UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Anti-KIF20B autoantibodies are associated with cranial neuropathy in systemic lupus erythematosus.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1f70r3tm

Journal

Lupus Science and Medicine, 11(1)

ISSN

2053-8790

Authors

Krustev, Eugene Hanly, John Chin, Ricky et al.

Publication Date

2024-04-09

DOI

10.1136/lupus-2023-001139

Peer reviewed



Anti-KIF20B autoantibodies are associated with cranial neuropathy in systemic lupus erythematosus

Eugene Krustev , ¹ John G Hanly , ² Ricky Chin, ¹ Katherine A Buhler, ¹ Murray B Urowitz , ³ Caroline Gordon, ⁴ Sang-Cheol Bae , ⁵ Juanita Romero-Diaz, ⁶ Jorge Sánchez-Guerrero, ⁷ Sasha Bernatsky , ⁸ Daniel J Wallace , ^{9,10} David Isenberg, ¹¹ Anisur Rahman , ¹¹ Joan T Merrill, ¹² Paul R Fortin , ¹³ Dafna D Gladman, ³ Ian N Bruce , ¹⁴ Michelle A Petri , ¹⁵ Ellen M Ginzler , ¹⁶ Mary Anne Dooley, ¹⁷ Rosalind Ramsey-Goldman, ¹⁸ Susan Manzi , ¹⁹ Andreas Jönsen, ²⁰ Graciela S Alarcón, ²¹ Ronald F van Vollenhoven , ²² Cynthia Aranow , ²³ Meggan Mackay, ²³ Guillermo Ruiz-Irastorza , ²⁴ Sam Lim , ²⁵ Murat Inanc, ²⁶ Kenneth C Kalunian, ²⁷ Søren Jacobsen, ²⁸ Christine A Peschken, ²⁹ Diane L Kamen , ³⁰ Anca Askenase, ³¹ Jill Buyon, ³² Marvin J Fritzler, ¹ Ann E Clarke, ¹ May Y Choi , ^{1,33}

To cite: Krustev E, Hanly JG, Chin R, et al. Anti-KIF20B autoantibodies are associated with cranial neuropathy in systemic lupus erythematosus. Lupus Science & Medicine 2024;11:e001139. doi:10.1136/ lupus-2023-001139

► Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ lupus-2023-001139).

Portions of this manuscript have been previously presented as an abstract at the Lupus 21st Century Scientific Meeting 2022, the American College of Rheumatology Convergence 2022, the 16th Dresden Symposium on Autoantibodies, and the Canadian Rheumatology Association Meeting 2023.

Received 28 December 2023 Accepted 20 March 2024



Check for updates

@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr May Y Choi; may.choi@ ucalgary.ca

ABSTRACT

Background Cranial neuropathies (CN) are a rare neuropsychiatric SLE (NPSLE) manifestation. Previous studies reported that antibodies to the kinesin family member 20B (KIF20B) (anti-KIF20B) protein were associated with idiopathic ataxia and CN. We assessed anti-KIF20B as a potential biomarker for NPSLE in an international SLE inception cohort. Methods Individuals fulfilling the revised 1997 American College of Rheumatology (ACR) SLE classification criteria were enrolled from 31 centres from 1999 to 2011 and followed annually in the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus International Collaborating Clinics inception cohort, Anti-KIF20B testing was performed on baseline (within 15 months of diagnosis or first annual visit) samples using an addressable laser bead immunoassay. Logistic regression (penalised maximum likelihood and adjusting for confounding variables) examined the association between anti-KIF20B and NPSLE manifestations (1999 ACR case definitions), including CN, occurring over the first 5 years of follow-up.

Results Of the 1827 enrolled cohort members, baseline serum and 5 years of follow-up data were available on 795 patients who were included in this study: 29.8% were anti-KIF20B-positive, 88.7% female, and 52.1% White. The frequency of anti-KIF20B positivity differed only for those with CN (n=10) versus without CN (n=785) (70.0% vs 29.3%; OR 5.2, 95% CI 1.4, 18.5). Compared with patients without CN, patients with CN were more likely to fulfil the ACR haematological (90.0% vs 66.1%; difference 23.9%, 95% CI 5.0%, 42.8%) and ANA (100% vs 95.7%; difference 4.3%, 95% Cl 2.9%, 5.8%) criteria. In the multivariate analysis adjusting for age at baseline, female, White race and ethnicity, and ACR haematological and ANA criteria, anti-KIF20B positivity remained associated with CN (OR 5.2, 95% Cl 1.4, 19.1). **Conclusion** Anti-KIF20B is a potential biomarker for SLErelated CN. Further studies are needed to examine how autoantibodies against KIF20B, which is variably expressed in a variety of neurological cells, contribute to disease pathogenesis.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Antibodies to the kinesin family member 20B (anti-KIF20B) protein have been associated with idiopathic ataxia and peripheral neuropathies in previous studies.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

- ⇒ The aim of this study was to investigate the associations between anti-KIF20B positivity and disease phenotype in a large international cohort of patients with SLE, with a specific focus on neuropsychiatric manifestations.
- ⇒ The results of this study show that anti-KIF20B autoantibodies are associated with cranial neuropathies (CN) in this SLE cohort.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

- ⇒ This study introduces anti-KIF20B as a potential novel biomarker for CN in SLE.
- ⇒ Future research should focus on how anti-KIF20B contributes to the development of CN in SLE, as well as the utility of these antibodies in clinical practice.

INTRODUCTION

SLE is a complex and heterogeneous autoimmune disease that can affect almost any organ system. Both the central nervous system (CNS) and the peripheral nervous system (PNS) can be involved in a clinical subset referred to as neuropsychiatric SLE (NPSLE). In 1999, the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) defined 19 NPSLE manifestations, which can be subdivided into central and peripheral manifestations, as well as focal and diffuse



manifestations.¹ Despite these definitions, which help identify and characterise SLE-related NPSLE manifestations, our understanding of which patients develop these manifestations is still lacking.

Several serum and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) biomarkers, including autoantibodies and cytokines, have been associated with central NPSLE manifestations. Antiphospholipid (aPL) antibodies, immune complex deposition and complement activation result in focal and diffuse ischaemia, while increased blood-brain barrier leakage, antibodies within the CSF and inflammatory mediator production result in tissue damage.² The aPL antibodies anti-beta-2-glycoprotein 1 (anti-β2GP1), anticardiolipin (aCL) and lupus anticoagulant have been associated with seizure and stroke in patients with SLE,³⁴ supporting the idea that CNS SLE manifestations are, at least in part, due to ischaemic events. Furthermore, antiribosomal P and anti-N-methyl-d-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antibodies have been shown to be associated with global CNS pathologies, particularly psychosis and depression.⁵⁻⁷ Similarly, there is a reported association between SLE-related peripheral neuropathy and anti-Sjögren Syndrome antigen A (anti-SSA/Ro60) autoantibodies.89

Ascertaining whether neuropsychiatric pathologies are the cause or consequence of SLE disease activity or an unrelated disease mechanism is challenging. It is currently based on expert opinion and clinician judgement combined with radiographic, electrodiagnostic and serological testing. Further research is needed to clarify how and when these tests are best used in the clinical setting. Identifying NPSLE-associated biomarkers may aid in the diagnosis of NPSLE and help us understand these complex disease processes.

Kinesin family member 20B (KIF20B), previously referred to as M-phase phosphoprotein 1, is a plus-enddirected slow molecular motor that plays a role in cytokinesis.¹⁴ KIF20B regulates cortical neural stem cell development, 15 and mutations in KIF20B have been associated with microcephaly in mouse models. 16 The role of KIF20B in the PNS is less well understood. Antibodies directed against KIF20B (anti-KIF20B) were first identified in patients with idiopathic ataxia¹⁷ and then in a patient with longstanding acquired demyelinating polyneuropathy. 18 Anti-KIF20B titres were elevated in 40% (10/25) of patients with idiopathic ataxia, many of whom had concurrent peripheral neuropathies.¹⁷ In a cohort of Japanese patients with systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases, anti-KIF20B expression was increased in patients with SLE when compared with healthy controls and was associated with high disease activity (Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI)-2K) in patients with SLE.¹⁹ In a local SLE cohort (Calgary, Canada), we identified an association between anti-KIF20B antibodies with both cranial neuropathy (CN) and peripheral mononeuropathy.²⁰ The aim of the current study was to evaluate the association between

anti-KIF20B antibodies and NPSLE manifestations in a large international inception SLE cohort.

METHODS

Study population

Between 1999 and 2011, 1827 patients fulfilling the 1997 updated ACR classification criteria for definite SLE²¹ within 15 months of diagnosis from 31 medical centres in 11 countries were enrolled into the Systemic Lupus Erythematosus International Collaborating (SLICC) inception cohort (https://sliccgroup.org).²² Sera, clinical and demographic data were collected at enrolment and annually thereafter. NPSLE manifestations occurring were also recorded and were based on the ACR case definitions¹ using a previously published NPSLE attribution rule: onset up to 10 years before SLE diagnosis and still present at diagnosis, or occurred subsequently; no 'exclusions' as outlined in the ACR case definitions; not one of the NPSLE manifestations with high prevalence in the general population identified by Ainiala and colleagues (isolated headaches, anxiety, mild depression, mild cognitive impairment and peripheral neuropathy without electrophysiological confirmation). 23 24 Permission from the SLICC Biological Material and Data Utilisation Committee was obtained to access the required data and biobanked serum samples.

Anti-KIF20B autoantibody testing

Anti-KIF20B titres were determined on baseline biobanked serum samples defined as at enrolment or on first follow-up samples if enrolment samples were not available (707/795 (88.9%) tested at enrolment). Autoantibody testing was performed by addressable laser bead immunoassay using an in vitro expressed full-length human KIF20B cDNA construct inserted into a green fluorescent protein (GFP) vector (Clontech Laboratories, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France). The recombinant protein was recovered from cell lysates and affinity purified as previously described.²⁵ The upper value of the reference range of 1-500 median fluorescence units was established at 2 SD above the mean of age-matched healthy controls, and followed the requirements for validation of laboratory tests in the accredited diagnostic laboratory that performed these tests (MitogenDx, Calgary, Canada). Other SLE-associated autoantibodies were also tested in all participants at enrolment or first visit using previously established protocols (online supplemental table 1).

Statistical analysis

t-Tests and two-sample tests of proportions were used to compare baseline demographic and clinical characteristics between participants who were anti-KIF20B positive (anti-KIF20B+) and negative (anti-KIF20B-). χ^2 tests and univariate logistic regression were used to compare each NPSLE manifestation occurring over the first 5 years of follow-up between participants who were anti-KIF20B+ and anti-KIF20B-. For NPSLE manifestations

 Table 1
 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics

	Cohort (N=795), %	Anti-KIF20B+ (n=237), %	Anti-KIF20B- (n=558), %	Difference, % (95% C
Demographics				
Age at enrolment (years), mean (SD)*	35.5 (13.5)	32.9 (12.2)	36.7 (13.9)	-3.8 (-5.9 to -1.8)
Age <18 years at diagnosis*	6.2	7.2	5.7	1.4 (-2.4 to 5.2)
Sex, % female*	88.7	85.2	90.1	-4.9 (-10.1 to 0.0)
Ethnicity, % White*	52.1	46.0	54.7	-8.7 (-16.2 to -1.1)
linical characteristics				
SLEDAI-2K at enrolment, mean (SD)†	5.7 (5.4)	6.4 (5.6)	5.3 (5.3)	1.1 (0.3 to 1.9)
ACR criteria*				
Malar rash	33.0	34.6	32.3	2.3 (-4.9 to 9.5)
Discoid rash	11.1	12.7	10.4	2.3 (-2.7 to 7.2)
Oral ulcers	35.4	30.4	37.5	-7.1 (-14.2 to 0.0)
Serositis	27.8	27.0	28.1	-1.1 (-7.9 to 5.6)
Arthritis	70.4	75.5	68.3	7.2 (0.5 to 13.9)
Photosensitivity	33.8	32.5	34.4	-1.9 (-9.1 to 5.2)
Renal disorder	26.7	27.4	26.3	1.1 (-5.7 to 7.8)
Neurological disorder	4.4	5.1	4.1	0.9 (-2.3 to 4.2)
Haematological disorder	66.4	69.6	65.1	4.6 (-2.5 to 11.6)
Immunological disorder	79.6	84.8	77.4	7.4 (1.7 to 13.1)
ANA	95.7	94.1	96.4	-2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1)
Hypocomplementaemia‡	42.8	50.2	39.7	10.6 (2.7 to 18.4)
Leucopenia§	8.8	7.6	9.3	-1.7 (-6.1 to 2.7)
Anticardiolipin IgG¶	16.7	19.4	15.7	3.7 (-2.6 to 10.1)
Anticardiolipin IgM¶	5.7	6.1	5.5	0.6 (-3.3 to 4.5)
Anti-ß2GP1 IgG¶	9.1	8.2	9.4	-1.2 (-5.8 to 3.4)
Anti-ß2GP1 IgM¶	11.5	6.6	13.3	-6.7 (-11.2 to -2.1)
Lupus anticoagulant**	35.8	33.3	37.3	-4.0 (-23.7 to 15.8)
Anti-dsDNA¶	73.3	81.1	70.3	10.9 (4.1 to 17.6)
Antihistone¶	31.1	39.3	28.0	11.3 (3.4 to 19.2)
Anti-Jo-1¶	1.7	2.0	1.6	0.5 (-1.8 to 2.7)
Anti-ribosomal P¶	25.9	36.2	21.9	14.3 (6.7 to 21.9)
Anti-Sm¶	23.5	32.1	20.2	12.0 (4.6 to 19.4)
Anti-U1RNP¶	28.6	36.2	25.6	10.6 (2.9 to 18.3)
Anti-PMScI¶	11.0	13.3	10.2	3.1 (-2.3 to 8.5)
Anti-CENP-B¶	3.1	3.6	2.9	0.6 (-2.3 to 3.6)
Anti-PCNA¶	17.8	21.9	16.2	5.7 (-0.9 to 12.3)
Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶	39.2	33.2	41.5	-8.3 (-16.2 to -0.5)
Anti-SSA/Ro60¶	43.9	39.3	45.6	-6.3 (-14.4 to 1.8)
Anti-SSB/La¶	22.4	18.9	23.7	-4.8 (-11.4 to 1.8)
ledications				
Steroids, ever	81.8	81.4	81.9	-0.5 (-5.4 to 6.4)
Antimalarials, ever	76.5	75.1	77.1	-2.0 (-4.6 to 8.4)
Immunosuppressants, ever	44.2	44.7	43.9	0.8 (-8.4 to 6.7)

Bold indicates statistically significant results.

Medication use reflects current medications taken at the time of anti-KIF20B testing or ever exposed prior to testing.

ACR, American College of Rheumatology; CENP-B, centromere protein B; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; 82GP1, beta-2-glycoprotein 1; KIF20B, kinesin family member 20B; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; PMScl, polymyositis/scleroderma overlap antigens of the human exosome; Ro52/TRIM21, tripartite motif containing-21 (Ro52); SLEDAI-2K, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2K; Sm, Smith; SSA/Ro60, Sjögren Syndrome antigen A (Ro60); SSB/La, Sjögren Syndrome antigen B (La); U1RNP, U1 ribonucleoprotein.

^{*}n=795. †n=793.

[‡]n=741.

[§]n=714. ¶n=707. **n=95.

Table 2 Anti-KIF20B positivity and OR between patients with and without NPSLE manifestations* within 5 years of follow-up using attribution model B

	Any NPSLE manifestation (n=153)	No NPSLE manifestations (n=642)	OR (95% CI)
Anti-KIF20B+, n (%)	42 (27.5)	195 (30.4)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)
	Any CNS manifestation (n=127)	No CNS manifestations (n=668)	
Anti-KIF20B+, n (%)	34 (26.8)	203 (30.4)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)
	Any PNS manifestation (n=34)	No PNS manifestations (n=761)	
Anti-KIF20B+, n (%)	11 (32.4)	226 (29.7)	1.1 (0.5 to 2.4)
	Any CN† (n=10)	No CN (n=785)	
Anti-KIF20B+, n (%)	7 (70.0)	230 (29.3)	5.2 (1.4 to 18.5)

Bold indicates statistically significant results.

associated with anti-KIF20B+ in the univariate analysis, baseline demographic, clinical characteristics, and medications were compared between participants with and without the neuropsychiatric manifestation using t-tests and two-sample tests of proportions. Multivariate logistic regression analysis using penalised maximum likelihood estimates was then performed to assess the association between these NPSLE manifestations and anti-KIF20B+, adjusting for age at baseline, female sex, White race and ethnicity, and variables that were statistically significant on univariate analysis. Kaplan-Meier survival curve analysis and Cox regression analysis were used to evaluate anti-KIF20B as a predictor of NPSLE manifestations at 5-year and all follow-up visits. There were 773 (97.2%) patients with follow-up past 5 years, 565 (71.1%) with at least 10 years of follow-up, and 2 (0.2%) with 20 years of follow-up. Primary analyses included NPSLE events that occurred within the first 5 years of follow-up as all patients had available data. Subsequent sensitivity analyses included all available follow-up data (up to 20 years). Only NPSLE manifestations that were statistically significant in the univariate analysis were included in the survival analysis, and events present at baseline were excluded.

Patient and public involvement

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of our research.

RESULTS

Study population

Of the 1827 patients originally recruited, 805 patients had available clinical data with at least 5 years of follow-up. As described in a previous study, those 805 patients had similar clinical and demographic characteristics when compared with those who were excluded, except there was a higher proportion of Asian (difference 18.8%, 95% CI 15.3%, 22.2%) and lower proportion of Hispanic participants (difference -20.6%, 95% CI -24.5%, -16.8%)

in the study cohort when compared with those who were excluded. Of those 805 patients, 795 (98.8%) had anti-KIF20B testing and were therefore included in this study. The mean age at enrolment was 35.5 ± 13.5 years, 88.7% (705/795) were female and 52.1% (414/795) were White (table 1). The mean SLEDAI-2K at baseline was 5.7 ± 5.4 . There were 10 cases of CN in the SLICC cohort within the first 5 years of follow-up.

Anti-KIF20B testing and associations

237 participants (29.8%) were anti-KIF20B+ at baseline (table 1). When compared with anti-KIF20B- patients, anti-KIF20B+ patients were younger at enrolment (32.9 years vs 36.7 years; difference -3.8 years, 95% CI -5.9, -1.8). Although both groups were predominantly female, there was a lower proportion of female patients in the anti-KIF20B+ group when compared with anti-KIF20B-(85.2% vs 90.1%; difference -4.9%, 95% CI -10.1%, 0.0%). Anti-KIF20B+ patients had a higher SLEDAI-2K at baseline when compared with anti-KIF20B- (6.4 vs 5.3; difference 1.1, 95% CI 0.3%, 1.9%); however, there was no difference in total 1997 updated ACR SLE classification criteria fulfilled between anti-KIF20B+ versus anti-KIF20B- group (4.9 vs 4.8; difference 0.1, 95% CI -0.024, 0.292). When individual 1997 updated ACR SLE classification criteria were compared between anti-KIF20B+ and anti-KIF20B- groups, there was a greater proportion of anti-KIF20B+ patients who had arthritis (75.5% vs 68.3%; difference 7.2%, 95% CI 0.5%, 13.9%), immunological disorder (84.8% vs 77.4%; difference 7.4%, 95% CI 1.7%, 13.1%), were hypocomplementaemic (50.2% vs 39.7%; difference 10.6%, 95% CI 2.7%, 18.4%) or positive for anti-double-stranded DNA (81.1% vs 70.3%; difference 10.9%, 95% CI 4.1%, 17.6%). When other SLE-related biomarkers were compared between groups at baseline, anti-KIF20B+ patients were more likely to express antihistone (39.3% vs 28.0%; difference 11.3%, 95% CI 3.4%, 19.2%), anti-ribosomal P (36.2% vs 21.9%; difference

^{*}ACR NPSLE manifestations with onset within 10 years of SLE diagnosis and still present within the enrolment window or occurred subsequently; no 'exclusions'; not one of the NPSLE manifestations with high prevalence in the general population identified by Ainiala *et al.*²³ Only the significant CNS and PNS subtypes are

[†]Individual CN manifestations were trochlear, abducens, vestibulocochlear, facial, glossopharyngeal, optic and trigeminal.

ACR, American College of Rheumatology; CN, cranial neuropathy; CNS, central nervous system; KIF20B, kinesin family member 20B; NPSLE, neuropsychiatric SLE; PNS, peripheral nervous system.

Table 3 Comparison of baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of SLE patients with and without cranial neuropathy within 5 years of follow-up

Demographics		Entire cohort (N=795)	With CN (n=10)	Without CN (n=785)	With vs without CN Difference (95% CI)
Age at diagnosis (years), mean (SD)* 35.1 (13.5) 36.4 (11.5) 35.1 (13.5) 1.4 (-7.1 to 9.8) Sex, 4 female* 88.7 80.0 88.8 -8.8 (-33.7 to 16.1) Sex, 5 female* 52.0 60.0 82.0 80.22.5 to 38.6) Elthnicity, 56 White* 57.6.4 3.4 (5.7) 5.7 (6.4) -2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1) Clinical characteristics 3.4 80.0 5.7 (6.4) -2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1) ACR criteria*	Demographics				
Sex, % female* 88.7 80.0 88.8 -8.8 (-33.7 to 16.1) Ethnicity, % White* 52.1 60.0 52.0 8.0 (-22.5 to 38.6) Clinical characteristics SLEDAL-2kt at baseline, mean (SD)† 5.7 (6.4) 3.4 (5.7) 5.7 (5.4) -2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1) ACR criteria* ***********************************	Age at baseline (years), mean (SD)*	35.7 (13.5)	37.0 (11.5)	35.6 (13.5)	1.4 (-7.1 to 9.8)
Ethnicity, % White'	Age at diagnosis (years), mean (SD)*	35.1 (13.5)	36.4 (11.5)	35.1 (13.5)	1.4 (-7.1 to 9.8)
Clinical characteristics SLEDAL-2K at baseline, mean (SD)† 5.7 (5.4) 3.4 (6.7) 5.7 (5.4) -2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1)	Sex, % female*	88.7	80.0	88.8	-8.8 (-33.7 to 16.1)
SLEDAL-2K at baseline, mean (SD)† 5.7 (5.4) 3.4 (5.7) 5.7 (5.4) -2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1) ACR criteria*	Ethnicity, % White*	52.1	60.0	52.0	8.0 (-22.5 to 38.6)
ACR criteria* Malar rash 33.0 40.0 32.9 7.1 (-23.4 to 37.7) Discoid rash 11.1 0.0 11.2 -11.2 (-13.4 to -9.0) Oral ulcers 35.4 20.0 35.5 -15.5 (-40.6 to 9.5) Serositis 27.8 20.0 27.9 -7.9 (-32.9 to 17.1) Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Phacil disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Hammutological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (50 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemiat 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8)	Clinical characteristics				
Malar rash 33.0 40.0 32.9 7.1 (-23.4 to 97.7) Discoid rash 11.1 0.0 11.2 -11.2 (-13.4 to -9.0) Oral ulcers 35.4 20.0 35.5 -15.5 (-40.6 to 9.5) Serositis 27.8 20.0 27.9 -7.9 (-32.9 to 17.1) Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Hammological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemiat 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopeniag	SLEDAI-2K at baseline, mean (SD)†	5.7 (5.4)	3.4 (5.7)	5.7 (5.4)	-2.3 (-5.7 to 1.1)
Discoid rash 11.1 0.0 11.2 -11.2 (-13.4 to -9.0) Oral ulcers 35.4 20.0 35.5 -15.5 (-40.6 to 9.5) Serositis 27.8 20.0 27.9 -7.9 (-32.9 to 17.1) Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers 3.6 8.0 5.7 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1	ACR criteria*				
Oral ulcers 35.4 20.0 35.5 −15.5 (+40.6 to 9.5) Serositis 27.8 20.0 27.9 −7.9 (−32.9 to 17.1) Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (−15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 −3.9 (−32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 −6.8 (−31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 −4.5 (−5.9 to −3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (6.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (−24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 −5.3 (−39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 −8.9 (−11.0 to −6.8) Other serological markers Anti-de2GP1 [gG¶ 9.1 0.0 5.7 −5.7 (−2.6 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 [gG¶] 9.1 0.0 9.2 −9.2 (−11.3 to	Malar rash	33.0	40.0	32.9	7.1 (-23.4 to 37.7)
Serositis 27.8 20.0 27.9 -7.9(-32.9 to 17.1) Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (50. to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.8 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers	Discoid rash	11.1	0.0	11.2	-11.2 (-13.4 to -9.0)
Arthritis 70.4 80.0 70.3 9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7) Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (50. to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers -5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-acrdiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) <t< td=""><td>Oral ulcers</td><td>35.4</td><td>20.0</td><td>35.5</td><td>-15.5 (-40.6 to 9.5)</td></t<>	Oral ulcers	35.4	20.0	35.5	-15.5 (-40.6 to 9.5)
Photosensitivity 33.8 30.0 33.9 -3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7) Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.0 0.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Other serological markers 8.0 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers 7.1 1.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-dardolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) <	Serositis	27.8	20.0	27.9	-7.9 (-32.9 to 17.1)
Renal disorder 26.7 20.0 26.8 -6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2) Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers This serological markers This serological markers Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-B3DNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-55.0 to 36.6) Anti-B5DNA¶<	Arthritis	70.4	80.0	70.3	9.7 (-15.3 to 34.7)
Neurological disorder 4.4 0.0 4.5 -4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0) Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers Til.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-dsDNA¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-2.8.7 to 33.2) Anti-dsDosm	Photosensitivity	33.8	30.0	33.9	-3.9 (-32.5 to 24.7)
Haematological disorder 66.4 90.0 66.1 23.9 (5.0 to 42.8) Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 −5.3 (−39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 −8.9 (−11.0 to −6.8) Other serological markers Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 −5.7 (−26.4 to 15.1) Antiedradiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 −5.7 (−7.5 to −4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 −9.2 (−11.3 to −7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 −0.4 (−21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (−55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (−5.0 to 36.6) Anti-Inibosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (−2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 −1.3 (−28.6 to 26.1) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (−15.2 to 46.6) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (−15.2 to 46.6) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 39.2 22.2 39.4 −17.2 (−44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/R660¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 −2.19 (−49.3 to 5.5)	Renal disorder	26.7	20.0	26.8	-6.8 (-31.7 to 18.2)
Immunological disorder 79.6 80.0 79.6 0.4 (~24.6 to 25.3) ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (~39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (~11.0 to ~6.8) Other serological markers Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (~26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (~7.5 to ~4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (~21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (~55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (~5.0 to 36.6) Anti-ibsomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (~2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (~28.6 to 26.1) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (~15.2 to 46.6) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (~15.2 to 46	Neurological disorder	4.4	0.0	4.5	-4.5 (-5.9 to -3.0)
ANA 95.7 100.0 95.7 4.3 (2.9 to 5.8) Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 -5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers The serological markers The serological markers Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 9.1 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-stone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-Sm¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8	Haematological disorder	66.4	90.0	66.1	23.9 (5.0 to 42.8)
Hypocomplementaemia‡ 42.8 37.5 42.8 −5.3 (−39.1 to 28.4) Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 −8.9 (−11.0 to −6.8) Other serological markers Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 −5.7 (−26.4 to 15.1) Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 −5.7 (−7.5 to −4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 −0.4 (−21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (−55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (−5.0 to 36.6) Anti-irlosomal P¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (−28.7 to 33.2) Anti-Sm¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (−2.6 to 62.7) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 −6.4 (−33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (−15.2 to 46.6) Anti-PCNA¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 −17.2 (−44.6 to 10.2) Anti-PCNA¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 −21.9 (−49.3 to 5.5)	Immunological disorder	79.6	80.0	79.6	0.4 (-24.6 to 25.3)
Leucopenia§ 8.8 0.0 8.9 -8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8) Other serological markers Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-iribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9	ANA	95.7	100.0	95.7	4.3 (2.9 to 5.8)
Other serological markers Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-inibstone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-Fibosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Hypocomplementaemia‡	42.8	37.5	42.8	-5.3 (-39.1 to 28.4)
Anticardiolipin IgG¶ 16.7 11.1 16.8 -5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1) Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Antihistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Leucopenia§	8.8	0.0	8.9	-8.9 (-11.0 to -6.8)
Anticardiolipin IgM¶ 5.7 0.0 5.7 -5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-inbosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Other serological markers				
Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶ 9.1 0.0 9.2 -9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0) Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Antihistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anticardiolipin IgG¶	16.7	11.1	16.8	-5.7 (-26.4 to 15.1)
Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶ 11.5 11.1 11.5 -0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3) Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Anti-hibistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anticardiolipin IgM¶	5.7	0.0	5.7	-5.7 (-7.5 to -4.0)
Lupus anticoagulant** 35.8 50.0 35.5 14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5) Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Antihistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-B2GP1 IgG¶	9.1	0.0	9.2	-9.2 (-11.3 to -7.0)
Anti-dsDNA¶ 73.3 88.9 73.1 15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6) Antihistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-B2GP1 IgM¶	11.5	11.1	11.5	-0.4 (-21.0 to 20.3)
Antihistone¶ 31.1 33.3 31.1 2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2) Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Lupus anticoagulant**	35.8	50.0	35.5	14.5 (-55.5 to 84.5)
Anti-ribosomal P¶ 25.9 55.6 25.5 30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7) Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-dsDNA¶	73.3	88.9	73.1	15.8 (-5.0 to 36.6)
Anti-Sm¶ 23.5 22.2 23.5 -1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1) Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Antihistone¶	31.1	33.3	31.1	2.2 (-28.7 to 33.2)
Anti-U1RNP¶ 28.6 22.2 28.7 -6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9) Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-ribosomal P¶	25.9	55.6	25.5	30.1 (-2.6 to 62.7)
Anti-PCNA¶ 17.8 33.3 17.6 15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6) Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-Sm¶	23.5	22.2	23.5	-1.3 (-28.6 to 26.1)
Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶ 39.2 22.2 39.4 -17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2) Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 -21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-U1RNP¶	28.6	22.2	28.7	-6.4 (-33.8 to 20.9)
Anti-SSA/Ro60¶ 43.9 22.2 44.1 –21.9 (–49.3 to 5.5)	Anti-PCNA¶	17.8	33.3	17.6	15.7 (-15.2 to 46.6)
	Anti-Ro52/TRIM21¶	39.2	22.2	39.4	-17.2 (-44.6 to 10.2)
Anti-SSB/La¶ 22.4 22.2 22.4 -0.1 (-27.5 to 27.2)	Anti-SSA/Ro60¶	43.9	22.2	44.1	-21.9 (-49.3 to 5.5)
	Anti-SSB/La¶	22.4	22.2	22.4	-0.1 (-27.5 to 27.2)

Bold indicates statistically significant results.

Covariates with zero cells not included in the analysis.

Data presented as per cent positive unless otherwise specified.

ACR, American College of Rheumatology; CN, cranial neuropathy; dsDNA, double-stranded DNA; 82GP1, beta-2-glycoprotein 1; KIF20B, kinesin family member 20B; PCNA, proliferating cell nuclear antigen; Ro52/TRIM21, tripartite motif containing-21 (Ro52); SLEDAl-2K, Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Disease Activity Index 2K; Sm, Smith; SSA/Ro60, Sjögren Syndrome antigen A (Ro60); SSB/La, Sjögren Syndrome antigen B (La); U1RNP, U1 ribonucleoprotein.

14.3%, 95% CI 6.7%, 21.9%), anti-Smith (anti-Sm) (32.1% vs 20.2%; difference 12.0%, 95% CI 4.6%, 19.4%) or anti-U1 Ribonucleoprotein (anti-U1RNP) antibodies (36.2% vs 25.6%; difference 10.6%, 95% CI 2.9%, 18.3%). At baseline, a lower proportion of anti-KIF20B+ patients

were positive for anti- β 2GP1 IgM (6.6% vs 13.3%; difference –6.7%, 95% CI –11.2%, –2.1%) or anti-Ro52/tripartite motif-containing protein 21 (anti-Ro52/TRIM21) (33.2% vs 41.5%; difference –8.3%, 95% CI –16.2%, –0.5%). There were 15 anti-KIF20B+ patients who were

^{*}n=795

[†]n=793.

[‡]n=741.

[§]n=714. ¶n=707.

^{**}n=95.

Table 4 Multivariate analysis examining the association between anti-KIF20B positivity and cranial neuropathy within 5 years of follow-up

Cranial neuropathy positivity OR (95% CI)
5.2 (1.4 to 19.1)
1.0 (0.9 to 1.1)
0.6 (0.1 to 2.5)
1.4 (0.4 to 5.1)
3.0 (0.5 to 17.1)
1.2 (0.1 to 21.0)

Bold indicates statistically significant result.

*Model covariates include anti-KIF20B positivity, adjusting for age at baseline (enrolment or first follow-up visit), female, White race and ethnicity, and significantly different clinical characteristics at baseline.
KIF20B, kinesin family member 20B.

negative for other SLE-associated antibodies that also had available ANA pattern descriptions as defined by the International Consensus on ANA Patterns (ICAP).²⁷ In total, there were 18 different ICAP ANA patterns observed (some sera had more than one pattern): AC1 (homogenous nuclear, n=3), AC2 (nuclear-dense fine-speckled, n=1), AC4 (nuclear fine-speckled, n=6), AC5 (nuclear large-speckled, n=5), AC7 (nuclear few discrete nuclear dots, n=1), AC10 (punctate nucleolar, n=1), AC20 (cytoplasmic fine-speckled, n=2) and AC nuclear matrix (not a recognised ICAP pattern, n=1). Of note, none had an AC27 intracellular bridge pattern. There were no significant differences between anti-KIF20B+ and anti-KIF20B-when medication use was compared at baseline (table 1).

NPSLE manifestations

During the first 5 years of follow-up, the frequency of anti-KIF20B+ was higher in those with CN when compared with those without CN (70.0% vs 29.3%; OR 5.2, 95% CI 1.4, 18.5) (table 2), but the frequency of anti-KIF20B+ did not differ between patients with and without the other 18 individual NPSLE manifestations (online supplemental table 2). During the 5-year follow-up period, there were no significant differences in the proportion of anti-KIF20B+ when patients with any NPSLE manifestation were compared with those without (27.5% vs 30.4%; OR 0.9, 95% CI 0.6, 1.3), any CNS NPSLE manifestation versus those without (26.8% vs 30.4%; OR 0.9, 95% CI 0.6, 1.3), and any PNS NPSLE manifestation versus those without (32.4% vs 29.7%; OR 1.1, 95% CI 0.5, 2.4).

Cranial neuropathy

Of the 10 patients with CN at baseline or within the first 5 years of follow-up, 3 of the CN occurred at baseline, while the remaining 7 occurred during the 5-year follow-up. Compared with those without CN, patients with CN were more likely to fulfil the 1997 ACR haematological (90.0% vs 66.1%; difference 23.9%, 95% CI 5.0%, 42.8%) and ANA (100.0% vs 95.7%; difference 4.3%, 95% CI 2.9%, 5.8%) criteria (table 3). Several 1997 updated ACR classification criteria and autoantibodies were only present in

patients without CN and showed a significant difference (discoid rash, neurological disorder, leucopenia, aCL IgM, anti-β2GP1 IgG); however, these variables were not included in the multivariate analysis as they were automatically removed from the model due to their imbalanced distribution.

In the multivariate analysis, anti-KIF20B+ remained associated with CN development within the first 5 years of follow-up (OR 5.2, 95% CI 1.4, 19.1) after adjusting for age at baseline, female, White race and ethnicity, and ACR criteria for haematological disorder and ANA (table 4). The majority of patients with CN had other NPSLE manifestations (7/10, 70%) (online supplemental table 3), although these varied between patients.

To perform the Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and Cox proportional hazard regression analysis, three CN events were removed because they occurred at baseline. All three patients with CN at baseline were also anti-KIF20B+ (3/3, 100%), while four of the seven patients with later CN events were anti-KIF20B+ (4/7, 57.1%). With the limited number of CN events (n=7), there was a non-significant association between anti-KIF20B+ and CN when adjusting for age at baseline, female, White race and ethnicity, and ACR criteria for haematological disorder and ANA (HR 3.2, 95% CI 0.7, 14.4) (online supplemental figure 1).

In order to capture all possible events, a secondary Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was performed using all available follow-up data (up to 20 years). When all available follow-up data were assessed, there were 11 CN events, 3 of which occurred at baseline and were excluded. Once again, there was a non-significant association between anti-KIF20B+ and CN when adjusting for age at baseline, female, White race and ethnicity, and ACR criteria for haematological disorder and ANA (HR 2.2, 95% CI 0.7, 7.5) (online supplemental figure 2).

DISCUSSION

In SLE, NPSLE manifestations are a significant source of morbidity and mortality and are challenging from both a diagnostic and therapeutic perspective. 9 28 When compared with central NPSLE events, peripheral NPSLE events are rarer and account for less than 10% of all NPSLE events⁹; however, these manifestations are associated with significant functional impairment and reduced quality of life. 9 Compared with CNS manifestations, there is a paucity of literature and research on peripheral NPSLE; therefore, research into biomarkers for peripheral NPSLE would help provide clarity in this difficult diagnostic and clinical management area. A previous study suggested that anti-KIF20B is associated with peripheral neuropathies, specifically mononeuropathies and CN, in patients with SLE from a single-centre cohort.²⁰ The results of the present study involving a multicentre international SLE inception cohort suggest an association of anti-KIF20B antibodies with CN in cross-sectional analyses, but we could not identify anti-KIF20B as a clear marker for CN in longitudinal analyses due to the limited number of events.

The pathogenic mechanisms in NPSLE are multifactorial and likely differ between the different manifestations. Ischaemic events, immune complex deposition and complement activation can manifest as strokes and seizures,³ while inflammation and subsequent tissue damage have been associated with anti-ribosomal P autoantibodies and may result in psychosis and depression,⁵ although there are conflicting studies that have shown no association.²⁹ Patients with SLE can develop overlap syndromes with other autoimmune neurological diseases like neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD), as well as express autoantibodies directed to the NMDA receptor type I³⁰ and type II.³² Although NMOSD can affect the optic nerve, this is traditionally thought of as a centrally manifested neurological disease. Some studies have shown an association between anti-SSA/ Ro60, anti-Ro52/TRIM21 and anti-SSB/La autoantibodies and peripheral neuropathy in SLE and Sjögren disease^{8 33}; however, other studies did not find an association of peripheral NPSLE manifestations with any of the antibodies tested.⁹ To our knowledge, the association between anti-KIF20B antibodies and CN reported here is the first autoantibody specifically associated with CN in SLE.

CN is a rare manifestation of SLE but is a significant source of morbidity in affected patients. ⁹ ²⁸ A reduced health-related quality of life (HRQoL) has been reported in SLE patients with CN, polyneuropathies and mononeuropathies. As expected, HRQoL scores improved in patients with polyneuropathy and mononeuropathy following resolution of their pathology; however, scores remained low for patients with CN even after resolution of their symptoms. ⁹ In this study, we have shown that anti-KIF20B antibodies are a potential biomarker for SLE-associated CN, and further study into anti-KIF20B-associated CN may help us understand the mechanisms that underlie this significant cause of morbidity.

KIF20B is a plus-end-directed kinesin-related protein that is expressed during interphase.²⁵ 34 KIF20B plays a role in CNS development in mice 15 16; however, the role of KIF20B in cranial nerve development and regeneration is not reported. In a cohort of Japanese patients with SLE, systemic sclerosis, mixed connective tissue disease, Sjögren's disease and idiopathic inflammatory myositis, anti-KIF20B expression was significantly increased only in patients with SLE (18/89, 20.2%) when compared with healthy controls (3/46, 6.5%) and was associated with increased disease activity (SLEDAI-2K). In this Japanese SLE cohort, there was no association between anti-KIF20B expression and any NPSLE manifestations; however, the power to detect an effect was limited by their relatively small sample size (n=89). 19 In the Japanese cohort, 71.4% (30/42) anti-KIF20B+ sera demonstrated staining of the intercellular bridge and midbody in telophase cells; however, we were unable to find a consistent HEp-2 staining pattern in our anti-KIF20B+ patients. At this time, it is unknown if anti-KIF20B antibodies are a bystander biomarker for SLE-related

CN or directly contribute to disease pathogenesis. Given that anti-KIF20B autoantibodies are expressed in both SLE and non-SLE patients with peripheral nerve disease, ^{17 18} further research is needed to better understand the role of KIF20B in the PNS. ³⁵

A strength of this study is that we included an international inception SLE cohort, representative of a global SLE population with diverse ethnicities. By using an SLE inception cohort, all 19 ACR-defined NPSLE manifestations were recorded prospectively using a standardised data collection protocol. A limitation of this study is that, although we were able to analyse the 19 ACR-defined NPSLE manifestations, any neurological events that were not part of these predefined criteria may have been missed. In our study, anti-KIF20B status was not predictive of future CN. However, our models were limited by the small number of CN events. A larger study including more CN events is needed; however, CN is a rare NPSLE manifestation. We only had 10 CN events in the 5-year follow-up period, 3 of which occurred at baseline. Since autoantibodies are known to fluctuate over time, patients may seroconvert.³⁶ We could only test baseline samples for anti-KIF20B antibodies due to sample availability, but studies to assess anti-KIF20B expression at later time points are underway.

In conclusion, anti-KIF20B antibodies detected early in disease were associated with CN in SLE in cross-sectional analyses, but not in longitudinal analyses. Further studies are needed to examine anti-KIF20B antibodies over the disease course and to determine whether anti-KIF20B antibodies are predictive of CN development and contribute to disease pathogenesis in SLE.

Author affiliations

¹Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada ²Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine and Department of Pathology, Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre and Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

³Lupus Program, Centre for Prognosis Studies in The Rheumatic Disease and Krembil Research Institute, Toronto Western Hospital and University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

⁴Rheumatology Research Group, Institute of Inflammation and Ageing, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

⁵Department of Rheumatology, Hanyang University Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Hanyang University Institute for Rheumatology and Hanyang Institute of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁶Immunology and Rheumatology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Medicas y Nutricion Salvador Zubiran, Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico

⁷Mount Sinai Hospital and University Health Network, Toronto, Ontario, Canada ⁸Divisions of Rheumatology and Clinical Epidemiology, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

⁹Rheumatology, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California, USA
 ¹⁰David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California, USA

¹¹Centre for Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University College London, London, UK

¹²Department of Clinical Pharmacology, Oklahoma Medical Research Foundation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA

¹³Division of Rheumatology, CHU de Québec, Universite Laval, Quebec City, Quebec, Canada

¹⁴Centre for Musculoskeletal Research, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester and The Kellgren Centre for Rheumatology, Manchester

Lupus Science & Medicine



University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

¹⁵Division of Rheumatology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

¹⁶Medicine, SUNY Downstate Medical Center, New York City, New York, USA

¹⁷Thurston Arthritis Research Center, The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

¹⁸Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA

¹⁹Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

²⁰Department of Rheumatology, Lund University Department of Clinical Sciences Lund, Lund, Sweden

²¹Department of Medicine, The University of Alabama at Birmingham Heersink School of Medicine, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

²²Department of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Noord-Holland, The Netherlands

²³Center for Autoimmune and Musculoskeletal Disease, Northwell Health Feinstein Institutes for Medical Research, Manhasset, New York, USA

²⁴Autoimmune Diseases Research Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, BioCruces Health Research Institute, Hospital Universitario Cruces, University of the Basque Country, Barakaldo, Spain

²⁵Division of Rheumatology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

²⁶Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Istanbul Medical Faculty, Istanbul University, Fatih, Turkey

²⁷University of California San Diego School of Medicine, La Jolla, California, USA
²⁸Department of Rheumatology, Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

²⁹University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada

³⁰Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, South Carolina, USA

³¹Columbia University Medical Center, New York City, New York, USA

³²Rheumatology, NYU Langone Health, New York City, New York, USA

³³McCaig Institute for Bone and Joint Health, Calgary, Alberta, Canada

X Ian N Bruce @Lupusdoc

Acknowledgements Thank you to Chynace Van Lambalgen for her help with data collection and organisation and the laboratory technical assistance of Meifeng Zhang (MitogenDx, University of Calgary).

Contributors EK contributed to study design and drafted the manuscript. MYC was the principal investigator of this study, contributed to study design, reviewed the manuscript, and is the guarantor. AEC and JGH contributed to study design, reviewed the manuscript, recruited patients and collected data. MJF and KAB contributed to study design and reviewed the manuscript. RC performed the statistical analysis and reviewed the manuscript. The remaining authors were involved in patient recruitment, data collection and review of the manuscript.

Funding The cost of the immunoassay supplies and labour was supported by the Lupus Foundation of America and MitogenDx. MYC is supported by the Lupus Foundation of America Gary S Gilkeson Career Development Award and research gifts in kind from MitogenDx (Calgary, Canada). AEC holds the Arthritis Society Research Chair in Rheumatic Diseases at the University of Calgary. JGH's work was supported by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (research grant MOP-88526). CG's work was supported by Lupus UK, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust and the NIHR/Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility in Birmingham. S-CB is supported in part by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (NRF-2021R1A6A1A03038899). The Montreal General Hospital Lupus Clinic is partially supported by the Singer Family Fund for Lupus Research. AR and DI are supported by the National Institute for Health Research University College London Hospitals Biomedical Research Centre. The Hopkins Lupus Cohort is supported by NIH Grants AR043727 and AR069572. PRF presently holds a tier 1 Canada Research Chair on Systemic Autoimmune Rheumatic Diseases at Université Laval and part of this work was done while he was still holding a Distinguished Senior Investigator position at the Arthritis Society. INB is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator Emeritus and is funded by the NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre (NIHR203308). The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. MAD's work was supported by NIH Grant RR00046, RR-G's work was supported by the NIH (grants 1U54TR001353 formerly 8UL1TR000150 and UL-1RR-025741, K24-AR-02318, and P60AR064464 formerly P60-AR-48098). SM is supported by Grants R01 AR046588 and K24 AR002213.

GR-I is supported by the Department of Education, Universities and Research of the Basque Government. SJ is supported by the Danish Rheumatism Association (A1028) and the Novo Nordisk Foundation (A05990).

Competing interests MYC has received consulting fees from AstraZeneca, GlaxoSmithKline, Werfen, Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals, Celltrion, Organon, and MitogenDx (less than \$10 000). AEC has received consulting fees from AstraZeneca. Bristol Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, Roche and Otsuka (less than \$10 000 each) and a research grant from GlaxoSmithKline. CG has received consulting fees, speaking fees and/or honoraria from AstraZeneca, AbbVie, Amgen, UCB, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Serono and BMS (less than \$10 000 each) and grants from UCB. Grants from UCB were given not to CG but to Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust. DDG received consulting fees, speaking fees and/ or honoraria from GlaxoSmithKline (less than \$10 000). INB has received consulting fees, speaking fees and/or honoraria from Eli Lilly, UCB, Roche, Merck Serono and MedImmune (less than \$10 000 each), and grants from UCB, Genzyme, Sanofi and GlaxoSmithKline, EMG has paid consultation with investment analysts Guidepoint Global Gerson Lehrman Group. KCK has received grants from UCB, Human Genome Sciences/GlaxoSmithKline, Takeda, Ablynx, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer and Kyowa Hakko Kirin, and has received consulting fees from Exagen Diagnostics, Genentech. Eli Lilly, Bristol Myers Squibb and Anthera (less than \$10 000 each). MJF is Director of Mitogen Diagnostics Corporation (Calgary, Alberta, Canada) and a consultant to Werfen International (Barcelona, Spain), Grifols (Barcelona, Spain), Janssen Pharmaceuticals of Johnson & Johnson and Alexion Canada (less than \$10 000

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by institutional review board at each participating SLICC site. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement No data are available. All relevant data to this study are being published.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Eugene Krustev http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1812-2124 John G Hanly http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1029-9483 Murray B Urowitz http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7506-9166 Sang-Cheol Bae http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4658-1093 Sasha Bernatsky http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9515-2802 Daniel J Wallace http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2502-1372 Anisur Rahman http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2346-4484 Paul R Fortin http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7278-2596 Ian N Bruce http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3047-500X Michelle A Petri http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1441-5373 Ellen M Ginzler http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7306-7375 Susan Manzi http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0803-6150 Ronald F van Vollenhoven http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6438-8663 Cynthia Aranow http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9299-0053 Guillermo Ruiz-Irastorza http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7788-1043 Sam Lim http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2361-0787 Diane L Kamen http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7698-980X May Y Choi http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3760-2737

REFERENCES

- 1 Liang MH, Corzillius M, Bae SC, et al. The American college of rheumatology nomenclature and case definitions for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42:599–608.
- 2 Hanly JG, Kozora E, Beyea SD, et al. Review: nervous system disease in systemic lupus erythematosus: current status and future directions. Arthritis Rheumatol 2019;71:33–42.
- 3 Hawro T, Bogucki A, Krupińska-Kun M, et al. Intractable headaches, ischemic stroke, and seizures are linked to the presence of anti-B2GPI antibodies in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. PLoS One 2015;10:e0119911.
- 4 Inzelberg R, Korczyn AD. Lupus anticoagulant and late onset seizures. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1989;79:114–8.
- 5 Choi MY, FitzPatrick RD, Buhler K, et al. A review and meta-analysis of anti-ribosomal P autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. Autoimmun Rev 2020;19:102463.
- 6 Omdal R, Brokstad K, Waterloo K, et al. Neuropsychiatric disturbances in SLE are associated with antibodies against NMDA receptors. Eur J Neurol 2005;12:392–8.
- 7 Aranow C, Diamond B, Mackay M. Glutamate receptor biology and its clinical significance in neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 2010;36:187–201.
- 8 Su Y-J, Huang C-R, Chang W-N, et al. The association between autoantibodies and peripheral neuropathy in lupus nephritis. Biomed Res Int 2014;2014;524940.
- 9 Hanly JG, Li Q, Su L, et al. Peripheral nervous system disease in systemic lupus erythematosus: results from an international inception cohort study. Arthritis Rheumatol 2020;72:67–77.
- 10 Mok CC, Lau CS, Wong RW. Neuropsychiatric manifestations and their clinical associations in Southern Chinese patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. J Rheumatol 2001;28:766–71.
- 11 Sanna G, Bertolaccini ML, Cuadrado MJ, et al. Neuropsychiatric manifestations in systemic lupus erythematosus: prevalence and association with antiphospholipid antibodies. J Rheumatol 2003;30:985–92.
- 12 Hanly JG, Urowitz MB, Su L, et al. Autoantibodies as biomarkers for the prediction of neuropsychiatric events in systemic lupus erythematosus. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1726–32.
- 13 Peretti C-S, Peretti CR, Kozora E, et al. Cognitive impairment in systemic lupus erythematosus women with elevated autoantibodies and normal single photon emission computerized tomography. Psychother Psychosom 2012;81:276–85.
- 14 Abaza A, Soleilhac J-M, Westendorf J, et al. M phase phosphoprotein 1 is a human plus-end-directed kinesin-related protein required for cytokinesis. J Biol Chem 2003;278:27844–52.
- 15 McNeely KC, Dwyer ND. Cytokinesis and postabscission midbody remnants are regulated during mammalian brain development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2020:117:9584–93.
- 16 Janisch KM, Vock VM, Fleming MS, et al. The vertebrate-specific Kinesin-6, Kif20B, is required for normal cytokinesis of polarized cortical stem cells and cerebral cortex size. *Development* 2013;140:4672–82.
- 17 Fritzler MJ, Kerfoot SM, Feasby TE, et al. Autoantibodies from patients with idiopathic ataxia bind to M-phase phosphoprotein-1 (MPP1). J Investig Med 2000;48:28–39.
- 18 Zochodne DW, Auer R, Fritzler MJ. Longstanding ataxic demyelinating polyneuronopathy with a novel autoantibody. *Neurology* 2003;60:127–9.

- 19 Koizumi H, Muro Y, Yamashita Y, et al. Anti-Kif20B autoantibodies in systemic autoimmune rheumatic diseases: their high prevalence in systemic lupus erythematosus. J Dermatol 2023;50:990–8.
- 20 Krustev E, Buhler K, Cardwell F, et al. Anti-MPP-1 autoantibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus: a potential biomarker for neuropsychiatric manifestations - ACR meeting abstracts. Arthritis Rheumatol 2021;73.
- 21 Hochberg MC. Updating the American college of rheumatology revised criteria for the classification of systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1997;40:1725.
- 22 Isenberg DA, Ramsey-Goldman R, Gladman D, et al. The systemic lupus International collaborating clinics (SLICC) group-it was 20 years ago today. Lupus 2011;20:1426–32.
- 23 Ainiala H, Hietaharju A, Loukkola J, et al. Validity of the new American college of rheumatology criteria for neuropsychiatric lupus syndromes: a population-based evaluation. Arthritis Rheum 2001:45:419–23.
- 24 Hanly JG, Urowitz MB, Gordon C, et al. Neuropsychiatric events in systemic lupus erythematosus: a longitudinal analysis of outcomes in an international inception cohort using a Multistate model approach. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79:356–62.
- 25 Fritzler MJ, Brown RD, Zhang M. A monoclonal antibody to M-phase phosphoprotein 1/kinesin-like protein KIF20B. *Monoclon Antib Immunodiagn Immunother* 2019;38:162–70.
- 26 Choi MY, Clarke AE, Urowitz MB, et al. Longitudinal Analysis of ANA in the Systemic Lupus International Collaborating Clinics (SLICC) Inception Cohort. Ann Rheum Dis.2022;81:1143–1150.
- 27 Chan EKL, Damoiseaux J, Carballo OG, et al. Report of the first international consensus on standardized nomenclature of antinuclear antibody HEp-2 cell patterns (ICAP) 2014-2015. Front Immunol 2015;6:412.
- 28 Bertsias GK, Ioannidis JPA, Aringer M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of systemic lupus erythematosus with neuropsychiatric manifestations: report of a task force of the EULAR standing committee for clinical affairs. Ann Rheum Dis 2010;69:2074–82.
- 29 Teh LS, Isenberg DA. Antiribosomal P protein antibodies in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arthritis Rheum* 1994;37:307–15.
- Wang J, Xu J, Yang P. Neuropsychiatric lupus erythematosus: focusing on autoantibodies. *J Autoimmun* 2022;132:102892.
- 31 Mader Š, Jeganathan V, Arinuma Y, et al. Understanding the antibody repertoire in neuropsychiatric systemic lupus erythematosus and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder Arthritis Rheumatol 2018;70:277–86.
- 32 Lapteva L, Nowak M, Yarboro CH, et al. Anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antibodies, cognitive dysfunction, and depression in systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2505–14.
- 33 Scofield AK, Radfar L, Ice JA, et al. Relation of sensory peripheral neuropathy in Sjögren syndrome to anti-Ro/SSA. J Clin Rheumatol 2012;18:290–3.
- 34 Matsumoto-Taniura N, Pirollet F, Monroe R, et al. Identification of novel M phase phosphoproteins by expression cloning. Mol Biol Cell 1996;7:1455–69.
- 35 Zochodne DW. Sensory ganglionopathy. N Engl J Med 2021;384:192–3.
- 36 Faria AC, Barcellos KSA, Andrade LEC. Longitudinal fluctuation of antibodies to extractable nuclear antigens in systemic lupus erythematosus. *J Rheumatol* 2005;32:1267–72.