Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Recent Work

Title
OPEN CHANNEL PROJECTORS FOR REARRANGEMENT PROCESSES IN MOLECULAR COLLISIONS

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1mj1814p

Author
George, Thomas F.

Publication Date
1972-04-01

eScholarship.org Powered by the California Digital Library
University of California

Submitted to Physical Review

LBL-823
Preprint

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
RADIATION LABORATORY

OPEN CHANNEL PROJECTORS FOR REARRANGEMENT PROCESSES IN MOLECULAR COLLISIONS

Thomas F. George and William H. Miller

April 1972

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room

LBL-823

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

0 0 0 0 3 8 0 0 2 7

LBL-823

OPEN CHANNEL PROJECTORS FOR REARRANGEMENT PROCESSES IN MOLECULAR COLLISIONS

Thomas F. George and William H. Miller†

Inorganic Materials Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
and Department of Chemistry; University of California,
Berkeley, California 94720

ABSTRACT

A general form for the projector onto open channels for electronically adiabatic rearrangement processes in molecular collisions is given. This form is investigated for two special cases of the three-atom process A + BC → AB + C: a) the rearrangement to and from the ground vibrational and rotational states of BC and AB for arbitrary total angular momentum and b) the semiclassical limit of this form. The projector for special case a) can be found exactly under either of two limiting conditions, and for case b) we find the projector to be a local operator, such that in the semiclassical limit the process A + BC → AB + C occurs only when the distance from A to the center of mass of BC equals the distance of C from the center of mass AB.

I. INTRODUCTION

The unified reaction theory of Feshbach[1] has been applied extensively to problems in nuclear and molecular collisions. The general expressions include an operator P, the projector onto some or all of the open channels and its orthogonal complement Q = 1-P. Explicit expressions for P can be written formally in a straightforward manner if the collision system involves only elastic or inelastic transitions. For instance, for the inelastic collision of molecules A and B, P can be written as

$$P = \sum_i \phi_i > < \phi_i \quad , \tag{I.1}$$

where ϕ_i is a product of the internal state wavefunctions for each A and B, and the summation runs over all energetically allowed states ϕ_i. P is uniquely defined in this manner to project onto all open channels (corresponding to the states of the system A + B at infinite separation). Q is thus uniquely defined to project onto all closed channels[2].

The definitions of P and Q for rearrangement collisions in a time-independent theory has presented somewhat of a problem in the past ten years. This problem results from the fact that the basis set in one arrangement is not orthogonal to the basis set in another arrangement[3]. A P for rearrangement collisions was first derived by Mittleman[4] and modified by Coz[5]. The complexity of this derivation led Chen and Mittleman[6] to derive simpler expressions for P which, however, did not account in general for possible recoil of the target. Starting with the procedure of Reference 6, Chen[7] was able to derive explicit expressions for P for general three-body rearrangement collisions, which allowed for recoil of the target.

A general construction of P for rearrangements was given by Hahn[8] in a multidimensional formulation of Feshbach's unified reaction theory that could in principle be applied to any rearrangement collision involving three or more bodies. In this paper we shall present a general construction of P for electronically adiabatic molecular rearrangements which does not require a multicomponent form. In Section II we shall summarize the multicomponent formulation of Hahn and present the general single component formulation of P. In Section III we shall a) consider a special case for three-body rearrangements, namely the $0 \rightarrow 0$ rotational $0 \rightarrow 0$ vibrational state rearrangement process for arbitrary total angular momentum and b) look at the semiclassical limit of the projector P. In Section IV we shall discuss other possible single component formulations of P along with a summary of the paper.

II. GENERAL SINGLE COMPONENT P FOR REARRANGEMENTS.

The formulation of Hahn[8] begins with the matrix projector $\underset{\approx}{P}$ defined as

$$\underset{\approx}{P} = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 & & & \\ & P_2 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & P_\alpha \ddots P_N \end{bmatrix} , \qquad \text{(II.1)}$$

where P_α is defined by Equation (I.1), and N is the number of arrangements. $\underset{\approx}{Q}$ is defined as $\underset{\approx}{Q} = \underset{\approx}{1} - \underset{\approx}{P}$. The total wave function is generalized in a fashion similar to the Faddeev formulation of the three-body problem[9] as

$$\underset{\sim}{\Psi} = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_N \end{bmatrix} , \qquad \text{(II.2)}$$

where Ψ_α is defined such that

$$\underset{\sim}{\Psi} \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ \Psi_\alpha \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{II.3}$$

as the system goes asymptotically to arrangement α. Defining the N x N matrix $\underset{\approx}{H} - \underset{\approx}{E}$ as

$$\underset{\approx}{H} - \underset{\approx}{E} = (H-E) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & & & & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \end{bmatrix} , \tag{II.4}$$

where H is the total Hamiltonian and E is the total energy, we can then write with the help of the Schrodinger equation, $(\underset{\approx}{H} - \underset{\approx}{E})\underset{\sim}{\Psi} = 0$, the matrix analogue of the Feshbach equations

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\approx}{P}[\underset{\approx}{H} - \underset{\approx}{E}]\underset{\sim}{P\Psi} &= - \underset{\approx\approx}{PHQ\Psi} \quad , \\ \underset{\approx}{Q}[\underset{\approx}{H} - \underset{\approx}{E}]\underset{\sim}{Q\Psi} &= - \underset{\approx\approx}{QHP\Psi} \quad . \end{aligned} \tag{II.5}$$

Equation (II.1) does represent a general form of P for rearrangement collisions, but it requires a matrix formulation of Feshbach's equations. We present in this paper a general formulation of P in a single component form. To motivate our derivation, we consider the projector onto the monorthogonal basis $\{u_i\}$. Given some arbitrary function χ, we know that

$$P\chi = \sum_i u_i c_i \quad , \tag{II.6}$$

where c_i is some coefficient. Multiplying both sides by $< u_j|$ we have the equation

$$< u_j|\chi > = \sum_i < u_j|u_i > c_i \quad , \tag{II.7}$$

where we used the fact that

$$< u_j|\ P\chi > = < u_j|\ \chi\ > . \tag{II.8}$$

Multiplying both sides of Equation (II.7) by $< u_k|u_j >^{-1}$ and summing over j, we find c_k to be

$$c_k = \sum_j < u_k|u_j >^{-1} < u_j|\ \chi\ >, \tag{II.9}$$

so that P is

$$P = \sum_{i,j} u_i < u_i|u_j >^{-1} < u_j \quad . \tag{II.10}$$

We see that P, depending on the inverse of the overlap $< u_i|u_j >$, is given in a single component form by Equation (II.10).

To proceed in a similar fashion for rearrangement collisions, where we have nonorthogonal channel states, we let r_α designate the internal coordinates of two molecules in channel α, and let R_α designate the radial coordinate between the centers of mass of the two molecules. Designating an internal state in channel α as $\phi_{\alpha i}(r_\alpha)$, then for an arbitrary function χ we write in analogous fashion to Equation (II.6)

$$P\chi = \sum_{\alpha,i} \phi_{\alpha i}(r_\alpha) C_{\alpha i}(R_\alpha) \quad , \tag{II.11}$$

where the coefficient $C_{\alpha i}(R_\alpha)$ depends on χ and is constructed such that there is no multiple counting of internal degrees of freedom. Multiplying both sides of Equation (II.11) by $\int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)$ we obtain

$$\int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\chi = \sum_{\alpha,i} \int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\phi_{\alpha i}(r_\alpha)C_{\alpha i}(R_\alpha) \qquad \text{(II.12)}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)P\chi = \int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\chi \ .$$

Defining the overlap kernel[10]

$$\Delta_{\beta j,\alpha i}(R_\alpha,R_\beta) = \int d'(\alpha\beta)\phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\phi_{\alpha i}(r_\alpha) \quad , \qquad \text{(II.13)}$$

where $\int d'(\alpha\beta)$ indicates integration over all variables except R_α and R_β, we rewrite Equation (II.12) as

$$\int dr_\beta \phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\chi = \sum_{\alpha,i} \int dR_\alpha \Delta_{\beta j,\alpha i}(R_\alpha,R_\beta)C_{\alpha i}(R_\alpha) \ . \qquad \text{(II.14)}$$

The inverse of the kernel, $\Delta^{-1}_{\beta j,\alpha i}(R_\alpha,R_\beta)$, satisfies the equation

$$\sum_{\gamma,k} \int dR_\gamma \Delta_{\alpha i,\gamma k}(R_\alpha,R_\gamma)\Delta^{-1}_{\gamma k,\beta j}(R_\gamma,R_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{ij}\delta(R_\alpha-R_\beta), \qquad \text{(II.15)}$$

so that the coefficients from Equation (II.14) can be written as

$$C_{\alpha i}(R_\alpha) = \sum_{\beta,j} \int d(r_\beta R_\beta)\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha i,\beta j}(R_\alpha,R_\beta)\phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta)\chi \ , \qquad \text{(II.16)}$$

and the projector P assumes the form[11]

$$P = \sum_{\alpha,i,\beta,j} \phi_{\alpha i}(r_\alpha) \int d(r_\beta R_\beta)\Delta^{-1}_{\alpha i,\beta j}(R_\alpha,R_\beta)\phi_{\beta j}{}^*(r_\beta) \ . \qquad \text{(II.17)}$$

Equation (II.17) is a single component expression for the projector onto all open channels for a general rearrangement molecular collision. Such a projector can be defined uniquely, however, only in the asymptotic region

0 0 0 0 0 8 0 0 0 2 9

$R_\gamma \to \infty$ where it assumes the form

$$P \xrightarrow[R_\gamma \to \infty]{} P_\gamma = \sum_k \phi_{\gamma k} > < \phi_{\gamma k} \qquad \text{(II.18)}$$

due to the vanishing of the overlap kernel between states of different arrangements. In this region P is identical to Equation (I.1) defining P for inelastic collisions, and is thus uniquely defined.

III. THE INVERSE KERNEL

A. Special Case.- In general, the inverse kernel as defined by Equation (II.15) is difficult to calculate, since it involves the solution of an integral equation whose kernel is not of finite rank[12]. We shall indicate how to find the inverse kernel for a special case of atom-diatom rearrangement: the case where only two arrangements are open, say A + BC and AB + C, and where BC and AB are in their ground vibrational and rotational states. The procedure we follow can, however, be generalized in a straightforward manner to the case where all three arrangements are open, but for simplicity we shall restrict ourselves to two open arrangements.

For our special case we can write Equation (II.15) as

$$\int dR_3 \begin{pmatrix} \delta(R_1-R_3)\Delta_{12}(R_1,R_3) \\ \Delta_{21}(R_1,R_3)\delta(R_1-R_3) \end{pmatrix} x \begin{pmatrix} K_{11}(R_3,R_2)K_{12}(R_3,R_2) \\ K_{21}(R_3,R_2)K_{22}(R_3,R_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta(R_1-R_2) & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(R_1-R_2) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{(III.1)}$$

where $K_{ij} \equiv \Delta_{ij}^{-1}$ (the subscripts signify arrangements), and R_1 and R_2 are the radial coordinates of arrangements A + BC and AB + C, respectively. Writing the four equations resulting from Equation (III.1) and making the appropriate substitutions of these equations into each other, we arrive at the following four coupled equations

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \int dR_3 \int dR_4 \Delta_{12}(R_1,R_3)\Delta_{21}(R_3,R_4)K_{11}(R_4,R_2) \quad \text{(III.2)}$$

$$K_{21}(R_1,R_2) = -\int dR_3 \Delta_{21}(R_1,R_3)K_{11}(R_3,R_2) \quad \text{(III.3)}$$

$$K_{22}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \int dR_3 \int dR_4 \Delta_{21}(R_1,R_3)\Delta_{12}(R_3,R_4)K_{22}(R_4,R_2) \quad \text{(III.4)}$$

$$K_{12}(R_1,R_2) = -\int dR_3 \Delta_{12}(R_1,R_3)K_{22}(R_3,R_2) \quad . \quad \text{(III.5)}$$

Let us concentrate on Equation (III.2). Both matrices on the LHS of Equation (III.1) are symmetric, so that $\Delta_{12} = \Delta_{21}$. Dispensing with the subscripts on Δ_{12}, we can write $K_{11}(R_1,R_2)$ as

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \Delta^2(R_1,R_2) + \Delta^4(R_1,R_2) + \Delta^6(R_1,R_2) + \ldots \quad \text{(III.6)}$$

where $\Delta^2(R_1,R_2)$, for example, is

$$\Delta^2(R_1,R_2) = \int dR_3 \Delta(R_1,R_3)\Delta(R_3,R_2) \quad . \quad \text{(III.7)}$$

Using harmonic oscillator vibrational wave functions for AB and BC, we find the kernel for our special case, with total angular momentum J, to be[13]

$$\Delta(R_1,R_2) = \frac{R_1R_2}{4\pi} \int_0^{\pi} d\gamma \sin\gamma \, \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}} \, e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{2}r_1^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{2}r_2^2} \, d_{oo}^J(\gamma) \, , \quad \text{(III.8)}$$

where γ is the angle between the R_1 and R_2 vectors, and r_1 and r_2 are the vibrational coordinates of BC and AB with force constants α and β. The transformation equations from R_1, R_2 to r_1, r_2 are[10]

$$r_1^2 = \mu_1^2 \left[\frac{R_1^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{R_2^2}{m_2^2} + 2\frac{R_1R_2}{M_2m_2}\cos\gamma \right] \, , \quad \text{(III.9)}$$

$$r_2^2 = \mu_2^2 \left[\frac{R_2^2}{M_2^2} + \frac{R_1^2}{m_1^2} + 2\frac{R_1 R_2}{M_2 m_1} \cos\gamma \right] , \qquad \text{(III.10)}$$

where m_1 is the reduced mass of BC, m_2 is the reduced mass of AB, M_2 is the mass of B, and μ_1 and μ_2 are the reduced channel masses of arrangements A + BC and AB + C, respectively. With Equations (III.9) and (III.10) we can write the kernel as

$$\Delta(R_1,R_2) = R_1 R_2 (-1)^{-J} \frac{C_1}{2} e^{-C_2 R_1^2 - C_3 R_2^2} \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos\gamma)\, e^{C_4 R_1 R_2 \cos\gamma} P_J(\cos\gamma) , \qquad \text{(III.11)}$$

where $P_J(\cos\gamma) = d_{oo}^{J}(\cos\gamma)$, and the constants C_i are defined as

$$C_1 = \frac{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi^{3/2}} (-1)^J$$

$$C_2 = \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_1^2}{2M_2^2} + \frac{\beta^2 \mu_2^2}{2m_1^2} , \qquad \text{(III.12)}$$

$$C_3 = \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_2^2}{2M_2^2} + \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_1^2}{2m_2^2} ,$$

$$C_4 = \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_1^2}{M_2 m_2} + \frac{\beta^2 \mu_2^2}{M_2 m_1} .$$

The exponential function can be expanded as[14]

$$e^{-C_4 R_1 R_2 \cos\gamma} = \sum_{J'=0} (-1)^{J'} (2J'+1) \left[\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2C_4 R_1 R_2}}\, I_{J'+\frac{1}{2}} (C_4 R_1 R_2) \right] P_{J'}(\cos\gamma) \qquad \text{(III.13)}$$

where $i_J(z)$, defined as

$$i_J(z) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}\ I_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(z) \quad , \tag{III.14}$$

is a Modified Spherical Bessel function of the first kind and is defined in terms of $J_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(z)$ for our case as

$$i_J(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}}\ e^{-J\pi i/2}\ J_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(z e^{\pi i/2}) \quad . \tag{III.15}$$

Using the orthogonality relation

$$\int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos\gamma) P_{J'}(\cos\gamma) P_J(\cos\gamma) = 2\delta_{JJ'}/(2J+1) \quad , \tag{III.16}$$

the kernel in Equation (III.11) is thus found to be

$$\Delta(R_1,R_2) = R_1 R_2 C_1 e^{-C_2 R_1^2 - C_3 R_2^2}\ i_J(C_4 R_1 R_2) \quad .$$

To calculate K_{11} as given by Equation (III.6), we begin by calculating Δ^2 in Equation (III.7),

$$\Delta^2(R_1,R_2) = \int_0^\infty dR_3 R_2 R_1 R_3^2 C_1^2 e^{-C_2 R_1^2 - (C_2+C_3)R_3^2 - C_3 R_2^2} \times\ i_J(C_4 R_1 R_3)\ i_J(C_4 R_2 R_3) \quad . \tag{III.18}$$

Rewriting $\Delta^2(R_1,R_2)$ with the help of Equation (III.15) as

$$\Delta^2(R_1,R_2) = \frac{C_1^2\sqrt{R_1R_2}}{C_4}\, e^{-C_2R_1^2 - C_3R_2^2} \int_0^\infty dR_3 R_3 e^{-(C_2+C_3)R_3^2}\, e^{-J\pi i}$$

$$\times J_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(iC_4R_1R_3)\, J_{J+\frac{1}{2}}(iC_4R_2R_3) \quad , \qquad \text{(III.19)}$$

we can write the result directly from integral tables as[15]

$$\Delta^2(R_1,R_2) = R_1R_2 \frac{C_1^2\sqrt{\pi}}{4(C_2+C_3)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\, e^{-2J\pi i}\, e^{-\left[C_2 - \frac{C_4^2}{4(C_2+C_3)}\right]R_1^2 - \left[C_3 - \frac{C_4^2}{4(C_2+C_3)}\right]R_2^2}$$

$$\times i_J\left(\frac{C_4^2 R_1R_2}{2(C_2+C_3)}\right) \quad . \qquad \text{(III.20)}$$

This result is most interesting, for Δ^2 has the same form as Δ. We can therefore write the general expression for Δ^m

$$\Delta^m(R_1,R_2) = R_1R_2C_1^{(m)}\, e^{-C_2^{(m)}R_1^2 - C_3^{(m)}R_2^2}\, i_J(C_4^{(m)}R_1R_2) \quad , \qquad \text{(III.21)}$$

where we have the recursion relations for the constants $C_i^{(m)}$ ($C_i^{(1)}$ being equal to C_i of Equation (III.12))

$$C_1^{(m)} = \frac{\left(C_1^{(m-1)}\right)^2\sqrt{\pi}}{4\left(C_2^{(m-1)} + C_3^{(m-1)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \quad ,$$

$$C_2^{(m)} = C_2^{(m-1)} - \frac{\left(C_4^{(m-1)}\right)^2}{4\left(C_2^{(m-1)} + C_3^{(m-1)}\right)} \quad , \qquad \text{(III.22)}$$

$$C_3^{(m)} = C_3^{(m-1)} - \frac{\left(C_4^{(m-1)}\right)^2}{4\left(C_2^{(m-1)} + C_3^{(m-1)}\right)} \quad ,$$

$$C_4^{(m)} = \frac{\left(C_4^{(m-1)}\right)^2}{2\left(C_2^{(m-1)} + C_3^{(m-1)}\right)} \quad .$$

If $\Delta^2(R_1,R_2)$ is small, say less than unity for all R_1 and R_2, then Equation (III.21) is quite useful, for we can write $K_{11}(R_1,R_2)$ through Equation (III.6), retaining only a small number of terms which we can write down immediately from Equation (III.21). We know K_{22} since $K_{22} = K_{11}$, and the off-diagonal terms of the inverse kernel matrix are

$$K_{12}(R_1,R_2) = K_{21}(R_1,R_2) = \Delta(R_1,R_2) + \Delta^3(R_1,R_2) + \Delta^5(R_1,R_2) + \ldots \tag{III.23}$$

We can therefore write P if the kernel is less than unity for all R_1 and R_2, which is indicated by the shady area in the Figure below the horizontal line at unity.



On the other hand, if the kernel goes to zero quickly as R_1 or $R_2 \to \infty$, as shown, for example, by the shaded area under the "Gaussian" in the Figure, then we can use the fact that the kernel can be made separable in this case to solve for K_{ij} exactly. We can expand $i_J(z)$ in a series as[14]

$$i_J(z) = \frac{z^J}{1.3.5\ldots(2J+1)}\left\{1 + \frac{\frac{1}{2}z^2}{1!(2J+3)} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z^2\right)^2}{2!(2J+3)(2J+5)} + \ldots\right\} \tag{III.24}$$

$K_{11}(R_1,R_2)$ is written from Equation (III.6) as

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \int dR_3\, \Delta^2(R_1,R_3)K_{11}(R_1,R_3) \quad . \tag{III.25}$$

0 0 0 0 3 8 0 0 3 0

$\Delta^2(R_1,R_3)$ can be expanded in a complete set $h_n(R_3)$[16]

$$\Delta^2(R_1,R_3) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(R_1)h_n(R_3) \quad . \tag{III.26}$$

If Δ behaves as the "Gaussian" in the Figure (i.e.,it approaches zero quickly as R_1 or $R_2 \to \infty$), then we need only a few terms in the summation in Equation (III.26), which we can identify immediately from Equation (III.24), Defining $A_n(R_2)$ as

$$A_n(R_2) = \int dR_3 h_n(R_3)K_{11}(R_3,R_2) \quad , \tag{III.27}$$

K_{11} then is written as

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \sum_n g_n(R_1)A_n(R_2) \quad . \tag{III.28}$$

Substituting Equation (III.27) into Equation (III.28), we arrive at the following set of equations for $A_m(R_2)$

$$\sum_{m=1} (\delta_{nm} - \alpha_{nm})A_m(R_2) = h_n(R_2) \quad , \tag{III.29}$$

where

$$\alpha_{nm} = \int dR_3 h_n(R_3)g_m(R_3). \tag{III.30}$$

For example, if we need only one term of the series for $i_J(z)$, then $K_{11}(R_1,R_2)$ is (for $J = 0$)

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \frac{C_1^{(2)}R_1R_2 e^{-C_2^{(2)}R_2^2 - C_3^{(2)}R_3^2}}{1-C_1^{(2)}\sqrt{\pi}/4\left(C_2^{(2)}+C_3^{(2)}\right)^{3/2}} \quad . \tag{III.31}$$

If the kernel falls anywhere in the shaded area in the Figure, we are able to calculate K_{ij}, and thus P, exactly. Let us consider an example, such as the rearrangement $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ on the lowest adiabatic electronic surface and with $J = 0$. The constant γ in the Morse potential for H_2 in the singlet state, $V(r) = D_e\left\{1-\exp(-\gamma(r-r_e))\right\}^2$, where D_e is the well-depth and r_e is the equilibrium distance, is given as 1.04 a.u.[17] We have used the ground harmonic oscillator wave functions for the vibrational state of H_2 in our expression for Δ, so we expand $V(r)$ to second order, equate it to the harmonic oscillator potential, and find that γ and α are related in this approximation by the equation $\alpha/2 = \gamma^2 D_e$. We thus estimate α to be near .38 a.u. and list in Table I the values of $C_i^{(n)}$ for $\alpha = \beta = .48$, .38, and .28 a.u. (see Equation III.22). The odd values of n appear in the series expression for K_{12}, K_{21} (Equation III.23) and the even values appear in the series expression for K_{11}, K_{22} (Equation III.6). As we see from Table I, we need retain only a few terms in the series expressions for K_{ij} due to their rapid convergence. The kernel falls into the shaded area beneath the horizontal line at unity in the Figure.

As α and β are decreased, the Gaussian wave functions for the internal vibrational states of the two arrangements broaden, so that the amount of overlap between them increases. In Table II we see for the system of masses $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ that when the value of α goes from .130 to .129, $C_1^{(n)}$ no longer decreases as n increases. $C_2^{(n)}$ and $C_3^{(n)}$ decrease as α and β decrease, which we expect from the form of Δ^2 in Equation (III.20), i.e., smaller $C_2^{(n)}$ and $C_3^{(n)}$ mean larger Δ^2. The value of $\alpha = \beta = .130$ for the mass combination $H + H_2$ seems to be critical as far as the rapid convergence of the series in Equation (III.6), so that the results of

0 0 0 0 3 8 0 0 0 3 1

Equations (III.7) and (III.20) are useful only for α and β above that critical value. $\Delta^{(n)}(R_1,R_2)$, of course, goes to zero as R_1, $R_2 \to \infty$ for α,β below, as well as above, .130 since $C_2^{(n)}$ and $C_3^{(n)}$ are positive.

B. Semiclassical Form.[18] Designating the initial scattering state by its momentum P_1 and the final scattering state by its momentum P_2, the matrix element $< P_2|P_1 >$ is given in the classical limit as

$$<P_2|P_1> = Je^{if_4(P_2,P_1)}, \tag{III.32}$$

where f_4 is the generator of the rearrangement, and

$$J = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i\hbar}\ \frac{\partial^2 f_4(P_2,P_1)}{\partial P_2 \partial P_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{III.33}$$

Since $< P_2|P_1 >$ is the Fourier transform of the kernel, we can Fourier transform $< P_2|P_1 >$ to obtain

$$\Delta(R_1,R_2) = (2\pi\hbar)^{-1}\int dP_1 \int dP_2\ Je^{i(R_2P_2-R_1P_1)/\hbar}\ e^{if_4(P_2,P_1)/\hbar}, \tag{III.34}$$

$K_{11}(R_1,R_2)$, for example, is written as

$$K_{11}(R_1,R_2) = \delta(R_1-R_2) + \int dR_3 \int dR_4 \int \Delta(R_1,R_3)\Delta(R_3,R_4)K_{11}(R_4,R_2), \tag{III.35}$$

and when we perform the integrations over coordinates and momenta by stationary phase, we find $K_{ij}(R_1,R_2)$ to be a local operator. This means that in the classical limit the contribution to exchange occurs only when R_1 equals R_2.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have shown how one can construct the inverse kernel, and thus P, for the special case of the rearrangement collision of an atom with a diatomic molecule A + BC → AB + C, restricting ourselves to the ground vibrational and rotational states of BC and AB but allowing the total angular momentum to be arbitrary. We were able to do this by considering the general form of P in Equation (II.17). The construction of the inverse kernel is very difficult except for special cases, such as the one we considered. Our construction was made particularly easy by the use of Gaussian wave functions for the vibrational states of BC and AB.

Although the form of P in Equation (II.17) is the most general that we know of for molecular rearrangements, it is by no means unique. P is unique only in the asymptotic channels, and as long as P is correct asymptotically, we are free to construct it in the way most suitable for the system of interest. One possible construction is to avoid the non-orthogonality problem by choosing wave functions of the same zeroth order Hamiltonian to describe reactant and product states[19,20]. We consider, for example, the three-body rearrangement A + BC → AB + C with the channel AC + B closed. The Hamiltonian for the system can be written as $H = K + V_{AB} + V_{BC} + V_{AC} + V_{ABC}$, where K is the kinetic energy, V_{AB} is the potential interaction between A and B, and V_{ABC} is the three-body potential interaction. We designate $\psi_{\alpha i}$ as the eigenfunction of $H_o = K + V_{AB} + V_{BC}$, which goes asymptotically to internal state i of arrangement α(A + BC), and $\psi_{\beta j}$ as the eigenfunction of H_o going asymptotically to internal state j of arrangement β(AB + C). Then the rearrangement, which is a transition from $\psi_{\alpha i}$ to $\psi_{\beta j}$, can occur only through the residual interaction $V_{AC} + V_{ABC}$.

The projector onto open channels can then be written as

$$P = \sum_i \psi_{\alpha i} \times \psi_{\alpha i} + \sum_j \psi_{\beta j} \times \psi_{\beta j} \quad , \tag{IV.1}$$

where the summation runs over all energetically accessible states. One must use caution, however, in choosing P in this manner. For example, in a coupled channel calculation on the equation

$$\left\{PHP - E\right\}P\Psi = 0 \quad , \tag{IV.2}$$

where Ψ is the total wave function, the above choice of P is most likely poor if there are significant long-range forces present[20].

The procedure of choosing some zeroth-order Hamiltonian H_o is a familiar one in collision theory. In nuclear physics H_o could be the shell-model Hamiltonian[21]. In molecular rearrangements H_o could be chosen to be the Hartree-Fock Hamiltonian, in which case the P, constructed as in Equation (IV.1), can be a projector onto the electronic space only. If P is defined more generally as in Equation (II.17), then it does not necessarily commute with a chosen H_o. However, the construction of P as in Equation (IV.1) can prove convenient since it commutes with H_o[19].

As a final comment, P is not always needed explicitly. For example, the coupled equations for open channels for rearrangements can be constructed without P[22], but the solution of the coupled equations can be facilitated with an explicit form for P.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.- One of us (TFG) acknowledges helpful discussions with Professor Y. Hahn. This work was supported under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

TABLE I

	n	$C_1(n)$	$C_2(n)$	$C_3(n)$	$C_4(n)$
$\alpha=\beta=.48$	1	4.31×10^{-2}	2.56×10^{-1}	2.56×10^{-1}	4.10×10^{-1}
	2	2.25×10^{-3}	1.74×10^{-1}	1.74×10^{-1}	1.64×10^{-1}
	3	1.09×10^{-5}	1.55×10^{-1}	1.55×10^{-1}	3.86×10^{-2}
	4	3.05×10^{-10}	1.54×10^{-1}	1.54×10^{-1}	2.40×10^{-3}
	5	2.42×10^{-19}	1.54×10^{-1}	1.54×10^{-1}	9.38×10^{-6}
	6	1.53×10^{-37}	1.54×10^{-1}	1.54×10^{-1}	1.43×10^{-10}
$\alpha=\beta=.38$	1	3.41×10^{-2}	1.60×10^{-1}	1.60×10^{-1}	2.57×10^{-1}
	2	2.84×10^{-3}	1.09×10^{-1}	1.09×10^{-1}	1.03×10^{-1}
	3	3.50×10^{-5}	9.70×10^{-2}	9.70×10^{-2}	2.42×10^{-2}
	4	6.36×10^{-9}	9.63×10^{-2}	9.63×10^{-2}	1.50×10^{-3}
	5	2.12×10^{-16}	9.63×10^{-2}	9.63×10^{-2}	5.88×10^{-6}
	6	2.36×10^{-31}	9.63×10^{-2}	9.63×10^{-2}	8.97×10^{-11}
$\alpha=\beta=.28$	1	2.51×10^{-2}	8.71×10^{-2}	8.71×10^{-2}	1.39×10^{-1}
	2	3.85×10^{-3}	5.92×10^{-2}	5.92×10^{-2}	5.58×10^{-2}
	3	1.61×10^{-4}	5.27×10^{-2}	5.27×10^{-2}	1.31×10^{-2}
	4	3.37×10^{-7}	5.23×10^{-2}	5.23×10^{-2}	8.17×10^{-4}
	5	1.49×10^{-12}	5.23×10^{-2}	5.23×10^{-2}	3.19×10^{-6}
	6	2.90×10^{-23}	5.23×10^{-2}	5.23×10^{-2}	4.87×10^{-11}

TABLE II

	n	$c_1^{(n)}$	$c_2^{(n)}$	$c_3^{(n)}$	$c_4^{(n)}$
$\alpha=\beta=.130$	1	1.17×10^{-2}	1.88×10^{-2}	1.88×10^{-2}	3.00×10^{-2}
	2	8.30×10^{-3}	1.28×10^{-2}	1.28×10^{-2}	1.20×10^{-2}
	3	7.47×10^{-3}	1.14×10^{-2}	1.14×10^{-2}	2.83×10^{-3}
	4	7.23×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.76×10^{-4}
	5	6.85×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	6.88×10^{-7}
	6	6.15×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.05×10^{-11}
	7	4.95×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	2.44×10^{-21}
	8	3.21×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.32×10^{-40}
	9	1.35×10^{-3}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	3.89×10^{-79}
	10	2.38×10^{-4}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	3.36×10^{-156}
	11	7.40×10^{-6}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	0.
	12	7.18×10^{-9}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	0.
	13	6.75×10^{-15}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	0.
	14	5.96×10^{-27}	1.13×10^{-2}	1.13×10^{-2}	0.
$\alpha=\beta=.129$	1	1.16×10^{-2}	1.85×10^{-2}	1.85×10^{-2}	2.96×10^{-2}
	2	8.36×10^{-3}	1.26×10^{-2}	1.26×10^{-2}	1.18×10^{-2}
	3	7.77×10^{-3}	1.12×10^{-2}	1.12×10^{-2}	2.78×10^{-3}
	4	7.99×10^{-3}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.73×10^{-4}
	5	8.57×10^{-3}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	6.77×10^{-7}
	6	9.84×10^{-3}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.03×10^{-11}
	7	1.30×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	2.41×10^{-21}
	8	2.26×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.30×10^{-40}
	9	6.86×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	3.83×10^{-79}
	10	6.31×10^{-1}	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	3.31×10^{-156}
	11	$5.34 \times 10^{+1}$	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	0.
	12	$3.82 \times 10^{+5}$	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	0.
	13	$1.96 \times 10^{+13}$	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	0.
	14	$5.15 \times 10^{+28}$	1.11×10^{-2}	1.11×10^{-2}	0.
$\alpha=\beta=.01$	1	8.98×10^{-4}	1.11×10^{-4}	1.11×10^{-4}	1.78×10^{-4}
	2	1.08×10^{-1}	7.56×10^{-5}	7.56×10^{-5}	7.11×10^{-5}
	3	$2.77 \times 10^{+3}$	6.72×10^{-5}	6.72×10^{-5}	1.67×10^{-5}
	4	$2.19 \times 10^{+12}$	6.67×10^{-5}	6.67×10^{-5}	1.04×10^{-6}
	5	$1.38 \times 10^{+30}$	6.67×10^{-5}	6.67×10^{-5}	4.07×10^{-9}
	6	$5.48 \times 10^{+65}$	6.67×10^{-5}	6.67×10^{-5}	6.21×10^{-14}

CAPTION FOR FIGURE

The range is the overlap kernel $\Delta = \Delta(R_1, R_2)$ for a three-atom rearrangement process and the abscissa is either R_1 or R_2. For the special case considered, i.e., the ground to ground vibrational and rotational state transition, the inverse kernel can be found exactly if Δ falls in the shaded area. See the text for an explanation of the two overlapping parts of the shaded area.

CAPTIONS FOR TABLES

TABLE I

Table I lists $C_i^{(n)}$ (Equation (III.22)) for the rearrangement process $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ to and from the ground vibrational and rotational states of H_2 for $J = 0$. Different sets of $C_i^{(n)}$ are shown for the values of the force constant (α) of H_2 set equal to .48, .38, and .28 a.u. (in comparison with the results of Reference 17 for the potential energy curve of H_2, .38 a.u. appears to be the most reasonable choice). It is seen that the convergence of the series for K_{ij} (Equations (III.6) and (III.22)) is quite rapid for all R_1 and R_2 for the above values of α.

TABLE II

Table II lists $C_i^{(n)}$ for $H + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H$ under the same conditions as Table I, where it is seen that as α is changed from .130 to .129 a.u. the series for K_{ij} no longer converges.

REFERENCES

† Alfred P. Sloan Fellow

1. H. Feshbach, Ann. Phys., (N. Y.) 19, 287 (1962).
2. One is not required to define P and Q in this manner, but Equation (I.1) is the most conventional and useful definition of P.
3. The nonorthogonality manifests itself in the fact that $[P_i,P_j] \neq 0$, where P_i is a projector onto the open channels of arrangement i. However, $P_iP_j \to 0$ in the weak sense in the asymptotic channel regions. See M. L. Goldberger and K. M. Watson, Collision Theory (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1964), Appendix C, and Y. Hahn, Phys. Rev., 169, 796 (1968).
4. M. H. Mittleman, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 28, 430 (1964).
5. M. Coz, Ann Phys. (N. Y.) 35, 54 (1965); 36, 217 (1966).
6. J. C. Y. Chen and M. H. Mittleman, Ann. Phys. (N. Y.) 37, 264 (1966).
7. J. C. Y. Chen, Phys. Rev., 148, 66 (1966). The expressions for P in Reference 6 account for recoil of the target only in special cases.
8. Y. Hahn, Phys. Rev., 142, 603 (1966).
9. L. D. Faddeev, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz., 39, 1459 (1960) [English transl.: Soviet Physics JETP, 12, 1014 (1961)].
10. W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys., 50, 407 (1968).
11. W. H. Miller, lecture notes at Harvard University, fall, 1968.
12. G. Wolkin, Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1971.
13. W. H. Miller, J. Chem. Phys., 53, 1949 (1970).
14. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Eds., Handbook of Mathematical Functions (National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55, 1964), Chapter 10; G. N. Watson, Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1966).

15. I. S. Gradshteyn and I. N. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products (Academic Press, New York, 1965).

16. P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York, 1953), Chapter 8.4.

17. W. Kolos and C. C. Roothaan, Rev. Mod. Phys., 32, 219 (1960).

18. Y. Hahn and K. M. Watson have recently constructed projection operators onto various subsets of states of a quantum mechanical system in a semiclassical approximation based on the Wigner transformation of statistical mechanics (submitted to Phys. Rev.).

19. T. F. George and J. Ross (to appear in J. Chem. Phys.); private discussion with R. G. Gilbert.

20. Y. Hahn and A. Russek, Phys. Rev., 168, 78 (1968).

21. C. Mahaux and H. A. Weidenmüller, Shell-Model Approach to Nuclear Reactions (John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1969).

22. Y. Hahn, Physics Letters, 30B, 595 (1969). See also Reference 10.



XBL 724-6148

Fig. 1

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. Neither the United States nor the United States Atomic Energy Commission, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights.

TECHNICAL INFORMATION DIVISION
LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720