UC Office of the President

Research Grants Program Office (RGPO) Funded Publications

Title

Association between federal and California state policy violation among vape shops and neighbourhood composition in Southern California

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1bp5g7ss

Journal Tobacco Control, 30(5)

ISSN

0964-4563

Authors

Huh, Jimi Meza, Leah R Galstyan, Ellen <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2021-09-01

DOI 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2020-055657

Peer reviewed

Association between federal and California state policy violation among vape shops and neighbourhood composition in Southern California

Jimi Huh ^(D), ¹ Leah R Meza, ¹ Ellen Galstyan, ¹ Artur Galimov ^(D), ¹ Jennifer B Unger ^(D), ¹ Lourdes Baezconde-Garbanati, ¹ Steve Sussman^{1,2}

ABSTRACT Introduction Past studies have documented disparities

vape shop location.

offering free samples.

in regulation compliance among tobacco retailers with

respect to ethnic diversity in neighbourhoods. This study

investigated the association between compliance with

the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and California

state rules and neighbourhood ethnic composition of a

Methods We recruited 122 vape shops located in 'ethnic

teams of data collectors visited each of the consented vape

shops and coded items in the shops that were visible and

on display. Location data for the percentages of ethnic

Census Bureau, American FactFinder. Multilevel logistic

city-level neighbourhood ethnic composition and vape

Results Vape shops located in neighbourhoods/

neighbourhood ethnic characteristics.

from purchasing e-products.

INTRODUCTION

composition for a given city were obtained from the U.S.

regression models examined the relationship between the

shop rule violation status: not displaying Ask4ID sign and

communities with more white residents were significantly

likely to offer free sampling (p=0.009), controlling for other

less likely to not display Ask4ID sign (p=0.03) and less

Discussion Greater enforcement for proper signage

display is needed for vape shops located in racial/ethnic

minority locations to ensure that minors are discouraged

Vape shops are brick-and-mortar stores that

specialise in the sales of electronic cigarette (e-cig-

arette) devices, e-liquids and related products. The

proliferation of vape shops is a recent phenom-

enon that has accompanied the increasing popu-

larity of e-cigarettes,¹² targeting users who prefer 'in-store' experiences.³ The presence of vape shops

in a neighbourhood could create an environment

that renormalises use of nicotine-containing prod-

ucts⁴ and increases access to vaping products among youths.¹⁵ Consistent with that assertion, the density

of vape shops around schools and volume of adver-

tisement exposure has been found to be positively

associated with ever and past-month use of e-cig-

arettes among high school students in all ethnic/

racial groups.⁵ Vape shop retailers typically believe

that e-cigarettes are safer than combustible tobacco

products and often 'counsel' their customers to

vape instead of smoke.⁶⁷ Vape shop retailers also

use unique marketing strategies that appeal to

youth.⁸ Attributes of vape shop staff and physical

enclave' neighbourhoods in Southern California. Trained

¹Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA ²Department of Psychology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA

Correspondence to

Dr Jimi Huh, Preventive Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90032, USA; jimihuh@usc.edu

Received 22 January 2020 Revised 15 May 2020 Accepted 21 May 2020

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2020. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Huh J, Meza LR, Galstyan E, et al. Tob Control Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/ tobaccocontrol-2020-055657 environments of vape shops (eg, 'bar like') are associated with shops' longevity.⁹ Electronic nicotine delivery systems have rapidly evolved,¹⁰ prompting a range of implementation of regulations on packaging, limits on nicotine content, health warnings and advertisements by the state of California and at the federal level.

Federal and state regulations and policies for vape shops and distribution of these products have been enacted. Effective 9 June 2016, California vape shops are required to post an age-ofsale warning sign that states that it is illegal to sell tobacco products to anyone under 21 years of age. Such signage must be posted at cash register, per California Penal Code Section 308 and Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement Act.¹¹ Further, FDA's authority specified in the 'Deeming Rule' prohibits vape shops from providing free samples (21 CFR 1140.16(d), effective 8 August 2016). However, it is unclear whether FDA or state regulation compliance by vape shops varies across neighbourhoods, and particularly in lowincome racial/ethnic neighbourhoods.

Past studies have documented disparities in regulation compliance among tobacco retailers and showed an inverse relationship between regulation compliance by tobacco shops and ethnic diversity in neighbourhoods. In Florida, more tobacco sales to minors occurred in rural (vs urban) areas, in census blocks with higher proportions of Hispanic residents, and in neighbourhoods with lower per capita income.¹² Similar studies involving FDA inspection data across the USA have also shown that underage sales of tobacco were positively related to the proportion of residents with black and Latino ethnicities, adjusting for other neighbourhood variables.¹³ Point-of-sale tobacco marketing is more prevalent in rural areas and in neighbourhoods with lower income and more black residents.¹⁴ Recent studies on tobacco and vape shops in Colorado,¹⁵ California,¹⁶ Illinois, New York and Florida¹⁷ documented violation of vape products sales to minors comparable to that of the combustible cigarettes. Although vape shops have been found to be disproportionately located in areas with higher concentration of racial/ethnic minorities, macrolevel factors such as neighbourhood characteristics have not been explicitly assessed with regards to regulation compliance among vape shops.¹⁸

This study investigated neighbourhood variation in compliance with two FDA and state regulations

1

METHODS Sample

As described previously,²⁰ we combined vape shop locations from Google Maps and Yelp with data on neighbourhood composition from the U.S. Census. Our vape shops were those that specialised in e-cigarettes/e-liquids/devices and did not sell combustible tobacco products. From the exhaustive list of eligible vape shops generated from these sources, we reached out to 136 vape shops (refusal rate: 10.3%) until we reached the desired sample size from each of the stratified area (n=122)¹⁷: approximately 30 shops in each of 4 ethnic enclaves in the Greater Los Angeles area, Orange and San Bernardino Counties in Southern California (ie, areas with higher than average concentration of Hispanic/Latinos, African Americans, non-Hispanic Whites, and Koreans).²¹

Data collection and measures

Trained teams of two or three data collectors visited each of the vape shops for recruitment and consent between November 2017 and December 2018. After providing verbal consent, one employee per shop completed a 35 min anonymous interview. Simultaneously, another member of the research team conducted a store audit, by coding items in the shops that were visible and on display. Recorded shop observation data included a threepage checklist of print information (indoor and outdoor signage, advertisements), products offered (e-cigarettes, e-liquids, other items) and an assessment of the physical layout of the shop (area to socialise, description of shop, product selection size and size of shop).²⁰ Each vape shop's built environment (characteristics of the physical environment surrounding the shop) and customers were also observed and coded. Each participating employee received a US\$50 prepaid gift card.

Ethnic composition-vape shop location variable

To systematically characterise macrolevel neighbourhood composition, we extracted census data for the percentages of ethnic composition for a given city where each vape shop is located by searching U.S. Census Bureau, American FactFinder,²² unless the city contained an ethnic enclave. For vape shops located in an ethnic enclave (ie, a neighbourhood or a community known for heavy presence by a certain ethnicity), we used zip codes to better represent the direct population surrounding the shop, with the following exception (n=2). These two shops were located in a sparsely populated location (eg, industrial area) but within the 2 mile radius to 'ethnic enclave' neighbourhoods; therefore, we used the adjacent city information. We resorted to the city data rather than zip code in these cases because of the lack of permanent residents within the listed zip code of the business. The extremely low permanent population would cause the racial/ethnic composition to be inaccurate according to census tract data. Thus, racial/ ethnic composition of a city/zip code where a given vape shop is located (referred to as macrolevel neighbourhoods herein) was used as the main level-2 predictor as our vape shops were clustered within macrolevel neighbourhoods.

Store compliance variables

To assess store compliance status, we used two variables. From the store observation data, trained research assistants made observations as to whether the store displayed Ask4ID signs by/at the cash register. During store interviews, we asked how the sampling of juice was handled at the given shop; we used the variable that indicated whether the store offered free samples of vaping products. Reliability of store observation data had been established by having a second observer cocode a 15% sample of the shops (Kappa=0.81).

Statistical methods

A set of multilevel logistic regression models were used to examine the association between macrolevel neighbourhood racial/ethnic composition (level-2 predictor) and two level-1 outcomes: vape shop violating (1) state regulation of displaying Ask4ID sign (not displaying=1) and (2) FDA rule of not offering free samples (offering free samples=1). Level-1 unit of analysis was vape shop stores; level-2 cluster was the macrolevel neighbourhood in which a given vape shop was located. All racial/ethnic percentage variables were centred at their respective means. The analyses were performed in SAS V.9.4, using PROC GLIMMIX.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics

Out of 122 vape shops, 23.8% (n=29) failed to display the Ask4ID sign. Of the 93 vape shops that displayed the Ask4ID sign, 52.7% (n=49) displayed it by the cash register, as specified by the California Penal Code. Out of 122 vape shops, 6.6% (n=8) offered free sampling of e-juice. On average, the racial/ethnic compositions of the neighbourhoods included in our sample were: 37.8% (range: 6.3%–94.8%) non-white Hispanic/Latinos, 35.5% (range: 3.1%–79.0%) of non-Hispanic whites, 6.2% (range: 0.3%–23.9%) African Americans and 3.1% (range: 0.1%–20.2%) Korean Americans. The bivariate association between the racial/ethnic percentages of residents in vape shop neighbourhood and compliance status is presented in table 1.

Multilevel logistic regression model

The results of multilevel logistic regression model are presented in table 2. Vape shops located in neighbourhoods/communities with more white residents were significantly less likely to violate the Ask4ID sign display regulation (OR=0.96; 95%CI 0.92 to

Table 1 Ethnic composition of vape shop neighbourhood by violation status													
	Ask4ID sign displayed			Ask4ID posted by cash register			Offer free samples						
	Yes (n=93)	No (n=29)		Yes (n=49)	No (n=73)		Yes (n=8)	No (n=113)					
	M (SD)	M (SD)	P value	M (SD)	M (SD)	P value	M (SD)	M (SD)	P value				
% Hispanic residents	37.4 (22.1)	39.1 (17.3)	0.70	41.3 (22.0)	35.5 (20.2)	0.14	37.7 (18.4)	38.1 (21.2)	0.96				
% White residents	37.5 (22.2)	29.1 (18.5)	0.07	32.8 (20.0)	37.3 (22.5)	0.26	21.5 (12.4)	36.23 (21.7)	0.06				
% African American residents	6.2 (6.2)	6.0 (5.4)	0.83	6.4 (6.5)	6.0 (5.7)	0.71	3.8 (4.2)	6.4 (6.1)	0.24				
% Korean residents	3.2 (4.4)	2.8 (3.7)	0.66	3.3 (4.3)	3.0 (4.2)	0.75	2.0 (2.8)	3.2 (4.3)	0.44				
*p<0.05; **p<0.01.													

2

Table 2 Neighbourhood ethnic composition predicting log odds of rule violation status

	Not displayin	g Ask4ID sign	Ask4ID sign no reg	t posted by cash jister	Offer free samples	
	b (SE)	P value	b (SE)	P value	b (SE)	P value
Intercept	-1.40 (0.40)	<0.001	0.47 (0.23)	0.05	2.00 (1.47)	0.18
% Hispanic residents	-0.03 (0.02)	0.14	-0.02 (0.02)	0.23	-0.04 (0.02)	0.06
% White residents	-0.04 (0.02)*	0.03	-0.01 (0.01)	0.75	-0.06 (0.02)**	0.009
% African American residents	-0.05 (0.05)	0.34	-0.02 (0.04)	0.68	-0.11 (0.08)	0.16
% Korean residents	-0.08 (0.08)	0.32	-0.05 (0.07)	0.42	-0.19 (0.14)	0.19

*p<0.05, **p<0.01.

0.99; p=0.03). However, Ask4ID sign being displayed by the cash register as specified by California Penal Code was not associated with neighbourhood ethnic composition (p>0.23). The vape shops in neighbourhoods with more white residents were significantly less likely to violate the FDA rule by offering free sampling (OR=0.942; 95% CI 0.90 to 0.99, p=0.009); the same pattern of results was found in neighbourhoods with more Hispanic/Latino residents but was not significant.

DISCUSSION

The current study showed that vape shop federal and state policy compliance in California was greater in neighbourhoods with higher proportions of white residents, consistent with the findings in other types of tobacco retailer shops (eg, tobacco shops, non-franchised shops, convenience stores) that sold combustible tobacco products.^{12–14} While vape shops claim to offer a means of harm reduction, in contrast to the tobacco industry,²³ their patterns of non-compliance suggest that they may be exacerbating health disparities by exposing minority communities to greater risk. The presence of legal age signage was a notable finding. Greater enforcement regarding signage display is needed within such locations to discourage minors from purchasing e-products. Still, misposting of signage in the shop did not differ by locations of retailers with varying racial/ethnic compositions. Since only eight shops offered free samples, those results need replication and are limited in interpretability. However, it is plausible that increased education about monitoring, and enforcement of multiple types of FDA tobacco regulation is needed in urbanised minority areas. We opted to use city-level ethnic composition percentages, rather than zip code level, because zip codes of many of our participating vape shops in large cities would have resulted in inaccurate neighbourhood representation. Our study contributes to the recent literature that explores the vape shop retail environment in diverse racial/ethnic communities. More such research is needed to reduce tobacco-related disparities among all populations.

What this paper adds

- ▶ We contribute to the current literature by addressing the extent of potential regulation disparity among Southern California vape shop retailers.
- To our knowledge, no previous study has examined policy violations at vape shops with respect to neighbourhood compositions.

Funding Research reported in this publication was supported by a California Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program Award (TRDRP Grant #26IR-0016) and a National Cancer Institute and FDA Center for Tobacco Products Award (NCI/FDA Grant #U54CA180905).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

ORCID iDs

Jimi Huh http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2727-5966 Artur Galimov http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9718-439X Jennifer B Unger http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9064-6603

REFERENCES

- Dai H, Hao J. Geographic density and proximity of vape shops to colleges in the USA. Tob Control 2017;26:379-85
- Dai H, Hao J, Catley D. Vape shop density and socio-demographic disparities: a US 2 census tract analysis. Nicotine Tob Res 2017;19:1338-44.
- Deals e. Is visiting a vapor store better than buying online? 2018. Available: https:// 3 ejuice.deals/blogs/ejuice-vape-blog/is-visiting-a-vapor-store-better-than-buying-online
- Al-hamdani M. A short note on e-cigarette issues: harm reduction, re-normalization, and big tobacco. J Public Health Policy 2014;35:132-4.
- 5 Giovenco DP, Casseus M, Duncan DT, et al. Association between electronic cigarette marketing near schools and e-cigarette use among youth. J Adolesc Health 2016:59:627-34.
- 6 Allem J-P, Unger JB, Garcia R, et al. Tobacco attitudes and behaviors of vape shop retailers in Los Angeles. Am J Health Behav 2015;39:794-8.
- 7 Hart JL, Walker KL, Sears CG, et al. Vape shop employees: public health advocates? Tob Prev Cessat 2016;2. doi:10.18332/tpc/67800. [Epub ahead of print: 20 Jan 2017]
- 8 Kirkpatrick MG, Cruz TB, Goldenson NI, et al. Electronic cigarette retailers use pokémon go to market products. Tob Control 2017;26:e145-7.
- Kong G, Unger J, Baezconde-Garbanati L, et al. The associations between yelp online reviews and vape shops closing or remaining open one year later. Tob Prev Cessat 2017;2. doi:10.18332/tpc/67967. [Epub ahead of print: 02 Feb 2017].
- 10 Galstyan E, Galimov A, Sussman S. Commentary: the emergence of pod mods at vape shops. Eval Health Prof 2019;42:118-24.
- 11 Health CDoP. California tobacco 21 law, 2019.
- 12 Asumda F, Jordan L. Minority youth access to tobacco: a neighborhood analysis of underage tobacco sales. Health Place 2009;15:140-7.
- 13 Lee JGL, Landrine H, Torres E, et al. Inequities in tobacco retailer sales to minors by neighbourhood racial/ethnic composition, poverty and segregation, USA, 2015. Tob Control 2016;25:e142-5.
- 14 Lee JGL, Henriksen L, Rose SW, et al. A systematic review of neighborhood disparities in point-of-sale tobacco marketing. Am J Public Health 2015;105:e8-18.
- 15 Levinson AH. Nicotine sales to minors: store-level comparison of e-cigarette versus cigarette violation rates. Nicotine Tob Res 2018:20:267-70.
- 16 Roeseler A, Vuong TD, Henriksen L, et al. Assessment of underage sales violations in tobacco stores and vape shops. JAMA Pediatr 2019:173:795-7.
- Sussman S, Galimov A, Delnevo CD. Vape-only versus vape-and-smoke shops: sales to 17 minors in four states. Tob Control 2020. doi:10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2019-055567. [Epub ahead of print: 26 Mar 2020].
- Berg CJ. Vape shop location and marketing in the context of the food and drug 18 administration regulation. Public Health 2018:165:142-5.
- 19 Bostean G, Sanchez L, Lippert AM. Sociodemographic disparities in e-cigarette retail environment: vape stores and census tract characteristics in orange County, CA. Health Place 2018:50:65-72.
- 20 Galimov A, Galstyan E, Yu S, et al. Predictors of vape shops going out of business in southern California. Tob Regul Sci 2020;6:187-95.
- Yu S, Escobedo P, Garcia R, et al. A descriptive longitudinal study of changes in vape 21 shop characteristics and store policies in anticipation of the 2016 FDA regulations of tobacco products, including e-cigarettes. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2018;15:313. 22
- Bureau USC. American fact finder, 2017.
- Sussman S. Baezconde-Garbanati L. Garcia R. et al. Commentary: forces that drive 23 the vape shop industry and implications for the health professions. Eval Health Prof 2016;39:379-88.