account was given of some observations of mesons produced by the 335---Mev x-ray beam from the Berkeley synchrotron. The present paper is a progress. report of this work; no claim is made for completeness, but sufficient new data are available to make publication at this time worth while, especially since some of the numerical results given in the earlier report require revision. The x-ray beam, produced by the impact of 335 Mev electrons on a 20 mil thick platinum target, has a width at half maximum of 0.0135 radian (about 1 inch at 6 feet from the target). In all but the earliest experiments the beam was further defined by a l inch hole in a lead block, then passed through a piece of carbon which served as the meson source. The x-ray intensity at one meter from the target was about 3500 r per hour (measured behind 1/8 inch of lead) under the best running conditions; the average was about half this. The actual exposures at the carbon meson source (6 feet from the target) ranged from 500 to 2000 r in the later runs. Mesons were recorded on Ilford nuclear plates; the highest density of meson endings observed was about 100 per square centimeter in a 100 micron emulsion. In the following sections the experimental conditions and some of the results are described in more detail.

#### Setups for Exposure of Plates

Plates have been exposed in the following ways:

Geometry 1: A stack of plates is traversed directly by the x-ray beam.

Mesons are produced chiefly in the glass plates, and exposures are limited by the general blackening due to the beam. Meson tracks are counted in the part of the plates not overexposed by the central core of the beam. There is an appreciable background of photonuclear stars and proton tracks: Only Hiford F-3 plates were used in these exposures.

Geometry 2: The x-ray beam passes through a carbon slab, and the stacks of plates are placed alongside, out of the core of the beam. The intent was to be able to know the element in which the mesons are produced, but it was found that the wings of the beam had sufficient intensity at the location of the plates to make this somewhat doubtful, considering the fact that there was much more matter in the plates than in the carbon slab used. The background was considerably less than in Geometry 1, and longer exposures could be made; only F-3 plates were used.

Geometry 3: Same as Geometry 2, except that a lead collimator 6 inches thick with a l inch hole cuts off the wings of the beam. The x-ray intensity at the plates is now small, and they show little general blackening except along their leading edges; the heavy-particle background is very small. Both F-3 and C-2 plates were used.

Geometry 4: A modification of Geometry 3, with the following changes: A secondary collimator protects the plates from electrons scattered off the edge of the main collimator; the carbon slab is replaced by a cylinder of 1 inch diameter; stacks of plates are arranged radially around this cylinder, with different thicknesses of lead absorbers between the carbon and the plates as shown in Figures 1 and 2. The data reported for this geometry were all recorded on C-2 plates.

#### Types of Meson Tracks Observed

The following types of features are seen at the ends of meson tracks in nuclear emulsions:

(a) Stars of two or more prongs;

- (b) Stars of one prong;
- (c) µ-meson tracks;
- (d) Small "blobs" of a few grains;
- (e) Nothing.

Events of type (a) and (e) are obviously recognizable, and require no further discussion. Events of type (d) are not always clearly enough defined to distinguish from (e), and therefore counts of these events cannot be used for statistical purposes, even though they probably represent vestigial stars, being due to the recoils following neutron ejection. In practice, (d) and (e) are lumped together as  $\rho$ -mesons.

Particular care is needed in distinguishing events of types (b) and (c) from one another. If the secondary track is heavy, it is clearly a star; if it is light, and of sufficient length to show the characteristic scattering and change in grain density, it is clearly a  $\mu$ -track. If it is light but leaves the emulsion too soon, there is a possibility of confusion. However, examination of magnetically sorted negative mesons produced in the cyclotron and recorded on Ilford C-2 plates shows that light single-pronged star tracks are almost invariably accompanied by "blobs" resulting from the recoil consequent on the emission of a very fast proton. Therefore, single light secondary tracks with no "blobs" are recorded as  $\mu$ -tracks, even though the observed range may not be long enough for positive identification.

We finally arrive at three classifications of meson track endings:

- (a) + (b), denoted by  $\sigma$
- (c), denoted by TH
- (d) + (e), denoted by  $\rho$

It is desired to compute from these the numbers of negative and positive  $\pi$ -mesons ( $\pi^-$  and  $\pi^+$ ) stopping in the emulsion.

#### Methods of Computation

(a) Computation of Tr.

Negative W-mesons are assumed to be captured in the emulsion, and may or may not make recognizable stars. Therefore, it is important to know the fraction of cases in which stars are produced. Data on this are available from counts of tracks in Ilford C-2 plates due to magnetically sorted negative mesons. (2) In

(2) F. L. Adelman and S. B. Jones, Phys. Rev. <u>75</u>, 1468 (1949) (A)

these plates, it was found that the ratio  $\rho/(\sigma + \rho) = 0.27$ , from which we deduce that, in Ilford C-2 plates,  $\pi^- = 1.37$   $\sigma$ .

(b) Computation of TT+.

Method A. If the plates are sufficiently sensitive to show the early parts of  $\mu$ -tracks with certainty, then the number  $\pi\mu$  is essentially equal to  $\pi^+$ . Experiments with magnetically sorted positive mesons (3) show that in C-2 plates

(3) F. M. Smith, private communication

at least 95 percent give recognizable  $\pi$ - $\mu$  decays. Therefore, when using these plates, it is assumed that  $\pi^+ = \pi \mu$ . The number  $\rho$  does not enter into this computation.

Method B. If on the other hand less sensitive plates are used, as for example Ilford F-3, it is harder to recognize the  $\mu$ -tracks and a considerable fraction of them may be missed. Then a different method must be used, which is based on the assumption that all tracks not associated with negative  $\pi$ -mesons, i.e., a number equal to  $\sigma$ +  $\pi\mu$ +  $\rho$  - 1.37  $\sigma$ , consist of  $\pi^+$  tracks plus an equal number of  $\mu$ -tracks. The distinction between  $\pi\mu$  and  $\rho$  does not enter into this calculation. This method may underestimate the true number, since the  $\mu$ -particles are emitted in random directions in the emulsion and glass of the plates, and therefore a certain fraction of these will end while crossing the emulsion at unfavorable angles for identification, while the  $\pi$ -particles are traveling approximately parallel to the emulsion. Without attempting to correct for this, the method B calculation assumes that:

$$\pi^+ = (1/2) (\sigma + \pi \mu + \rho - 1.37 \sigma)$$

#### $\pi^-/\pi^+$ ratio. Results.

At the time of writing the abstract for the National Academy meeting, (1) 145 tracks were available, of which 51 were from Geometry 1 and 94 from Geometry All of these were on F-3 plates, and therefore only Method B could be used for the computation, giving the published ratio  $\pi^-/\pi^+ = 10$ . By the time of the meeting, more tracks were available, including some on Geometry 3. The Geometry 1 tracks were then discarded, since they represent mesons starting in glass rather than carbon. The new total of 198 tracks (103 on Geometry 2 and 95 on Geometry 3), mostly on F-3 plates and analyzed by method B, gave  $\pi^-/\pi^+$  = 7.5, which was the value reported verbally at the meeting.

and the second of the second o

Since then, more tracks have become available, and it is now possible to give data obtained entirely with Geometry 4, using C-2 plates, and analyzed by method A. Blank runs, with no carbon target in place, prove that virtually all the mesons seen actually originate in the carbon. In this setup there are plates behind lead absorbers, on which mesons of higher energy are recorded. The energy ranges are assumed to be defined by the stopping power of the absorber (including 0.85 of the radius of the carbon cylinder) for one limit, and that of the absorber plus the plate width for the other. No obliquity correction was applied. The values found for the ratio  $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$  in the various energy bands, covering a range from about 30 to 150 Mev, agree within the statistical errors which are about ± 25 percent for bands about 10 Mev wide below 100 Mev and + 50 percent for a band between 100 and 150 Mev. Since there is no statistically significant evidence for a variation with meson energy, the data are lumped together, including only track counts made or checked by experienced observers. These counts are:  $\sigma$  = 403,  $\pi u = 327$ ,  $\rho = 323$ . Using method A, the ratio  $\pi^-/\pi^+ = 1.7$ , with a statistical standard error of 8 percent. Method B applied to the same data gives  $\pi^{-}/\pi^{+}$  = 2.2, indicating the sort of error to be expected when the latter is used with C-2 plates; it may be still greater with F-3 plates, on which the tracks are harder to find. It will be noted that this ratio is less than the

previously given values. The difference from the earlier values may be partly real, that is, the Coulomb effect for very low energy mesons made in glass may distort the ratio somewhat; a considerable part of the difference certainly comes from the errors inherent in the use of method B with F-3 plates, and some may be attributed to inexperience of the observers in the beginning.

The ratio of 1.7 does not pose the formidable theoretical problem offered by the earlier value; in fact a ratio of this order can be explained on rather elementary grounds. Consider the products of the reactions, which are  $\pi^-$  and  $p^+$  in one case,  $\pi^+$  and  $n^0$  in the other. The current accompanying the process is greater in the former because of the contribution of recoil proton, and therefore the coupling to the photon field is larger. This effect, which increases with meson energy, plus the Coulomb effect on the meson wave function, which decreases with energy, together can account for the observed ratio. A detailed discussion of this will be given in a later publication by K. A. Brueckner. Yield of Mesons. Energy and Angle Distributions.

From the data obtained with Geometry 4, some information can be gained on the distribution of mesons in angle and energy, as well as the total yield. The distribution of angles of the straight parts of the tracks (excluding of course  $\mu$  and  $\rho$  tracks) is consistent with that to be expected for an isotropic emission from all parts of the carbon target. The computed distribution on this assumption, in the case of the plates covering the band 43 to 59 MeV, can be represented approximately by  $\sin^2\theta$ . Figure 3A shows that the data agree with this. Higher energy plates show the expected narrower distribution, and lower energy plates a slightly wider one. The conclusion is that the initial meson distribution has at least roughly spherical symmetry. In order to compute the total number of mesons in a given energy range, we then use the fact that an ideal infinite line source would give a uniform angular distribution, whose height would be equal to the observed height at 90°. The height is computed by fitting the expected shape to the observed distribution, or what is the same thing, the

total number is taken to be the observed number multiplied by a "shape factor", which is just two in the case of the  $\sin^2\theta$  distribution.

The differential yield  $\frac{dN}{dE}$  can now be computed from the following formula:

$$\frac{dN}{dE} = 4 r \frac{dR}{dE} \frac{1.37 \sigma + \pi \mu}{At} x \text{ shape factor}$$

where N = number of mesons produced per cm length of target

E = energy of mesons

r = radius to center of area scanned

R = range of mesons in glass or emulsion (These are nearly equal)

σ, πμ are track counts in area A of emulsion

t = thickness of emulsion during exposure (a shrinkage factor of 2.4 was used).

The shape factor varies from 1.8 to 4.3.

The results, normalized to an exposure of 1 r, are given in Figure 4, with standard errors from the track counting statistics above. The most likely other errors are losses at the low energy end from self-absorption in the carbon, and at the high energy end from scattering in the lead absorbers.

The absolute value of the cross section can be obtained from the integral of Figure 4, if the number of quanta corresponding to the rather arbitrary unit "r behind 1/8 inch of Pb" is known. This has been measured, using a thin air chamber intercepting the whole beam as defined by the collimator, with thin layers of lead and other substances in front in order to be able to estimate the amount of the ionization due to pair production alone. This will be described in detail in a later publication; the result was that 1 r on the carbon corresponds to 4.9 x  $10^7$  quanta traversing it, where the number of quanta is defined as the total x-ray energy divided by the upper limit energy. (The actual number of quanta in a range between E1 and E2 is approximately  $\ell$  n (E2/E1) times the above number.) The total cross section per quantum per carbon nucleus is then found to be 5 x  $10^{-28}$  cm<sup>2</sup>. It is hard to make an estimate of the overall

accuracy of this figure, but it is probably not wrong by more than a factor of two. The difference between this value and that given in ref. (1) is easy to understand, since the latter, made from Geometry 1 plates, included only very low energy mesons. One run was made at an x-ray energy of 200 Mev; here the meson energy distribution extends only to about 35 Mev, and the yield is considerably less than at 335 Mev. Because of the large self-absorption at this energy, no attempt was made to calculate a cross section.

The authors wish to thank the synchrotron crew for their help in making the exposures and W. S. Gilbert, E. Goodwin, W. J. Knox, G. Maenchen, and F. M. Smith for their assistance in scanning the plates. The work described in this paper was performed under the auspices of the Atomic Energy Commission.

#### Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Drawing of experimental arrangement (Geometry 4)
- Figure 2. Photograph of experimental arrangement (Geometry 4). The x-ray beam emerges from the synchrotron through the rectangular hole (A). The six-inch thick lead collimator is at (B). (C) is the plate holder.
- Figure 3. Angular distributions of meson tracks in the energy range 43 to 59

  Mev. Only the central 2 cm of length of the plates was scanned.
  - (A)  $\sigma$  -mesons. The curve  $\sin^2\theta$  represents approximately the distribution to be expected in case of spherically symmetrical emission from all parts of the carbon target. The few tracks in the range 180 to 360 degrees were probably scattered.  $\pi$ -mesons associated with  $\pi\mu$  decay give a similar distribution.
  - (B)  $\mu$ -mesons associated with  $\pi\mu$  decay. There is no evidence here of departure from spherical symmetry.
  - (C)  $\rho$  -mesons. This distribution is clearly composite, the peak at 90° being due to  $\pi^-$  mesons that fail to make visible stars, while the uniform background is due to  $\mu$ -mesons.
- Figure 4. Distribution of meson energies from x-ray energy of 335 Mev. The apparent lower limit on the energy is caused by the fact that the energies are computed as if the mesons originated in the center of the carbon block. The detted line is simply a guess as to the trend of the distribution at low energies, which was used in the integration leading to the total cross section.









