

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Successful cardiac resynchronization therapy reduces negative septal work in patient-specific models of dyssynchronous heart failure

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1f30t01s>

Journal

PLOS Computational Biology, 20(10)

ISSN

1553-734X

Authors

Craine, Amanda

Krishnamurthy, Adarsh

Villongco, Christopher T

et al.

Publication Date

2024




DOI

10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150

Peer reviewed

RESEARCH ARTICLE


Successful cardiac resynchronization therapy reduces negative septal work in patient-specific models of dyssynchronous heart failure

Amanda Craine¹ , Adarsh Krishnamurthy^{1,2} , Christopher T. Villongco¹, Kevin Vincent¹, David E. Krummen^{3,4}, Sanjiv M. Narayan⁵, Roy C. P. Kerckhoffs¹, Jeffrey H. Omens^{1,3}, Francisco Contijoch^{1,6} *, Andrew D. McCulloch^{1,3} 

1 Department of Bioengineering, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, United States of America, **2** Department of Mechanical Engineering, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa, United States of America, **3** Department of Medicine (Cardiology), University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, United States of America, **4** US Department of Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California, United States of America, **5** Stanford University Medical Center, Stanford, California, United States of America, **6** Department of Radiology, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California, United States of America

 These authors contributed equally to this work.

* fcontijoch@ucsd.edu



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Craine A, Krishnamurthy A, Villongco CT, Vincent K, Krummen DE, Narayan SM, et al. (2024) Successful cardiac resynchronization therapy reduces negative septal work in patient-specific models of dyssynchronous heart failure. *PLoS Comput Biol* 20(10): e1012150. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150>

Editor: Kenneth S. Campbell, University of Kentucky, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Received: May 10, 2024

Accepted: September 18, 2024

Published: October 10, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 Craine et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Continuity 6.4 was used to run the simulations and the software can be downloaded from <http://www.continuity.ucsd.edu/>. Deidentified patient-specific models and simplified work estimates are available at Dryad (doi:10.5061/dryad.tx95x6b6f) and a Github repository holds the relevant code (<https://github.com/ucsd-fcr/PSM-Work-Mapping>).

Funding: This work was supported by NIH grants F31HL165881 (to A.C.), K01HL143113 (to F.C.),

Abstract

In patients with dyssynchronous heart failure (DHF), cardiac conduction abnormalities cause the regional distribution of myocardial work to be non-homogeneous. Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) using an implantable, programmed biventricular pacemaker/defibrillator, can improve the synchrony of contraction between the right and left ventricles in DHF, resulting in reduced morbidity and mortality and increased quality of life. Since regional work depends on wall stress, which cannot be measured in patients, we used computational methods to investigate regional work distributions and their changes after CRT. We used three-dimensional multi-scale patient-specific computational models parameterized by anatomic, functional, hemodynamic, and electrophysiological measurements in eight patients with heart failure and left bundle branch block (LBBB) who received CRT. To increase clinical translatability, we also explored whether streamlined computational methods provide accurate estimates of regional myocardial work. We found that CRT increased global myocardial work efficiency with significant improvements in non-responders. Reverse ventricular remodeling after CRT was greatest in patients with the highest heterogeneity of regional work at baseline, however the efficacy of CRT was not related to the decrease in overall work heterogeneity or to the reduction in late-activated regions of high myocardial work. Rather, decreases in early-activated regions of myocardium performing negative myocardial work following CRT best explained patient variations in reverse remodeling. These findings were also observed when regional myocardial work was estimated using ventricular pressure as a surrogate for myocardial stress and changes in endocardial surface area as a surrogate for strain. These new findings suggest that CRT promotes reverse ventricular remodeling in human dyssynchronous heart failure by increasing regional

1R01HL96544 (to A.D.M.), 1R01HL105242 (to A.D.M.), 1R01HL121754 (to A.D.M.), 8P41GM103426 (to A.D.M.), P50GM094503 (to A.D.M.), an Additional Ventures Expansion Award, and the Saving Tiny Hearts Society. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: I have read the journal's policy and the authors of this manuscript have the following competing interests: Patent applications related to the technology described here have been submitted in the United States ("Compositions and methods for patient-specific modeling to predict outcomes of cardiac resynchronization therapy," serial no. P00015-268P01; "Patient-specific modeling of ventricular activation pattern using surface ECG-derived vectorcardiogram in bundle branch block," serial no. PCT/US15/36788). A.D.M. and J.H.O are co-founders and equity-holders in Insilicomed, Inc., a licensee of UC San Diego software used in this research. A.D.M, C.T.V, and D.E.K. are co-founders and equity holders of Vektor Medical Inc., which was not involved in this research. Vektor Medical and Insilicomed, Inc. had no involvement at all in design, performance, analysis or funding of the present study. Vektor Medical and Insilicomed are licensees of intellectual property arising from or used in this research. This relationship has been disclosed to, reviewed, and approved by the University of California San Diego in accordance with its conflict of interest policies.

myocardial work in early-activated regions of the ventricles, where dyssynchrony is specifically associated with hypoperfusion, late systolic stretch, and altered metabolic activity and that measurement of these changes can be performed using streamlined approaches.

Author summary

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) can improve the synchrony of contraction between the right and left ventricles in DHF and reduce morbidity and mortality and increase quality of life. However, regional work before CRT and changes with CRT are not well understood. We used three-dimensional multi-scale patient-specific computational models parameterized by anatomic, functional, hemodynamic, and electrophysiological measurements to assess changes in eight patients with heart failure and left bundle branch block (LBBB) who received CRT. To increase clinical translatability, we also explored the utility of streamlined methods to estimate regional myocardial work. We found that CRT increased global myocardial work efficiency with significant improvements in non-responders. Reverse ventricular remodeling after CRT was greatest in patients with the highest heterogeneity of regional work at baseline. Decreases in early-activated regions of myocardium performing negative myocardial work with CRT best explained patient variations in reverse remodeling. These findings were also observed when regional myocardial work was estimated using ventricular pressure as a surrogate for myocardial stress and changes in endocardial surface area as a surrogate for strain. These findings suggest that CRT promotes reverse ventricular remodeling in human dyssynchronous heart failure by increasing regional myocardial work in early-activated regions of the ventricles and that measurement of these changes can be performed using streamlined approaches.

Introduction

In patients with dyssynchronous heart failure (DHF) characterized by dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and electrical conduction delay such as left bundle branch block (LBBB), cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) can result in reduced morbidity and mortality and increased quality of life [1] by improving the synchrony of contraction between the right and left ventricles. However, there remains a high non-response rate, and identifying likely CRT responders is difficult [2]. A meta-analysis of the major CRT response trials [3] found 71% of recipients were clinical responders as defined by an improvement in NYHA functional class, and only 62% were echocardiographic responders as defined by a decrease in left ventricular (LV) end-systolic volume of >10% after 3–6 months, which is indicative of reverse heart failure remodeling [4]. Evidence showing a positive relationship between the acute hemodynamic effects of CRT and the long-term clinical and reverse remodeling responses is lacking [5,6]. Hence, the mechanisms of short-term and long-term responses to CRT are likely to be different.

The therapeutic mechanism of CRT has been thought to be improved global mechanical pump efficiency due to increased stroke work and decreased myocardial oxygen demand in DHF patients' contraction [7,8]. However, this hypothesis has been questioned with the observation in animal models that CRT reverses many of the spatially heterogeneous molecular and cellular impairments coinciding with redistribution of myocardial workload induced by electromechanical dyssynchrony in LBBB. This redistribution is marked by low or negative work

in the early-activated right ventricle (RV) and septum, and elevated work in late-activated LV lateral wall. This results in acute redistribution of myocardial perfusion [9] away from early-activated regions and into late-activated myocardium with corresponding metabolic alterations [10]. With chronic dyssynchrony, the wall hypertrophies asymmetrically, thinning and dilating in early-activated regions and thickening in late-active myocardium [11]. However, the significance of regional work distributions in human DHF and their changes with CRT are not known, because they depend on the regional myocardial wall stresses, which cannot be directly measured in patients.

To investigate regional work distributions in humans, we used patient-specific, multi-scale computational models (Fig 1) of DHF to compute regional distributions of myocardial work and the changes with biventricular pacing. CRT increased the mechanical efficiency of ventricular pumping, but this improvement was only significant for those patients who showed no evidence of long-term reverse ventricular remodeling at 6-month follow up. In contrast, patients with the greatest heterogeneity of regional myocardial work at baseline showed the greatest reverse remodeling, and the degree of remodeling correlated strongly with the reduction in the fraction of septal myocardium performing negative work.

Building patient-specific models is challenging clinically due to the need for numerous different and contemporaneous datasets. Therefore, we tested the accuracy of different approximations of the stress-strain loop area to simplify estimation of myocardial work distributions with limited patient-specific data. Ventricular pressure has been used as a surrogate for stress in myocardial work estimations [12]. In this study, we evaluated work with three pressure-based approximations of stress: an entirely patient-specific approximation with pressures from left-heart catheterization (LHC) and two approximations with generic pressure waveforms. Pressure serves as a limited approximation for stress due to the lack of shape information. Therefore, we also evaluated the influence of ventricular shape on myocardial work estimates with two law of Laplace-based wall stress estimations. As a result, we evaluated a total of five myocardial work approximations utilizing a range of patient-specific information. In addition to stress, we evaluated the accuracy of a simplified strain approximation currently being used for CT imaging [13–16].




Fig 1. Clinical data, registration methods, and patient-specific model workflow. A patient-specific model includes ventricular anatomy (yellow), electrophysiology (purple), biomechanics (blue) and hemodynamics (red) components. Measured properties from clinical data obtained at baseline were incorporated into corresponding model components using previously described data registration methods (Aguado-Sierra, et al. [18]; Krishnamurthy, et al. [19]; Arts et al. [21]; Villongco, et al. [22]). Input/output relationships of model properties between model components are illustrated with arrows. See S1 Text for more details on the patient-specific model.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g001>

We found that estimating regional strain as the change in endocardial surface area [13] and regional stress using ventricular pressure led to a regional myocardial work estimate with a strong correlation with model values. However, simplifications which eliminated patient-specific pressure information (use of a generic LV waveform without peak pressure) significantly reduced accuracy.

These new findings suggest that while there is an acute improvement in global ventricular efficiency following CRT, the long-term beneficial effects are related to the normalization of work in early-activated regions having work done on them during systole. These findings suggest that criteria for optimizing CRT pacing based on regional rather than global work alterations may lead to better clinical outcomes. Further, streamlined approaches can be used to accurately estimate regional myocardial work which could improve clinical utility and enable evaluation in larger cohorts.

Methods

Ethics statement

Patients gave written informed consent to participate in the human subject protocol approved by the Veteran's Administration San Diego Healthcare System Institutional Review Board.

Clinical study

Eight male patients (Table 1) aged (66 ± 11 years) with NYHA class III heart failure, dilated cardiomyopathy, LBBB (QRS duration 145 ± 22 ms), and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) $28 \pm 7\%$ were enrolled from the Veteran's Administration San Diego Healthcare System (San Diego, CA). Anatomical, electrophysiological, and hemodynamic measurements for each patient were obtained at baseline LBBB and immediately after biventricular cardioverter defibrillator (St. Jude Medical) device implantation and activation (acute CRT). CT imaging was acquired between 1 to 7 days prior to pacemaker implantation and hemodynamic measurements were taken during the implantation. Details can be found in the online supplement (S1 Text—Modeling Methods). After 6 months of pacing, changes in cardiac output and

Table 1. Summary of patient characteristics and measurements at baseline (LBBB) and outcomes (>6 months CRT). Patient characteristics include age (years), NYHA classification, forward ejection fraction (fEF) (%), QRS duration (QRSd) (ms), mitral valve regurgitant volume (MRV) (mL), heart rate (HR) (beats per minute), and anatomical location of myocardial infarct. Clinical and echocardiographic responses were determined by the change in the NYHA class and the reduction in LV end-systolic volume (%), respectively. Responders are denoted with a "R" and non-responders are denoted with "NR".

Measure	BiV1	BiV2	BiV3	BiV4	BiV5	BiV6	BiV7	BiV8
Baseline								
Age	84	65	79	64	61	55	68	54
NYHA Class	III	IV	III	II	III	II	IV	III
EF (%)	38	26	35	25	17	32	21	29
QRSd (ms)	156	148	162	130	182	119	140	120
MRV (mL)	-	16	-	36	14	-	-	-
HR (bpm)	70	70	70	70	60	70	70	75
Infarct Location	-	septo posterior	septal	posterior	septo posterior	-	-	posterior
Outcomes								
NYHA Class	II	I	II	I	II	I	IV	II
Δ ESV _{LV} (%)	12	-2	16	-12	15	59	-36	7
CRT Response								
Echocardiography	R	NR	R	NR	R	R	NR	NR
Clinical	R	R	R	R	R	R	NR	R

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.t001>

ventricular volumes (LV reverse remodeling) were assessed by a follow-up 2D transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) study. CRT clinical responders were identified by >1 NYHA class decrease, and echocardiographic responders were identified by $>10\%$ decrease in LV end-systolic volume ($+\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$) from baseline [4]. In our analysis, we refer to patients who were both clinical and echocardiographic responders as CRT responders.

Patient specific cardiovascular model

The patient-specific cardiovascular model has four major components: cardiac anatomy, electrophysiology, biomechanics, and hemodynamics. Each component was parameterized from clinical and empirical measurements (Fig 1). See [S1 Text—Modeling Methods](#) for more details on the model compositions and methods.

Biventricular anatomical finite element models were constructed from a tomographic imaging (CT or MRI) exam [17] with ventricular fiber architecture included by mapping diffusion tensor MR data from a human cadaver heart to each patient model [18]. Regions of myocardial infarction were localized from rest and stress SPECT and manually demarcated on the finite element models by an expert cardiac electrophysiologist and nuclear radiologist.

Action potential propagation was modeled using monodomain theory with a human ventricular myocyte ionic current model [19]. Patient-specific 3D regional activation times at baseline were estimated by optimizing myocardial electrical conductivities and an ectopic stimulus located in the RV sub-endocardium to match vectorcardiograms derived from measured ECGs as described previously [20]. Acute CRT depolarization patterns for paced beats were simulated by applying stimuli in the model using the V-V lead delays and locations selected for each patient.

To simulate ventricular biomechanics, passive material parameters were estimated to match observed end-diastolic pressure and volume measured by intra-cardiac catheterization and echocardiography. Active contractile parameters were optimized to match left and right peak systolic pressure, dP/dt_{max} , and dP/dt_{min} , and end-systolic volumes as described previously [17]. The onset of regional contraction was defined by patient-specific electrical activation times from the electrophysiology model.

Hemodynamics were simulated using a closed-loop, adapting model of the systemic and pulmonary circulation (CircAdapt, [21]) coupled to the boundary conditions of the ventricular model. The fully parameterized hemodynamic model was simulated for 11 beats at measured heart rates until steady-state pressure traces were obtained.

Global and regional myocardial work metrics

The external myocardial work density (kJ/m^3) over the complete cardiac cycle beat was calculated by computing the areas enclosed by stress-strain loops; positive work (counter-clockwise) loops indicate external work performed by the myocardium, and negative (clockwise) work loops define work done on the myocardium. We computed total stroke work, total LV myocardial work, their ratio (the efficiency η), the coefficient of variation of work (COVW) and regional RV, septal, and LV work distributions in responders and non-responders during LBBB and CRT.

Sensitivity analysis

We performed “knock-out” simulations (6 for LBBB, 2 for CRT) where patient-specific parameters of individual model components were replaced by patient-averaged values across all patients to assess the sensitivity of work metrics to inter-patient variations in physiological properties. The recomputed work metrics from each knock-out set were regressed against

Table 2. Summary results of work sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis involved replacing one patient-specific parameter from the model at a time to assess how the regional work metrics were influenced by the specificity of each parameter. Each column shows the patient-specific parameters included (shaded circles) in the model and the one parameter replaced with patient-averaged values (empty circle) in the model. The sensitivity of computed volume fractions of negative work in the LV (V_iLVNW) and septum (V_iSTNW) at baseline and change after CRT to model parameters was assessed by substituting the original patient-specific (**Ps**) parameters with patient-averaged parameters for the geometry (**G**), activation times (**A**), mechanics (**M**), and hemodynamics (**H**). In patients with myocardial infarction, the infarct was removed (**I**). Sensitivity of V_iLVNW and V_iSTNW to patient-specific parameters was measured via Pearson correlation (R^2) to ΔESV_{LV} and normalized root mean square deviation (nRMSD) from the **Ps** model. Patient-averaged hemodynamics and simultaneous geometry and electrical activation times (**GA**) eliminated the significant correlation between the outcome and the volume fraction of the LV performing negative work at LBBB. The significant correlation between ΔESV_{LV} and ΔV_iSTNW was eliminated using average geometry and electrical activation pattern during acute CRT.

State	LBBB							ΔCRT		
Case	Ps	-G	-I	-A	-M	-H	-GA	PS	-G	-A
Model Component										
Geometry	•	○	•	•	•	•	○	•	○	•
Infarct	•	•	○	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Activation times (LBBB)	•	•	•	○	•	•	○	-	-	-
Biomechanics	•	•	•	•	○	•	•	•	•	•
Hemodynamics	•	•	•	•	•	○	•	•	•	•
Activation times (CRT)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	•	○
Regional Work Metric	R^2 (ΔESV_{LV})									
V_iLVNW	0.815*	0.580*	0.823*	0.733*	0.797*	0.374	0.478	0.516*	0.087	0.183
V_iSTNW	0.675*	0.546*	0.752*	0.605*	0.629*	0.130	0.466	0.779*	0.499	0.044
	nRMSD (Ps)									
V_iLVNW	0%	14%	2%	9%	3%	31%	14%	0%	69%	34%
V_iSTNW	0%	33%	11%	13%	3%	33%	31%	0%	41%	47%

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.t002>

ΔESV_{LV} and the original fully-patient-specific model results to assess the relative importance of each property in determining patient variations that contributed to reverse remodeling. These results are reported in **Table 2**. More details of the sensitivity analysis are provided in **S1 Text—Modeling Methods**.

Simplified myocardial work estimates

Several simpler approaches to estimate myocardial work were compared to myocardial work calculated with the patient-specific model to assess agreement with and the need for advanced patient-specific modeling. Estimates were calculated as the areas enclosed by approximations of stress-strain loops. For all estimates, strain was approximated by triangulating the endocardial points on the patient-specific geometric model and tracking changes to the area of each triangle throughout the cardiac cycle [13,15]. For a patch v , strain was calculated as:

$$RS_{CT}(v, t) = \sqrt{\frac{A(v, t)}{A(v, 0)}} - 1$$

Where t is the temporal position in the RR interval and A is the area of patch v [13]. This approach has been successfully used to characterize regional endocardial strain using ECG-gated cine CT images in patients with normal function [14], with abnormal function [16,22], and undergoing interventional therapy [23]. To assess segmental strain, RS_{CT} was averaged within AHA segments to achieve one strain curve per segment. Stress was approximated using the methods detailed below.

Prior studies have utilized the ventricular pressure-strain loop area (PSA) [10,12,24,25], with LV pressure acquired from left heart catheterization, as a surrogate for regional myocardial work. The LV pressure provides some patient-specific information (timing and peak

value) but eliminates regional variation in stress, as the same LV pressure waveform was applied to all strain curves. This estimate is referred to as the $P_{LHC}SA$ in the results.

As described above, ventricular pressure as a surrogate for myocardial stress overlooks regional heterogeneities which may influence regional myocardial work. Therefore, we tested two geometric approaches to incorporate local shape information. The second and third myocardial work estimates were measured as the end-diastolic wall stress-strain loop area ($WS_{ED}SA$) and time-varying wall stress-strain loop area ($WS_{TV}SA$), respectively. Wall stress, σ , was calculated with the Laplace law [26]:

$$\sigma = \frac{P \cdot r}{2h}$$

where P is the LV pressure from catheterization, r is the segmental radius, and h is the segmental wall thickness. Segmental LV wall thickness was derived from each patient-specific model. Segmental radius was calculated by fitting an ellipsoid to the endocardial points of the geometric patient-specific model that represent each LV segment. To account for circumferential and longitudinal radius, segmental radius was calculated as the effective radius:

$$r_{\text{effective}} = \frac{r_{\text{circumferential}} \cdot r_{\text{longitudinal}}}{r_{\text{circumferential}} + r_{\text{longitudinal}}}$$

Surface curvature K can be described as a function of $k_{\text{longitudinal}} + k_{\text{circumferential}}$ [27]. Given that radius is the inverse of curvature, converting $K \approx k_{\text{longitudinal}} + k_{\text{circumferential}}$ in terms of radius yields $r_{\text{effective}}$.

The static wall stress for $WS_{ED}SA$ was calculated with principal radius and wall thickness at end-diastole. This creates a constant scaling factor for each AHA segment which is then applied to the patient's LV pressure waveform. The time-varying wall stress for $WS_{TV}SA$ method leads to a scaling factor which changes during the cardiac cycle.

Finally, we evaluated the need for patient-specific pressure recordings. Two estimates were generated by using a generic pressure waveform digitized from a Wigger's diagram of normal hemodynamics (Human Bio Media). The fourth estimate applied the generic normal LV pressure waveform with each strain curve ($P_{\text{gen}}SA$). In the fifth estimate, we scaled the generic waveform such that the peak pressure achieved the same patient-specific peak pressure acquired with catheterization ($P_{\text{gen,scaled}}SA$).

All myocardial work estimates are reported in terms of kPa; all stress estimates are in kPa and the strain estimate is unitless. Agreement between segmental strain and myocardial work measured with limited clinical data and patient-specific modeling is described in [S2 Text—Simplified Work Estimates](#). To evaluate the utility of these simplified myocardial work estimates in predicting CRT response, we computed the fraction of negative work relative to the endocardial surface area in the LV (S_pLVNW) and septum (S_pSTNW) during LBBB in known CRT responders and non-responders.

Data generated using these methods can be found on Dryad [28].

Statistics

Average data are reported as mean \pm SD. Significant ($p < 0.05$) differences in work metrics were determined by student's t-tests and 2-way ANOVA between responders versus non-responders and LBBB versus CRT. Work metrics that differed significantly between response groups and physiologic states were correlated with LV reverse remodeling by simple linear regression with significance computed by the critical values for Pearson's correlation coefficient.

Dryad DOI

[10.5061/dryad.tx95x6b6f](https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tx95x6b6f)

Results

Clinical measurements do not correlate with clinical outcomes

Clinical outcomes of the eight patients implanted with biventricular pacemaker-defibrillators were assessed after approximately six months of pacing (7.3 ± 4.7 months). Four were echocardiographic CRT responders as defined by a reduction in LV end-systolic volume ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}} > 10\%$) (Table 1); ESV_{LV} in the best responder (BiV6) decreased by more than 50%, whereas in three of the four echocardiographic non-responders ESV_{LV} increased after therapy. One of these three (BiV7) was classified as a clinical non-responder, while the other seven all showed improvement of ≥ 1 NYHA heart failure class.

None of the baseline clinical measurements including QRS duration, LV ejection fraction (LVEF), LV end-diastolic volume, LV peak systolic pressure, dP/dt_{max} , dP/dt_{min} , LV end-diastolic volume, and LV mass correlated with $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ with an $R^2 > 0.2$ (Fig 2). These observations agree with prior studies evaluating predictors of CRT response [29–33].




Fig 2. Clinical measures of LV function at baseline (LBBB) do not correlate with reverse ventricular remodeling after CRT defined by the reduction end-systolic LV volume ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$). Baseline clinical measurements including (A) QRS duration, (B) left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), (C) LV end-diastolic (ED) pressure, (D) LV peak systolic pressure, (E) peak rate of pressure rise (dP/dt_{max}), (F) peak rate of pressure fall (dP/dt_{min}), (G) LV ED volume, and (H) LV mass do not correlate with measured $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ after approximately 6 months of CRT.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g002>




Fig 3. Patient-specific CRT model validation. Model-predicted dP/dt_{\max} (A) and peak systolic pressure (B) during CRT compared with measured values in patients acutely after pacing initiation. The black solid lines indicate the identity line. The gray dashed lines are $\pm 2^*$ root mean square deviation (RMSd). RMSd is 13.56 mmHg for peak LV pressure (A) and 0.24 mmHg \cdot ms $^{-1}$ for the peak systolic pressure (B).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g003>

Acute hemodynamic and electrophysiological alterations after CRT

When CRT pacing was applied to the baseline patient-specific models, the computed changes in peak LV systolic pressure and dP/dt_{\max} agreed well with the values measured acutely after the pacemaker was switched on at the time of the implantation procedure (Fig 3). RMS errors between the model-predicted and measured peak LV pressure and dP/dt_{\max} were 5 mmHg and 0.3 mmHg/ms, respectively. Model-computed QRS durations during CRT pacing differed from the values measured in patients after the pacemaker was turned on by an RMS error of 30 ms.

CRT improved ventricular pumping efficiency computed with patient-specific models

Acute changes in model-computed LV stroke work (Fig 4A) and model-computed total myocardial work (Fig 4B) following CRT were not statistically different. However, ventricular mechanical efficiency η —the ratio of global LV stroke work to total LV myocardial work—(Fig 4C) increased significantly in non-responders by $8.6 \pm 2.0\%$ ($p < 0.005$). Therefore, while CRT can increase global ventricular mechanical energy efficiency, this acute improvement does not appear to explain the longer-term beneficial effects of resynchronization.

Baseline regional work heterogeneity was greater in responders than non-responders

The coefficient of variation of regional myocardial work density (COVW) computed from regional fiber stress-strain loops throughout each patient-specific model (Fig 4D and Table 3) was significantly higher in responders than non-responders at baseline. The decrease in work heterogeneity due to simulated CRT was greater in responders than in non-responders, but the difference between the groups was not statistically significant.

Differences in COVW between responders and non-responders were significant when derived from simplified estimates of regional myocardial work via ventricular pressure (Fig 5




Fig 4. Regional work heterogeneity in LBBB differs between responders and non-responders, but LV stroke work, myocardial work, and efficiency do not. Box plots of computed distributions of (A) stroke work (J), (B) myocardial work (J), (C) mechanical efficiency (η), and (D) coefficient of variation of work (COVW). Changes in stroke work, and total myocardial work after CRT were not significant, whereas η increased after CRT though this change was only significant for non-responders. (D) Regional work heterogeneity (COVW) was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher at baseline in responders than non-responders and only decreased significantly after CRT ($p = 0.05$) in the responder group.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g004>

and Table 4): LHC pressure-strain area ($P_{LHC SA}$), generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen SA}$), and scaled generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen, scaled SA}$). Shape-informed work estimates did not separate responders from non-responders.

Regional work distributions differ between responders and non-responders

Patient-specific model-computed regional work distributions showed greater heterogeneity at baseline and greater uniformity after CRT in the best responder than the worst non-responder (Fig 6). Regional fiber stress-strain loops show regions of low or negative loop area (where loops cross in a figure-8 morphology during systole) in early-activated regions (the RV free wall and septum) prominently in the responder, but not in the non-responder. In contrast, late-activated regions in the LV free wall of both patients produced large loops with abnormally high positive work magnitudes due to increased systolic stress. This region of high regional work was reduced more in the responder than in the non-responder.

Myocardial volume distributions of regional work density in the LV free wall, septum, and RV free wall regions at baseline (Fig 7A) and after CRT (Fig 7B) showed long tails of high work regions, primarily in the LV free wall, and short tails of negative work regions, particularly in the RV free wall and septum. At baseline, the volume fraction of the left ventricle performing high regional work (upper quartiles of work density distributions) was not significantly different between responders and non-responders. CRT reduced the volume fraction of the left ventricle performing high regional work, but the magnitude of this reduction was not significant in either echocardiographic non-responders or responders.

Table 3. Myocardial Work Differences between Responders and Non-Responders to CRT * indicates significance ($p < 0.05$) between responders and non-responders. † indicates significance from baseline. Response to CRT was based on both echocardiographic and clinical criteria.

	Coefficient of Variation of Regional Myocardial Work Density (COVW)		Volume fraction of the left ventricle performing high regional work (V_{LVHW})		Volume fraction of left ventricle performing negative work (V_{LVNW})		Volume fraction of septum performing negative work (V_{STNW})	
	Responders	Non-responders	Responders	Non-responders	Responders	Non-responders	Responders	Non-responders
Baseline	1.53±0.14	1.29±0.17*	0.29±0.02	0.29±0.05	0.19±0.04	0.14±0.03*	0.28±0.06	0.17±0.06*
Post-CRT	1.43±0.26	1.28±0.13	0.28±0.03	0.31±0.04	0.15±0.01†	0.14±0.03	0.12±0.05†	0.12±0.04
Mean changes	-0.10±0.19	-0.01±0.07	-0.01±0.02	-0.04±0.01	-0.04±0.04	-0.004±0.01	-0.16±0.03	-0.05±0.04*

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.t003>




Fig 5. Regional work heterogeneity in LBBB differs between CRT responders and non-responders for work estimated as the pressure-strain loop. Box plots of the coefficient of variation of work (COVW) computed with different myocardial work estimates: stress-strain area from patient-specific modeling (SSA_{PSM}), catheter derived LV pressure-strain area ($P_{LHC SA}$), end-diastolic Laplace wall stress-strain area ($WS_{ED SA}$), time-varying Laplace wall stress-strain area ($WS_{TV SA}$), generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen SA}$), and peak pressure-scaled generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen,scaled SA}$). COVW during LBBB is significantly higher in responders than non-responders when computed with pressure-strain area approaches ($P_{LHC SA}$, $P_{gen SA}$, $P_{gen,scaled SA}$), but not when COVW is computed with shaped-informed approaches ($WS_{ED SA}$ and $WS_{TV SA}$). *indicates significance ($p < 0.05$).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g005>

The volume fractions of left ventricle and septum performing negative work ($V_f LVNW$ and $V_f STNW$, respectively) were significantly higher at baseline in responders than in non-responders (Fig 8A and 8B). The reduction in $V_f LVNW$ and $V_f STNW$ after CRT was significant in responders, but not in non-responders. The differences in the mean changes in these quantities after CRT between responders and non-responders were significant for $V_f STNW$ ($p < 0.05$) but not for $V_f LVNW$ ($p = 0.08$).

Simplified myocardial work measurements all estimated $S_f LVNW$ to be higher in responders than non-responders (Fig 9), but only generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen SA}$) and scaled generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen,scaled SA}$) significantly discriminated responders and non-responders. All simplified myocardial work measurements estimated $S_f LVNW$ to be significantly higher in responders from non-responders (Fig 9).

Table 4. Summary of Simplified Myocardial Work Estimation Definitions and Responder Analysis at Baseline. The impact of different stress approximation on coefficient of variation of work (COVW), computed surface area fractions of negative work in the LV ($S_f LVNW$), and in septum ($S_f STNW$). *indicates significance ($p < 0.05$). Response to CRT was based on both echocardiographic and clinical criteria.

Work Title Abbreviation	Stress Approximation Definition	Coefficient of Variation of Work (COVW)		Surface area fraction of LV performing negative work ($S_f LVNW$)		Surface area fraction of septum performing negative work ($S_f STNW$)	
		Responders	Non-responders	Responders	Non-responders	Responders	Non-responders
$P_{LHC SA}$: Catheterization-based Pressure-Strain Area	Left heart catheterization-derived LV pressure	0.99 ± 0.17	0.61 ± 0.17*	0.18 ± 0.09	0.06 ± 0.05	0.46 ± 0.17	0.10 ± 0.14*
$WS_{ED SA}$: End-diastolic Laplace Wall Stress-Strain Area	Laplace wall stress with end-diastolic shape	1.12 ± 0.16	1.25 ± 0.89	0.18 ± 0.09	0.08 ± 0.08	0.46 ± 0.17	0.10 ± 0.14*
$WS_{TV SA}$: Time-varying Laplace Wall Stress-Strain Area	Laplace wall stress with time-varying shape	1.19 ± 0.21	1.13 ± 0.56	0.18 ± 0.09	0.07 ± 0.08	0.47 ± 0.18	0.12 ± 0.15*
$P_{gen SA}$: Generic Pressure-Strain Area	Generic LV pressure waveform	1.26 ± 0.37	0.71 ± 0.11*	0.25 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.04*	0.51 ± 0.17	0.16 ± 0.15*
$P_{gen,scaled SA}$: Scaled Generic Pressure-Strain Area	Generic LV pressure waveform scaled to patient-specific peak LV pressure	1.26 ± 0.37	0.71 ± 0.11*	0.25 ± 0.12	0.09 ± 0.04*	0.51 ± 0.17	0.16 ± 0.15*

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.t004>




Fig 6. Myocardial regional work density distributions in one responder and one non-responder before and after CRT. Myocardial work density was computed from simulated fiber stress-strain loops during LBBB and CRT for the best responder (top) and worst non-responder (bottom). The LV myocardial work density shown in color for standard myocardial segments with fiber stress-strain loops overlaid. Note that model-computed regional work is more heterogeneous in the responder than in the non-responder at baseline and less heterogeneous in the responder than in the non-responder acutely after CRT.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g006>

Reduction in volume fraction of the septum performing negative work after CRT predicts LV reverse remodeling

The volume fractions of the left ventricular and septal regions performing negative myocardial work at baseline computed with the patient-specific models correlated strongly and significantly with the measured $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ after 6 months (Fig 10A and 10B). At baseline, $V_{\text{f}}\text{LVNW}$ explained 82% of the variation in $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ between patients ($p < 0.01$) and $V_{\text{f}}\text{STNW}$ explained 68% of the variation in $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ between patients ($p < 0.02$).

The reductions in the volume fractions of the regions performing negative myocardial work after CRT also correlated significantly with $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$, both for the left ventricle ($\Delta V_{\text{f}}\text{LVNW}$: $R^2 = 0.52$; $p < 0.05$) and the septum ($\Delta V_{\text{f}}\text{STNW}$: $R^2 = 0.78$; $p < 0.01$). Since these regional alterations are predictions of mechanistic models derived from clinical measurements that were not independently correlated with clinical outcomes, these correlations suggest a causal relationship between the reduction in the volume of septal regions performing negative work induced by CRT and the subsequent extent of reverse ventricular remodeling.

The correlations between baseline $S_{\text{f}}\text{LVNW}$ and $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ after 6 months when regional work was estimated using P_{LHCSA} and W_{EDSA} were significant and moderate ($R^2 = 0.51$, $p < 0.05$; $R^2 = 0.50$, $p < 0.05$, respectively). However, W_{TVSA} and estimates using generic




Fig 7. Regions of the LV and septum perform negative work in LBBB and CRT for responders and non-responders. The regional myocardial volume histograms of myocardial work density segregated by septum (cross-hatched), LV free wall (diagonal hatched), and RV free wall (horizontal hatched) regions. For each histogram, renderings of the work distribution on the individual patient specific hearts. They are rendered using a custom python script that runs inside Continuity. The fraction of myocardium performing negative work was associated with the degree of measured CRT reverse remodeling response ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$). Patients are ordered column-wise according to degree of measured improvement by echocardiography (BiV6 was the strongest responder, and BiV7 was the weakest responder). In responders, the fraction of myocardium performing negative work (white and blue regions in the inset cardiac models) was higher and was reduced post CRT. On the other hand, in non-responders, the fraction of myocardium performing negative work was already small in the LBBB baseline and did not significantly change post CRT.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g007>

waveforms (P_{genSA} and $P_{\text{gen,scaledSA}}$) did not significantly correlate. Further, simplified estimates of baseline S_{STNW} did not correlate significantly with $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ after 6 months. Myocardial work estimated with simplified approaches was not evaluated after CRT, therefore correlations between the change in regional areas performing negative work after CRT and $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ were not available for the simplified measurements.

Negative work fraction is sensitive to hemodynamics and the interaction between ventricular dilation and electrical dyssynchrony

To identify those properties that were most important in determining differences between patients in regional negative work volume fractions and their changes after CRT, patient-specific model parameters were individually replaced by patient-averaged parameters for: baseline ventricular geometry (-G), myocardial infarction (-I), baseline ventricular electrical activation sequence (-A), baseline myocardial resting and contractile material properties (-M), baseline circulatory hemodynamics (-H). In addition to examining the effects of each substitution separately, we examined the combined effects of eliminating patient-specific geometry and activation sequence in the models (-GA). To examine the importance of patient-specific CRT pacing parameters on work distributions, we re-ran CRT models for each patient using the average lead locations and V-V delays. In total, these model perturbations applied individually to each patient resulted in six permutations of each of the original 8 baseline patient-specific models and three permutations of the original 8 CRT simulations (Table 2).

Recomputing the regional distributions of myocardial work density and metrics of work heterogeneity for all 72 cases, we found that patient variations in baseline negative work




Fig 8. Regional fractions of LV myocardium and septum with negative work differ between responders and non-responders during LBBB and CRT. Box plots of computed distributions of work and metrics show significant ($p < 0.05$) differences between responders and non-responders during LBBB and CRT. The volume fractions of LV with (A) negative work (V_{LVNW}) and (B) septum with negative work (V_{STNW}) are significantly different among responders during LBBB compared with CRT (Pair 1–2) and during LBBB between responders and non-responders (Pair 1–3). V_{STNW} is also significantly different for all patients during LBBB and CRT (Pair (1,2)- [3,4] and Pair (1,3)- [2,4]).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g008>

fractions were only significantly altered in models that excluded patient-specific hemodynamics (-H), or those that excluded both patient-specific geometry and activation sequence (-GA), though not either property alone. Patient-to-patient differences in the reduction in negative myocardial work after CRT were also significantly altered when patient-specific geometry and pacing protocol were eliminated. This analysis suggests that differences between patients in regional negative work fractions and their changes after CRT are most sensitive to patient variations in hemodynamics, ventricular geometry and activation sequence, whereas differences in myocardial systolic and diastolic material properties, the presence of a myocardial infarct or the specific CRT pacing protocol were not primary determinants of CRT outcomes.

Discussion

This was the first study to investigate distributions and changes of regional myocardial mechanical work in human DHF before and after CRT. This was only possible with the use of multi-scale patient-specific computational models parameterized with comprehensive structural, hemodynamic, electrophysiological, and physiological measurements in patients who were candidates for CRT. As reported previously, CRT improved the mechanical efficiency with which regional myocardial work was converted to LV stroke work [34]. However, there was no relationship between the magnitude of this improvement and the extent of long-term reverse ventricular remodeling. Indeed acutely, efficiency increased significantly more in echocardiographic non-responders than responders. This may help explain the poor correlation between improvements in NYHA functional class post-CRT compared with long-term ventricular reverse remodeling [5]. Other heart failure treatments that chronically improve ventricular pump performance and survival typically do not confer acute inotropic benefits, and the current findings suggest that CRT might not be an exception to this observation.




Fig 9. Regional fractions of LV and septal endocardial negative work measured with simplified estimates differ between CRT responders and non-responders. Box plots of the extent of negative work in the LV (A) and septum (B) computed with different myocardial work estimations: stress-strain area from patient-specific modeling (SSA_{PSM}), catheter derived LV pressure-strain area ($P_{LHC SA}$), end-diastolic Laplace wall stress-strain area ($WS_{ED SA}$), time-varying Laplace wall stress-strain area ($WS_{TV SA}$), generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen SA}$), and peak pressure-scaled generic LV pressure-strain area ($P_{gen, scaled SA}$). Regional fractions of the LV and septum performing negative work in LBBB are significantly higher in responders than non-responders for all work estimates. Note that negative work found with SSA_{PSM} was computed as the volume fraction of LV and septum with negative work ($V_L LVNW$ and $V_S STNW$), while the simplified work measurements computed the surface fraction of negative work on the LV ($S_L LVNW$) and septum ($S_S STNW$). Label “R” denotes CRT responders and “NonR” denotes non-responders. *indicates significance ($p < 0.05$).

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g009>




Fig 10. Reduction of negative work in the LV and septum relates to the degree of LV reverse remodeling. Correlations between LV reverse remodeling ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$) and volume fractions of the (A) LV with negative work ($V_f\text{LVNW}$) during LBBB, (B) septum with negative work ($V_f\text{STNW}$) during LBBB, and (C) $\Delta V_f\text{STNW}$ (defined as $V_f\text{STNWLBBB} - V_f\text{STNWCRT}$) are shown. Shading color denotes patient echo responders ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}} > 10\%$) (white), echo non-responders ($\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}} < 10\%$) (grey), and clinical non-responders (black); shapes denote non-ischemic (circle), ischemic (triangle), and ischemic with mitral regurgitation (diamond). At baseline, larger $V_f\text{LVNW}$ (A) and $V_f\text{STNW}$ (B) are associated with better $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$ outcomes. Moreover, $\Delta V_f\text{STNW}$ (C) correlates with $\Delta\text{ESV}_{\text{LV}}$, suggesting improved septal work as an important feature leading to reverse remodeling.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1012150.g010>

Experimental evidence of physiological responses to altered workload

Animal studies showing that CRT reverses metabolic and cellular remodeling caused by dyssynchrony have called into question the importance of improved global energy efficiency for CRT response [1]. Many of the energetic, metabolic, and stress signaling responses to dyssynchrony are spatially heterogeneous in the ventricular walls. Large animal studies have elucidated the origins of this spatial heterogeneity. Prinzen, et al. [35] showed that RV apical pacing, which models LBBB, results in low or negative regional myocardial work in early-activated regions of the septum and elevated regional work in late-activated regions on the LV free wall. This is associated with an acute redistribution of myocardial perfusion away from the RV and septum and into the LV free wall [36]. Reduction of coronary flow reserve has been observed in the septum of non-ischemic LBBB patients [37]. More recent studies in DHF patients have demonstrated that depressed septal glucose metabolism at baseline and improvement in septal perfusion during CRT may predict long-term LV reverse remodeling [38]. Nemutlu, et al. [39] recently reported that CRT significantly altered plasma metabolomic profiles consistent with improved substrate oxidation and energy metabolism. [35] showed that RV apical pacing, which models LBBB, results in low or negative regional myocardial work in early-activated regions of the septum and elevated regional work in late-activated regions on the LV free wall. This is associated with an acute redistribution of myocardial perfusion away from the RV and septum and into the LV free wall [36]. Reduction of coronary flow reserve has been observed in the septum of non-ischemic LBBB patients [37]. More recent studies in DHF patients have demonstrated that depressed septal glucose metabolism at baseline and improvement in septal perfusion during CRT may predict long-term LV reverse remodeling [38]. Nemutlu, et al. [39] recently reported that CRT significantly altered plasma metabolomic profiles consistent with improved substrate oxidation and energy metabolism. These studies suggest that altered myocardial mechanoenergetics may underlie metabolic remodeling associated with DHF pathophysiology and its reversal by CRT. Therefore, we examined regional distributions of myocardial work density before and after CRT using patient-specific models and focused specifically on regional work heterogeneity.

Many animal studies have also shown that dyssynchrony leads to heterogeneous cellular and molecular changes in the left ventricular free wall and septum [9,39,40]. Transcripts for proteins involved in metabolic networks (oxidative phosphorylation, fatty acid, amino acid, and glucose metabolism) were down-regulated, primarily in cardiomyocytes sampled from early-activated regions, while components of signaling pathways (MAPK, JAK-STAT, TGF-beta) were up-regulated in late-activated sites [41]. CRT has been seen to reverse these heterogeneities including rebalancing of glucose metabolism and restoring myocardial blood flow [42]. Here, we found that reverse ventricular remodeling was not predicted by the reduction after CRT in regions of the left ventricular free wall performing high work, but did correlate strongly with the reduction in the size of the septal region performing negative work. This suggests that further studies focusing on the regional alterations in perfusion, metabolism, and cell signaling that occur primarily in these early-activated regions of the myocardium may elucidate important mediators of long-term reverse ventricular remodeling.

Work heterogeneity is an important property of the total physiological impact of electromechanical dyssynchrony

At baseline, regional work was highly heterogeneous in patients with LBBB, as measured by the COVW. In addition, those patients with greatest work heterogeneity tended to be the best responders to CRT. These findings confirm in humans the conclusions of Kerckhoffs, et al. [43], who modeled canine DHF, that COVW is a sensitive measure of the severity of dyssynchrony in the failing heart. Examining these distributions in more detail, the long-term response to CRT was not, however, explained by the acute reduction in overall work heterogeneity *per se*, or in the decrease in the size of the late-activated regions performing high regional work. The models did show that the reduction of work in late-activated regions was primarily responsible for acutely improved myocardial energy efficiency, but these improvements after CRT were actually greater in long-term non-responders than in responders. Rather, the property of the work distribution that normalized after CRT and best predicted reverse ventricular remodeling was the size of the smaller region—primarily in the septum—having net systolic work done on it by the rest of the heart. Recently, Russell, et al. [7] tested a new method for estimating the “wasted work ratio” (the ratio of the sum of negative work to the sum of positive work performed by the myocardium); however, their method relied on simplified assumptions about regional myocardial mechanics that avoided the computation of regional wall stresses and predicted greatest wasted work on the RV free wall rather than in the septum.

Spatially heterogeneous systolic wall strains during dyssynchrony can contribute directly to work heterogeneity and are affected by CRT. Reversal by CRT of septal rebound stretch following premature shortening in the early-activated septum has been suggested as an indicator of reverse remodeling after resynchronization [44]. In our patient-specific models at baseline (ventricular dyssynchrony), early-activated regions in the septum shortened early and stretched late during systole (Fig A in S1 Text and S1 Movie). Tangney, et al [40] showed that late systolic stretches in papillary muscles, similar to those seen in early-activated regions of our patient-specific models, caused reduced or negative fiber work. Examining the magnitude of late septal systolic stretches in the baseline models, we saw a significant correlation with CRT outcomes ($R^2 = 0.48$). However, there was a much weaker correlation between the decrease in septal rebound stretch after CRT and the 6-month reduction in end-systolic volume ($R^2 = 0.10$). This suggests that while late systolic stretch may be an important component of negative septal work (Fig B in S1 Text), the therapeutic mechanism of CRT is more likely to be associated with its beneficial effects on regions of negative work than on septal systolic stretch *per se*.

Work can be estimated with fewer clinical measurements compared to patient-specific modeling

To enable more widespread assessment in clinical CRT patients, we tested the accuracy of simplified approaches to estimate regional myocardial stress and strain using our computational models. The greater heterogeneity in work observed in CRT responders was also observed when work was estimated as the pressure-strain area ($P_{LHC}SA$, $P_{gen}SA$, $P_{gen,scaled}SA$). We also evaluated the metrics found to be predictive in this cohort (negative work in the LV and septum). We found that approximations can be used to estimate the percentage of the LV surface performing negative work and accuracy is sufficient to maintain differences between CRT responders and non-responders. Our strain estimates were significantly simplified as values were derived from endocardial area change (which is being used in ECG-gated analysis of CT images). Compared to the computational estimates, surface assessment differs as it does not directly estimate the volume of the myocardium performing negative work. However, earlier comparison of regional strain estimated from endocardial surface area changes agreed with strain measured on CMR [15].

By testing different simplifications, we evaluated the need for patient-specific information when estimating myocardial stress. Somewhat surprisingly, $P_{LHC}SA$ had the most consistent (across metrics) agreement with patient-specific modeling work. We expected patient-specific pressure waveforms to perform better than generic waveforms as generic pressures likely overlook the hemodynamic timing differences that are incorporated in the catheter pressure. However, we would have expected that the addition of shape information would have improved agreement. This was not the case for $WS_{ED}SA$ or $WS_{TV}SA$. Our use of ventricular pressure as a surrogate for stress is supported by earlier work which found agreement with regional oxygen uptake [10], accuracy across several physiologic states [45], and clinical utility [12,46,47]. However, earlier work has also documented the limitation of pressure-strain estimates [48]. In this work, our goal was not to replace stress-strain but to use the framework to identify a more readily available surrogate of regional myocardial work that can be applied to CRT patients.

Limitations

Although the patient cohort in this study was small owing to the extensive and invasive measurements in severely diseased patients, the statistical findings were highly consistent. Four patients were echocardiographic responders and four were non-responders. Negative ventricular work volume fractions correlated most strongly with ΔESV_{LV} , were significantly higher in responders at baseline, and decreased significantly more with CRT in responders. In addition, the long term reductions (and increases) in LV end-systolic volumes in the patient cohort spanned a wide range of values, which are usually seen in most DHF patients [32].

Although the baseline models were fit to a comprehensive, clinical functional data set, the CRT models only made use of known locations and interventricular delay times. Therefore, we used measurements of LV pressures and ECGs after the pacemaker was turned on as an independent test of the models. While peak pressures and pressure rates showed good agreement with measurements, model-predicted changes in QRS durations were not always consistent with measurements, possibly due to functional mechanisms such as lead capture delay, which were not included in the model. QRS lengthening is known to occur after CRT, and biventricular pacing can still provide clinical benefit in such cases [49].

RS_{CT} was initially designed to capture regional shortening from 4D cardiac CT data. In this study, subjects underwent 3D cardiac CT acquired at end-diastole, not ECG-gated cardiac CT. Therefore, RS_{CT} was quantified with temporal geometric changes informed by the patient-specific model. Additionally, myocardial work estimates $WS_{ED}SA$ and $WS_{TV}SA$ utilized shape

information derived from the patient specific model, not from a direct clinical measurement. However, the patient-specific model yields physiological parameters that match clinical measurements [17]. While we evaluated the importance of patient-specific peak pressures for a simple work estimate, we did not evaluate the importance of the timing of the pressure waveform in achieving an accurate work measurement. Given that the $P_{LHC SA}$ estimate had the strongest agreement with PSM-based work (S2 Text), it is likely that both pressure values and timing are needed to accurately measure work. Our simplified myocardial work estimates were not evaluated in patients after CRT. Therefore, the ability for our work estimates to detect LV remodeling after CRT is left for future work.

Conclusions

This study provides important new insights into reverse ventricular remodeling after CRT in patients with dyssynchronous heart failure. Patient-specific models confirmed in humans that CRT improves the mechanical efficiency of ventricular pumping and reduces the heterogeneity of regional myocardial work. However, neither the improvement in efficiency nor the decrease in heterogeneity *per se* explained the differences in the long-term reverse remodeling response between patients. While CRT decreased regions of high work in the late-activated regions and decreased regions of negative work in early-activated regions, it was only the latter that predicted the patient outcomes. This suggests that those reversible changes in perfusion, metabolism, and cell signaling that are seen primarily in the early-activated regions of the septum in patients with left bundle branch block may be important determinants of reverse ventricular remodeling after CRT. These findings suggest that CRT pacing protocols aimed at decreasing regions of negative myocardial work (rather than improving global work efficiency or decreasing regions of high work) may have greater long-term therapeutic benefit. Finally, our sensitivity analysis suggests that patient-specific models based on a minimal clinical data set including geometry, activation pattern, and systemic hemodynamics can make accurate estimates of regional work distributions and potentially support clinical decision-making for CRT candidates and predicting their outcomes. Further, simplified estimates of myocardial work based on limited clinical data were shown to stratify CRT responders from non-responders.

Supporting information

S1 Text. Modeling Methods: Methodology for how patient specific models were generated from clinically acquired information.

(DOCX)

S2 Text. Simplified Work Estimates: Methodology for how simplified estimates of myocardial work.

(DOCX)

S1 Movie. Early rapid abnormal motion of the septum (septal flash) is prominent at LBBB and is reversed in CRT in both echocardiographic imaging and in the patient-specific models. The movie shows the patient-specific model (in red) overlaid on the echocardiographic imaging of the patient (in black-and-white). Both the patient-specific model and the echocardiographic imaging show abnormal movement (marked with a green box) of the septum early due to earlier activation of the septal region compared to the LV. CRT activation reverses this abnormal motion of the septum, since the contraction is more synchronous.

(MP4)

Acknowledgments

We thank Jeff van Dorn for providing technical support in running the Continuity software.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, David E. Krummen, Sanjiv M. Narayan, Roy C. P. Kerckhoffs, Jeffrey H. Omens, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Data curation: Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, Sanjiv M. Narayan, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Formal analysis: Amanda Craine, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Funding acquisition: Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Investigation: Amanda Craine, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, David E. Krummen, Sanjiv M. Narayan, Roy C. P. Kerckhoffs, Jeffrey H. Omens, Francisco Contijoch.

Methodology: Amanda Craine, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, Francisco Contijoch.

Project administration: Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Software: Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Supervision: Adarsh Krishnamurthy, David E. Krummen, Roy C. P. Kerckhoffs, Jeffrey H. Omens, Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Validation: Christopher T. Villongco.

Visualization: Adarsh Krishnamurthy.

Writing – original draft: Amanda Craine, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Christopher T. Villongco, Kevin Vincent, David E. Krummen, Sanjiv M. Narayan, Roy C. P. Kerckhoffs, Jeffrey H. Omens, Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

Writing – review & editing: Amanda Craine, Adarsh Krishnamurthy, Francisco Contijoch, Andrew D. McCulloch.

References

1. Kirk JA, Kass DA. Electromechanical dyssynchrony and resynchronization of the failing heart. *Circ Res*. 2013; 113(6):765–76. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.113.300270> PMID: 23989718
2. Foley PW, Leyva F, Frenneaux MP. What is treatment success in cardiac resynchronization therapy? *Europace*. 2009; 11(suppl 5):v58–65. <https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eup308> PMID: 19861392
3. Fornwalt BK, Sprague WW, BeDell P, Suever JD, Gerritse B, Merlino JD, et al. Agreement is poor among current criteria used to define response to cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circulation*. 2010; 121(18):1985–91. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.109.910778> PMID: 20421518
4. Pieske B. Reverse remodeling in heart failure—fact or fiction? *European Heart Journal Supplements*. 2004; 6(suppl D):D66–78.
5. Bleeker GB, Mollema SA, Holman ER, Van De Veire N, Ypenburg C, Boersma E, et al. Left ventricular resynchronization is mandatory for response to cardiac resynchronization therapy analysis in patients with echocardiographic evidence of left ventricular dyssynchrony at baseline. *Circulation*. 2007; 116(13):1440–8. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.677005> PMID: 17785624

6. Prinzen FW, Auricchio A. The “missing” link between acute hemodynamic effect and clinical response. *Journal of cardiovascular translational research*. 2012; 5(2):188–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12265-011-9331-x> PMID: 22090350
7. Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Gjesdal O, et al. Assessment of wasted myocardial work: a novel method to quantify energy loss due to uncoordinated left ventricular contractions. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2013; 305(7):H996–1003. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00191.2013> PMID: 23893165
8. Nelson GS, Berger RD, Fetters BJ, Talbot M, Spinelli JC, Hare JM, et al. Left ventricular or biventricular pacing improves cardiac function at diminished energy cost in patients with dilated cardiomyopathy and left bundle-branch block. *Circulation*. 2000; 102(25):3053–9.
9. Prinzen FW, Augustijn CH, Arts T, Allessie MA, Reneman RS. Redistribution of myocardial fiber strain and blood flow by asynchronous activation. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 1990; 259(2):H300–H308. PMID: 2386214
10. Delhaas T, Arts T, Prinzen FW, Reneman RS. Regional fibre stress-fibre strain area as an estimate of regional blood flow and oxygen demand in the canine heart. *The Journal of Physiology*. 1994 Jun 15; 477(3):481–96. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1994.sp020209> PMID: 7932236
11. Masci PG, Marinelli M, Piacenti M, Lorenzoni V, Positano V, Lombardi M, et al. Myocardial Structural, Perfusion, and Metabolic Correlates of Left Bundle Branch Block Mechanical Derangement in Patients With Dilated Cardiomyopathy A Tagged Cardiac Magnetic Resonance and Positron Emission Tomography Study. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2010; 3(4):482–90. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.109.934638> PMID: 20463209
12. Russell K, Eriksen M, Aaberge L, Wilhelmsen N, Skulstad H, Remme EW, et al. A novel clinical method for quantification of regional left ventricular pressure–strain loop area: a non-invasive index of myocardial work. *European Heart Journal*. 2012 Mar; 33(6):724–33. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehs016> PMID: 22315346
13. Pourmorteza A, Schuleri KH, Herzka DA, Lardo AC, McVeigh ER. A new method for cardiac computed tomography regional function assessment: stretch quantifier for endocardial engraved zones (SQUEEZ). *Circulation: Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2012; <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.111.970061> PMID: 22342945
14. McVeigh ER, Pourmorteza A, Guttman M, Sandfort V, Contijoch F, Budhiraja S, et al. Regional myocardial strain measurements from 4DCT in patients with normal LV function. *Journal of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography*. 2018 Sep; 12(5):372–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcct.2018.05.002> PMID: 29784623
15. Pourmorteza A, Chen MY, van der Pals J, Arai AE, McVeigh ER. Correlation of CT-based regional cardiac function (SQUEEZ) with myocardial strain calculated from tagged MRI: an experimental study. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016 May; 32(5):817–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10554-015-0831-7> PMID: 26706935
16. Contijoch FJ, Groves DW, Chen Z, Chen MY, McVeigh ER. A novel method for evaluating regional RV function in the adult congenital heart with low-dose CT and SQUEEZ processing. *International Journal of Cardiology*. 2017 Dec; 249:461–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2017.08.040> PMID: 28970037
17. Krishnamurthy A, Villongco CT, Chuang J, Frank LR, Nigam V, Belezouli E, et al. Patient-specific models of cardiac biomechanics. *Journal of Computational Physics*. 2013 Jul; 244:4–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2012.09.015> PMID: 23729839
18. Zhang Y, Liang X, Ma J, Jing Y, Gonzales MJ, Villongco C, et al. An atlas-based geometry pipeline for cardiac Hermite model construction and diffusion tensor reorientation. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2012 Aug; 16(6):1130–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.media.2012.06.005> PMID: 22841777
19. ten Tusscher KH, Panfilov AV. Alternans and spiral breakup in a human ventricular tissue model. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2006; 291(3):H1088–H1100. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00109.2006> PMID: 16565318
20. Villongco CT, Krummen DE, Stark P, Omens JH, McCulloch AD. Patient-specific modeling of ventricular activation pattern using surface ECG-derived vectorcardiogram in bundle branch block. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*. 2014; 115(2):305–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2014.06.011> PMID: 25110279
21. Arts T, Delhaas T, Bovendeerd P, Verbeek X, Prinzen FW. Adaptation to mechanical load determines shape and properties of heart and circulation: the CircAdapt model. *American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*. 2005 Apr; 288(4):H1943–54. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00444.2004> PMID: 15550528
22. Chen Z, Rigolli M, Vigneault DM, Kligerman S, Hahn L, Narezkina A, et al. Automated cardiac volume assessment and cardiac long- and short-axis imaging plane prediction from electrocardiogram-gated

- computed tomography volumes enabled by deep learning. *European Heart Journal—Digital Health*. 2021 Jun 29; 2(2):311–22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjdh/ztab033> PMID: 34223176
23. Colvert GM, Manohar A, Contijoch FJ, Yang J, Glynn J, Blanke P, et al. Novel 4DCT Method to Measure Regional Left Ventricular Endocardial Shortening Before and After Transcatheter Mitral Valve Implantation. *Structural Heart*. 2021 Jul; 5(4):410–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24748706.2021.1934617> PMID: 34541443
 24. Larsen CK, Aalen JM, Stokke C, Fjeld JG, Kongsgaard E, Duchenne J, et al. Regional myocardial work by cardiac magnetic resonance and non-invasive left ventricular pressure: a feasibility study in left bundle branch block. *European Heart Journal—Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2019 Oct 10;jez231.
 25. Marzlin N, Hays AG, Peters M, Kaminski A, Roemer S, O'Leary P, et al. Myocardial Work in Echocardiography. *Circ: Cardiovascular Imaging* [Internet]. 2023 Feb [cited 2023 May 15]; 16(2). Available from: <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/> <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCIMAGING.122.014419> PMID: 36734221
 26. Westerhof N, Stergiopoulos N, Noble MIM, Westerhof BE. Law of Laplace. In: *Snapshots of Hemodynamics* [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2019 [cited 2023 May 16]. p. 51–5. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-91932-4_9
 27. Addetia K, Maffessanti F, Yamat M, Weinert L, Narang A, Freed BH, et al. Three-dimensional echocardiography-based analysis of right ventricular shape in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2016 May; 17(5):564–75. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jev171> PMID: 26160404
 28. Craine A, Krishnamurthy A, Villongco CT, Vincent K, Krummen DE, Narayan SM, et al. Patient-Specific Models of Dyssynchronous Heart Failure for Assessment of Regional Work in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy [Internet]. Dryad Digital Repository; 2024. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.tx95x6b6f>
 29. Bax JJ, Bleeker GB, Marwick TH, Molhoek SG, Boersma E, Steendijk P, et al. Left ventricular dyssynchrony predicts response and prognosis after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 2004 Nov; 44(9):1834–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2004.08.016> PMID: 15519016
 30. Prinz C, Lehmann R, Schwarz M, Prinz E, Bitter T, Vogt J, et al. Left Ventricular Dyssynchrony Predicts Clinical Response to CRT—A Long-Term Follow-Up Single-Center Prospective Observational Cohort Study. *Echocardiography*. 2013 Sep; 30(8):896–903. <https://doi.org/10.1111/echo.12165> PMID: 23489174
 31. Pitzalis MV, Iacoviello M, Romito R, Massari F, Rizzon B, Luzzi G, et al. Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Tailored by Echocardiographic Evaluation of Ventricular Asynchrony. 2002; 40(9).
 32. Yu CM, Bleeker GB, Fung JWH, Schalij MJ, Zhang Q, van der Wall EE, et al. Left ventricular reverse remodeling but not clinical improvement predicts long-term survival after cardiac resynchronization therapy. *Circulation*. 2005; 112(11):1580–6. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.538272> PMID: 16144994
 33. Galli E, Leclercq C, Hubert A, Bernard A, Smiseth OA, Mabo P, et al. Role of myocardial constructive work in the identification of responders to CRT. *European Heart Journal—Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2018 Sep 1; 19(9):1010–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jex191> PMID: 28954293
 34. Kyriacou A, Pabari PA, Mayet J, Peters NS, Davies DW, Lim PB, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy and AV optimization increase myocardial oxygen consumption, but increase cardiac function more than proportionally. *Int J Cardiol*. 2014; 171(2):144–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijcard.2013.10.026> PMID: 24332598
 35. Prinzen FW, Hunter WC, Wyman BT, McVeigh ER. Mapping of regional myocardial strain and work during ventricular pacing: experimental study using magnetic resonance imaging tagging. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1999; 33(6):1735–1742. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097\(99\)00068-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(99)00068-6) PMID: 10334450
 36. Vernooij K, Verbeek XA, Peschar M, Crijns HJ, Arts T, Cornelussen RN, et al. Left bundle branch block induces ventricular remodeling and functional septal hypoperfusion. *Eur Heart J*. 2005; 26(1):91–98. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehi008> PMID: 15615805
 37. Koepfli P, Wyss CA, Gaemperli O, Siegrist PT, Klainguti M, Schepis T, et al. Left bundle branch block causes relative but not absolute septal underperfusion during exercise. *Eur Heart J*. 2009;ehp372.
 38. Ogano M, Iwasaki Y ki, Tanabe J, Takagi H, Umemoto T, Hayashi M, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy restored ventricular septal myocardial perfusion and enhanced ventricular remodeling in patients with nonischemic cardiomyopathy presenting with left bundle branch block. *Heart Rhythm*. 2014; 11(5):836–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrthm.2014.02.014> PMID: 24561161
 39. Nemutlu E, Zhang S, Xu YZ, Terzic A, Zhong L, Dzeja PD, et al. Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy Induces Adaptive Metabolic Transitions in the Metabolomic Profile of Heart Failure. *Journal of cardiac failure*. 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cardfail.2015.04.005> PMID: 25911126

40. Tangney JR, Campbell SG, McCulloch AD, Omens JH. Timing and magnitude of systolic stretch affect myofilament activation and mechanical work. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol*. 2014; 307(3):H353–60. <https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00233.2014> PMID: 24878774
41. Barth AS, Aiba T, Halperin V, DiSilvestre D, Chakir K, Colantuoni C, et al. Cardiac resynchronization therapy corrects dyssynchrony-induced regional gene expression changes on a genomic level. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics*. 2009; 2(4):371–8. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCGENETICS.108.832345> PMID: 20031609
42. Valzania C, Gadler F, Winter R, Braunschweig F, Brödin LÅ, Gudmundsson P, et al. Effects of cardiac resynchronization therapy on coronary blood flow: Evaluation by transthoracic Doppler echocardiography☆. *European journal of heart failure*. 2008; 10(5):514–520.
43. Kerckhoffs RC, Omens JH, McCulloch AD, Mulligan LJ. Ventricular dilation and electrical dyssynchrony synergistically increase regional mechanical nonuniformity but not mechanical dyssynchrony a computational model. *Circulation: Heart Failure*. 2010; 3(4):528–536. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.109.862144> PMID: 20466849
44. De Boeck BW, Teske AJ, Meine M, Leenders GE, Cramer MJ, Prinzen FW, et al. Septal rebound stretch reflects the functional substrate to cardiac resynchronization therapy and predicts volumetric and neurohormonal response. *European journal of heart failure*. 2009; 11(9):863–71. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjhf/hfp107> PMID: 19696058
45. Forrester JS, Tyberg JV, Wyatt HL, Goldner S, Parmely WW, Swan HJ. Pressure-length loop: a new method for simultaneous measurement of segmental and total cardiac function. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 1974 Nov; 37(5):771–5. <https://doi.org/10.1152/jappl.1974.37.5.771> PMID: 4436206
46. Boe E, Russell K, Eek C, Eriksen M, Remme EW, Smiseth OA, et al. Non-invasive myocardial work index identifies acute coronary occlusion in patients with non-ST-segment elevation-acute coronary syndrome. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging*. 2015 Nov; 16(11):1247–55. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jev078> PMID: 25851329
47. Butcher SC, Lustosa RP, Abou R, Marsan NA, Bax JJ, Delgado V. Prognostic implications of left ventricular myocardial work index in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and reduced left ventricular ejection fraction. *European Heart Journal—Cardiovascular Imaging*. 2022 Apr 18; 23(5):699–707. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjci/jeab096> PMID: 33993227
48. Pedrosa J, Duchenne J, Queirós S, Degtiarova G, Gheysens O, Claus P, et al. Non-invasive myocardial performance mapping using 3D echocardiographic stress–strain loops. *Phys Med Biol*. 2019 Jun 5; 64(11):115026. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6560/ab21f8> PMID: 31096199
49. Daubert JC, Saxon L, Adamson PB, Auricchio A, Berger RD, Beshai JF, et al. 2012 EHRA/HRS expert consensus statement on cardiac resynchronization therapy in heart failure: implant and follow-up recommendations and management. *Europace*. 2012; 14(9):1236–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/europace/eus222> PMID: 22930717