Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

ANALOG OF THE AC JOSEPHSON EFFECT IN SUPERFLUID HELIUM

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1bt038vk

Author

Richards, P.L.

Publication Date 1970

Submitted to Physical Review

UCR L- 19154 Preprint

RECEIVED LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY JUN 1 1970 LIBRARY AND

LIBRARY AND DOCUMENTS SECTION

ANALOG OF THE AC JOSEPHSON EFFECT IN SUPERFLUID HELIUM

P. L. Richards

January 1970

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

ANALOG OF THE AC JOSEPHSON EFFECT IN SUPERFLUID HELIUM*

-1-

P. L. Richards

Department of Physics, University of California and Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Radiation Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

When a chemical potential difference exists between the superfluid helium on two sides of an orifice, superfluid flow takes place at a critical velocity accompanied by the creation of quantized vortices. The frequency with which vortices are created is expected to be equal to the chemical potential difference (the analog of the ac Josephson frequency in superconducting tunneling). An experiment is described in which this frequency condition is verified by a method analogous to that used in superconductors. Experimental conditions were selected such that the gravitational head difference mgZ was the dominant contribution to the chemical potential difference. Z was measured by a capacitance technique. An ultrasonic

transducer was used to modulate the flow through the orifice at the frequency ω so that the rate of creation of vortices was synchronized with the modulation frequency. The system was observed to exhibit dynamic stability at values of head difference Z=nh ω /n'mg corresponding to n quantized vortices created every n' cycles of the of the modulation. The correspondence between theory and experiment is most convincing under experimental conditions such that the strength of the stability decreases rapidly with increasing values of the integer n'. This was found to occur with small orifices and with moderate modulation amplitude in agreement with the results of the analogous experiments in superconductors.

-2-

I. INTRODUCTION

-3-

The superfluid state of liquid He⁴ is in many ways remarkably similar to the superconducting state of the electrons in metals at low temperatures. This analogy has been useful to the investigators of both systems. In particular it suggests the possibility of observing in superfluid liquid helium effects related to the well known Josephson effects¹ of superconductivity. The major difficulty in attempting such experiments is in the construction of a barrier through which superfluid helium atoms could tunnel. It has been suggested² that tunneling could occur through a barrier pierced with holes of atomic dimensions but this has not yet been experimentally verified. P. W. Anderson suggested to the author that this difficulty could be avoided by constructing a superfluid helium analog of the Anderson-Dayem experiment.^{3,4} Their experiment, which is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1, is similar to the classic ac Josephson experiment except that a narrow neck in a superconducting thin film takes the place of a tunnel junction. The superfluid He Analog of this geometry consists of two vessels of He connected through a small orifice as shown in Fig. 2(a). Early experiments done on this system in close collaboration with P, W. Anderson showed that when the flow through the orifice was modulated with an ultrasonic transducer the time average flow ceased for periods of minutes or longer at various values of the head difference Z between the baths. This phenomenon was interpreted as the analog in superfluid He of the current steps in the Anderson-Dayem experiment. These results were

reported and discussed in several places.⁵⁻⁷ Subsequently, this experiment has been repeated by a number of workers including Khorana and Chandrasekhar and Khorana and Douglass.⁸ In this paper we will give a full discussion of the experiment and present improved results.

4

II. THEORY

A. Average Rate of Vortex Crossing

As was first pointed out by W. Zimmerman⁹ the experiment described here can be understood from two points of view. One is the quantum mechanical approach used in the first report;⁵ the other is to use classical fluid dynamics plus vortex quantization. Both points of view will be reviewed in this section as each contributes to a physical understanding of the effect. Following Feynman¹⁰ we can describe the flow of superfluid helium by a wave function $\Psi = \Psi_0 e^{i\phi(\underline{r})}$, where Ψ_0 is the ground state wave function of the fluid at rest and the phase $\phi(\underline{r})$ is a potential function for the superfluid velocity distribution $\underline{v}_s(\underline{r})$

$$\underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{s}} (\underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \frac{\hbar}{m} \, \underline{\nabla} \phi \ (\underline{\mathbf{r}}). \tag{1}$$

The requirement that Y be single valued leads to the condition

$$\oint \nabla \phi \cdot dr = n2\pi, n = 0, 1, 2...$$
(2)

so that the circulation

$$< \Xi \oint \underline{\mathbf{v}}_{\underline{\mathbf{v}}} \cdot d\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{n}\mathbf{h}}{\mathbf{m}}.$$
 (3)

Equations (2) and (3) are interpreted as describing quantized vortices

in superfluid helium. In the case of a vortex line with cylindrical symmetry (3) becomes $v_s = n\hbar/mr$, a velocity field which falls off as r^{-1} and in which each helium atom has angular momentum $n\hbar$. The shape and position of the vortex line is conventionally discussed in terms of the position of the core inside which the above velocity distribution is no longer valid.¹¹ If the core is closed on itself in a circle of radius R we have a vortex ring whose energy E and momentum p (perpendicular to the plane of the ring) are known from the classical dynamics of a frictionless fluid of density ρ_s^{12}

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\rm s} \kappa^2 R \left[\frac{\ln \left(\frac{\partial R}{a}\right)}{-\frac{7}{4}} \right] \tag{4}$$

$$= \rho_{\rm s} \kappa \pi R^2.$$
 (5)

The existence of quantized vortex rings in superfluid He has been most clearly verified by Rayfield and Reif¹³ who found that the core radius a ≈ 1 Å and that the quantum of circulation $\kappa = h/m$, that is, that n = 1 for their experiment.

p

Implicit in the above picture is the assumption that the phase factor ϕ is coherent over macroscopic distance, so we can define the phase difference $\Delta \phi = \phi(\underline{r}_2) - \phi(\underline{r}_1)$ between two points and consider its evolution in time. A time evolution of the phase difference is expected if there is a chemical potential difference $\Delta \mu$ between the two points. Beliaev¹⁴ showed that for a weakly interacting Bose gas the phase contains the term $\mu t/\hbar$ so we expect that within an additive constant

 $\Delta \phi = \Delta \mu t / \hbar$.

(7)

(9)

The same argument can be made for superconductors from the work of Gorkhoff.¹⁵ Except for a factor 2 due to pairing the result is the same. Josephson¹ stated that condition in the form $\hbar \frac{\Delta \phi}{\partial t} = 2 \text{eV}$.

-6-

We can now relate the chemical potential difference to the rate of vortex motion using the experimental geometry of Fig. 2(b). We choose a path of integration between points in the two baths which are far from the orifice so that the fluid is nearly stationary. If the temperature is the same at the two points, then $\Delta\mu = mgZ$. From (1) and (7) we obtain

$$\Delta \phi = \frac{m}{\hbar} \int_{1}^{2} \frac{v}{s} \cdot \frac{dl}{dl} = mgZt/\hbar$$
(8)

so that the phase difference (and velocity integral) increase linearly in time. These relations are easily understood in the regime of accelerating potential flow through the orifice. Experimentally, however, a steady state is rapidly reached in which the helium flows at a critical velocity accompanied by the production of vorticity. It can be seen from (2) that the phase difference $\Delta\phi$ in the presence of a vortex will depend on which side of the vortex core the path of integration passes. In general, the phase difference between any two points will change by $n2\pi$ when a vortex with n quanta of circulation passes between them.¹⁶ We can obtain a description of the experimentally observed steady state if we assume that vortex cores which carry n quanta cross the path of integration at an average frequency

$$\langle v \rangle = mgZ/nh$$

with the proper sign to cancel, on the average, the phase slippage (8).

The corresponding frequency in the superconducting case is

-7-

$$v \rangle = 2eV/nh.$$
 (10

We thus identify the ac Josephson frequency with the average rate of crossing of single quantum vortices. It should be noticed that the argument presented here does not rely on a specific model of vortex motion. Such a model is, however, helpful in visualizing the process. A model for fluxoid crossing is easily obtained for the Anderson-Dayem bridge. The self magnetic field of the critical current breaks into the film at one edge as a quantized fluxoid. Under the influence of the Lorentz force this fluxoid migrates across the bridge thus necessarily cutting the path of integration from one side to the other. If we assume perfect symmetry, then fluxoids of opposite sign will enter from opposite sides. They will meet and annihilate due to their mutual attraction. A similar picture for liquid helium can also be found. Let us assume that vorticity is produced in the form of quantized vortex rings in the orifice as shown in Fig. 2(b). We must assume that the vortex core is produced at the orifice walls so that all possible paths of integration through the orifice initially thread the vortex ring. The vortex ring with radius approximately equal to that of the orifice will then move down stream, gradually lose energy due to collisions with phonons and rotons (normal fluid), and shrink to a roton.¹³ The velocity integral of Eq. (8) will increase linearly with time as long as the vortex rings stay threaded on the path of integration. It is, of course, more likely that in the steady state the rings will cross the path and move away at an average frequency equal to the vortex creation frequency. The conditions for the validity of Eq. (9) are thus satisfied.

As was mentioned earlier, the average frequency of vortex crossing (creation) can also be obtained from classical hydrodynamics plus vortex quantization.⁹ In the spirit of Feynman's derivation of the critical velocity at an orifice 10 we assume that the force $\rho g Z \pi R^2$ accelerating fluid through an orifice of radius R is equal to the momentum of a vortex ring (5) times the frequency of creation v. Assuming that the circulation $\kappa = nh/m$ we obtain the frequency for vortex creation (9) given previously. This argument does not explicitly display the average nature of the vortex creation frequency. It makes the additional assumption that any contribution to the pressure pgZ due to normal fluid acts to accelerate normal fluid. A more general argument was given by Anderson⁶ who showed by integrating Euler's equation for a classical frictionless fluid that the chemical potential difference between two points in the fluid is equal to the time average rate at which vorticity (∇xv) is transported across the line joining them. In superfluid helium $\nabla x y = 0$ everywhere except at the vortex cores around which the circulation $\kappa = nh/m$. Anderson's result, which is not particulary useful in classical fluids, thus gives the vortex crossing frequency in superfluids.

B. Synchronization of Vortex Crossing

A direct observation of the vortex crossing frequency, such as can be done to superconductors, to be difficult in helium. There is no electromagnetic radiation to facilitate observation of the predicted peak in the frequency distribution of the current flow in a

-8-

free running orifice. The success of the Anderson - Dayem experiment^{3,4} shows that the heterodyne technique suggested by Josephson¹ and used by Shapiro, et al.,¹⁷ for the case of tunnel junctions, does work in the superconducting bridge. It can best be understood as a synchronization of the vortex crossing to a modulation of the current. If the supercurrent is modulated as shown in Fig. 3, vortex nucleation will be enhanced at times of high velocity and suppressed at times of low velocity. Thus the vortex crossing frequency determined by $\Delta \mu$ will correspond to n vortices crossing every n' cycles of the modulation where n and n' are positive integers. The existence of an observable effect depends on the stability of the system (that is, the constancy of n and n') at values of $\Delta \mu$ which correspond to small values of n and especially of n'. The data in Fig. 1 clearly show such stability of the superconducting bridge at voltage values $V = nh\omega/n'2e$ corresponding to the crossing frequency in Eq. (10). Current steps with n/n' = 2, 3. are called harmonics of the fundamental n/n' = 1 step and those with fractional values of n/n' are called subharmonics. The stability of the more pronounced current steps is indicated by the horizontal lines leading to the top and bottom of such steps. These reveal a tendency for the system to "jump" to the step rather than occupy a range of neighboring voltages. The range of instability is directly related to the current source impedance used. Although the existence of such steps is plausible from the synchronization argument given above, the detailed nature of the stability, in particular the selection rule for step height, is not understood in detail. For cases to which the Josephson tunneling theory applies, the non-linear differential equation

-9-

(12)

governing a junction driven by finite impedance dc and ac sources can be written down. Although solutions are difficult to obtain, this theory seems to account adequately for the observed phenomena.¹⁸ Such a detailed treatment does not exist for the Dayem bridge, but qualitatively similar behavior is to be expected. The superfluid He case of interest to us here has been qualitatively discussed by Anderson.⁶ Even in the absence of detailed theory, synchronization effects analogous to those in the Dayem bridge can be predicted with confidence.

III. MEASUREMENT OF CHEMICAL POTENTIAL

As was pointed out by Donnelly, a number of contributions to the chemical potential (the Gibbs free energy per atom) may be important in an experiment of the type described here. Bekarevich and Khalatnikov¹⁹ have shown that the gradient of the chemical potential has the form

$$\frac{\nabla \mu}{m} = \frac{\nabla P}{\rho} + \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \nabla \omega - s \nabla T - \frac{\rho_n}{2\rho} \nabla \left(\underline{v}_n - \underline{v}_s \right)^2.$$
(11)

Our experimental conditions were selected such that only the first term in (11) is important near the surface of the bath where Z is measured. We thus obtain $\Delta\mu=m\nabla P/\rho=mgZ$. So that the stability of the system is expected when

$$Z = nh\omega/n mg$$
.

If one of the baths contained N static vortex lines per unit area of the fluid surface, then the second term in (11) would contribute $\Delta\mu = \lambda h N/\rho$, where $\lambda = -(\rho_{s} h/2m) \ln a\sqrt{N}$, the ratio of internal energy to vorticity, depends only weakly on N. Neither this term nor the last term in (11), which depends on the counterflow of super and normal fluid, is expected to be large near the free surface. In the temperature range of interest 1.2 < T < 2.1 K the decay of a vortex ring due to collisions with rotons and phonons (mutual friction) is very rapid.¹³ In the absence of rotation of the apparatus, only a small number of stable vortex lines should be excited. Effects which can be ascribed to such lines (persistent currents) are described in Section V - C

-11-

A temperature difference between the two baths will contribute the well known fountain effect term $\Delta \mu$ = -ms ΔT . In early experiments⁴ such an effect arising from the heat generated by the transducer was clearly seen. A fraction of the measured Z was attributed to preferential flow of normal fluid (excitations) through the orifice away from the warm transducer. It is estimated that the heat conducted between the two baths, which determined ΔT , was shared nearly equally between viscous normal fluid flow through the orifice and a Kapitsa resistance limited heat flow through the capacitor walls. Differential evaporation from the two baths was minimized by the use of connecting tubes of small diameter. In the experiments reported here care was taken to avoid such temperature differences. It proved possible, by carefully minimizing the distance l between the transducer and the orifice, to obtain adequate modulation levels with negligible temperature difference. In principle, experimental situations could be contrived in which any or all terms in (11) contribute significantly to the chemical potential. Such variations of the experiment described here would serve primarily to verify (11), a task which could be accomplished more easily with more conventional equilibrium ($\Delta \mu = 0$) experiments.

IV. APPARATUS

-12-

The experimental apparatus shown in Fig. 4 was used to obtain the data presented here. It differs in several details from the apparatus used in our previously reported work.⁵ The present experiment was performed in a sealed can immersed in pumped He inside a conventional glass cryostat. The He height inside the can was measured using a coaxial capacitor. Guarded leads connected the capacitor to a commercial capacitance bridge operated at various frequencies near 3 KHz. Changes in level were measured by reading the off-balance signal from the bridge with a lock-in amplifier. The lower end of the capacitor was sealed with a one mil Ni foil containing a single orifice.²⁰ The experimental bath was filled by condensation of room temperature He gas at an over pressure of ~ 200 Torr. The condensation took place slowly enough to avoid a head difference Z between the inside and outside of the capacitor. The filling was monitored by observing capacitance changes which had been calibrated by raising or lowering the capacitor by a measured amount. The upper end of the capacitor communicated with the He vapor above the experimental bath through a narrow standpipe whose upper end was maintained above T_1 to avoid the conceptual and (perhaps) practical difficulties of a superfluid system multiply connected via film flow. Heat leakage down the standpipe and electrical leads and heat generated by the capacitance measurement may have caused a fountain effect contribution to Z. Such equilibrium effects, including those caused by surface tension, do not affect the measurement since the "zero" of head difference was obtained from the measured capacitance at equilibrium. Since the surface area of the He in the

capacitor is ~ 0.5% of that in the bath, changes in the experimental bath level were neglected except during calibration when a correction was applied for the rather larger change in capacitor displacement as it was raised or lowered. The homogeneity of the capacitor spacing placed a limit of ~ 2% on the absolute accuracy of measurement of Z.

-13-

The flow through the orifice was modulated using a quartz frequencycontrol crystal driven at its longitudinal resonant frequency of $\omega_0/2\pi$ =104.6KHz. The l x 6 mm end face of the crystal was placed as close as practical ($l \sim 0.2$ mm) to the orifice. The rms velocity v of the end of the crystal was estimated by measuring its resonant Q while immersed in the He bath and also the total heat P generated for a given drive voltage. For a driven harmonic oscillator with a uniform mass distribution the stored energy mv²/4=PQ/ ω_0 . To obtain the velocity of the fluid in the orifice the velocity of the end of the transducer must be multiplied by a factor D²/ $ld \sim 100$. Here D is the effective diameter of the end of the transducer which is slightly larger than its thickness, and d is the orifice diameter. The calibration of orifice velocity in terms of drive voltage varied greatly from run to run because of different values of l. Typical estimated velocities ranged from 1-to 100 cm/sec.

A drive voltage threshold ~ 10 times the largest useful values was observed above which the signal from the capacitance bridge became very noisy. This threshold was assumed to mark the onset of cavitation in the He.²¹ V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Critical Velocity

The critical velocity for flow through the orifice was measured by raising or lowering the capacitor assembly suddenly and monitoring the capacitance as a function of time. Typical results are shown in Fig. 5 for a 12 μ dia. orifice. They correspond to a head dependent critical velocity v_c ~ 32 cm/sec for Z=0.5 mm decreasing to ~ 1 cm/sec for Z=.05 mm.²² Flow at smaller values of Z was masked by periodic sloshing of the He in the experimental vessel excited by the change in level of the capacitor. The Feynman¹⁰ expression for the critical velocity in an orifice

$$\mathbf{v}_{c} = \frac{\mathbf{n}\hbar}{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{R}} \left[\ln\left(\frac{\mathbf{\delta}\mathbf{R}}{\mathbf{a}}\right) - \frac{7}{4} \right]$$
(13)

can be obtained by equating the rate of loss of potential energy $\rho_{\rm gZ\pi R}^{2} V_{\rm c}$ as fluid flows through the orifice with velocity $V_{\rm c}$, to the energy of a vortex ring (4) times their rate of creation (9). Under our experimental conditions the predicted $v_{\rm c} = 3$ cm/sec is somewhat larger than our measured limiting value of ~ 1 cm/sec. To fit our data for larger values of Z we would have to assume either that vortices smaller than the orifice size are created or that vortices with more than one quantum of circulation are created in this range. Careful measurements of the limiting critical velocity for small Z by Trela and Fairbanks²³ revealed reasonable agreement with (13) for n=1 in certain cases with larger orifices and lower temperatures. The estimated values of rms velocity in the orifice driven by the modulation are at least comparable to the limiting critical velocity. This appears to be necessary for vortex synchronization to be effective.

B. Pumping Effect

Experiments were usually begun by applying an ac drive voltage to the transducer with the superfluid in a quiescent state at Z=O. Below a minimum drive amplitude no effect was observed. For larger amplitudes He was steadily pumped toward the bath with the transducer (out of the capacitor). This pumping effect was also observed in He above T_{λ} which had been supercooled to avoid bubble formation. No threshold for pumping was found in the few experiments done for $T > T_1$. This observation is explained by assuming that the transducer is acting as a classical fluid pump. Since the transducer cannot be located symmetrically over the orifice, large fluid velocities transverse to the orifice axis are expected. The asymmetry of the geometry means that fluid is driven across one side of the orifice so that a head difference is produced. The apparatus acts as a Pitot tube for the measurement of this transverse velocity. No Bernoulli pressure is obtained until vorticity is created. A threshold velocity is required for vortex creation in the superfluid state but not above T_{λ} . The observed pumping saturated at Z=v²/g from 1 to 10 mm, corresponding to transverse velocities of 10 to 30 cm/sec.

C. Evidence for Vortex Synchronization

Without vortex synchronization, the head difference Z would rise smoothly to its saturation value determined by the pumping action described above. The vortex synchronization phenomena described in Section IIB produce dynamic stability of the system at values of Z given

in Eq. (12). These correspond to n vortices crossing every n' cycles of the modulation. This stability is dramatically illustrated by the data in Figs. 6 and 7. In these figures, the ordinate has been adjusted so that Z=10 divisions corresponds to one vortex crossing per cycle, that is, to $Z=\hbar\omega/Mg$. In each run the equilibrium value of Z was established and then the transducer was turned on at the time marked "start". In the lowest curve of Fig. 6 the transducer amplitude was such as to pump a saturation head value close to one full step (n/n'=1). The system showed remarkable stability at this step, returning to it twice after short excursions. These excursions were probably caused by mechanical shocks. The n/n'=3/2 subharmonic step is also in evidence. The upper two curves correspond successively to larger pump saturation values as well as higher modulation velocities in the orifice. The center curve shows strong stability for n/n'=1 through 6 and rather weaker stability on identifiable 1/2, 1/3, and 1/4steps in between. It is expected that the apparent stability of a step increases as the pump approaches its saturation value and ceases to drive Z upward. Perhaps this effect counteracts an intrinsic weakening of the stability for larger values of n/n' such as is observed in the superconducting data shown in Fig. 1. The three curves shown in Fig. 6 were obtained on widely separated occasions with different values of l and so the orifice modulation velocity is not directly related to the pump drive voltage V. The correlation between modulation velocity and saturation height is reasonably close, however, and we can conclude from the inspection of these data and from the many hundreds of runs from which they were selected that for relatively

-16-

low modulation levels the harmonic steps are rather more stable than the subharmonic steps. At larger modulation levels such as in the top curve, this preference for harmonic steps disappears and a quantitative verification of (12) is more difficult. Our first reported results⁵ were obtained with relatively large modulation velocities compared with those shown here.

The modulation voltage and transducer placement for the lower curve in Fig. 7 are identical with those for the center curve in Fig. 6. The differences between the two curves appeared to be due to the previous history of the baths (trapped vorticity) and to uncontrolled shocks and vibrations reaching the apparatus. Despite obvious differences, the main features of the curves are similar. The upper curve in Fig. 7 shows the effect of increasing the orifice size. Again, as with large modulation amplitude, the preference for whole steps is reduced. The 20µ orifice size is estimated to be large enough that a vortex line may not be carried across the orifice by the transverse velocity in one cycle of the modulation. We thus expect several vortices to be simultaneously present in the neighborhood of the orifice. No steps at all were seen for orifices larger than 50μ dia. In the presence of large transverse velocities due to misalignment of the transducer the idealized picture of vortex rings presented in Section II is almost certainly not correct. All that is necessary to explain the observed effects, however, is to postulate vortex crossing at the proper rate. This experiment does not, therefore, give detailed information on the actual configuration of the vortices.

Although no detailed theory exists for the occurrance of subharmonic

steps, the observations reported here are reasonable on fairly general grounds. The Josephson current of a tunnel junction biased at a constant voltage is a pure sinusoidal function of time. The application of a sinusoidal modulation creates harmonic, but not subharmonic steps. The current flow in an orifice or Anderson-Dayem bridge with fixed bias is certainly not a sinusoid. It follows from Eq. (8) that the flow increases linearly until a vortex crossing occurs. The harmonic content of this flow creates the subharmonic steps when a modulation is applied. It is certainly plausible that this harmonic content is richer when the modulation amplitude greatly exceeds the critical velocity or when the orifice is large enough to accommodate several vortices at once.

-18-

One frequently observed feature of the data is that Z returns to a value somewhat below its starting value when the transducer is switched off. A similar effect occurs in the published data of Khorana and Chandrasekhar.⁷ One explanation is that some residual vorticity remains in the bath containing the transducer for a period of many minutes after the transducer is turned off. The equilibrium, which corresponds to $\Delta\mu=0$, would then occur at a lower He level in the transducer bath because of the second term in Eq. (11).

It should be noted that the experiment described here differs in one important respect from the Anderson-Dayem experiment. There a high impedance constant current source was used and the I-V curve was observed to jump across regions of instability as discussed in Section IIB. Here the dc current source is the analog of a charged capacitor and its impedance is low compared with that of the orifice. Consequently a more nearly continuous approach to dynamic equilibrium is observed.

The ac source impedance is also low.

One other detailed point is that because of the nature of the current source a position of dynamic equilibrium at constant Z corresponds to no net flow of He. This is indeed fortunate since the normal fluid is at least partially clamped by the orifice and any He flow produces a temperature difference between the baths and thus an sAT contribution to $\Delta\mu$. Such effects can be neglected after the system has reached thermal equilibrium on any given step.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Nearly five years have passed since the first positive results² were announced for the superfluid He analog of the ac Josephson effect. During that time the experiment has been repeated in more than six laboratories and the data have improved greatly. The experimental situation, however, is still unsatisfactory in several respects.

The experiments remain difficult to perform. That is, not all of the variables are under control. Although stability of the bath for various values of Z occurs regularly, data of the quality shown in Figs. 6 and 7 must be selected from many hundreds of runs. Even though plausible reasons for the selection usually exist, it is not clear to what extent the natural tendency to select data which agree with a preconceived theoretical idea has concealed important features of the He experiment. This is especially serious when an attempt is being made to test a theoretical prediction in the form of the ratio of two integers with no reliable information about selection rules. What can be said with confidence is that conditions of dynamic stability with $Z \neq 0$ (and thus $\Delta \mu \neq 0$) can be produced regularly, and that

-19-

experimental conditions can be found (Figs. 6 and 7) for which the measured values of Z can be fit quantitatively by the expression $Z = n\hbar\omega/n$ 'mg with a plausible systematic variation of the integers n and n'. We thus conclude with reasonable confidence that the theory has been verified.

All of the successful experiments known to the author are performed in apparatus identical in most important respects to that originally used. So far only one He analog of the many superconducting Josephson effect phenomena has been observed. This problem seems important enough to justify a wider range of experimental efforts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I have benefited greatly from the close collaboration with P.W. Anderson during the early stages of these experiments. The portion of the work done at Berkeley was supported by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

-20-

REFERENCES

- A portion of the experimental work described here was done at Bell Telephone Laboratories, Inc., Murray Hill, New Jersey.
- B. D. Josephson, Phys. Letters <u>1</u>, 251 (1962) and Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, 216 (1964).
- Yu. G. Mamaladze and O. D. Cheishvilli, Zh. Eksperim. i Theor. Fiz
 50, 169 (1966) [English translation: Soviet Phys.--JETP 23, 112 (1966)].
- 3. P. W. Anderson and A. H. Dayem, Phys. Rev. Letters 13, 195 (1964).
- 4. A. H. Dayem and J. J. Wiegand, Phys. Rev. <u>155</u>, 419 (1967).
- 5. P. L. Richards and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Letters 14, 540 (1965).
- 6. P. W. Anderson, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>38</u>, 298 (1966).

\$

- P. L. Richards in <u>Quantum Fluids</u>, D. F. Brewer, Ed. (North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1966) pp. 180-182.
- 8. B. M. Khorana and B. S. Chandrasekhar, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>18</u>, 230 (1967); B. M. Khorana and D. H. Douglass, Jr., <u>Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Low Temperature Physics</u>, St. Andrews, <u>1</u>, 169 (1968).
- 9. W. Zimmerman, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>14</u>, 976 (1965).
- 10. R. P. Feynman, <u>Progress in Low Temperature Physics</u>, C. J. Gorter, Ed. (Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1955) Vol. 1, pp. 34-53.
 11. Equation (1) can be obtained from a Galilean transformation in the case of uniform flow even when a normal fluid component is present.
 - It has not been verified in the case of non-uniform flow of two fluids. Equation (2) is exact, but (1) and (3) may thus break down near the vortex core.
- H. Lamb, <u>Hydrodynamics</u> (Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1945),
 6th ed., p. 241.

UCRL-19154

- 13. G. W. Rayfield and F. Reif, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>11</u>, 305 (1963);
 Phys. Rev. <u>136</u>, A 1194 (1964).
- 14. S. T. Beliaev, Zh. Eksprim i Theor. Fiz. <u>34</u>, 417 (1958) [English translation: Soviet Phys.--JETP <u>34</u>, 505 (1958)].
- 15. L. P. Gor'kov, Zh. Eksperim i Theor. Fiz. <u>36</u>, 1918 (1959) [English translation: Soviet Phys.--JETP <u>9</u>, 1364 (1959)].
- 16. See Ref. 6 for a detailed discussion of the phase slippage associated with a specific model of vortex motion.
- 17. S. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>11</u>, 80 (1963); S. Shaprio, A. R. Janus,
 and S. Holly, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>36</u>, 223 (1964).
- See, for example, Clarke, Pippard, and Waldram, Proceedings of the 1-69 Stanford Conference on the Science of Superconductivity.
- 19. I. L. Bekarevich and I. M. Khalatnikov, Zh. Eksprim. i Theor. Fiz.
 40, 920 (1961) [English translation: Soviet Phys.--JETP 13, 643
 (1961)].
- 20. Discs of Ni with orifices of various sizes were obtained from the Buckbee Mears Co.
- 21. R. D. Finch, R. Kagiwada, M. Barmatz, and I. Rudnick, Phys. Rev. 134, A 1425 (1964).
- 22. In our previously reported measurements of this type⁷ data were obtained primarily over larger ranges of Z. A critical velocity of -27 cm/sec was reported at Z=3 mm in a similar orifice.
- 23. W. J. Trela and W. M. Fairbank, Phys. Rev. Letters 19, 822 (1967).

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- Fig. 1. Anderson and Dayem showed that the dc current-voltage characteristic of a superconducting thin film bridge exhibits vertical steps in the current when the voltage is modulated at a microwave frequency ω . The steps occur because the flow of quantized fluxoids across the bridge becomes synchronized with the modulation. They represent a stability of the system whenever the natural frequency of fluxoid crossing 2eV/h corresponds to n fluxoids every n' cycles of the modulation.
- Fig. 2. (a) Schematic diagram of the superfluid helium analog of the Anderson-Dayem experiment. Two vessels of helium connected via a small orifice or short channel are filled to different levels to provide a chemical potential difference mgZ. The resulting flow is modulated by an ultrasonic transducer. (b) Vortex rings crossing the path of integration.
- Fig. 3. Schematic representation of vortex synchronization caused by a sinusoidal velocity modulation for the case of a natural frequency of vortex creation equal to three vortices per cycle of the modulation. The tic's represent the times at which vortices are created.
- Fig. 4. Apparatus used for the present measurements. The brass can was 4.5 cm od. The He inside the capacitor is shaded darkly to make it more visible.
- Fig. 5. Decay of a head difference Z between the two He baths which was created by repeatedly raising and lowering the capacitor. The critical velocity drops from 32 cm/sec to about 1 cm/sec as the


-23-


head Z decreases.


Fig. 6. Measured values of head difference Z vs time for various values of the ac voltage drive on the transducer. The horizontal regions indicate dynamic stability of the He at finite Z due to vortex synchronization.

-24-

Fig. 7. Measured values of head difference Z vs time for two diameters of orifice. The modulation amplitude is approximately the same as for the center curve of Fig. 6.











Fig. 4




-20

XBL701-2029

Fig.

5



-30-

T = (min)

X8L683 - 2103

Ŷ.

Fig. 6




Fig. 7

LEGAL NOTICE

5

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor. TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION LAWRENCE RADIATION LABORATORY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720