

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

ON THE ISOTOPIC SPIN OF ANTIPARTICLES

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1bd2h2bz>

### Author

Lepore, Joseph V.

### Publication Date

1955-10-19

UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation  
Laboratory*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-3166

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

ON THE ISOTOPIC SPIN OF ANTIPARTICLES

Joseph V. Lepore

October 19, 1955

Printed for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission

ON THE ISOTOPIC SPIN OF ANTIPARTICLES

Joseph V. Lepore

Radiation Laboratory, University of California  
Berkeley, California

October 19, 1955

ABSTRACT

The eigenvalues of  $\tau_3$ , the isotopic spin operator describing protons and neutrons, are usually taken as  $+1, -1$  respectively. At first sight there appears to be some arbitrariness in the corresponding assignments for antiprotons and antineutrons, since either  $+1, -1$  or  $-1, +1$  seem to be logical possibilities; however, it is shown that in order to construct a theory involving nucleons, mesons, and the electromagnetic field which is invariant under electric charge conjugation only the first possibility is allowed.

## ON THE ISOTOPIC SPIN OF ANTIPARTICLES

Joseph V. Lepore

## I. NUCLEONS IN AN ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

The wave equation describing a nucleon in an electromagnetic field is<sup>1</sup>

$$[\gamma_{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} + ie Q A_{\mu}) + M] \psi = 0. \quad (1)$$

The charge operator  $Q$  is given by

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \tau_3), \quad (2)$$

provided that  $e$  is the protonic charge and if one adopts the convention

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P \rightarrow \tau_3 = +1 \\ N \rightarrow \tau_3 = -1 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

From the wave function of a particle of charge  $e$  one can construct the wave function of its charge conjugate. With  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^{\dagger} \gamma_4$  one has

$$\psi' = C \bar{\psi}. \quad (4)$$

The equation for  $\psi'$  is

$$[\gamma_{\mu}(\partial_{\mu} - ie Q' A_{\mu}) + M] \psi' = 0. \quad (5)$$

The matrix  $C$  satisfies

$$C^{-1} \gamma_{\mu} C = -\tilde{\gamma}_{\mu}. \quad (6)$$

-4-

In electron-positron theory  $C$  depends only on the Dirac matrices; in a theory with isotopic spin, however, it may also be taken to depend on the isotopic spin matrices, since this would in no way violate Eq. (6). Suppose it is taken as

$$C = C_0 \tau_1, \quad (7)$$

where  $\tau_1$  is the 1-component of the isotopic spin. One then finds

$$Q' = \frac{\tau_1}{2} (1 + \tau_3) \tau_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \tau_3). \quad (8)$$

The wave function  $\psi'$  thus describe particles with charges  $-e$  or  $0$  according to the scheme

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P' \longrightarrow \tau_3 = -1 \\ N' \longrightarrow \tau_3 = +1 \end{array} \right\}, \quad (9)$$

whereas in the usual theory ( $C$  independent of isotopic spin)

$$Q' = Q, \quad (10)$$

so that

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} P' \longrightarrow \tau_3 = +1 \\ N' \longrightarrow \tau_3 = -1 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Thus so far as only nucleon-electromagnetic couplings are concerned the operation of charge conjugation applied to the electromagnetic field,

$$C^{-1} A_\mu C = -A_\mu, \quad (12)$$

shows that the theory is invariant under charge conjugation regardless of which alternative is used.

To sum up the results so far we may note the following table. Here  $q$  is the value of the charge on the particle in question.

Alternative A:

$$q = eQ = \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) , \quad \text{holds for particles,}$$

$$q = -eQ = -\frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) , \quad \text{holds for antiparticles,}$$

$$P \rightarrow \tau_3 = +1 ,$$

$$P' \rightarrow \tau_3 = +1 ,$$

$$N \rightarrow \tau_3 = -1 ,$$

$$N' \rightarrow \tau_3 = -1 .$$

Alternative B:

$$q = eQ = \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) , \quad \text{holds for particles,}$$

$$q = -eQ' = \frac{-e}{2} (1 - \tau_3) , \quad \text{holds for antiparticles}$$

$$P \rightarrow \tau_3 = +1 ,$$

$$P' \rightarrow \tau_3 = -1 ,$$

$$N \rightarrow \tau_3 = -1 ,$$

$$N' \rightarrow \tau_3 = +1 .$$

It is important to notice that in a process such as production of a pair by a photon there is no question of the violation of isotopic-spin conservation, since in a theory of type A the rule for composition of isotopic spins is



-6-

$$T_3 = T_3(\text{particles}) - T_3(\text{antiparticles}) , \quad (13)$$

while for the case B one has

$$T_3 = T_3(\text{particles}) + T_3(\text{antiparticles}) . \quad (14)$$

To see that these rules hold one needs only to observe that under a rotation through angle  $\epsilon$  about the 3 axis in isotopic spin space  $\psi$  transforms as

$$\psi \longrightarrow e^{i \frac{\tau_3 \epsilon}{2}} \psi \quad (15)$$

in either theory. The behavior of  $\psi'$  is, however, different; in fact, one finds

$$\text{Alternative A: } \psi' \longrightarrow e^{-i \frac{\tau_3 \epsilon}{2}} \psi' , \quad (16)$$

$$\text{Alternative B: } \psi' \longrightarrow e^{i \frac{\tau_3 \epsilon}{2}} \psi' .$$

Thus one sees that if a state involving particles and antiparticles is formed by operating on the vacuum state  $\psi_0$  with appropriate creation operators, such a state  $\psi$  will transform, under a rotation about the 3-axis in isotopic spin space, in a way determined by factors of

$e^{-i \frac{\tau_3 \epsilon}{2}}$ , which appear for each particle, and factors of  $e^{\pm i \frac{\tau_3 \epsilon}{2}}$ ,

which appear for each antiparticle, the plus sign to be taken for

Alternative A and the minus for Alternative B.

## II. SYSTEM OF NUCLEONS, MESONS AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

Since the operation of conjugation according to Alternative B involves an exchange of isotopic spin states one may suspect that the introduction of a meson field will rule out one of the two alternatives for nucleon charge conjugation. This is in fact the case. Suppose for example we deal with pseudoscalar mesons. This corresponds to introducing a term  $i f \gamma_5 \tau_\alpha \phi_\alpha \psi$  in the nucleon equation

$$[\gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu + i e Q A_\mu) + i f \gamma_5 \tau_\alpha \phi_\alpha + M] \psi = 0 \quad (1')$$

The corresponding charge conjugate wave function  $\psi'$  satisfies, for Alternative A,

$$[\gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i e Q A_\mu) + i f \gamma_5 \tau_\alpha^* \phi_\alpha + M] \psi' = 0, \quad (5')$$

while for Alternative B one finds

$$[\gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i e Q A_\mu) + i f \gamma_5 \tau_1 \tau_\alpha^* \tau_1 \phi_\alpha + M] \psi' = 0 \quad (5'')$$

If one now demands that the theory be invariant under charge conjugation,, the substitutions  $A \rightarrow -A$  and  $\psi' \rightarrow \psi$  leave the nucleon field equation unchanged provided that

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_1, \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow -\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \rightarrow \phi_3, \quad (\text{Alternative A})$$

(17)

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_1, \quad \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \rightarrow -\phi_3 \quad (\text{Alternative B})$$

On the other hand the electromagnetic interaction of mesons depends on

$$A_\mu j_\mu = ie(\phi_1 \partial_\mu \phi_2 - \phi_2 \partial_\mu \phi_1) A_\mu . \quad (18)$$

Thus if  $A \rightarrow -A$ , then  $\phi_2 \rightarrow -\phi_2$  is the only acceptable alternative. This conclusion of course does not depend on the special form of coupling chosen.

### III. CONCLUSION

In a theory involving nucleons, mesons, and electromagnetic field the charge operator that describes nucleons is

$$q = \pm \frac{e}{2} (1 + \tau_3) . \quad (19)$$

The upper sign is appropriate to particles, the lower to antiparticles. Thus the eigenvalue of the isotopic spin operator  $\tau_3$  associated with protons or antiprotons is  $+1$ , while that associated with either neutral particle is  $-1$ . On the other hand, because of the rule for compounding isotopic spins of a system of particles and antiparticles, one sees that the antiproton carries an "effective" value of isotopic spin  $-1$ , and the antineutron an effective value of  $+1$ .

It is of some interest to compare Eq. (19) with the formula for the charge of a nucleon proposed by Gell-Mann<sup>2</sup>:

$$Q = \frac{e}{2} (I_3 + n) . \quad (20)$$

In this formula  $n = 1$  for nucleons and  $n = -1$  for antinucleons and therefore corresponds to the following assignment:

-9-

$$P \rightarrow I_3 = +1 ,$$

$$P' \rightarrow I_3 = -1 ,$$

$$N \rightarrow I_3 = -1 ,$$

$$N' \rightarrow I_3 = +1 .$$

If this is compared with the foregoing results one sees that  $I_3$  is the "effective" value of the isotopic spin variable  $\tau_3$ .

I wish to express my thanks to Drs. Charles Goebel and Maurice Neuman, who helped to clarify the question discussed in this note.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

## REFERENCES

1. The  $\tau$  matrices are the usual Pauli matrices for half integral isotopic spin. The notation for the Dirac matrices is that of J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. 74, 1439 (1949).
2. Murray Gell-Mann and A. Pais, Proc. of Glasgow Conference, Pergamon Press, 1955.