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ABSTRACT

We consider the emission of visible light by hot metal surfaces having uniform and non-uniform temperature distributions and by small droplets of liquid metal. The calculations employ a nonlocal transport theory for light emission, using the Kubo formula to relate microscopic current fluctuations to the dielectric function of the material. We describe a related algorithm for calculating radiation emission in particle simulation of hot fusion plasmas.
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\textit{You can see light from glowing hot metals - the kitchen stove, tungsten filaments, or the walls of fusion experiments. It's a disappointment not to find a satisfactory theory for this emission by hot metals in our favorite books of electrodynamics [1], optics [2] and solid-state physics [3], or even in general textbooks of physics [4].}

I.) Introduction

A metal is a dense plasma having a plasma frequency $\hbar \omega_p \sim 10 - 15 \text{ eV}$. Visible light has $\hbar \omega \sim 2 \text{ eV}$, so $\hbar \omega \ll \hbar \omega_p$. A plasma is over dense to light of frequency $\omega$ if $\omega < \omega_p$ and $\text{Re} [\varepsilon(\omega)] < 0$, where $\varepsilon(\omega)$ is the frequency-dependent dielectric function. Light reflects from a smooth metal surface and only an evanescent wave penetrates over a skin depth $\delta \sim c/\omega_p \sim 10 \text{ nm}$. Electrons deep in the metal emit much less light than electrons near the surface even if they have the same local density-temperature conditions; emission is suppressed because the electrons only connect to the exponential tail $\sim \exp(-x/\delta)$ of the light waves.

We consider metals such as W, Au, Al, Pd, Mo, or Pt. The cold-matter optical properties are summarized by a dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$ [5]. When they are heated to "Warm Dense Matter" (WDM) temperatures $\sim 1 \text{ eV}$, we will have to guess $\varepsilon(\omega)$ and compare to experiments. In order to do that comparison we need equations that apply for an arbitrary dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$. At high temperatures metals evaporate and expand. For very hot metal, the expansion is a release or rarefaction flow; hydrodynamic calculations predict the $\rho, T$ profile [6]. The metal cools as it expands, so the outer layers are cooler than the interior.

In WDM experiments, the temperature has strong gradients. WDM density and temperature may vary over distances much less than the wavelength of light. In this case the emission cannot be calculated from absorption by Kirchhoff's law, so we need a microscopic emission theory.

The equation of radiative transfer gives the radiation energy flow as an integral along light ray paths [6]. This equation applies when the spatial gradients are small over a photon mean free path and very small over the wavelength of light. Neither condition is satisfied for most WDM experiments. In addition, light rays are undefined in overdense plasma because the index of refraction $\sqrt{\varepsilon} = n + ik$ is a complex number.

Radiative transfer is a form of heat conduction, described by Fourier's law, $q = -k \nabla T$, and obeys certain general rules: for example, when heat flows from $T_{\text{hot}}$ to $T_{\text{cold}}$, then between those points the temperature is necessarily between $T_{\text{hot}}$ and $T_{\text{cold}}$.

Nonlocal transport is a different type of heat flow, in which a conduction channel (usually having a limited channel capacity or flux limit) carries heat energy limited by an injection impedance at the hot end and an extraction impedance at the cool end. The channel might traverse colder or hotter matter without being coupled enough to equilibrate locally. In the cases we are considering, the conduction channel is the overdense electromagnetic field. In this
nonlocal transport theory the emitted radiation is
given by an integral over the sources, which are
fluctuating microscopic currents. The theory
naturally invokes the Weiner-Kinchin theorem
for stationary random processes and the Kubo
formula (fluctuation-dissipation theorem). With
these equations the emission is given by an
integral over the local dielectric function.

In this paper we discuss emission of light in
three situations of progressively increasing
temperature: small droplets of liquid metal,
emission from hot metal surfaces and finally,
emission from hot fusion plasmas described by
Molecular Dynamics (MD) particle simulation.

II.) Emission by small droplets of liquid
metal

Accelerator-heated WDM experiments on an
experimental facility in the Lawrence Berkeley
National Laboratory rapidly heat a submicron-
thick foil to several thousand K. The foil
appears to break into small droplets of liquid
metal and time-resolved emission spectra are
measured. We would like to extract information
from those spectra to decide the temperature of
the hot material and, if possible, the size of the
droplets. At the conditions of the experiments
the metal inside the droplets probably has
uniform density and temperature.

In this case Kirchhoff's law applies and we
can calculate the emission from the absorption
cross-section \( A(h\omega) \). The total power emitted
into \( 4\pi \) steradians is

\[
\frac{dE}{dt} = \int \frac{(h\omega)^3}{\pi^2\hbar^2c^2}\frac{A(h\omega)}{\left(e^{\hbar\omega/kT} - 1\right)} dh\omega
\]

(1)

\( A(h\omega) \) is the absorption cross-section for a plane
wave approaching the droplet. We calculate
\( A(h\omega) \) from Mie theory of light scattering by a
small droplet [1, 2, 7].

The electromagnetic fields are represented as
a series of vector spherical harmonic functions.
Inside the droplet there is an evanescent wave,
which has a complex wave-vector

\[ K = (\omega/c) \sqrt{\varepsilon - k_x^2} \]

To evaluate the formulas we need Bessel
functions \( j_{\ell}(K\rho) \) for complex wave-vector \( K \)
and for large index \( \ell \) (up to 100). To generate
these functions we use a recursion formula iterating
down ( \( \ell \to \ell - 1 \) ) for the Bessel function \( j_{\ell} \)
and iterating up ( \( \ell \to \ell + 1 \) ) for the Neumann
function \( n_{\ell} \). A Bessel-function theorem helps
evaluate the volume-integral of the Joule heating
to calculate the absorption. We evaluate the
formulas using measured dielectric functions [5]
which are valid for room-temperature material.

A useful check of the computer code is the
comparison to an analytic formula (Eq. 93-4 of
reference [4]) valid for droplets that are small
compared to the wavelength; our code agrees
accurately with this formula for \( R << \lambda \).

A comparison to geometrical optics agrees to
a few percent for large spheres. Many textbooks
warn us that geometrical optics is inaccurate for
scattering of light from smooth spheres, due to
interference phenomena associated with wave
optics. [2, 7, 8] For large spheres, absorption or
emission occurs mainly on the forward surface,
so better agreement is not surprising.

Theory predicts anisotropic absorption from a
plane-polarized plane wave: absorption on the
two sides where \( E \) points toward the sphere is
stronger than absorption on the sides where \( E \) is
parallel to the surface. This is because \( p \) -
polarized light is absorbed better than \( s \) -polarized
light (see below). The emission from a small
sphere is isotropic and unpolarized. It is
typically about 10% of the black-body emission.
The droplet calculations are only relevant in a
limited temperature range between melting and
boiling temperatures. At higher temperatures,
evaporation or rarefaction flow will rapidly
produce a nonuniform density-temperature
profile and we must calculate the emission
without using detailed balance.

III.) Emission by a uniform hot metal

For a hot metal layer in which the temperature
is uniform we can again calculate the emission
from the absorption coefficient using Kirchhoff's
law. This special case gives a useful test for the
general theory of emission.

To calculate the absorption during reflection
from a flat metal surface, one solves the Maxwell
eqs. for the classical \( E, B \) fields. The energy
flow toward the material is \( S_x = x \)-component of
the Poynting vector, where \( x \) is the direction into
the material (the surface is the yz plane). The
energy absorption is

\[ \frac{dS_x}{dx} = -\text{Re} \left[ \sigma(\omega) \right] \left( \mathbf{E}(x) \cdot \mathbf{B}^* \right) \]

= local Joule heating. To evaluate this one needs
the high-frequency conductivity \( \sigma(\omega) \), related to
the dielectric function by

\[ \varepsilon(\omega) = 1 + 4\pi \alpha \sigma(\omega) / \omega \]

(2)

so

\[ \text{Re} \left[ \sigma(\omega) \right] = \omega/4\pi \alpha \text{Im} \left[ \varepsilon(\omega) \right] \]

(3)
Theory predicts that p-polarized absorption is larger than s-polarized absorption

\[ A_p > A_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

The s- and p-polarized absorptions differ, in part, because p-polarized light induces a surface-charge density.

Laser experiments readily measure absorption \( A_s, A_p \) and even the rotation of the polarization ellipse if the incident light is mixed s- and p-polarized. This technique of ultra-short pulse pump-probe ellipsometry can give quantitative information about laser-heated materials with subpicosecond time resolution. \([9]\) The absorption theory is well-tested by laser experiments and measurements on cold matter.

If the metal has constant temperature and density, the absorption coefficients are given by the Fresnel formulas, evaluated with the optical constants \( n \) and \( k \) determined by \( \varepsilon(\omega) = (n + ik)^2 \). However the Fresnel formulas fail as soon as the metal surface begins to expand. \([10]\)

IV.) Emission by a nonuniform metal surface

A general theory of emission is more difficult. We examine the absorption and emission for a normal mode of the radiation field characterized by a free-space wave-vector \((k_x, k_y, k_z)\). Light absorption is coherent and stimulated emission is a coherent reduction of the absorption, but for the materials considered here the spontaneous emission is incoherent.

Electric and magnetic fields made by the sources are linearly related to the sources,

\[ \mathbf{E} = G_E \circ \mathbf{j} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B} = G_B \circ \mathbf{j} \hspace{1cm} (5) \]

where the Green's functions \( G_E \) and \( G_B \) depend on polarization (selected by the direction of the current fluctuations \( \mathbf{j} \)). The Green's functions include the coherent response of the medium (overdense "refraction", absorption, stimulated emission) as described by the dielectric function \( \varepsilon(\omega) \). The G's are constructed as products of matrices for layers of target material; they decrease like \( \exp(-\text{Re}[\gamma] x) \). Here \( \gamma \) is the x-component of the wave-vector inside the metal,

\[ \gamma^2 = (n^2/c^2)[\sin^2 \theta - \varepsilon(\omega)] \hspace{1cm} (6) \]

Of course the electric field oscillates rapidly and its time-average is zero. We examine a quadratic quantity, the Poynting vector

\[ S = c(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})/4\pi \hspace{1cm} (7) \]

\( S \) is a sum over the incoherent sources, which are microscopic currents in the material. The emission can be written symbolically:

\[ S \sim (c/4\pi) \int G_E \times G_B \circ \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{j} \hspace{1cm} (8) \]

Here \( \mathbf{j} \circ \mathbf{j} \) is the current fluctuation, related to the current-current autocorrelation function by the Weiner-Kinchin theorem. The Kubo relation connects this quantity to the real part of the AC electrical conductivity \( \text{Re}[\varepsilon(\omega)] \) which is in turn proportional to \( \text{Im}[\varepsilon(\omega)] \), the imaginary part of the dielectric function.

The difficult part of this calculation is the calculation of the Green's functions, especially for p-polarized light, but there is a simple and convincing way-to check the calculation.

For a uniform metal plate (having constant composition, density and temperature) the emitted spectrum exactly equals the absorption from a blackbody spectrum having the same temperature, i.e., the power emitted from a given layer into a given solid angle in a given frequency range equals the power absorbed in the same layer from the same solid angle at the same frequencies.

The equations predict a phenomenon of overdense radiation transport which we have not seen discussed in the scientific literature. When a source at depth \( x_3 \) makes electric and magnetic fields as in Eq. (5), some of the energy of these fields is absorbed in a second layer at depth \( x_3 \). The Green's functions describe that absorption through the \( \text{Im}[\varepsilon(\omega)] \) in the wave-equation. However, if the material temperature is uniform (homogeneous), the second region at \( x_3 \) also emits radiation and some of that penetrates back to \( x_3 \) and is absorbed there. These two absorptions are exactly equal if the temperatures \( T(x_3) \) and \( T(x_3) \) are equal, and that is a powerful consistency check for the theory (Onsager symmetry). If the two temperatures are not equal, there is a net heat conduction from hot to cold. Because the overdense radiation field typically does not have a large energy-density (compared to the material energy-density) this overdense transport cannot be a strong correction to the normal electron heat conduction, but it is a powerful check of our calculations to find that it obeys the principle of detailed balance.
The calculations described here predict that emission from hot metals (having smooth and clean surfaces) should be strongly p-polarized, when seen from a sufficient angle from the normal.

V. Polarization and Pyrometry

Polarized emission from metals was observed many years ago (Millikan [11]), but apparently is not well known: it is not even mentioned in modern textbooks [2]. Probably magnetic fusion machines are filled with polarized visible light emitted by hot metal on the limiter, divertor, etc.

In the National Institute for Fusion Science, M. Goto et al. recently measured polarized emission for electron-beam heated foils of W metal. The experiments observed polarization resolved spectra of radiation from the tungsten surface which was heated by an electron beam. The viewing angle to the surface was 75 degree from normal to the surface. One component is polarized parallel (II) to the surface and the other (LL) is perpendicular to it. The temperature was estimated from the spectra. The radiation is strongly polarized. The experiments study the polarization at different temperatures and some temperature-dependence of the polarization was observed at the highest temperatures. In the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory and the University of Electro-Communication, H. Yoneda and P. Ni have observed polarized emission from electrically heated strips of several metals.

Optical pyrometry is a well-known way to determine temperature from emitted light. The optical pyrometer has limited time-response and temperature sensitivity because it must collect enough photons to obtain accurate data. The usual optical pyrometer is an imperfect thermometer for another reason: one measures the emitted intensity $I(\omega)$ at N wavelengths, but there are almost always N+1 unknowns: the N emissivities $E(\omega)$ and the surface temperature $T$. One can only extract a temperature by making some assumption about the frequency-dependence of the surface emissivity $E(\omega)$.

For this reason we propose a polarization pyrometer, which will measure emission of the two polarizations at several angles. In this way one can collect enough data to unfold the unknown dielectric function and the unknown temperature. This is especially important for WDM where we do not a priori know the emissivity $E(\omega)$ or dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$.

VI. Radiation in Particle Simulations

Another question about emission theory arises in molecular dynamics (MD) particle simulation which we use to study hot plasmas at fusion ignition conditions. This work uses large computers to perform simulations with $10^5 - 10^6$ electrons and ions. [12,13] Previous particle simulations have been performed to study charged-particle pair-correlations, especially for strongly-coupled plasmas, but we want to extend the method to study atomic-scale phenomena that occur in fusion plasmas. We plan to include fusion reactions and the slowing of fusion products, emission and absorption of radiation and other atomic processes involving bound electrons on high-Z impurities. The particle simulations are based on classical MD of electrons and ions, but the close collisions are quantum mechanical. For example, fusion reactions only occur because of quantum tunneling, and the radiation processes also involve quantum effects.

The calculation of radiation poses a simple but important question: how to modify a classical simulation so as to obtain the (quantum) black-body spectrum when the simulation reaches equilibrium. (A purely classical simulation would necessarily give the incorrect Rayleigh-Jeans spectrum). Here we briefly mention two complementary approaches to the description of radiative processes.

Close collisions: hybrid MD/MC algorithm

The quantum effects are very important for high-energy photons (having $\hbar \omega > kT$) which are most important energetically. They are emitted or absorbed in "close" collisions with small impact parameters. To describe emission and absorption of high-energy photons, we use a hybrid Molecular Dynamics/Monte Carlo method. Quantum or semiclassical cross-sections are used to describe the radiative processes which occur during a close collision. When the classical MD brings an electron to within a small distance $r_{eq}$ of an ion, control is passed to a subroutine (SB = "small ball") which forms a conditional probability $P_{cond}$ to emit or absorb a photon.

SB Algorithm # 1
\[ P_{\text{mod}} = \frac{\sigma_{\ell}}{\pi R_{\ell}^2} \]  

(9)

SB Algorithm \#2 (\ell-dependent cross-section)

\[ P_{\text{mod}} = \frac{\sigma_{\ell}}{\pi(2\ell+1)\hbar^2/m^2} \]  

(10)

The first algorithm is used with the Kramers cross-section \( \sigma_{\ell} \). MC tests are used to decide between absorption and emission and to decide the energy \( \hbar \omega \) of the photon, guided by conditional probabilities obtained from the cross-section. [12] The second algorithm requires a more detailed cross-section that resolves the emission or absorption by the angular momentum of the incoming electron. The angular momentum \( \ell \) is determined by the classical position and velocity when the electron reaches the radius \( r = R_{\ell} \).

Soft collisions: coupling to classical normal modes

Emission of low-energy photons (visible and ultraviolet light) can be handled in a different way. Since \( \hbar \omega \ll kT \) for this case, a classical calculation may suffice.

We start from the normal-mode representation of the electromagnetic field, as in non-relativistic (Coulomb-gauge) quantum electrodynamics (QED). The electromagnetic field is obtained from a vector potential which is expanded in field amplitudes \( a_{\ell \lambda} \) (in QED \( a_{\ell \lambda} \) is a photon annihilation operator).

\[ A(\vec{r}, t) = \sum_{\ell \lambda} \hat{a}_{\ell \lambda} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} + a_{\ell \lambda}^* e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \]  

(11)

The equation of motion for the field amplitudes is:

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial a_{\ell \lambda}}{\partial t} = \hbar \omega a_{\ell \lambda} - \sum_p \frac{q}{c} (\vec{v}_p \cdot \vec{e}_{\ell \lambda}) \gamma C_l e^{-i k \cdot \vec{r}(t)} \]  

(12)

Here the subscript \( p \) denotes one particle (usually an electron), \( \gamma \) is the particle position and velocity vectors, \( \lambda = \text{photon polarization} \), and

\[ C_l = \left( \frac{2\pi \hbar c}{V} \right)^{1/2} \]  

(13)

where \( V = \text{quantization volume} \). Eq. (12) omits a second-order term that generates Compton scattering. The change of energy for the normal mode specified by \( k \) and \( \lambda \) is

\[ \hbar \omega \frac{a_{\ell \lambda}}{\partial t} = \sum_p \frac{q}{c} (\vec{v}_p \cdot \vec{e}_{\ell \lambda}) \left( \frac{10}{c^2} a_{\ell \lambda}^* e^{-i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}(t)} - a_{\ell \lambda} e^{i \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}(t)} \right) \]  

(14)

We could solve Eq. (12) numerically, but that would require a small time-step. Instead we

Fourier analyze \( a_{\ell \lambda}(t) \) and project out the emission at frequencies near \( \omega = \epsilon k \). (Lower frequencies \( \sim k_v \) correspond to modifications of the near-field Coulomb potential, e.g., magnetic effects \( \sim v/c \)). We then multiply by the photon density of states (and sum over polarizations), i.e. we form

\[ dP = \sum \frac{4\pi k^2 \omega}{8\pi^4} \hbar \omega \left[ \frac{\partial n_{\ell \lambda}}{\partial t} \right]_{\text{emission}} \]  

(15)

and obtain

\[ \frac{dP(\omega)}{d\omega} = \sum \frac{2q^2 \omega^2}{3c^5} \int \left( v_p(0) \cdot v_p(\tau) \right) e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau \]  

(16)

This is also a current-current correlation function, closely related to the Kubo formula for the electrical conductivity of the plasma.

The current-current correlation function supplied by the particle MD calculation will include various correlation effects produced by Coulomb forces in the plasma. In this case we again find the emission is expressed in terms of the AC conductivity without the complications of overdense material and nonlocal transport.

**VII.) SUMMARY and CONCLUSIONS**

The main points of this research are

1.) We study the general theory of emission of radiation by hot dense matter.
2.) Our computer codes predict light emission using material descriptions obtained from hydrodynamic or particle MD codes.
3.) They predict that light emitted from a smooth clean hot metal surface is predominantly p-polarized.
4.) The codes provide a tool for improving the interpretation of pyrometry measurements of emission and finding a more convincing determination of WDM plasma temperatures from pyrometry measurements.
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