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ABSTRACT: Lithium sulfide (Li$_2$S) is an attractive cathode material with a high theoretical specific capacity (1166 mAh g$^{-1}$). However, the poor cycle life and rate capability have remained significant challenges, preventing its practical application. Here, Li$_2$S spheres with size control have been synthesized for the first time, and a CVD method for converting them into stable carbon-coated Li$_2$S core—shell (Li$_2$S@C) particles has been successfully employed. These Li$_2$S@C particles with protective and conductive carbon shells show promising specific capacities and cycling performance with a high initial discharge capacity of 972 mAh g$^{-1}$ Li$_2$S (1394 mAh g$^{-1}$ S) at the 0.2C rate. Even with no added carbon, a very high Li$_2$S content (88 wt % Li$_2$S) electrode composed of 98 wt % 1 μm Li$_2$S@C spheres and 2 wt % binder shows rather stable cycling performance, and little morphology change after 400 cycles at the 0.5C rate.

INTRODUCTION

As current cathode materials for lithium ion cells approach an intrinsic specific capacity limit of less than 300 mAh g$^{-1}$, new generations of rechargeable cathodes with high specific capacity are urgently needed.$^{1−3}$ Sulfur is a promising candidate which has a high theoretical specific capacity of 1675 mAh g$^{-1}$ as well as very low cost, high abundance, and low environmental impact.$^{3−6}$ Significant progress has been made to improve the utilization of sulfur and alleviate the capacity fading by size control of the sulfur particles,$^{7−11}$ coatings on sulfur particles,$^{11−14}$ use of sulfur-carbon composites,$^{15−24}$ trapping of polysulfides,$^{25−28}$ and electrolyte modification.$^{29−32}$ However, for use with the sulfur cathode, lithium metal or a lightweight lithiated anode is essential for high specific energy. Lithium metal typically forms dendrites in conventional organic solvent-based electrolytes, causing shorting and safety concerns. Furthermore, some of the protective coatings on sulfur particles may be easily destroyed because sulfur undergoes a volume expansion of ~76% when totally converted to Li$_2$S.

Considering the challenges for the sulfur cathode, fully lithiated sulfur, lithium sulfide (Li$_2$S), is an attractive cathode material for lithium/sulfur (Li/S) cells, with a theoretical specific capacity of 1166 mAh g$^{-1}$. It can be paired with different kinds of lithium metal free materials, such as the high capacity silicon anode. Moreover, compared with sulfur, Li$_2$S has a higher melting point and is in the maximum volume state, so modifications on Li$_2$S materials can be performed at a higher temperature and the surface coating can be more stable.

Nevertheless, the problems of low electronic conductivity, and the solubility of polysulfides in many electrolytes still exist for the Li$_2$S cathode. Thus, it is also essential to use carbon-containing composites, control particle size, and provide protection for the Li$_2$S active material. A protective and conductive shell on the surface of small Li$_2$S particles, which will not be broken by expansion during discharge, seems to be a candidate solution for using Li$_2$S material in Li/S cells. Recently, some exciting results concerning Li$_2$S cathodes were reported.$^{33−42}$ However, most of the reports are based on commercial Li$_2$S powder or commercial Li$_2$S subjected to ball-milling, resulting in random particle sizes and morphologies, not ideal for surface coatings. There are almost no reports of synthesized Li$_2$S with uniform particle size and morphology so far.

Herein, we report for the first time an easy strategy to synthesize Li$_2$S spheres with size control. We have prepared three different sizes, 2 μm, 1 μm, and 500 nm, which can be the core material for a variety of coating methods. In this paper, these three sizes of Li$_2$S spheres are successfully coated with carbon by the CVD method to form stable carbon-coated Li$_2$S core—shell (Li$_2$S@C) structures that show promising specific capacities and cycling performance with a high initial discharge capacity of 972 mAh g$^{-1}$ Li$_2$S (1394 mAh g$^{-1}$ S) at the 0.2C rate (1C = 1166 mA g$^{-1}$ Li$_2$S) and good capacity retention after
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100 cycles. When no carbon black was added to the electrode mixture, a very high Li$_2$S content (88 wt % Li$_2$S) electrode composed of 98 wt % 1 μm Li$_2$S@C spheres and 2 wt % binder showed rather stable cycling performance, and little morphology change after 400 cycles.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemical reaction for the synthesis of Li$_2$S is indicated in eq 1.43

$$ S + 2\text{LiEt}_3\text{BH} = \text{Li}_2\text{S} + 2\text{Et}_3\text{B} + \text{H}_2 $$ (1)

Sulfur was first dissolved in toluene and then added into a solution of lithium triethylborohydride (LiEt$_3$BH) in tetrahydrofuran (THF). After the THF was removed by heat treatment, stable Li$_2$S spheres were formed. More information about the synthesis of Li$_2$S spheres is presented in the Supporting Information.

XRD and Raman spectra of the as-prepared Li$_2$S spheres are shown in Figure 1a and 1b. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectrum shows that a pure Li$_2$S powder was formed (JCPDS card no. 23-0369) by the reaction of sulfur with LiEt$_3$BH. However, in the Raman spectrum, besides showing the characteristic peak of Li$_2$S at ∼370 cm$^{-1}$, several C−H, C=S, S−H, and S−O bonds were detected between 700 and 1500 cm$^{-1}$, which reflect the existence of an organic residue in the powder. To remove the organics, the as-synthesized white Li$_2$S spheres were heat treated in an argon atmosphere at 500 °C for 0.5 h (labeled as Li$_2$S-500 °C). After the 500 °C heat treatment, the white Li$_2$S spheres became a fine gray powder, and the sharp XRD peaks reveal an enhanced crystallization of the Li$_2$S particles as shown in Figure 1a. The Raman spectra in Figure 1b show that after heat treatment all the peaks of the organic residue were gone. Instead, new Raman peaks corresponding to the D band and G band of carbon were found. In addition, the intensity of the Li$_2$S peak was reduced. These spectra indicate that the organic residue was converted to carbon and covered some parts of the Li$_2$S particles. In order to increase the conductivity of the Li$_2$S powder as well as protect the Li$_2$S particles from sulfur (polysulfide) loss during the electrochemical reaction, the Li$_2$S-500 °C material was further coated with carbon by the CVD method using acetylene gas to form a carbon-coated Li$_2$S core−shell structure (labeled as Li$_2$S@C). As observed in our experiments (Supporting Information Figure S1), although the CVD is conducted under argon protection, there is still a risk of side reactions of Li$_2$S at higher temperatures (impurities in argon gas or trace moisture). Thus, a relatively low temperature was chosen for deposition of the carbon coating on the Li$_2$S. Considering that the decomposition rate of C$_2$H$_2$ at 400 °C is very slow, 450 °C was chosen for acetylene decomposition. The thickness of the coatings is controlled by the time of exposure to the Ar−acetylene gas mixture at the deposition temperature. We have used 5 and 10 wt % carbon on the Li$_2$S particles (Supporting Information Figure S2), and the 10 wt % coating provided better cycling stability than the 5 wt % coating. So all the Li$_2$S spheres were coated with 10 wt % carbon by CVD coating at 450 °C for 1.5 h.

Compared with the Li$_2$S-500 °C material, no obvious change was found in the XRD patterns for the Li$_2$S@C powder. However, the intensity of the Li$_2$S peak in the Raman spectrum decreased. As shown in Figure 1c, the Li$_2$S peak in the Li$_2$S@C material is reduced in intensity, which demonstrates that the carbon shell covered most of the Li$_2$S-core and blocked the Raman signal of Li$_2$S. The steps in the procedure for preparing the Li$_2$S@C core−shell particles are summarized in Figure 1d.

Figure 2 shows the SEM images of the three sizes of Li$_2$S spheres, with typical sizes of ∼2 μm, 1 μm, and 500 nm, and their corresponding Li$_2$S@C core−shell particles. When
synthesizing these Li$_2$S spheres, both the heat treatment and the use of toluene played very important roles (see Supporting Information Figure S3). The particles assume a spherical shape due to the combination of small droplet size of the liquid dispersion, the elevated temperature, and the limited solubility of Li$_2$S. We have observed that, without the elevated temperature, spherical particles are not formed (Supporting Information Figure S3b). Toluene dissolves S and thus a liquid droplet dispersion is formed. According to our observations, THF has a higher solubility for Li$_2$S than does toluene, so when the heat treatment is applied, the THF slowly evaporates and stable Li$_2$S spheres are formed in toluene (Supporting Information Figure S4). The sizes of the Li$_2$S spheres were related to the amount of toluene used in the preparation; the particle sizes are as shown in Figure 2a–c. With the same amount of dissolved S (2 mmol), the largest amount of toluene (6 mL) gives the largest spherical Li$_2$S size of 2 μm, while the 3.5 and 3 mL toluene amounts form 1 μm and 500 nm Li$_2$S spheres respectively. When the obtained Li$_2$S spheres are further converted to Li$_2$S@C particles by CVD coating, volume shrinkage and roughening of the surface can be observed for these Li$_2$S spheres, as shown in Figure 2d–f. For the 2 and 1 μm Li$_2$S spheres, their morphologies do not change much after carbon coating. But for the 500 nm Li$_2$S spheres, many Li$_2$S particles are obviously linked together, showing some agglomeration after heat treatment.

To confirm the core–shell structure of the Li$_2$S@C spheres, an electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS) line scan across a typical Li$_2$S@C sphere was performed, and the normalized EELS intensities of its S–L and C–K peaks were analyzed, as shown in Figure 3. The results show the Li$_2$S@C sphere to be a typical core–shell structure, in which carbon covers the surface of the Li$_2$S sphere. The stable core–shell structure was also demonstrated by a polysulfide dissolution test on a large number of Li$_2$S@C spheres (Supporting Information Figure S5). These observations indicate the successful synthesis of carbon-coated Li$_2$S core–shell spheres by the CVD coating of carbon on Li$_2$S spheres. The thickness of the carbon coating for the 1 μm core–shell Li$_2$S@C spheres was analyzed by TEM and found to be about 30 nm (Supporting Information Figure S6).

The electrochemical performance of these three Li$_2$S@C (10 wt % C) materials were evaluated in coin cells, all under the same conditions. Figure 4a shows the discharge capacities of the 2 μm, 1 μm, and 500 nm Li$_2$S@C spheres at the 0.5C rate. The cyclic voltammograms of the as-prepared Li$_2$S@C electrodes at a scan rate of 0.025 mV/s (Electrode composition: Li$_2$S@C/C/PVP = 66.7:28:3.5 by weight; 60 wt % Li$_2$S, 1.0–1.5 mg Li$_2$S/cm$^2$).

Figure 4. Cycling performance and cyclic voltammograms of the Li$_2$S@C materials of different sizes. (a) Cycling performances of the 2 μm, 1 μm, and 500 nm Li$_2$S@C particles at the 0.5C rate. (b) Cyclic voltammograms of the as-prepared Li$_2$S@C cathodes at a scan rate of 0.025 mV/s (Electrode composition: Li$_2$S@C/C/PVP = 66.7:28:3.5 by weight; 60 wt % Li$_2$S, 1.0–1.5 mg Li$_2$S/cm$^2$).

Figure 3. EELS characterization of the Li$_2$S@C sphere. (a) STEM image of a Li$_2$S@C sphere and (b) normalized EELS intensity along the line in (a).
the size changes resulting from agglomeration. For the as-synthesized 2 μm LiS@C material, there are three peaks in the first charge curve. In addition to the one charge peak below 3.5 V, there are two other peaks above 3.5 V. Regarding the 1 μm LiS@C and 500 nm LiS@C materials, although both of them show two peaks in the first charge curves, the 500 nm LiS@C actually showed more capacity above 3.5 V. Since more energy is needed for the larger particles to overcome the barrier of lithium extraction, it is reasonable to conclude that the charge capacity at the higher voltage reflects longer Li diffusion distances. Therefore, for the 500 nm LiS@C material, although the primary 500 nm LiS spheres have the smallest particle size, this advantage was compromised because of significant agglomeration as a result of the high temperature treatment. It should also be noted that the cathodic and anodic peaks below 2.6 V are the sharpest for the 1 μm material, indicative of the highest rate of Li uptake and release. Finally, the 1 μm LiS@C particles with less agglomeration have the best performance among the three synthesized LiS@C materials. Considering all the electrochemical performance data of the three LiS@C composites, it can be concluded that LiS@C with a small particle size and less agglomeration is the best configuration for Li/S cells.

The 1 μm LiS@C cathodes were cycled at different C-rates as shown in Figure 5a. The cells showed good capacity retention. The initial specific capacity for the 1 μm LiS@C spheres cathode at the 0.2C rate is 972 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (1394 mAh g⁻¹ S), 821 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (1177 mAh g⁻¹ S) at the 0.5C rate, and 793 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (1137 mAh g⁻¹ S) at the 1C rate. After 100 cycles, the 1 μm particles can achieve a high specific capacity of 737 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (1056 mAh g⁻¹ S) at the 0.2C rate, 683 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (979 mAh g⁻¹ S) at the 0.5C rate, and 634 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (909 mAh g⁻¹ S) at the 1C rate with a LiS content of 60 wt % in the electrodes.

The rate capability of the 1 μm LiS@C cathodes is shown in Figure 5b. A highly reversible capacity of 570 mAh g⁻¹ LiS could still be achieved even at the 2C rate, and when the C-rate was switched back to 0.5C again, the capacity recovered, indicating fast reaction kinetics in the cathodes. Representative voltage profiles of the 1 μm LiS@C cycled at different rates are shown in Figure 5c. All curves show highly reversible capacities. The performance of an electrode with 1 μm LiS@C material and a high LiS weight percentage (electrodes with no added carbon black) was evaluated as shown in Figure 6. The rod-like structures in Figure 6b are the carbon fibers comprising the carbon fiber paper (Supporting Information Figure S11). As the electrode mixture is deposited in the pores of the paper, the carbon fiber paper acts as a 3D conductive network which links all the particles together and may increase the overall conductivity of the electrode. Thus even with no added carbon black, the 98 wt % LiS@C cells exhibited stable cycling performance with a high discharge capacity of 659 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (945 mAh g⁻¹ S) in the second cycle and a capacity of 417 mAh g⁻¹ LiS (600 mAh g⁻¹ S) after 400 cycles at 0.5C, as shown in Figure 6a. The modest capacity fading for this material may result from isolation of some active materials inside the core–shell structure. During the cycling of the LiS@C cell, the LiS particles are converted to sulfur when the cell is fully charged, corresponding to a volume shrinkage of ∼57% inside the carbon shell. So some sulfur might lose contact with the carbon shell after long-term cycling which causes the capacity fading for the LiS@C electrodes. After the cycling test, the electrode was removed from the cell, and the morphology of the LiS@C core–shell particles was examined by SEM as shown in Figure 6b. A few broken spheres can be found in the electrode, but most of the LiS@C particles show little morphology change after 400 cycles which is consistent with the good cyclability of the cell. These results show that the 1 μm LiS@C core–shell particles are stable in the cell and are suitable materials for Li/S cells.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, for the first time, we report an easy synthesis strategy for the preparation of LiS spheres with size control and a CVD method for converting these LiS spheres to LiS@C core–shell particles. As LiS is in its maximum volume state in the Li/S cells, the carbon shells of the LiS@C core–shell particles are quite stable which helps to protect LiS from...
dissolution as polysulphides and thus alleviates capacity fading. Preliminary results show that the Li₂S@C core–shell particles deliver both high specific capacity and stable cycling performance. Even with no added carbon, excellent electrochemical performance and a long cycle life can be achieved using a very high Li₂S content (88 wt % Li₂S) electrode composed of 98 wt % 1 μm Li₂S@C spheres and 2 wt % binder. Little morphology change of the 1 μm Li₂S@C particles was observed after 400 cycles. These results indicate that the core–shell structure reported here is stable and, therefore, a suitable configuration for Li/S cells. Considering the simple synthesis strategy and the unique particle morphology, the pristine Li₂S spheres can be used in combination with a variety of coating methods and different kinds of coating materials.
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1. Experimental Details

Materials Preparation: As Li$_2$S is highly sensitive to moisture, all the synthesis work was carried out in an argon filled glove box with a moisture content below 0.1 ppm and oxygen levels below 5 ppm. The 2 µm Li$_2$S spheres were prepared as follows: 64 mg Sulfur (International Sulphur Inc., >99.5%) was first dissolved in 6 mL toluene by stirring for 5 min at 40°C. Then the S-toluene solution was added into 4.2 mL 1.0 M lithium triethylborohydride in tetrahydrofuran (1M LiEt$_3$BH in THF, Sigma-Aldrich). After stirring for 2 min at room temperature, the solution was heated to 90°C for 10 min under continuous stirring. The Li$_2$S precipitate that formed was washed with THF and hexane. The 1 µm Li$_2$S and 500 nm-Li$_2$S spheres were synthesized by the same process except a shorter reaction time of 7 min, and a different amount of toluene, 3.5 mL and 3 mL respectively were used. To obtain the Li$_2$S@C core-shell particles with 10 wt% carbon coating, the as-prepared Li$_2$S spheres were heated at 500°C for 0.5 h under Ar, and then coated with carbon by the CVD method at 450°C for 1.5 h, using acetylene and argon gas (100 SCCM (standard cubic centimeters per minute) Ar plus 10 SCCM C$_2$H$_2$). In order to obtain a uniform coating, the powder was ground with a mortar and pestle in the glovebox for 10 min after each 30-minutes of CVD coating. The sample is weighed before and after each CVD coating in the glovebox. The weight increase is assigned to the carbon coating.

Electrochemical Characterization: For the 60wt% Li$_2$S electrodes: Li$_2$S@C powder was ground with a mortar and pestle along with carbon black (Super P) and polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP; M$_w$~1,300K) in a weight ratio of 66.7: 28.3: 5 (Li$_2$S: C: PVP=60: 35: 5 by weight) for 10 min, and then dispersed in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) by sonication for 5 min and stirring for 4 h to form a slurry. To make working electrodes, the slurry was drop-casted onto carbon fiber paper (Hesen Electrical Ltd, HCP010N; 0.1 mm thickness, 75% porosity), dried by evacuation and heated at 60°C overnight. The mass loading of the electrodes was around 1.0-1.5 mg/cm$^2$. For the 88 wt% Li$_2$S electrodes: The electrodes were made by simply dispersing the 1 µm Li$_2$S@C powder in NMP using 5 min sonication and 4 h stirring, and then drop-casting the slurry onto a carbon paper current collector. In order to avoid powder dropping through the pores of the carbon paper, 20 µl SBR-Toluene (Li$_2$S@C: SBR=98: 2 w/w) was added to both sides of the carbon paper. Considering the 10 wt% carbon coating on the 1 µm Li$_2$S@C particles, the total content of Li$_2$S in the cathodes was around 88 wt%. For the electrolyte,
1M Lithium Bis(Trifluoromethanesulfonyl)Imide (LiTFSI) in N-methyl-N-butylpyrrolidinium bis(trifluoromethane sulfonyl)imide (PYR14TFSI)/DOL/DME (2:1:1, by volume) containing 1 wt% LiNO₃ was prepared. CR2032-type coin cells were fabricated with a porous polypropylene separator (2400, Celgard) and a lithium metal foil as counter/reference electrode in an Ar glove box.

**Other characterization:** X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were collected on a Brucker XRD instrument (D2 Phaser) after the samples were protected by a Kapton film (Chemplex Industries, Inc.). Raman spectroscopy (Labram, ISA Groupe Horiba) was performed after the samples were sealed in a chamber with a glass window in the glovebox. The morphology of the samples was detected by a field emission scanning electron microscope (JEOL JSM-7500F). The EELS line scan was conducted by transmission electron microscope (TEM: 200kV FEI monochromated F20 UT Tecnai) on a lacey silicon nitride grid under the protection by an argon glove bag for transferring the samples.

2. **Supplemental Figures**

**Figure S1.** XRD pattern of Li₂S@C spheres heated to 700 °C for 0.5h.

Some impurity peaks of LiOH and S appear in the XRD pattern after the Li₂S@C spheres are heated to 700 °C in Ar. Although the heat treatment is conducted under argon protection, there is still a risk of side reactions of Li₂S at higher temperature (impurities in Argon or adsorbed moisture). The side reactions might be: $\text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{H}_2\text{O} = 2\text{LiOH} + \text{S}$ (H₂ formed upon acetylene decomposition) and $\text{Li}_2\text{S} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} = 2\text{LiOH} + \text{H}_2\text{S}$.

**Figure S2.** Cycling performance of the 2 µm Li₂S@C spheres with 10% and 5% carbon coating. (Electrode composition: Li₂S: C: PVP= 60: 35: 5 by weight; 1.0-1.5 mg Li₂S/cm²)
Figure S3. (a) Synthesis of Li$_2$S with toluene, but no heat treatment; (b) Synthesis of Li$_2$S without toluene. (The other synthesis processes are the same as described in experimental section)

Figure S4. SEM images of particles obtained after heat for 1 min/5 min with 6 mL /3mL toluene.

Figure S4. SEM images of particles obtained after heat for 1 min/5 min with 6 mL /3mL toluene.
Figure S5. SEM images of Li$_2$S@C spheres after polysulfide dissolution test$^a$.

$^a$ Polysulfide dissolution test: 1 mg Li$_2$S@C spheres and 7 mg S were mixed in 1.5 mL THF/toluene (2:1, v/v) solution for two days. Then the precipitate was washed with THF twice and dried for SEM.

After the polysulfide dissolution test, unprotected Li$_2$S spheres reacted with sulfur and were washed away by THF in the form of polysulfide. Therefore, the precipitate left would be comprised only of well protected Li$_2$S@C spheres and broken carbon shells. The ratio of unreacted Li$_2$S@C spheres would indicate the effects of the protection of the carbon-shells on Li$_2$S@C spheres, and the broken carbon shells would give us a direct idea of how the carbon-shells look. As shown in Fig. S2, most spherical Li$_2$S@C particles remained undamaged after the polysulfide dissolution test and only a few particles changed to hollow spherical structures, which shows that the as-prepared Li$_2$S@C spheres had a stable core-shell configuration and most Li$_2$S particles were well protected by the carbon-shells.

Figure S6. TEM images of the 1 µm Li$_2$S@C core-shell particles (a) before and (b) after dissolving Li$_2$S.
Figure S7. Cycling performance of the 1 μm Li$_2$S@C spheres (red) compared with uncoated 1 μm Li$_2$S spheres (purple), commercial Li$_2$S (black) and carbon-coated milled-commercial Li$_2$S (blue) at 0.5C rates. (Electrode composition: Li$_2$S: C: PVP= 60: 35: 5 by weight; 1.0-1.5 mg Li$_2$S/cm$^2$)

Figure S8. The rate performance of the 2 μm, 1 μm and 500 nm Li$_2$S@C particles.

Figure S9. SEM image of commercial Li$_2$S
Figure S10. CVs of the commercial Li$_2$S (c-Li$_2$S) materials before and after ball-milling at a scan rate of 0.025 mV/s. (Electrode composition: Li$_2$S: C: PVP= 60: 35: 5 by weight; 1.0-1.5 mg Li$_2$S/cm$^2$)

Figure S11. SEM images of the carbon fiber paper (a) before and (b) after drop casting.