

UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Emotional and instrumental support during childhood and biological dysregulation in midlife

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1dg0w0wj>

Journal

Preventive Medicine, 84

ISSN

0091-7435

Authors

Slopen, Natalie

Chen, Ying

Priest, Naomi

et al.

Publication Date

2016-03-01

DOI

10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.12.003

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Prev Med. 2016 March ; 84: 90–96. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.12.003.

Emotional and instrumental support during childhood and biological dysregulation in mid-life

Natalie Slopen^a, Ying Chen^b, Naomi Priest^{c,d}, Michelle A. Albert^e, and David R. Williams^{b,f}

^aDepartment of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Maryland College Park, School of Public Health

^bDepartment of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Harvard H. T. Chan School of Public Health

^cCenter for Citizenship and Globalisation, Deakin University

^dMelbourne School of Population and Global Health, University of Melbourne

^eDivision of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

^fDepartment of African and African American Studies, Harvard University

Abstract

Objective—To determine whether greater emotional and instrumental support during childhood is associated with less dysregulation across multiple physiological systems in midlife.

Methods—Data are from participants in the second wave of the Midlife in the United States study (2004–2005) who participated in a clinic-based assessment of health status. Emotional and instrumental support was measured using a seven-item scale ($\alpha=0.89$) based on participant retrospective self-report. Biological dysregulation was assessed using an allostatic load (AL) score constructed from 24 measures across seven physiological systems ($N=1,236$, aged 34–84 years).

Results—Emotional and instrumental support in childhood was associated with lower AL in a monotonic fashion: compared to individuals in the lowest quartile of support, respondents in the second, third, and fourth quartiles had -0.08 (standard deviation (SD)=0.08), -0.13 (SD=0.08) and -0.21 (SD=0.08) units lower AL, adjusting for age, sex, and race. This pattern was maintained after adjustment for reporting bias, childhood socioeconomic disadvantage, past-year depression, and physician-diagnosed cardiovascular disease or diabetes ($p<.01$). The inflammation and metabolic-lipid subscales showed the strongest associations.

The corresponding author is Natalie Slopen. Contact information is as follows: Natalie Slopen, ScD, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Maryland College Park, School of Public Health, 255 Campus Drive, College Park, MD 20742, nslophen@umd.edu Phone: (001) 301-405-6598.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Conclusions—Greater emotional and instrumental support in childhood was associated with less biological dysregulation in mid-life, even after accounting for socioeconomic disadvantage in childhood and other potential confounders.

Keywords

allostatic load; physiological dysregulation; childhood; parental support; emotional support; instrumental support; life course

INTRODUCTION

A large and compelling body of research shows that individuals who experience adversity during childhood and adolescence face increased risk for a wide range of chronic diseases of aging (Johnson et al., 2013; Shonkoff et al., 2009). To date, less research has focused on protective factors during childhood that may promote good health or decrease susceptibility to chronic diseases later in life. Social support, which refers to the perception that one is cared for and can rely on others for assistance, is recognized as a determinant of morbidity and mortality (Berkman and Krishna, 2014; Uchino, 2009). In children and adolescents, support from the family and others is associated with positive psychological and behavioral outcomes (Resnick et al., 1997; Viner et al., 2012). However, we have limited evidence about whether the benefits from supportive relationships during childhood or adolescence extend to protect against adult chronic diseases of aging, and the specific biological processes that are influenced by supportive relationships early in life.

A few prospective studies show that feelings of warmth and closeness with parents (Russek and Schwartz, 1997) and parental academic involvement (i.e., a form of instrumental support) (Westerlund et al., 2013) predicts health-related outcomes in midlife including cardiovascular diseases, alcoholism, and allostatic load (AL) (i.e., a measure of cumulative dysregulation across physiological systems (McEwen B, 1993)). Other research has shown that positive parental relationships can buffer against the impact of low childhood socioeconomic status (SES) on pro-inflammatory signaling (Chen et al., 2011) and metabolic syndrome (Miller et al., 2011b) in adulthood. We are not aware of any prior studies that have examined the association between emotional and instrumental support during childhood and AL in midlife. We hypothesized that individuals with greater emotional and instrumental support would have lower AL, and that this relationship would be evident across physiological systems. Confirmation of this hypothesis could provide evidence to support increased attention to protective factors within childhood social environments for the primary prevention of adult diseases.

METHODS

Sample

Participants were men and women from the second wave of the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) study. MIDUS was initiated in 1994–1995 to investigate the dynamics between social, psychological, behavioral factors and health, and enrolled 7,108 non-institutionalized individuals, aged from 25 to 74 years, from across 48 states through random digit dialing.

The sample included twin pairs and siblings (Brim et al., 2004). Among the original participants, 4963 (70%) individuals were followed-up at the second wave (2004–2005), and 592 African Americans from Milwaukee were recruited at this time (Radler and Ryff, 2010). Participants who completed the MIDUSII survey and were able to travel (N= 3,191) were invited to participate in a biomarker project, and 1255 agreed to participate. Participants stayed overnight at a research clinic. On Day 1, participants completed the medical history and physical exam, and the collection period for the 12 hour urine specimen began at 7 p.m. On Day 2, participants completed the 12 hour urine specimen collection (7 am) and provided a fasting blood specimen (Love et al., 2010). A comparison of these participants to the overall sample is detailed elsewhere (Dienberg Love et al., 2010).

Of the 1,255 participants, 13 had missing data on AL, 3 were missing information on childhood emotional and instrumental support, and 3 had missing data on covariates. Excluding participants with missing data yielded a sample of 1236, with 392 of the participants being siblings or twins. See Table A1 for comparison of included and excluded participants. Participants provided informed consent, and the study was approved by Institutional Review Boards at participating institutions.

Measures

Childhood emotional and instrumental support—Experiences of emotional and instrumental support during childhood and adolescence were retrospectively assessed with seven items from the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) (Bernstein and Fink, 1998; Bernstein et al., 1994) administered at the biomarker project (see Table A2 for items) which asked participants to reflect on experiences as child or teenager (no ages specified). These questions asked respondents to report on emotional and instrumental support from family as well as other people outside of the home. All response options ranged from 1 (never true) to 5 (very often true). Specifically, emotional support was measured using five items from the Emotional Neglect subscale that reflected positive experiences of nurturance and affection (e.g., family as source of strength; family members looked out for each other; $\alpha=.89$). Instrumental support was measured using two items from the Physical Neglect subscale that assessed positive experiences of direct assistance (e.g., someone to take care and protect child; to take child to the doctor; $\alpha=.62$). We combined the emotional and instrumental support items, and using factor analysis we established the presence of a single factor with good internal consistency reliability ($\alpha=.89$). Responses were averaged to derive an overall score (range: 1 to 5). Quartiles were created such that the bottom quartile reflected low childhood emotional and instrumental support and the top quartile represented high support.

Allostatic load—AL, a multisystem dysregulation index, was calculated as the sum of risk scores across seven physiological systems including the sympathetic, the parasympathetic, the hypothalamic-pituitary adrenal axis, the inflammation system, the cardiovascular, the glucose metabolism, and the lipid metabolism. We operationalized AL following prior MIDUS studies (Chen et al., 2012; Gruenewald et al., 2012), and the biomarker indicators for each system are listed in Table S3. Details of the computation of AL are reported elsewhere (Chen et al., 2012; Gruenewald et al., 2012). The seven physiological systems included in the MIDUS AL score have substantial overlap with indices of cumulative

biological risk in other studies with different samples (Bird et al., 2010; Juster et al., 2010; Merkin et al., 2009). Furthermore, the selected indicators/systems have been shown to be associated with chronic disease (Cooney et al., 2009; Cooney et al., 2010; Danesh et al., 1998; de Koning et al., 2007; Muntner et al., 2005; Prospective Studies Collaboration, 2007; Stamler et al., 1993).

A risk score for each system was constructed as the proportion of biomarker indicators for that system that fell within the high risk quartile ranges. Consistent with prior MIDUS research, the seven physiological system risk scores were only calculated for participants with information on at least half of the system's biomarkers, and were scaled to range from 0 to 1. Specifically, the AL score was only calculated when we had information on at least one outcome in the SNS and the HPA systems (i.e., these two systems only included 2 markers), at least two outcomes in the cardiovascular, metabolic-glucose metabolism, and parasympathetic nervous system (i.e., these three systems included 3 or 4 markers), and on at least three outcomes in the metabolic-lipids and inflammation systems (i.e., these two systems included 5 markers). AL was computed by summing risk scores across all seven systems to create an overall score ranging from 0 to 7, with higher scores indicating greater risk. AL was only calculated for participants with data on at least six systems. A total of 144 participants lacked one or more biomarker for a specific system; this includes 119 participants who had AL calculated based on six instead of seven systems, and 25 participants whose AL was calculated based on all seven systems but had missing data on less than half of the biomarkers for a specific system.

Covariates

Childhood support reporting bias score: The Minimization/Denial subscale of the CTQ (Bernstein and Fink, 1998; Bernstein et al., 1994) is comprised of three items to assess tendency to exaggerate their reports of positive childhood experiences due to social desirability or other reasons (e.g., —I had the perfect childhood). Response options range from 1: never true to 5: very often true. The highest response (5) was scored as 1, and other responses were scored as 0. Items were summed to create an overall score (range: 0—3), with higher scores reflecting greater bias.

Childhood SES disadvantage score: Following prior MIDUS research (Gruenewald et al., 2012; Karlamangla et al., 2013; Tsenkova et al., 2014), a childhood socioeconomic disadvantage score was constructed by summing across three retrospectively-reported indicators of SES in participants' childhood and adolescence: family finances (worse off than others=2; same as average family=1; better off than others=0), highest parental education (less than high school=2; high school=1; college or more=0), and welfare for ≥ 6 months (ever=2; never=0).

Major depression: Past-year major depression was assessed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Short Form (Kessler et al., 1998), which is based on criteria specified in DSM-III-R (American Psychiatric Association, 1987). This measure has been validated and shows high test-retest reliability and criterion and construct validity (Aalto-Setälä et al., 2002; Blazer et al., 1994).

History of cardiovascular diseases and diabetes: Medical history was queried as part of the in-depth clinical assessment. Participants who reported at least one of the following conditions were considered as having history of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and diabetes: physician diagnosed heart diseases, stroke or diabetes.

Demographics: Demographic covariates included participants' age at MIDUSII, sex, and race.

Statistical Analyses

All analyses were performed in SAS 9.3. Chi-square and analysis of variance tests were used to examine distribution of AL and covariates in the full analytic sample and across quartiles of childhood emotional and instrumental support.

To investigate whether higher levels of childhood emotional and instrumental support predicted lower AL in adulthood adjusting for covariates, generalized estimating equations (GEE) with identity link and normal distribution were used to model AL with quartiles of childhood emotional and instrumental support as the independent variable, accounting for family clustering. A series of GEE models were used to examine effect of potential confounding. The base model adjusted for demographic characteristics including age, sex, and race. The second model additionally controlled for the reporting bias score to account for participants' tendency to exaggerate reports of support due to social desirability or other reasons. In the third model, childhood SES was further added. Next, the model additionally adjusted for major depression. Last, we additionally included history of CVD and diabetes. Sensitivity analyses reanalyzed the primary sets of models with log link and normal distribution to account for the possibility that associations may not be linear. The primary models were also reanalyzed with log link and Poisson distribution given that AL may be considered as a count measure.

To estimate the effects on specific physiological systems, we modeled each of the seven physiological systems comprising AL with quartiles of childhood emotional and instrumental support as the independent variable. These exploratory models adjusted for age, gender, race, reporting bias and childhood socioeconomic disadvantage (we did not include depression or medical history in these models, as these variables could be on the causal pathway).

RESULTS

The participants were predominantly White (79.7%), and there were more females (56.5%) than males in the sample. The mean age was 54.5 years (standard deviation (SD)=11.73). The average emotional and instrumental support score was 4.18 (SD=0.82), and AL ranged from 0 to 5.03 (mean=1.75, SD=1.05). In bivariate analyses, AL showed a decreasing gradient across quartiles of support, but this pattern was not significant ($p=0.35$; see Table 1). In contrast, the mean inflammation and metabolic-lipid scores showed significant declines across quartiles of support (p -values $<.05$). Covariates of sex, reporting bias, childhood socioeconomic disadvantage, and major depression showed statistically significant patterning by quartile of support (p -values $<.05$).

In GEE models, emotional and instrumental support in childhood was associated with lower AL in a monotonic fashion: compared to individuals in the lowest quartile of support, respondents in the second, third, and fourth quartiles had -0.08 ($SD=0.08$), -0.13 ($SD=0.08$) and -0.21 ($SD=0.08$) units lower AL, adjusting for age, sex, and race (Table 2, Model 1). This pattern was maintained after adjustment for reporting bias, childhood socioeconomic disadvantage, past-year depression, and physician-diagnosed cardiovascular disease or diabetes (Table 2, Models 2–5).

In models to examine whether associations varied across individual physiological systems (adjusted for age, gender, race, reporting bias score and childhood disadvantage score), greater emotional and instrumental support in childhood was associated with lower scores on the inflammation and metabolic-lipid subscales (p -values for the top quartile of support $<.05$), but not with the five other physiological subsystem scores (see Table 3). Sensitivity analyses with alternative model specifications (i.e., a log link, and Poisson distribution) resulted in identical conclusions (see Tables A4 and A5).

DISCUSSION

In a population-based sample of adults in midlife, we found that AL was negatively associated with emotional and instrumental support during childhood. A decreasing graded pattern was maintained after adjustment for reporting bias, childhood socioeconomic disadvantage, depression, and physician-diagnosed cardiovascular disease or diabetes. Analysis of the seven physiological systems separately indicated the most pronounced patterns for the inflammation and metabolic-lipid subscales, thus suggesting that support in childhood may influence health in midlife through these systems most directly. Due to the cross-sectional nature of this study, we cannot make causal inferences about the relationship between support in childhood and biological dysregulation; however, these findings are consistent with prospective research that has found a protective effect of positive childhood family environment on later risk for chronic disease (Russek and Schwartz, 1997; Westerlund et al., 2013), as well as cross-sectional studies of retrospective report of parental warmth and chronic disease risk (Carroll et al., 2013; Miller et al., 2011b).

The results from this study provide an important extension to the expanding literature on adverse childhood experiences and poorer health in adulthood (Anda et al., 2006; Felitti et al., 1998) by suggesting that positive experiences in childhood also have enduring effects. Building on prior literature that has shown that maternal (or parental) support in particular is important, our measure may reflect support from other sources as well (i.e., it is non-specific). Researchers have made remarkable progress in developing biologically plausible models to link adverse childhood experiences to poorer mental and physical health outcomes (Miller et al., 2011a); moving forward, it will be important to evaluate whether positive childhood experiences function to protect health through similar or distinct social, behavioral, and biological (e.g., cellular, molecular, hormonal) pathways that lead to poorer health following childhood adversity. Parental support during childhood is associated with better early learning (Merlo et al., 2007) and long-term academic achievement (Cutrona et al., 1994), mental health (Stewart and Suldo, 2011), peer relationships (Benson et al., 2006), and less risk taking behavior (Schwartz et al., 2009); thus, it is seems likely that the

pathways that confer worse health following adverse childhood experiences may confer health advantages following emotional and instrumental support during childhood.

The present study has several limitations to consider. First, our measure of emotional and instrumental support was retrospective and self-report, which may have resulted in measurement inaccuracies. Second, the study participants are not representative of the U.S. national population, and thus generalizability of the results may be limited. Third, our results may be confounded by unmeasured factors during childhood that would predict both our exposure and outcome (e.g., shared genetics that would predict parenting and increased physiological dysregulation in adulthood); notably, our results were sustained after adjustment for childhood socioeconomic deprivation (i.e., an obvious potential confounder). In future studies, it would be ideal to adjust for prenatal and childhood factors that were not available in the MIDUS (e.g., mother's health during pregnancy, breast feeding duration, or physical activity or nutrition in childhood). Fourth, we were not able to disentangle family support from support obtained outside of the home. Fifth, it is possible that individuals with higher AL are biased towards less positive memories of childhood; however, at this time, we could not find evidence in the literature to support this form of bias. Finally, the seven subscales of the AL score share interrelated pathways; future research is needed to better refine which pathways are most important for measuring accelerated aging.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data suggest that emotional and instrumental support in childhood is associated with less biological dysregulation in midlife, even after accounting for socioeconomic disadvantage in childhood and several other potential confounders. Further research is needed in order to a) replicate this association using a prospective sample; b) examine potential social, behavioral, and biological pathways, including physical activity and nutrition in adulthood; c) consider aspects of the environment in childhood and beyond that could modify the observed association (e.g., school environment (Spriggs et al., 2009), neighborhood context in adulthood (Slopen et al., 2014b), and other features of the family environment); d) delineate specific effects based on source of support (e.g., parents, siblings, teachers/originating from inside or outside of the home); and e) establish whether specificity to the inflammation and metabolic-lipid subscales is driven by adiposity. The prevention literature shows that psychosocial interventions with families can lead to improvements in child behavior, hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis activity, and parental attachment (Fisher et al., 2006; Leve et al., 2012; Slopen et al., 2014a), and can have a lasting impact on inflammatory outcomes in adolescence (Miller et al., 2014). If the present findings are substantiated by prospective studies, targeted efforts to promote positive social environments during childhood, and particularly, supportive child-parent relationships, should be considered for the primary prevention of adult chronic diseases.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the MIDUS investigators at UCLA (Dr. Teresa Seeman and colleagues) for allowing us to use the allostatic load score variables they had computed previously.

Disclosures and Grant Support:

This research was supported by Grant P3022586 from the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, and Grant P01-AG020166 from the National Institute on Aging to conduct a longitudinal follow-up of the MIDUS (Midlife in the United States) investigation. The original MIDUS study was supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Midlife Development. Support was also provided by Grant 1UL1RR025011 (University of Wisconsin) from the Clinical and Translational Science Award program of the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health. NP is supported by an Alfred Deakin Fellowship, Deakin University and by the Victorian Health Promotion Foundation, VicHealth.

Abbreviations

AL	allostatic load
CTQ	Childhood Trauma Questionnaire
CVD	cardiovascular disease
GEE	generalized estimating equations
MIDUS	Midlife in the United States
SES	socioeconomic status
SD	standard deviation

References

- Aalto-Setälä T, Haarasilta L, Marttunen M, Tuulio-Henriksson A, Poikolainen K, Aro H, Lonnqvist J. Major depressive episode among young adults: CIDI-SF versus SCAN consensus diagnoses. *Psychol Med.* 2002; 32:1309–1314. [PubMed: 12420900]
- American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders*. 3. American Psychiatric Association; Washington, DC: 1987.
- Anda RF, Felitti VJ, Bremner JD, Walker JD, Whitfield C, Perry BD, Dube SR, Giles WH. The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. A convergence of evidence from neurobiology and epidemiology. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2006; 256:174–186. [PubMed: 16311898]
- Benson MJ, McWey LM, Ross JJ. Parental Attachment and Peer Relations in Adolescence: A Meta-Analysis. *Research in Human Development.* 2006; 3:33–43.
- Berkman, LF.; Krishna, A. Social Network Epidemiology. In: Berkman, LF.; Kawachi, I.; Glymour, MM., editors. *Social Epidemiology*. 2. Oxford University Press; 2014. p. 234-289.
- Bernstein, D.; Fink, L. *Manual for the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire*. The Psychological Corporation; New York: 1998.
- Bernstein DP, Fink L, Handelsman L, Foote J, Lovejoy M, Wenzel K, Sapareto E, Ruggiero J. Initial reliability and validity of a new retrospective measure of child abuse and neglect. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1994; 151:1132–1136. [PubMed: 8037246]
- Bird CE, Seeman T, Escarce JJ, Basurto-Dávila R, Finch BK, Dubowitz T, Heron M, Hale L, Merkin SS, Weden M, Lurie N. Neighbourhood socioeconomic status and biological wear and tear in a nationally representative sample of US adults. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health.* 2010; 64:860–865. [PubMed: 19759056]
- Blazer DG, Kessler RC, McGonagle KA, Swartz MS. The prevalence and distribution of major depression in a national community sample: the National Comorbidity Survey. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1994; 151:979–986. [PubMed: 8010383]
- Brim, OG.; Ryff, CD.; Kessler, RC. *How healthy are we? : a national study of well-being at midlife*. University of Chicago Press; Chicago: 2004.
- Carroll JE, Gruenewald TL, Taylor SE, Janicki-Deverts D, Matthews KA, Seeman TE. Childhood abuse, parental warmth, and adult multisystem biological risk in the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults study. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 2013; 110:17149–17153.

- Chen E, Miller GE, Kobor MS, Cole SW. Maternal warmth buffers the effects of low early-life socioeconomic status on pro-inflammatory signaling in adulthood. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2011; 16:729–737. [PubMed: 20479762]
- Chen E, Miller GE, Lachman ME, Gruenewald TL, Seeman TE. Protective factors for adults from low-childhood socioeconomic circumstances: the benefits of shift-and-persist for allostatic load. *Psychosom Med*. 2012; 74:178–186. [PubMed: 22286848]
- Cooney MT, Dudina A, De Bacquer D, Wilhelmsen L, Sans S, Menotti A, De Backer G, Jousilahti P, Keil U, Thomsen T, Whincup P, Graham IM. HDL cholesterol protects against cardiovascular disease in both genders, at all ages and at all levels of risk. *Atherosclerosis*. 2009; 206:611–616. [PubMed: 19375079]
- Cooney MT, Vartiainen E, Laakitainen T, Juolevi A, Dudina A, Graham IM. Elevated resting heart rate is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease in healthy men and women. *American Heart Journal*. 2010; 159:612–619. e613. [PubMed: 20362720]
- Cutrona CE, Cole V, Colangelo N, Assouline SG, Russell DW. Perceived parental social support and academic achievement: An attachment theory perspective. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1994; 66:369–378. [PubMed: 8195992]
- Danesh J, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Association of fibrinogen, C-reactive protein, albumin, or leukocyte count with coronary heart disease: meta-analyses of prospective studies. *JAMA*. 1998; 279:1477–1482. [PubMed: 9600484]
- de Koning L, Merchant AT, Pogue J, Anand SS. Waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio as predictors of cardiovascular events: meta-regression analysis of prospective studies. *Eur Heart J*. 2007; 28:850–856. [PubMed: 17403720]
- Dienberg Love G, Seeman TE, Weinstein M, Ryff CD. Bioindicators in the MIDUS national study: protocol, measures, sample, and comparative context. *J Aging Health*. 2010; 22:1059–1080. [PubMed: 20876364]
- Felitti VJ, Anda RF, Nordenberg D, Williamson DF, Spitz AM, Edwards V, Koss MP, Marks JS. Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med*. 1998; 14:245–258. [PubMed: 9635069]
- Fisher, PA.; Gunnar, MR.; Dozier, M.; Bruce, J.; Pears, KC. Effects of therapeutic interventions for foster children on behavioral problems, caregiver attachment, and stress regulatory neural systems. In: Lester, BM.; Masten, AS.; McEwen, B., editors. *Resilience in Children*. 2006. p. 215-225.
- Gruenewald TL, Karlamangla AS, Hu P, Stein-Merkin S, Crandall C, Koretz B, Seeman TE. History of socioeconomic disadvantage and allostatic load in later life. *Social science & medicine*. 2012; 74:75–83. [PubMed: 22115943]
- Johnson SB, Riley AW, Granger DA, Riis J. The Science of Early Life Toxic Stress for Pediatric Practice and Advocacy. *Pediatrics*. 2013; 131:319–327. [PubMed: 23339224]
- Juster RP, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2010; 35:2–16. [PubMed: 19822172]
- Karlamangla AS, Mori T, Merkin SS, Seeman TE, Greendale GA, Binkley N, Crandall CJ. Childhood socioeconomic status and adult femoral neck bone strength: findings from the Midlife in the United States Study. *Bone*. 2013; 56:320–326. [PubMed: 23810840]
- Kessler RC, Andrews G, Mroczek D, Ustun B, Wittchen HU. The World Health Organization Composite International Diagnostic Interview short-form (CIDI-SF). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research*. 1998; 7:171–185.
- Leve LD, Harold GT, Chamberlain P, Landsverk JA, Fisher PA, Vostanis P. Practitioner Review: Children in foster care—vulnerabilities and evidence-based interventions that promote resilience processes. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2012; 53:1197–1211. [PubMed: 22882015]
- Love GD, Seeman TE, Weinstein M, Ryff CD. Bioindicators in the MIDUS National Study: Protocol, Measures, Sample, and Comparative Context. *Journal of Aging and Health*. 2010
- McEwen BSE. Stress and the individual: Mechanisms leading to disease. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1993; 153:2093–2101. [PubMed: 8379800]

- Merkin SS, Basurto-Dávila R, Karlamangla A, Bird CE, Lurie N, Escarce J, Seeman T. Neighborhoods and Cumulative Biological Risk Profiles by Race/Ethnicity in a National Sample of U.S. Adults: NHANES III. *Annals of Epidemiology*. 2009; 19:194–201. [PubMed: 19217002]
- Merlo LJ, Bowman M, Barnett D. Parental Nurturance Promotes Reading Acquisition in Low Socioeconomic Status Children. *Early Education and Development*. 2007; 18:51–69.
- Miller GE, Brody GH, Yu T, Chen E. A family-oriented psychosocial intervention reduces inflammation in low-SES African American youth. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2014; 111:11287–11292.
- Miller GE, Chen E, Parker KJ. Psychological stress in childhood and susceptibility to the chronic diseases of aging: Moving toward a model of behavioral and biological mechanisms. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2011a; 137:959–997. [PubMed: 21787044]
- Miller GE, Lachman ME, Chen E, Gruenewald TL, Karlamangla AS, Seeman TE. Pathways to Resilience: Maternal Nurturance as a Buffer Against the Effects of Childhood Poverty on Metabolic Syndrome at Midlife. *Psychol Sci*. 2011b; 22:1591–1599. [PubMed: 22123777]
- Muntner P, Wildman RP, Reynolds K, DeSalvo KB, Chen J, Fonseca V. Relationship Between HbA1c Level and Peripheral Arterial Disease. *Diabetes Care*. 2005; 28:1981–1987. [PubMed: 16043742]
- Prospective Studies Collaboration. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55 000 vascular deaths. *The Lancet*. 2007; 370:1829–1839.
- Radler BT, Ryff CD. Who participates? Accounting for longitudinal retention in the MIDUS national study of health and well-being. *J Aging Health*. 2010; 22:307–331. [PubMed: 20103686]
- Resnick MD, Bearman PS, Blum RM, et al. Protecting adolescents from harm: Findings from the national longitudinal study on adolescent health. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*. 1997; 278:823–832. [PubMed: 9293990]
- Russek L, Schwartz G. Feeling of Parental Caring Predict Health Status in Midlife: A 35-Year Follow-up of the Harvard Mastery of Stress Study. *J Behav Med*. 1997; 20:1–13. [PubMed: 9058175]
- Schwartz SJ, Zamboanga BL, Ravert RD, Kim SY, Weisskirch RS, Williams MK, Bersamin M, Finley GE. Perceived Parental Relationships and Health-Risk Behaviors in College-Attending Emerging Adults. *Journal of Marriage and Family*. 2009; 71:727–740.
- Shonkoff JP, Boyce WT, McEwen BS. Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities: building a new framework for health promotion and disease prevention. *JAMA*. 2009; 301:2252–2259. [PubMed: 19491187]
- Slopen N, McLaughlin KA, Shonkoff JP. Interventions to Improve Cortisol Regulation in Children: A Systematic Review. *Pediatrics*. 2014a; 133:312–326. [PubMed: 24420810]
- Slopen N, Non AL, Williams DR, Roberts AL, Albert MA. Childhood adversity, adult neighborhood context, and cumulative biological risk for chronic diseases in adulthood. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2014b
- Spriggs AL, Halpern CT, Herring AH, Schoenbach VJ. Family and school socioeconomic disadvantage: Interactive influences on adolescent dating violence victimization. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2009; 68:1956–1965. [PubMed: 19375207]
- Stamler J, Stamler R, Neaton JD. Blood pressure, systolic and diastolic, and cardiovascular risks: Us population data. *Archives of Internal Medicine*. 1993; 153:598–615. [PubMed: 8439223]
- Stewart T, Suldo S. Relationships between social support sources and early adolescents' mental health: The moderating effect of student achievement level. *Psychology in the Schools*. 2011; 48:1016–1033.
- Tsenkova V, Pudrovska T, Karlamangla A. Childhood socioeconomic disadvantage and prediabetes and diabetes in later life: a study of biopsychosocial pathways. *Psychosom Med*. 2014; 76:622–628. [PubMed: 25272201]
- Uchino BN. Understanding the Links Between Social Support and Physical Health: A Life-Span Perspective With Emphasis on the Separability of Perceived and Received Support. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*. 2009; 4:236–255. [PubMed: 26158961]
- Viner RM, Ozer EM, Denny S, Marmot M, Resnick M, Fatusi A, Currie C. Adolescence and the social determinants of health. *The Lancet*. 2012; 379:1641–1652.

Westerlund H, Gustafsson PE, Theorell T, Janlert U, Hammarström A. Parental academic involvement in adolescence, academic achievement over the life course and allostatic load in middle age: a prospective population-based cohort study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health*. 2013; 67:508–513. [PubMed: 23493586]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Highlights

- We examine report of support in childhood in relation to allostatic load in midlife
- Childhood emotional and instrumental support is associated with lower allostatic load
- The inflammation and metabolic-lipid subscales showed the strongest associations

Distribution of participant characteristics according to level of childhood emotional and instrumental support: Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

Characteristic	Childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles				
	Full sample N=1236	Low: 1 n = 319	2 n = 283	3 n = 382	High: 4 n = 252
Allostatic load (SD)	1.75 (1.05)	1.82 (1.04)	1.76 (1.01)	1.72 (1.08)	1.67 (1.03)
Sympathetic subscale (SD)	0.23 (0.35)	0.23 (0.34)	0.23 (0.36)	0.24 (0.35)	0.23 (0.35)
Parasympathetic subscale (SD)	0.24 (0.36)	0.24 (0.36)	0.22 (0.35)	0.24 (0.36)	0.25 (0.37)
HPA axis subscale (SD)	0.24 (0.30)	0.23 (0.29)	0.25 (0.31)	0.24 (0.31)	0.23 (0.30)
Inflammation subscale (SD)	0.28 (0.27)	0.31 (0.28)	0.28 (0.26)	0.26 (0.26)	0.25 (0.25)
Cardiovascular subscale (SD)	0.25 (0.30)	0.28 (0.29)	0.25 (0.29)	0.24 (0.30)	0.26 (0.29)
Metabolic-glucose subscale (SD)	0.28 (0.34)	0.29 (0.34)	0.28 (0.35)	0.27 (0.34)	0.26 (0.34)
Metabolic-lipids subscale (SD)	0.25 (0.25)	0.27 (0.26)	0.27 (0.24)	0.25 (0.25)	0.21 (0.23)
Mean age (SD), years	54.53 (11.73)	53.12 (10.72)	54.94 (11.87)	54.94 (12.08)	55.25 (12.15)
Sex					
Male	43.53%	36.68%	52.65%	45.81%	38.49%
Female	56.47%	63.32%	47.35%	54.19%	62.51%
Race					
White	79.69%	76.18%	81.63%	81.41%	79.37%
Black	17.31%	20.06%	15.55%	15.45%	18.65%
Other	2.99%	3.76%	2.83%	3.14%	1.98%
Reporting bias score (SD)	0.54 (0.91)	0.08 (0.30)	0.19 (0.48)	0.58 (0.86)	1.45 (1.14)
Childhood disadvantage score (SD)	1.92 (1.45)	2.46 (1.66)	1.91 (1.43)	1.70 (1.27)	1.57 (1.23)
Major depression	12.06%	22.57%	10.95%	7.33%	7.14%
History of heart diseases or diabetes	23.46%	20.38%	28.62%	21.20%	25.00%
					0.06
					0.0003
					0.51
					<0.001
					<0.001
					<0.001
					0.06

Note: Percentages refer to the proportion of individuals within each support category with that characteristic. p value is derived from χ^2 or analysis of variance tests.

Table 2

Parameter estimates (standard error) for the association between childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles and allostatic load ($N = 1236$): Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

Childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles	Model 1 : base model ^a	Model 2: adjusted for reporting bias	Model 3: additionally adjusted for childhood disadvantage score	Model 4: additionally adjusted for depression	Model 5: additionally adjusted for medical history
1: bottom quartile	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
2	-0.08 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.08)	-0.06 (0.08)	-0.04 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.08)
3	-0.13 (0.08) ~	-0.16 (0.08) *	-0.12 (0.08)	-0.10 (0.08)	-0.11 (0.08)
4: top quartile	-0.21 (0.08) **	-0.28 (0.10) **	-0.25 (0.10) **	-0.23 (0.10) *	-0.24 (0.10) **

Note: Generalized estimating equations with identity link and normal distribution were used in all models to adjust for clustering by family and were calculated using SAS PROC GENMOD.

^aThe base model was adjusted for age, gender, and race.

** $p \leq .01$,

* $p \leq .05$,

~ $p \leq .10$

Table 3

Parameter estimates (standard error) for the association between childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles and allostatic load subscales: Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

	N	Childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles			
		Low: 1	2	3	High: 4
Sympathetic subscale	1222	Reference	0.02 (0.03)	0.02 (0.03)	−0.02 (0.03)
Parasympathetic subscale	1139	Reference	−0.05 (0.03)	−0.02 (0.03)	−0.01 (0.04)
HPA axis subscale	1236	Reference	0.03 (0.02)	−0.00 (0.02)	−0.04 (0.03)
Inflammation subscale	1236	Reference	−0.02 (0.02)	−0.05 (0.02)*	−0.09 (0.03)**
Cardiovascular subscale	1236	Reference	−0.02 (0.02)	−0.04 (0.02)~	−0.04 (0.03)
Metabolic-glucose subscale	1230	Reference	−0.01 (0.03)	−0.01 (0.03)	−0.02 (0.03)
Metabolic-lipids subscale	1234	Reference	−0.02 (0.02)	−0.03 (0.02)	−0.05 (0.02)*

Note: Generalized estimating equations with identity link and normal distribution were used in all models to adjust for clustering by family and were calculated using SAS PROC GENMOD. All models adjusted for age, gender, race, reporting bias score and childhood disadvantage score.

**

$p \leq .01$,

*

$p \leq .05$,

~

$p \leq .10$.

See Table S5 for the component indicators for each subscale.

Table A1

Comparison of participant characteristics for those included and excluded from the analysis: Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

Characteristic	Included n=1236	Excluded n=19	p
Allostatic load (SD)	1.75 (1.05)	2.62 (1.12)	0.04
Childhood emotional and instrumental support (SD)	4.18 (0.82)	3.90 (1.16)	0.18
Mean age (SD), years	54.53 (11.73)	53.89 (11.51)	0.81
Sex			0.05
Male	43.53%	21.05%	
Female	56.47%	78.95%	
Race			0.01
White	79.69%	52.94%	
Black	17.31%	35.29%	
Other	2.99%	11.76%	
Reporting bias score (SD)	0.54 (0.91)	0.69 (0.95)	0.52
Childhood disadvantage score (SD)	1.92 (1.45)	1.78 (1.44)	0.68
Major depression	12.06%	15.79%	0.62
History of heart diseases or diabetes	23.46%	36.84%	0.17

Note: Percentages refer to the proportion of individuals within each inclusion category with that characteristic. *p* values were calculated using χ^2 or *t*-tests.

Table A2
Measurement of childhood emotional and instrumental support: Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

	N	Mean	Range
Q1. There was someone in my family who helped me feel that I was important or special.	1235	4.05	1.00 – 5.00
Q2. I felt loved.	1233	4.28	1.00 – 5.00
Q3. People in my family looked out for each other.	1234	4.05	1.00 – 5.00
Q4. People in my family felt close to each other.	1226	3.87	1.00 – 5.00
Q5. My family was a source of strength and support.	1234	3.99	1.00 – 5.00
Q6. I knew that there was someone to take care of me and protect me.	1236	4.44	1.00 – 5.00
Q7. There was someone to take me to the doctor if I needed it.	1234	4.56	1.00 – 5.00

Note: higher score reflects higher level of support.

Table A3

Physiological systems, representative biomarkers, and high risk cut-points used in allostatic load score:
Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

	<u>High Risk Cut-Points¹</u>
1. Cardiovascular	
Resting SBP (mmHg)	≥143.00
Resting DBP (mmHg)	≥82.00
Resting heart rate (bpm)	≥77.00
2. Metabolic–lipids	
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	≥32.31
Waist to hip ratio	>0.97
Triglycerides (mg/dL)	≥160.00
HDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	≤41.37
LDL Cholesterol (mg/dL)	≥128.00
3. Metabolic - glucose metabolism	
Glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c)	≥6.10
Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	≥105
Insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)	≥4.05
4. Inflammation	
C-Reactive protein (mg/L)	≥3.18
Interleukin 6 (pg/mL)	≥3.18
Fibrinogen (mg/dL)	≥390.00
sE-Selectin (ng/mL)	≥50.58
Soluble intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ng/mL)	≥329.65
5. Sympathetic Nervous System	
Urine Epinephrine (ug/g creatine)	≥2.54
Urine Norepinephrine (ug/g creatine)	≥33.33
6. Hypothalamic Pituitary Adrenal Axis	
Urine Cortisol (ug/g creatine)	≥21.00
Blood DHEA-S (ug/dL)	≤51.00
7. Parasympathetic Nervous System	
Standard deviation of R-R intervals (msec)	≤23.54
Root mean square of successive difference	≤11.83
Low frequency spectral power	≤113.96
High frequency spectral power	≤54.16

¹ The high risk cut-points were defined as the top quartile for all biomarkers other than HDL cholesterol, DHEA-S and the 4 resting HRV variables (for these exceptions, high risk was defined as the bottom quartile). Risk scores for each system were constructed as the proportion of biomarkers within each system in the high risk quartile range (Gruenewald et al., 2012).

Table A4

Parameter estimates (standard error) for the association between childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles and allostatic load ($N = 1236$): Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

Childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles	Model 1 : base model ^a	Model 2: adjust for reporting bias score	Model 3: additionally adjust for childhood disadvantage score	Model 4: additionally adjust for depression	Model 5: additionally adjust for medical history
1: bottom quartile	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference
2	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.03 (0.04)	-0.05 (0.04)
3	-0.08 (0.04)~	-0.09 (0.05)*	-0.08 (0.05)~	-0.06 (0.05)	-0.07 (0.05)
4: top quartile	-0.13 (0.05)**	-0.17 (0.06)**	-0.15 (0.06)**	-0.13 (0.06)*	-0.14 (0.06)**

Note: Generalized estimating equations with log link and Poisson distributions were used in all models to adjust for clustering by family and were calculated using SAS PROC GENMOD.

^aThe base model was adjusted for age, gender, and race.

**
 $p \leq .01$,

*
 $p \leq .05$,

~
 $p \leq .10$

Table A5

Parameter estimates (standard error) for the association between childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles and allostatic load subscales: Midlife in the United States study, Wave II (2004–2005)

	N	Childhood emotional and instrumental support quartiles			
		Low: 1	2	3	High:4
Sympathetic subscale	1222	Reference	0.06 (0.12)	0.06 (0.12)	−0.07 (0.15)
Parasympathetic subscale	1139	Reference	−0.21 (0.13)	−0.11 (0.12)	−0.06 (0.15)
HPA axis subscale	1236	Reference	0.08 (0.10)	−0.02 (0.10)	−0.16 (0.12)
Inflammation subscale	1236	Reference	−0.05 (0.07)	−0.17 (0.08)*	−0.32 (0.10)**
Cardiovascular subscale	1236	Reference	−0.10 (0.10)	−0.17 (0.09)*	−0.16 (0.11)
Metabolic-glucose subscale	1230	Reference	−0.06 (0.10)	−0.05 (0.10)	−0.09 (0.12)
Metabolic-lipids subscale	1234	Reference	−0.07 (0.07)	−0.10 (0.08)	−0.19 (0.10)*

Note: Generalized estimating equations with log link and Poisson distributions were used in all models to adjust for clustering by family and were calculated using SAS PROC GENMOD. All models adjusted for age, gender, race and childhood disadvantage score.

**
p≤.01,
*
p≤.05,
~
p≤.10