UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Recent advances in understanding female gametophyte development

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1d02k8nh

Authors

Skinner, Debra J Sundaresan, Venkatesan

Publication Date 2018

DOI

10.12688/f1000research.14508.1

Peer reviewed



Recent advances in understanding female gametophyte development [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Debra J Skinner¹, Venkatesan Sundaresan ⁽¹⁾, ²

¹Department of Plant Biology, University of California-Davis, Davis, USA ²Department of Plant Sciences, University of California-Davis, Davis, USA

First published: 20 Jun 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):804 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14508.1)
 Latest published: 20 Jun 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):804 (doi:

10.12688/f1000research.14508.1)

Abstract

The haploid female gametophyte (embryo sac) is an essential reproductive unit of flowering plants, usually comprising four specialized cell types, including the female gametes (egg cell and central cell). The differentiation of these cells relies on spatial signals which pattern the gametophyte along a proximal-distal axis, but the molecular and genetic mechanisms by which cell identities are determined in the embryo sac have long been a mystery. Recent identification of key genes for cell fate specification and their relationship to hormonal signaling pathways that act on positional cues has provided new insights into these processes. A model for differentiation can be devised with egg cell fate as a default state of the female gametophyte and with other cell types specified by the action of spatially regulated factors. Cell-to-cell communication within the gametophyte is also important for maintaining cell identity as well as facilitating fertilization of the female gametes by the male gametes (sperm cells).

Keywords

female gametophyte, embryo sac

Open Peer R	leview	
Referee Status: 🗸 🗸		
	Invited Referees 1 2	
version 1 published 20 Jun 2018	~	~

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

1 Sharon Kessler, Purdue University, USA

2 Ravishankar Palanivelu, University of Arizona, USA

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Venkatesan Sundaresan (sundar@ucdavis.edu)

Author roles: Skinner DJ: Conceptualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; Sundaresan V: Conceptualization, Funding Acquisition, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Skinner DJ and Sundaresan V. Recent advances in understanding female gametophyte development [version 1; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):804 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14508.1)

Copyright: © 2018 Skinner DJ and Sundaresan V. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: Research in the authors' laboratory is supported by the National Science Foundation (grant IOS-1656584). *The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.*

First published: 20 Jun 2018, 7(F1000 Faculty Rev):804 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.14508.1)

Background

The flowering plants that dominate our landscapes and agriculture alternate between a diploid sporophytic stage, which constitutes the main body of the plant, and a reduced, haploid gametophytic stage contained within the male and female floral organs. The pollen grain is the mature male gametophyte and carries two sperm cells (the male gametes). The female gametophyte (FG), called the embryo sac, produces the female gametes and usually is obscured within the maternal, sporophytic ovule (Figure 1). Fusion of male and female gametes occurs during double fertilization, after the sperm cells are delivered to the embryo sac by the pollen tube. After fertilization, ovules become seeds and sexual reproduction is achieved. Despite its reduced size relative to the diploid sporophyte, the development of the FG is tightly regulated as it is essential for successful seed formation. FG development in flowering plants begins after meiosis, when one of four haploid daughter cells develops into the functional megaspore (FM). In most flowering plants (including Arabidopsis), the FM undergoes three rounds of syncytial mitotic divisions, followed by cellularization to produce seven cells belonging to four cell types, each with a defined position, morphology, and specialized function (Figure 1, 1). Two FG cell types are gametic: the egg cell (1n) and the central cell (2n, homodiploid). These undergo double fertilization by two sperm cells of the entering pollen tube to produce the embryo (2n) and endosperm (3n), respectively. There are two accessory cell types: the two synergids, whose main function is pollen tube attraction and reception by the gametophyte, and the three antipodals, whose function in many plants is currently unknown. In grasses such as maize, the antipodals proliferate further and are proposed to have a role in directing nutrition from sporophyte to developing endosperm^{2,3}. These four cell types are specified from the eight haploid nuclei that have descended from the FM. After the first mitotic division of the FM (stage FG2, 4), the two daughter nuclei are physically sequestered at either end of the embryo sac by the enlarging vacuole, creating a morphological axis (FG3). After two further divisions (FG5), one of the four nuclei at each end migrates around the central vacuole toward the center; these polar nuclei become closely associated and will finally fuse, forming the central cell nucleus (FG6). At the same time, the remaining nuclei begin to differentiate by cellularization according to their position along the distal (micropylar)-proximal (chalazal) axis. At maturity, the pollen tube enters the ovule through the micropyle, formed by the tips of enclosing maternal integuments.

At the micropylar end of the gametophyte, the synergid cells and egg cell are in close proximity but have different morphologies, including nuclear position (the smaller synergid nuclei are oriented closer to the micropyle and egg nucleus toward the central cell) and vacuole position. Their molecular differences have been analyzed in RNA profiling experiments and these differences reflect their different roles in the FG5,6. How and when do the nuclei and the resulting cells of the gametophyte acquire fate information? What external signals are required, and how do cells communicate with each other to define or confirm these fates? These questions have been tackled by assessing mutants or ectopic expression lines which alter cell identities, observed by morphological changes, and monitored with the use of fluorescent or colorimetric reporter genes expressed in specific cell types (as reviewed in 7). Complete cell fate change is shown by change in function, such as a synergid acquiring the ability to form a zygote after fertilization (synergid to



Figure 1. Arabidopsis female gametophyte development. The progression of female gametophyte development is shown from left to right. After meiosis, a single haploid cell, usually the basal (chalazal) cell, will enlarge and form the functional megaspore while the remaining products of meiosis degenerate. This haploid megaspore will have three mitotic divisions accompanied by nuclear movement to create a defined pattern at each division. From stage FG4, the large vacuole (blue) separates the nuclei along the chalazal-micropylar axis. At FG5, the polar nuclei (red) migrate to meet each other and eventually fuse. At FG6/FG7, the mature female gametophyte has seven cells: two synergids, egg cell, central cell with large diploid nucleus (central cell nucleus, or CCN), and three antipodal cells (which are present through FG7 though much diminished⁸). Stages are numbered in accordance with Christensen *et al.*⁴.

egg cell) or an egg cell to form an endosperm (egg cell to central cell). An overarching theme is that positional information is important for cell identity, as first described using the maize *indeterminate gametophyte 1* mutant^{9,10}, and these positional cues interact with external and internal signals to specify the different cell fates.

Specification of micropylar (distal) cell fates

Live imaging of developing *Torenia fournieri* gametophytes shows that at late FG4 (four-nucleate stage), when there are two nuclei at the micropylar end, these nuclei have a polar arrangement along the micropylar-chalazal axis¹¹. The nucleus closest to the micropyle was observed to give rise to the synergids, while the nucleus closest to the central vacuole gave rise to egg and polar nucleus. This arrangement of FG4 nuclei has also been observed in fixed Arabidopsis ovules¹². Differing cell fates of sister nuclei may result from asymmetric distribution of a molecular signal within the embryo sac or from an asymmetric external sporophytic signal. There are multiple lines of evidence for the importance of mobile signals in FG patterning at the micropylar pole where the egg cells and synergid cells are located (Figure 2). The plant hormone auxin is a small mobile molecule whose synthesis and polar movement through plants direct growth and patterning decisions. Loss of synergid identity and occasional acquisition of egg identity were observed when auxin signaling genes-TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE (TIR) family and AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR (ARF) family-were downregulated or inactivated in the early developing embryo sac^{13–15}. Conversely, ectopic expression of the auxin biosynthesis YUCCA genes appears to shift micropylar cell fates toward the chalazal end of the gametophyte, conferring synergid and egg cell marker expression onto the central cell and antipodal cells¹⁴. Despite differing conclusions about the presence of auxin inside the embryo sac, studies are in agreement that auxin accumulation occurs in the adjacent sporophytic cells of the nucellus at the micropylar end during gametogenesis, consistent with localization of PIN and AUX1 auxin transporters in the sporophyte and gametophyte, respectively¹³⁻¹⁷. That auxin either directly or indirectly acts as a signaling molecule for micropylar specifica-



Figure 2. Model for acquisition of cell identity in the female gametophyte. *RKD* genes (expression pattern shown in blue) may act early to set up a default egg cell state in the gametophyte and continue to promote egg cell identity later. At the micropylar pole, auxin signaling, together with sporophytically active *AMP1* (green) which could potentially affect the auxin:cytokinin balance, acts to specify synergid cell identity. The egg cell (with blue nucleus) maintains synergid identity by suppressing egg cell fate in the adjacent synergid cells (black nuclei). *CKI1* (orange) represses micropylar fates in the chalazal domain and is needed to specify central cell identity (polar nuclei in red) in a pathway involving AHP proteins. *CKI1*, together with additional factors that may be provided from the chalaza, specifies antipodal cell fates (yellow nuclei). Note: The nuclei in this sketch are not drawn to scale. AC, antipodal cell; AHP, Arabidopsis phosphotransfer protein; AMP1, *altered meristem program 1*; CC, central cell; CK, cytokinin; CKI1, *CYTOKININ INSENSITIVE 1*; EC, egg cell; RKD, *RWP-RK DOMAIN CONTAINING*; SC, synergid cell.

tion, in particular for synergid cell fate, is also supported by the phenotypes of *yucca* mutants, in which synergids exhibit egg cell attributes¹⁴.

In the *altered meristem program 1 (amp1)* mutant, synergid cells are converted to functional egg cells at high frequency¹⁸, among other pleiotropic effects. Interestingly, sporophytic expression of AMP1 is sufficient to rescue this phenotype. AMP1 is detected only in the integuments early in gametophyte development and in synergids after cellularization. Therefore, AMP1 appears to mediate a mobile signal that promotes synergid identity, and in the absence of that early signal, egg cell fate is adopted. AMP1 protein is a membrane-anchored carboxypeptidase protein localized to the rough endoplasmic reticulum (ER)¹⁹. The protein has been associated with translation repression by microRNAs (miRNAs)^{20,21} and is also important to repress biosynthesis of cytokinins²². Crosstalk between cytokinin and auxin affects developmental modules in many parts of the plant; in many cases, the balance between these hormones is essential for correct patterning of cell types (reviewed in 23). It is possible that a specific ratio of auxin and cytokinin activity is needed for correct micropylar patterning and that AMP1 is necessary to maintain this balance (Figure 2). Detailed molecular characterization of AMP1 function in the ovule may shed light on the mechanism controlling synergid specification in gametophyte development.

After cellularization, the synergid and egg cells presumably have acquired cell identity information. Despite this, cell ablation experiments in Arabidopsis and Torenia consistently show that loss of the egg cell causes morphological and marker line changes in at least one synergid, which takes on features of an egg cell and may even be fertilized^{11,24}. Similarly, mutants in which important cellular functions of the egg cell are disrupted also cause at least partial alteration of synergid identity^{25,26}. This suggests that the egg cell prevents its synergid neighbors from acquiring egg cell fate later in development through cell-to-cell communication (Figure 2). In contrast, in the ablation experiments, the central cell is not disrupted, can be fertilized, and does not take on aspects of egg cell morphology²⁴, indicating that polar nuclei and the central cell are not subject to the same interaction.

Specification of chalazal (proximal) cell fates

A key differentiation factor for the nuclei at the chalazal end of the FG is *CYTOKININ INSENSITIVE 1* (*CKI1*), an ER-localized histidine kinase that can activate cytokinin responses constitutively^{27–29}. *cki1* mutants show loss of central cell and antipodal identities and expansion of egg cell and, in some cases, synergid attributes, suggesting that CKI1 suppresses micropylar cell fates^{29–31}. *CKI1* expression, initially present at both poles through FG3, is quickly restricted to the two nuclei of the chalazal end at FG4. This polarity of expression is maintained through the next nuclear division (FG5 stage). After the chalazal polar nucleus and its associated ER migrate toward the micropylar polar nucleus at stage FG5, *CK11* expression continues in the resulting diploid cell as well as in the antipodal cells at the chalazal end. Ectopic expression of CKII is sufficient to induce central cell fate in the egg cell and synergids and produce seeds with multiple ectopic endosperms but lacking embryos. Therefore, CK11 appears to specify central cell identity while restricting micropylar cell fates (Figure 2). Similar to the cytokinin receptors, CKI1 acts through activation of a two-component signaling cascade, involving phosphorylation of Arabidopsis phosphotransfer proteins (AHPs), which then activate downstream transcription factors. Specifically, in the FG, AHP2, 3, and 5 are required for CKI1 function³². Transcription factors that are potential targets of this pathway include the MADS box-containing genes AGL80 and DIANA/AGL61, which are known regulators of central cell-specific pathways³³⁻³⁵. Transcription factor MYB119 is also a likely target of CKI1 regulation and acts redundantly with MYB64 to promote cellularization³⁶. myb64 myb119 mutants fail to cellularize and they show continued nuclear divisions and an expansion of central and antipodal cell fates. It is likely that the absence of cell membranes allows expansion of central cell and antipodal cell identity factors and suggests that CKI1 not only promotes chalazal identity but also a mechanism to limit that identity to the appropriate space.

CKI1 is expressed in antipodal cells and is required for antipodal cell specification, as antipodal cells acquire egg cell attributes in ckil mutants. At the same time, CKIl does not alter antipodal cell fate when overexpressed; that is, antipodal cells are not re-specified as central cells²⁹. This suggests that CKI1 action must be redirected in these cells by an additional antipodal specification factor acting at the chalazal end (Figure 2). Such a factor could be supplied by chalazal sporophytic cells, as the antipodal cells are in close contact with this tissue. Movement of a fluorescent protein (ZsYellow) from antipodals to neighboring maternal cells has been demonstrated²⁴, suggesting a symplastic connection between these cells that may allow movement of an identity signal. In Arabidopsis, the antipodal cells become inconspicuous and eventually degenerate after fertilization⁸, but in maize and other grasses, the antipodal cells proliferate instead of diminishing, perhaps to facilitate nutrient transfer from the sporophyte to developing endosperm and embryo^{2,3}. Proliferation of the antipodals in maize has been proposed to involve auxin signaling³⁷. Maintenance of antipodal identity in the proliferating antipodal cells requires a secreted, grass-specific factor, ZmEAL1, that is synthesized in the egg cell; without this factor, the antipodals acquire central cell characteristics at low frequency³⁸. Orthologs of ZmEAL1 can be found in other grasses but not in eudicots. In summary, in both Arabidopsis and maize, specification of antipodals requires additional factors but these factors are likely to be different, as suggested by the very different fate of the antipodal cells in grasses.

Egg cell as the default state?

Egg cell fate predominates in the absence of *CKI1*, as *cki1* mutants fail to specify antipodals and central cells, and nuclei at the chalazal positions express egg cell markers instead²⁹. Similarly, at the micropylar positions, there are a number of different

mutants-such as amp1, eostre, lachesis, and yucca1 yucca2-in which synergids are not correctly specified and acquire egg cell fates instead^{14,18,25,39}. These phenotypes raise the possibility that egg cell identity is a "default" state in the FG and that additional spatially regulated factors are required to specify the other cell types. Recent research has suggested candidate factors that may set up such a default state. Members of the plantspecific RWP-RK DOMAIN CONTAINING (RKD) gene family have been suggested as egg cell determinants because of their high expression in egg cells and ability to activate egg-like transcription profiles when ectopically expressed^{24,40,41}. In addition, loss of the putatively orthologous single-copy gene in Marchantia polymorpha, MpRKD, leads to failure to develop mature egg cells^{42,43}. Thus, RKD genes seem to have a conserved role in egg cell differentiation. However, recent examination of the *RKD* gene family has suggested a complex role in Arabidopsis. Thus far, only RKD2 was shown to be specific to mature egg cells, but other family members are expressed in egg and other cells of the gametophyte^{5,6,40,44}. RKD1 and 2 are capable of activating aspects of the egg cell transcriptome ectopically in protoplasts, callus, and sporophytic cells of the ovule^{24,40}. These overexpression phenotypes suggested that RKD1 and *RKD2* are activators of egg cell identity, but neither the single mutants nor a double mutant led to obvious changes in cell type in the gametophyte^{40,44}. Investigating redundancy with other gene family members showed that RKD2 acts from the earliest stages of FG development, as double mutants with RKD4 and RKD5 cause significant FM arrest as well as loss of egg cell identity markers⁴⁴. Taken together, RKD genes of Arabidopsis seem to act redundantly at multiple stages of reproductive development, including proper progression from FM to FG, and in activation of egg cell differentiation. Considering their early action in the FG and ectopic expression results, we suggest that RKD genes may help to create a default egg cell-like state in the developing FG and may continue to be important for egg cell differentiation later. In this scheme, differentiation into cell types other than the egg cell would then require additional cell factors (for example, CKI1 and AMP1) whose actions are governed by nuclear positions at cellularization.

Double fertilization requires multiple cell types to be specified in the female gametophyte

The correct specification of cell fates is important not only for formation of the female gametes but also for double fertilization, a process that requires the participation of three of the four cell types in the FG. This process has been reviewed extensively in recent articles^{45,46}, so we present only a brief outline here. A complex set of pollen attractants, and structural changes to cell membranes are produced by synergid cells, regulated in part by specific expression of *MYB98* in mature synergids^{47–49}. Recently, central cell transcription factors *CENTRAL CELL GUIDANCE* (*CCG*) and *CCG BINDING PROTEIN 1* were shown to interact with each other and other binding partners to influence expression of *MYB98* as well as expression of small mobile peptides that themselves may be pollen tube attractants^{50,51}. After entry of the pollen tube, fusion of sperm with egg and central cell requires egg cell–specific secreted peptides of the EGG CELL 1 (EC1) family^{52,53}. Recent evidence involves chromatin remodeling factors and the transcription factor SUPPRESSOR OF FRIGIDA 4 in regulation of EC1 genes⁵⁴. Pollen tube reception destroys one synergid, leaving the other intact and capable of attracting another pollen tube, but only if the gamete fusions from the initial pollen tube fail^{55–57}. Sperm cell and egg cell fusion signals successful fertilization via ethylene signaling⁵⁸, and the newly fertilized central cell (now endosperm) fuses with the remaining synergid, thereby diluting its ability to attract pollen tubes⁵⁹. Central cell-specific Polycomb repressive complex genes are required for this fusion, indicating that proper specification of the central cell is one essential aspect of this process. In summary, successful double fertilization requires multiple processes and relies on specific gametophytic cell types. Pollen tube guidance is provided primarily by the synergids but also the central cell while pollen tube entry occurs through the synergid cells. Sperm cell fusion with the two female gametes requires factors provided by the egg cell; finally, suppression of the pollen tube attraction signal occurs by fusion of the central cell with the persistent synergid cell. Thus, successful double fertilization to produce a seed is an orchestrated process involving multiple interactions of the synergid cells, the egg cell, and the central cell. As discussed in this review, the overall themes of how these different cell types are specified are emerging gradually from the multiple approaches employed by several different laboratories. However, the molecular details are still rudimentary as compared with those of other developmental processes such as floral or meristem development, and there is a vital need for further elucidation of the relevant developmental pathways in the FG, given its critical importance to flowering plant reproduction.

Abbreviations

AHP, Arabidopsis phosphotransfer protein; amp1, *altered meristem program 1*; CCG, *CENTRAL CELL GUIDANCE*; CKI1, *CYTOKININ INSENSITIVE 1*; EC1, *EGG CELL 1*; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; FG, female gametophyte; FM, functional megaspore; RKD, *RWP-RK DOMAIN CONTAINING*.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

Research in the authors' laboratory is supported by the National Science Foundation (grant IOS-1656584).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank past and current members of their laboratory, especially Li Yuan and Zhenning Liu, who contributed to some of the ideas discussed in this review, and to the many researchers whose papers are cited here for their contributions to this field.

F1000 recommended

References

- 1 Drews GN, Koltunow AM; The female gametophyte, Arabidopsis Book, 2011; 9: e0155
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text Maeda E. Miyake H: Ultrastructure of Antipodal Cells of Rice (Orvza sativa) 2. before Anthesis with Special Reference to Concentric Configuration of Endoplasmic Reticula. Jpn J Crop Sci. 1997; 66(3): 488-96 Publisher Full Text
- Diboll AG, Larson DA: An electron microscopic study of the mature 3. megagametophyte in Zea mays. Am J Bot. 1966; 53(4): 391-402. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Christensen CA, King EJ, Jordan JR, et al.: Megagametogenesis in Arabidopsis 4. wild type and the Gf mutant. Sex Plant Reprod. 1997; 10(1): 49-64 Publisher Full Text
- Schmidt A, Schmid MW, Grossniklaus U: Analysis of plant germline development 5. by high-throughput RNA profiling: technical advances and new insights. Plant J. 2012; 70(1): 18-29 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wuest SE, Vijverberg K, Schmidt A, et al.: Arabidopsis female gametophyte gene expression map reveals similarities between plant and animal gametes. Curr 6 Biol. 2010; 20(6): 506-12. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Tekleyohans DG, Nakel T, Groß-Hardt R: Patterning the Female 7. Gametophyte of Flowering Plants. Plant Physiol. 2017; 173(1): 122-9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Song X, Yuan L, Sundaresan V: Antipodal cells persist through fertilization in 8. the female gametophyte of Arabidopsis. Plant Reprod. 2014; 27(4): 197-203. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Tex
- Huang BQ, Sheridan WF: Embryo Sac Development in the Maize indeterminate 9. gametophyte1 Mutant: Abnormal Nuclear Behavior and Defective Microtubule Organization. Plant Cell. 1996; 8(8): 1391–407. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Evans MM: The indeterminate gametophyte1 gene of maize encodes a 10. LOB domain protein required for embryo Sac and leaf development. Plant Cell. 2007: 19(1): 46-62. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- E Susaki D, Takeuchi H, Tsutsui H, et al.: Live Imaging and Laser Disruption 11 Reveal the Dynamics and Cell-Cell Communication During Torenia fournieri Female Gametophyte Development. Plant Cell Physiol. 2015; 56(5): 1031-41.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation Sprunck S, Gross-Hardt R: Nuclear behavior, cell polarity, and cell specification 12. in the female gametophyte. Sex Plant Reprod. 2011; 24(2): 123-36 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 13. Liu Z, Miao L, Huo R, et al.: ARF2-ARF4 and ARF5 are Essential for Female and Male Gametophyte Development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Physiol. 2018; 59(1): 179-89.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Panoli A, Martin MV, Alandete-Saez M, et al.: Auxin Import and Local Auxin 14. Biosynthesis Are Required for Mitotic Divisions, Cell Expansion and Cell Specification during Female Gametophyte Development in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS One. 2015; 10(5): e0126164. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 15 F Pagnussat GC, Alandete-Saez M, Bowman JL, et al.: Auxin-dependent patterning and gamete specification in the Arabidopsis female gametophyte. Science. 2009; 324(5935): 1684–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Larsson E, Vivian-Smith A, Offringa R, et al.: Auxin Homeostasis in Arabidopsis 16. Ovules Is Anther-Dependent at Maturation and Changes Dynamically upon Fertilization. Front Plant Sci. 2017; 8: 1735. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- E Lituiev DS, Krohn NG, Müller B, et al.: Theoretical and experimental evidence indicates that there is no detectable auxin gradient in the angiosperm female 17. gametophyte. Development. 2013; 140(22): 4544-53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recom
- F Kong J, Lau S, Jürgens G: Twin plants from supernumerary egg cells in 18. Arabidopsis. Curr Biol. 2015; 25(2): 225-30. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 19. F Helliwell CA, Chin-Atkins AN, Wilson IW, et al.: The Arabidopsis AMP1 gene encodes a putative glutamate carboxypeptidase. Plant Cell. 2001; 13(9): 2115–25. PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Huang W, Pitorre D, Poretska O, et al.: ALTERED MERISTEM PROGRAM1 suppresses ectopic stem cell niche formation in the shoot apical meristem in a largely cytokinin-independent manner. Plant Physiol. 2015; 167(4): 1471–86. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Li S, Liu L, Zhuang X, et al.: MicroRNAs inhibit the translation of target 21 mRNAs on the endoplasmic reticulum in Arabidopsis. Cell. 2013; 153(3): 562-74. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Noqué N, Hocart H, Letham DS, et al.: Cytokinin synthesis is higher in the 22.

Arabidopsis amp1 mutant. Plant Growth Regul. 2000; 32(2-3): 267-73. Publisher Full Text

- E Schaller GE, Bishopp A, Kieber JJ: The yin-yang of hormones: cytokinin and 23. auxin interactions in plant development. *Plant Cell*. 2015; 27(1): 44–63. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- E Lawit SJ, Chamberlin MA, Agee A, et al.: Transgenic manipulation of plant 24. embryo sacs tracked through cell-type-specific fluorescent markers: cell labeling, cell ablation, and adventitious embryos. *Plant Reprod.* 2013; 26(2): 125-37 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Völz R, von Lyncker L, Baumann N, et al.: LACHESIS-dependent egg-25. cell signaling regulates the development of female gametophytic cells. Development. 2012; 139(3): 498–502. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Gross-Hardt R, Kägi C, Baumann N, et al.: LACHESIS restricts gametic cell fate in 26 the female gametophyte of Arabidopsis. PLoS Biol. 2007; 5(3): e47. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hwang I, Sheen J: Two-component circuitry in Arabidopsis cytokinin signal 27. transduction. Nature. 2001; 413(6854): 383–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Kakimoto T: CKI1, a histidine kinase homolog implicated in cytokinin signal 28 transduction. Science, 1996; 274(5289); 982-5. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Yuan L, Liu Z, Song X, et al.: The CKI1 Histidine Kinase Specifies the 29 Female Gametic Precursor of the Endosperm. Dev Cell. 2016; 37(1): 34–46. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Deng Y, Dong H, Mu J, et al.: Arabidopsis histidine kinase CKI1 acts upstream 30. of histidine phosphotransfer proteins to regulate female gametophyte development and vegetative growth. Plant Cell. 2010; 22(4): 1232-48. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hejátko J, Pernisová M, Eneva T, et al.: The putative sensor histidine kinase 31. CKI1 is involved in female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. Mol Genet Genomics. 2003; 269(4): 443–53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Liu Z, Yuan L, Song X, et al.: AHP2, AHP3, and AHP5 act downstream of CKI1 32. in Arabidopsis female gametophyte development. J Exp Bot. 2017; 68(13): 3365-73. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Bemer M. Wolters-Arts M. Grossniklaus U. et al.: The MADS domain protein 33 DIANA acts together with AGAMOUS-LIKE80 to specify the central cell in Arabidopsis ovules. Plant Cell. 2008; 20(8): 2088-101. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Portereiko MF, Lloyd A, Steffen JG, et al.: AGL80 is required for central cell and endosperm development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2006; 18(8): 1862–72. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Steffen JG, Kang IH, Portereiko MF, et al.: AGL61 interacts with AGL80 and 35. is required for central cell development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2008; 148(1): 259-68. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- **F** Rabiger DS, Drews GN: **MYB64 and MYB119 are required for cellularization** 36 and differentiation during female gametogenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. PLoS Genet. 2013; 9(9): e1003783. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- E Chettoor AM, Evans MM: Correlation between a loss of auxin signaling and 37. a loss of proliferation in maize antipodal cells. Front Plant Sci. 2015; 6: 187 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 38. Krohn NG, Lausser A, Juranić M, et al.: Egg cell signaling by the secreted peptide ZmEAL1 controls antipodal cell fate. Dev Cell. 2012; 23(1): 219–25. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Pagnussat GC, Yu HJ, Sundaresan V: Cell-fate switch of synergid to egg cell 39. in Arabidopsis eostre mutant embryo sacs arises from misexpression of the BEL1-like homeodomain gene BLH1. Plant Cell. 2007; 19(11): 3578–92. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Koszegi D, Johnston AJ, Rutten T, et al.: Members of the RKD transcription 40. factor family induce an egg cell-like gene expression program. Plant J. 2011; 67(2): 280-91 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Kumlehn J, Kirik V, Czihal A, et al.: Parthenogenetic egg cells of wheat: cellular 41. and molecular studies. Sex Plant Reprod. 2001; 14(4): 239-43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Koi S, Hisanaga T, Sato K, et al.: An Evolutionarily Conserved Plant RKD 42. Pactor Controls Germ Cell Differentiation. Curr Biol. 2016; 26(13): 1775–81. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Rövekamp M, Bowman JL, Grossniklaus U: Marchantia MpRKD Regulates the Gametophyte-Sporophyte Transition by Keeping Egg Cells Quiescent in the Absence of Fertilization. Curr Biol. 2016; 26(13): 1782–9. 43. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- 44. F Tedeschi F, Rizzo P, Rutten T, *et al.*: **RWP-RK domain-containing** transcription factors control cell differentiation during female gametophyte development in Arabidopsis. *New Phytol.* 2017; **213**(4): 1909–24. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Dresselhaus T, Sprunck S, Wessel GM: Fertilization Mechanisms in Flowering Plants. Curr Biol. 2016; 26(3): R125–39.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Higashiyama T, Yang WC: Gametophytic Pollen Tube Guidance: Attractant Peptides, Gametic Controls, and Receptors. Plant Physiol. 2017; 173(1): 112–21. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Kasahara RD, Portereiko MF, Sandaklie-Nikolova L, et al.: MYB98 is required for pollen tube guidance and synergid cell differentiation in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2005; 17(11): 2981–92.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Punwani JA, Rabiger DS, Drews GN: MYB98 positively regulates a battery of synergid-expressed genes encoding filiform apparatus localized proteins. *Plant Cell*. 2007; 19(8): 2557–68.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Punwani JA, Rabiger DS, Lloyd A, et al.: The MYB98 subcircuit of the synergid gene regulatory network includes genes directly and indirectly regulated by MYB98. Plant J. 2008; 55(3): 406–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Chen YH, Li HJ, Shi DQ, et al.: The central cell plays a critical role in pollen tube guidance in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2007; 19(11): 3563–77. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 51. F Li HJ, Zhu SS, Zhang MX, et al.: Arabidopsis CBP1 Is a Novel Regulator of Transcription Initiation in Central Cell-Mediated Pollen Tube Guidance. Plant Cell. 2015; 27(10): 2880–93. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- Sprunck S, Rademacher S, Vogler F, et al.: Egg cell-secreted EC1 triggers sperm cell activation during double fertilization. Science. 2012; 338(6110): 1093–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 53. F Rademacher S, Sprunck S: Downregulation of egg cell-secreted EC1 is accompanied with delayed gamete fusion and polytubey. Plant Signal Behav. 2013; 8(12): e27377. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 54. Freesentini F, Cyprys P, Steffen JG, *et al.*: SUPPRESSOR OF FRIGIDA (SUF4) Supports Gamete Fusion via Regulating Arabidopsis *EC1* Gene Expression. *Plant Physiol.* 2017; **173**(1): 155–66.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
 Beale KM, Leydon AR, Johnson MA: Gamete fusion is required to block multiple pollen tubes from entering an Arabidopsis ovule. Curr Biol. 2012; 22(12): 1090–4. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Kasahara RD, Maruyama D, Hamamura Y, et al.: Fertilization recovery after defective sperm cell release in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol. 2012; 22(12): 1084–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Leydon AR, Tsukamoto T, Dunatunga D, et al.: Pollen Tube Discharge Completes the Process of Synergid Degeneration That Is Initiated by Pollen Tube-Synergid Interaction in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2015; 169(1): 485–96.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- For Völz R, Heydlauff J, Ripper D, et al.: Ethylene signaling is required for synergid degeneration and the establishment of a pollen tube block. Dev Cell. 2013; 25(3): 310–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 59. F Maruyama D, Völz R, Takeuchi H, et al.: Rapid Elimination of the Persistent Synergid through a Cell Fusion Mechanism. Cell. 2015; 161(4): 907–18. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 **Ravishankar Palanivelu** School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Arizona, USA *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.
- 1 Sharon Kessler Department of Botany and Plant Pathology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA *Competing Interests:* No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

