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Abstract:

Background: The United States (US) has experienced a surge of anti-immigrant policies and rhetoric, raising concerns about the influence on health outcomes for immigrants living in the US.

Methods: We conducted qualitative interviews (n=20) with health care and social service providers, attorneys, and legal/policy experts in California to understand how agencies were maintaining access to HIV care and prevention for immigrant clients. We conducted a thematic analysis to describe the role of medical-legal partnerships (MLPs) and document best practices.

Results: Informants reported high demand for legal services. Referrals were facilitated by case managers, medical providers, and pre-existing relationships between clinics and legal agencies. Informants identified a need for additional funding and further guidance on screening for and supporting patients with legal needs.

Discussion: MLPs have the capacity to create sustainable, efficient, comprehensive structural changes that minimize barriers to HIV prevention and treatment and improve health outcomes among immigrant populations.
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INTRODUCTION

Anti-immigrant policies directly and indirectly impact the health of immigrants [1]. Health and social service providers across the United States have recently observed increased no-show rates among their immigrant patients and reduced enrollment in public assistance programs, in light of a more restrictive era of immigration policy [2]. Similar trends were reported in HIV clinics in California, which is home to the largest number of immigrants [3] and one of the highest prevalences of HIV in the country [4]. Even before the recent changes in immigration policy, studies have found that foreign-born individuals in the US are at heightened risk for HIV infection and late diagnosis [5]. In response to concerns among health and social service providers in California, we conducted qualitative interviews to document best practices for maintaining access to HIV care and prevention services for immigrant communities. In this brief report, we describe the value of medical-legal partnerships (MLPs), which integrate legal assistance into health care settings, to address needs related to immigration for people living with and at risk for HIV, as well as the necessary ingredients for developing and harnessing these partnerships.

METHODS

Our university’s Institutional Review Board approved all procedures. We conducted semi-structured, in-depth interviews with health care and social service providers, attorneys, and legal/policy experts from May 2018 to January 2019. We recruited key informants by telephone or email, using
49snowball sampling and our team’s knowledge of California’s HIV care and
50services landscape. Informants verbally consented and received a $100
51honorarium for participation. Interviews lasted between 60-90 minutes, and
52were audio recorded and transcribed. Three analysts developed the
53codebook and conducted initial coding to establish inter-coder agreement.
54Authors SMF and EAA coded the remaining transcripts. We conducted a
55thematic analysis [6] of the narratives about formal and informal MLPs.

56RESULTS
57We conducted 20 interviews in three counties in Northern and Central
58California from May 2018 to January 2019 with medical providers (n=6), case
59managers and patient navigators (n=7), attorneys and other legal/policy
60experts (n=5), and clinic or program administrators (n=2). To protect
61confidentiality, we attribute quotes to the participant’s role, but blind
62organizational and county affiliations. Representative quotes are available in
63Table 1 and noted in the text (e.g., “[Q1]”).

64Increased demand for legal services
65Since the 2016 federal election, informants described a “heightened
66sense of urgency” for clients to seek legal advice and obtain citizenship,
67asylum, or other lawful permanent resident status [Q1]. Under current rules,
68individuals who have fled persecution because of their sexual orientation,
69gender identity, and/or HIV status can petition for asylum. Yet, clients were
70concerned that the rules would change.
Clinics also sought to better understand issues related to immigration policy by organizing trainings, developing procedures for interactions with immigration authorities, and providing guidance to patients. Clinics often relied on expertise from legal partners to conduct these informational sessions with their staff and patients, and found that patients benefited from and appreciated the guidance. [Q2]

**Facilitating partnerships between medical and legal agencies**

Clinics played a crucial role in facilitating referrals to legal services. Staff often recognized clients who had needs related to immigration in the course of helping them navigate the health care system and access HIV care and prevention services [Q3]. In addition, several clinicians had personal experiences with immigration, which was helpful in supporting patients through the asylum process [Q4]. For patients expressing interest in legal counsel, clinics were well positioned to provide a trusted, warm handoff [Q5]. Case managers often accompanied clients or facilitated telephone appointments with legal services, alleviating any fears or language barriers.

Referral processes could be formalized or ad hoc, but were often mediated by prior relationships between medical and legal entities. MLPs for people living with HIV have existed since the early days of the epidemic, so medical providers referred patients to trusted legal partners [Q6]. In fact, a number of lawyers were well known within the HIV community, with some specializing in legal assistance for people living with HIV.
Clinic staff and providers reported remaining involved throughout the client’s work with legal services. For example, case managers often had current contact information or other knowledge about the client that could help a client’s legal case, provided that the client had given consent to share the information. Ongoing partnership was seen as mutually beneficial for legal and medical teams [Q7]. One provider attributed an influx of new patients to their clinic’s success in connecting patients with effective legal services [Q8].

**Room for improvement: Additional training, guidance and funding to support MLPs**

Clinic-based informants expressed interest in further training and guidance related to immigration. Several providers had been asked to write letters of support for asylum cases, a challenging task because there were no guidelines on what to include [Q9]. Elsewhere, a case manager sought recommendations for screening patients for immigration needs to avoid unnecessary referrals [Q10].

Legal informants also perceived wide variation in screening practices at clinics. Some providers would ask directly about immigration needs, while others would wait for the patient to raise the issue. One lawyer suggested having standard procedures in place to screen for immigration needs so that clinic staff could offer referrals and other assistance [Q11].

Both legal and medical informants also called for increased funding and resources to support effective MLPs [Q12].
**DISCUSSION**

This report adds to the growing body of literature on the value of MLPs in addressing structural barriers to care [7, 8]. MLPs address social determinants relevant to immigrant communities highly impacted by HIV, including immigration status, delays in HIV prevention and treatment, insurance coverage, and access to transportation and housing. However, MLPs are rarely acknowledged in discussion of HIV structural interventions.

We identified a number of barriers and facilitators to utilizing MLPs. Strong referral networks and personal connections between medical providers and lawyers were valuable, and could promote formal and informal partnerships that expanded the range of services available to support clients. Referrals were also facilitated by case managers, echoing other literature on the important role of case managers and social workers in the MLP model [9]. Legal consults via telephone reduced barriers, especially for clients who were concerned about leaving home. Having template letters available for providers and helping case managers screen for immigration needs could also enhance the effectiveness of MLPs. For instance, a screening tool called I-HELP can allow clinicians to identify issues that may have legal remedies including: income/insurance (I), housing (H), education/employment (E), legal status (L), and personal/family stability (P) [8]. However, additional funding and resources may be needed to support screenings, referrals, and provision of legal services. Furthermore, facilitating communication and information sharing between medical and legal
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Institutions may be helpful, though this recommendation comes with important ethical and professional considerations [10]. Even the screenings themselves would need to be conducted carefully so as not to create fear or distrust among patients. For instance, before asking any questions, providers should clarify why questions are being asked, how the information will be kept private, and that patients can answer to the extent that they feel comfortable. We recommend that MLPs consult with local community stakeholders and advocates to assess and further refine screening questions related to immigrant legal needs. Clinics should also consult with attorneys to ensure that information cannot be used against patients should it be subpoenaed (e.g., by avoiding any explicit documentation of immigration status).

Our study has several limitations. Interviews were conducted in three counties and focused on access to HIV care and prevention services, so findings may not be representative of all MLPs across the state or country. Although our key informants could provide stories about clients who had disengaged from care, most of their experiences were with people who were actively seeking medical and legal services. Additional research is needed to understand how MLPs can be fully utilized and promoted for difficult-to-reach populations. Furthermore, California has progressive state-level immigration policy compared to other states in the US. Practices presented here may be more difficult to implement in states or countries with more restrictive policies.
Despite these limitations, our findings underscore the benefits of using MLPs to identify immigration-related needs and connect patients to legal support to address structural barriers that may contribute to poor health. We also highlight factors that can promote engagement in MLPs, such as providing culturally competent services and using case managers to support clients as they navigate between medical and legal entities. In this current political environment, providing access to legal aid is critical in protecting the health and safety of immigrants. Although our paper focused on the provision of care and services for people living in the community, immigration attorneys can also help to advocate for access to care and treatment for the growing numbers of people in detention facilities. Thus, the potential areas for intervention through the MLP model are broad and comprehensive. By alleviating barriers to care, MLPs not only have potential to improve the wellbeing of those impacted by HIV, but also to address other health disparities affecting immigrant communities, including support for their basic human rights.
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