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Abstract

Uranium (U) groundwater contamination is a major concern at numerous former mining and milling sites across the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB), USA, where U(IV)-bearing solids have accumulated within naturally reduced zones (NRZs). Understanding the processes governing U reduction and oxidation within NRZs is critical for assessing the persistence of U in groundwater. To evaluate the redox cycling of uranium, we measured the U concentrations and isotopic compositions (δ²³⁸U) of sediments and pore waters from four study sites across the UCRB that span a gradient in sediment texture and composition. We observe that U accumulation occurs primarily within fine-grained (low-permeability) NRZs that show active redox variations. Low-permeability NRZs display high accumulation and low export of U, with internal redox cycling of U. In contrast, within high-permeability NRZs, U is remobilized under oxidative conditions, possibly without any fractionation, and transported outside the NRZs. The low δ²³⁸U of sediments outside of defined NRZs suggests that these reduced zones act as additional U sources. Collectively, our results indicate that fine-grained NRZs have a
greater potential to retain uranium, whereas NRZs with higher permeability may constitute a more-persistent but dilute U source.

Introduction

Groundwater monitoring by US Department of Energy (DOE) as part of the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) shows persistent uranium (U) plumes at numerous sites across the Upper Colorado River Basin (UCRB), USA,(1−4) with concentrations of groundwater U above the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) groundwater maximum concentration limit (MCL) of 30 μg/L.(5) Understanding the processes driving U plume persistence at legacy ore-processing sites is a major challenge at the regional scale. Recent studies(3,6,7) highlighted the importance of “naturally reduced zones” (NRZs), consisting of sulfidic sediments enriched in organic carbon, that foster the accumulation of solid-associated U(IV) (noted as U(IV)\text{sol}) (3,6,8−11). Release of U due to oxidation of these U pools accumulated in NRZs is suspected to contribute to plume persistence.(3,4,9) Recent studies(12,13) suggest microbially mediated reduction within NRZs as a mechanism for U accumulation, whereas U mobilization is thought to be driven by oxidative mechanisms.(3,9) Although U in contaminated sites across the UCRB has been thoroughly studied in terms of speciation, (3,6−10,14) only a few studies have used U isotopes (i.e., $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U}$) to trace biogeochemical processes. Most studies to date have focused on Rifle, CO, a well-studied site where bioremediation experiments were conducted, (12,15,16) or on in situ recovery (ISR) uranium mining.(17−19) In this study, we quantify the isotopic fingerprint of natural U(VI) reduction in these complex systems by measuring U isotopes ratios ($\delta^{238}\text{U}$) of natural sediment and pore water samples from four UCRB sites.

Alluvial sediments in UCRB floodplains were shown to exhibit organic carbon (OC)-enriched lenses dispersed within the detrital sediment.(4,7,20,21) In these zones, oxygen consumption due to organic matter decomposition under water-saturated conditions can locally develop reducing conditions.(7) Aqueous U(VI) (noted as U(VI)\text{aq}) diffusing from the legacy U ore-processing hosting sites into NRZ lenses of floodplain sediments downstream from these sites can thus be locally reduced to U(IV)\text{sol}, resulting in U concentrations >200-fold higher than background concentrations.(3,6,9,11,14) In NRZs, the
solid fraction of U was shown to be dominantly “non-crystalline” U(IV) phases that are very sensitive to oxidation. The permeability of NRZs to oxidants thus controls the potential remobilization of U(IV)_{sol}. As suggested in Noël et al., two types of NRZs can be described depending on their particle size: (i) “fine-grained” NRZs (enriched in the <150 μm grain size fraction) that display a very low permeability and result in strong redox gradients and (ii) “coarse-grained” NRZs (with a similar grain size distribution to surrounding sediments) that are highly permeable and show greater penetration of oxidants, enhancing seasonal redox oscillations.

Seasonal water table fluctuations are predicted to episodically expose NRZs to oxidants, such as aqueous species and dissolved gases, or directly to air and may oxidize accumulated U(IV)_{sol} and subsequently export solubilized U via groundwater transport. A recent model contradicts this assumption and proposes that redox oscillations, driven by annual water table fluctuations, could promote internal cycling of U because of diffusion limitations dominant in fine-grained NRZ sediments, minimizing export from the NRZ pore waters to groundwater.

Thus, NRZs are nexuses of biogeochemical activity driven by hydrological dynamics that may dictate floodplain water quality at a regional scale. Uranium behavior in response to hydrological variability remains poorly understood and is currently only estimated by its speciation. Complementary proxies of biogeochemical processes such as isotopes are required to improve predictions of U cycling and consequent short- and long-term impacts on water quality.

Reduction of U(VI) to U(IV) may occur either abiotically or biotically when bacteria utilize U as an electron acceptor. On the basis of experimental studies, reduction of U(VI) in the presence of abiotic reducing minerals, organics or sulfides, results in little to no enrichment of light isotopes \(^{235}U\) in the reduced product. The exception is for magnetite, green rust, and aqueous Fe\(^{2+}\) that appear to cause preferential reduction of \(^{235}U\) in experiments. In contrast, biotic reduction by bacterial enzymes results in substantial enrichment of heavy isotopes \(^{238}U\) in the U(IV) product, corresponding to enrichment factors from \(+0.68\%\) to \(+0.99\%\) depending on the biological substrate. Several strains of metal-reducing bacteria capable of reducing U have been observed in NRZs, including Geobacter and Desulfobacteraceae. Biostimulation experiments at Rifle, CO displayed a putative biotic signature with consistent depletion of \(^{238}U\) in the dissolved U(VI)\(_{aq}\) phase, underlining the major influence of biologically induced U reduction in response to artificial simulation.

Although biological reduction of U is thought to generate much of the observed fractionation, a recent experimental study showed that abiotic reduction by mackinawite (FeS) in the presence of aqueous calcium (Ca) may induce similar enrichment factors by suppressing the overall
removal rate, underlining the influence of aqueous speciation. All of our sites display relatively high Ca levels (0.3–8.4 mmol/L, mostly >1 mmol/L). Consequently, although redox reactions should impart a clear isotopic signature, we cannot distinguish between biotic and abiotic reduction pathways. However, previous field studies infer reduction in these environments to be strongly mediated by microbial reactions.

Adsorption of U(VI)\textsubscript{aq} is expected to cause a slight enrichment of light isotopes in the adsorbed pool, with a fractionation of $-0.15\%$ for common aquifer minerals. However, field studies of uranium desorption at Rifle, CO in response to bicarbonate amendment did not show any significant fractionation. A major remaining uncertainty is the oxidative remobilization step, which has not been well constrained under natural conditions. On the basis of laboratory and field observations, we infer that no fractionation occurs during oxidation. As demonstrated for Rifle, CO by Jemison et al., this would be in accordance with a “rind effect” as described by Wang et al.: U isotopic fractionation appears to be limited by the complete oxidation of the outermost U(IV) layer before oxidizing the next layer. This kinetic limitation results in little to no fractionation.

To evaluate U cycling within NRZs, sediments and pore waters were collected from four field sites: Naturita, Grand Junction, Rifle, CO, and Shiprock, NM covering a linear distance of 410 km across a N–S transect through the central portion of the UCRB. Given our current understanding of uranium isotopes, we expect the isotopic signature of the NRZs to provide a measure of the amount of U reduction and, by extension, net U retention. When one assumes that U reduction in NRZ sediments leads to isotopic fractionation between the solid and pore waters, whereas oxidation of U(IV) should not (see discussion in ref (50)), the isotopic variability of sediments should provide a qualitative indication of the relative amount of U reduction within the different NRZs. In tandem, the pore waters are expected to provide unique information about the timing and extent of episodic sediment oxidation and U remobilization. This issue is critical for understanding U behavior in response to fluctuating redox conditions and is thus essential to improving bioremediation strategies.

Knowledge produced by this work ultimately supports the development of regional conceptual and numerical models of U behavior and transport within floodplains.

Materials and Methods

Study Sites

U concentration and isotope measurements were performed on solid fractions of sediment cores that contain NRZs from four former U processing sites: Naturita (NAT-M8-1), Grand Junction (GJAST15B), Rifle (RFL 748 and RFL 749), CO, and Shiprock, NM (SR-DM-02) (Figure 1). We also analyzed pore waters collected from three cores (NAT-M8-1, GJAST15B, and SR-DM-02). All sites are located along riverbanks and show groundwater flow toward
the river, causing progressive migration of the groundwater U plume. Naturita and Grand Junction sediments and pore waters were collected in October, when the water table is low, whereas Shiprock solid fractions and water samples were collected in April, when the water table is high. All pore waters were collected below the groundwater level. Groundwater was collected from well SR618 at Shiprock at the same date. Sample extraction and storage methods are described in the Supporting Information.

In addition to the sediment cores and pore waters, we analyzed a sample of the uranium ore concentrate from the Union Carbide Nuclear Corp, Uravan, CO. Although this site does not correspond directly to the study locations, it provides a constraint on the average isotopic composition of the original tailings, which are no longer available for analysis.

Naturita and Grand Junction NRZs are coarse-grained NRZs, whereas Rifle and Shiprock NRZs are fine-grained.\(^7,9,11\) Because the Shiprock fine-grained NRZ is located in the zone of water table fluctuation, accompanied by strong evapotranspiration, and consequently partly and temporarily exposed to air, we refer to this NRZ as a transiently saturated fine-grained NRZ.\(^11\) Conversely to Shiprock, the coarse- and fine-grained NRZs of Naturita, Grand Junction, and Rifle are referred to as predominantly
saturated NRZs, although the shallower NRZ (300–400 cm) of Naturita may be considered as seasonally saturated.

Uranium speciation in the fine- and coarse-grained predominantly saturated NRZs is mostly noncrystalline U(IV) formed under water-saturated conditions and mainly complexed to organic carbon and mineral surfaces,(57–62) with minor crystalline U(IV) found in coarse-grained NRZs at Naturita and Grand Junction sites.(9) The U oxidation state in the fine-grained transiently saturated NRZs show that U(VI)$_{\text{sol}}$ is accumulated in similar proportions to U(IV)$_{\text{sol}}$. The U(VI)$_{\text{sol}}$ is suspected to be preferentially associated with solid phases that are kinetically stable against dissolution, i.e., sorbed to minerals and organic particles associated with evaporite mineral assemblages, or possibly precipitated as uranyl minerals.(11)

Chemical Analysis

Bulk sediments were analyzed for their organic composition,(13) chemical and mineralogical composition,(7,11) and U concentration and speciation. (9,11) Uranium concentrations reported here were analyzed using X-ray fluorescence spectrometry with a XEPOS (Spectro X Lab) X-ray fluorescence spectrometer. The speciation of sulfur (S) in the sediment cores included in this study(13) was used here to indicate the sediment redox state. Sulfur mineralogical composition was determined using X-ray absorption spectroscopy combined with X-ray microprobes.(7)

Uranium and calcium concentrations from pore waters were measured on an inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (ICP-MS). Aquous concentrations correspond to the <0.2 μm dissolved and colloidal fraction.

Chemical Separation and Isotopic Analysis

Uranium in sediment samples corresponds to bulk solid-associated phases. Samples from Naturita, Grand Junction, and Shiprock, as well as U ore samples, were digested with a mix of Optima concentrated hydrofluoric, nitric, and hydrochloric acids. An aliquot was extracted for U concentration measurements on a Nu Attom ICP-MS at the Stanford University ICP-MS/TIMS Facility and/or by a Thermo X-series 2 ICP-MS at the EM-1 Facility. All water samples were acidified with concentrated HNO$_3$. Samples from RFL 748 and 749 cores were dissolved using a digestion method involving successive acid etching and heating before analysis of U concentrations on a Thermo iCAP ICP-MS at the University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign (UIUC).

Samples were spiked with the IRMM 3636a $^{233}$U–$^{236}$U tracer with sample/spike ratios of $\sim$20 to correct for experimental and mass biases.(63) The samples were then purified via column chromatography using UTEVA resin (Eichrom Technologies, LLC). The separated, dried-down U fractions were further dissolved in 0.05 N nitric acid prior to isotopic analysis.

The $^{238}$U/$^{235}$U ratios were measured on a Nu Plasma multicollector ICP-MS at Stanford University and at UIUC. The $^{238}$U/$^{235}$U values are reported relative to
the CRM-145 standard (certified value of $^{238}\text{U}/^{235}\text{U} = 137.837 \pm 0.015(64)$)

according to eq 1.

Each sample was measured three times. Analytical errors (two standard deviations, 2SD) of $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ are equal to 0.12‰ and were determined using repeated measurements ($n = 72$) of bracketing standards CRM-145 and CRM-112a. United States Geologic Survey basaltic rock reference material BCR-2 was processed alongside samples from dissolution through analysis. Seven measurements resulted in a $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ of $-0.27 \pm 0.15$‰ within the range of values reported in other studies. Average uncertainties for U concentrations are based on several replicate samples that were digested and purified separately (external reproducibility) and are equal to 9.1% (2SD) for Naturita, Grand Junction, CO, and Shiprock, NM samples and equal to 13% for Rifle samples.

Further details about experimental and instrumental methods are available in the Supporting Information.

Results

The concentration of sulfur (S) as iron sulfides (FeS and FeS$_2$) normalized to that of total S indicates NRZ horizons, as does enrichment in organic carbon, and can be compared to vertical profiles of uranium concentrations and isotopic ratios for the cores from Naturita, Grand Junction, Rifle, and Shiprock (Figure 2). NRZs are identified either within the transiently saturated zone or in the deeper predominantly saturated zone. High U concentrations are generally associated with high S levels, and U accumulation is especially substantial in the transiently saturated zone that is subject to intense redox variations. The range of U concentrations is greatest at Shiprock, where concentrations reach as high as 218 μg/g. In comparison, maximum concentrations in cores NAT-M8-1 and RFL 748 are on the order of 50 μg/g, with the lowest maximum concentrations measured in GJAST15B (7.17 μg/g) and RFL 749 (1.81 μg/g). U isotopic compositions ($\delta^{238}\text{U}$) display variable ranges with maximum variations at Naturita (from $-0.72$‰ to $0.90$‰) and intermediate variations at Grand Junction and Shiprock (from $-0.90$‰ to $0.25$‰ and from $-0.57$‰ to $0.60$‰, respectively). The lowest $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ ranges are measured in both cores RFL 748 and 749 from Rifle. The $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ values are systematically elevated in NRZs. Elevated U concentrations and $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ values are also observed in the shallow unsaturated zone at the Grand Junction site. The present study is focused on NRZs; geochemical results from this shallower zone will be described in a separate study. Samples collected in the upper unsaturated zone at Naturita and Shiprock display low U concentration and $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ values, indicating that no significant U accumulation and redox processes are occurring within this oxic zone. In comparison, the $\delta^{238}\text{U}$ of the ore concentrate is $0.07 \pm 0.21$‰.
Figure 2. Sediment core vertical profiles of (A) NAT-M8-1, (B) GJAST15B, (C) SR-DM-02, and (D) RFL 748 and 749. Black points represent $\delta^{238}$U values; red points are for uranium concentrations (U), and yellow are for sulfide concentration data from Boye et al.(13) Open circles represent $\delta^{238}$U of pore waters ($\delta^{238}$U$_{PW}$). Filled symbols correspond to the position respective to the water table variation range (triangles for the predominantly unsaturated zone, diamonds for the transiently saturated zone, and squares for the deeper saturated zone). NRZ types and depth limits are represented by green dashed lines. The depth where sediments are seasonally saturated is shaded in blue.

U concentrations ([U]) of pore waters are between 0.19 and 3.30 mg/L, which are up to 110 times above the EPA MCL of 30 μg/L (Figures 2 and 3). Calcium concentrations are lower at Naturita (0.42–0.92 mmol/L), intermediate at Grand Junction (0.30–3.4 mmol/L), and higher at Rifle (1.5–7.6 mmol/L) and Shiprock (2.0–8.4 mmol/L). Such Ca concentrations lead to the formation of neutrally charged Ca–U–CO$_3$ complexes that decrease U removal rate from solution and can cause abiotic isotopic fractionation comparable to biotic signatures.(47) Naturita pore waters (from the upper coarse-grained NRZ of the NAT-M8-1 core at 315 and 345 cm depths) that were collected at low water table conditions (October) have a mean $\delta^{238}$U value of 0.95 ± 0.25‰ (Figures 2A and S1). This coarse-grained NRZ is suspected to be subject to
diffusion of oxidants that cause U remobilization from the sediment to the associated pore water. (9) The pore water $\delta^{238}U$ value is in the same range (at 345 cm) or higher (at 315 cm) than the $\delta^{238}U$ of surrounding sediments. At Grand Junction, pore water sampling performed in October (collected at 350 and 375 cm depths, above the coarse-grained NRZ and within the saturated zone) showed an average $\delta^{238}U$ of 0.00 ± 0.03‰, slightly higher than that of the surrounding sediments (Figures 2B and S2). In contrast to Naturita and Grand Junction, pore waters from Shiprock that were collected in April within the transiently saturated fine-grained NRZ (between 107 and 207 cm) show $\delta^{238}U$ values (from −1.44‰ to −0.26‰) lower than those observed in the surrounding sediments (>0.20‰) (Figures 2C and S3). Shiprock groundwater from well SR618 sampled at the same time displays a higher $\delta^{238}U$ of 0.09‰. This is in the same range as Rifle groundwater measured in well CU-01 by Jemison et al. (50) (0.00 ± 0.04‰).

Discussion

NRZs Behavior and U Redox Dynamics

NRZs, which correspond to the main U reservoirs in sediments, exhibit different behaviors depending on the sampling time and on sediment permeability. At the time of pore water sampling, the Naturita and Grand Junction coarse-grained (high permeability) NRZs act as sources of U to the groundwater plume, with aqueous U release through remobilization of U from
the solid reservoir. At Naturita, the similarity between aqueous and bulk sediment $\delta^{238}$U inside the upper NRZ at 345 cm (0.81‰ and 0.90‰, respectively, Figures 2 and S1) suggests that U is being released from solid to dissolved forms without any significant fractionation and that the amount of released U is far greater than the preexisting amount of aqueous U. The difference between pore water and surrounding sediment $\delta^{238}$U (+0.56‰ ± 0.23‰) observed near the water table interface at 315 cm, which suggests that an isotopically heavy U fraction is remobilized under oxidative conditions, does not correspond to any previously known mechanism. Given that the U oxidation process is not thought to enrich the aqueous fraction in heavy isotopes, this fraction may represent a more labile product of formerly reduced U with high $\delta^{238}$U (around −1‰ as observed in deeper sediments). This fraction may be noncrystalline U(IV)$_{sol}$ that was shown to be dominant in these sediments(9) and easily remobilized.(67) If this fraction of U is released under oxidative conditions, $\delta^{238}$U of the pore water would increase and $\delta^{238}$U of the sediment would subsequently decrease, according to the amount oxidized. Although the presence of isotopically distinct U(IV)$_{sol}$ is consistent with observations of the distribution of U species,(9) the dissolved $^{238}$U-enriched fraction could also have been transported from deeper in the coarse-grained NRZ where sediments display higher $\delta^{238}$U.

As Grand Junction pore waters were sampled outside the NRZs, we conclude that they reflect the mobile U(VI)$_{aq}$ plume. The isotopically heavy U (0.00 ± 0.03‰) cannot originate from the low-$\delta^{238}$U of the surrounding sediment (−0.28‰ and −0.26‰, Figures 2 and S2) and has probably been transported. This is consistent with oxidative remobilization of high-$\delta^{238}$U U fractions (from upstream high-$\delta^{238}$U NRZs) followed by transport, as described for Naturita and suggested by U speciation studies.(9)

The isotopic composition of Shiprock transiently saturated fine-grained NRZs suggests a very active redox system where U is locally remobilized and subsequently reduced, limiting U mobility. These fine-grained (low permeability) NRZs reside within the zone of water table fluctuations and are successively exposed to seasonal and episodic hydrological changes (wet-dry cycles), promoting redox cycling of the sediments.(11) Such conditions can drive localized remobilization of U(IV) pools with limited export of U from the reducing sediments,(10) because of the high capacity of fine-grained NRZs to maintain anoxic conditions(9,10,26) and low diffusion of remobilized U during oxidative cycling,(10,11) which is consistent with the elevated U concentration in the NRZ pore waters. The offset between $\delta^{238}$U in the solid fraction and pore water (Figures 2 and S3) is consistent with a combination of abiotic and microbial reduction that depletes $^{238}$U in pore water and enriches $^{238}$U in the surrounding solid fraction.(42,46) The high Ca concentrations measured in pore waters (≥2.0 mmol/L) may contribute to increasing the abiotic fractionation factor and thus the cumulative isotopic fractionation.(47) Applying a Rayleigh fractionation model (see the Supporting Information for more details), we estimate that a considerable
amount of aqueous U is remobilized each year (up to 41 mg/L) and subsequently reduced (up to 97% of total aqueous U) with a fractionation factor of 1.0005. We thus infer that active redox cycling of U in the Shiprock NRZ was occurring at the time of sampling, substantially depleting \(^{238}\text{U}\) in pore waters. A similar decrease in groundwater \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\), associated with extensive reduction, has also been observed at a larger scale in roll-front U deposits.(17,19)

The high \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) measured in well SR618 (0.09‰) should correspond to the well-mixed groundwater reservoir, in contrast to the pore waters, which reflect local conditions. Considering the low signature of NRZ pore waters (from −1.44‰ to −0.26‰), mass balance implies that water from outside the NRZ, where no active reduction is occurring, should have higher \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) (>0.09‰) than the average value given by groundwater. The signature of this water may be due to a fraction of remobilized U with a high \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) that was released from the NRZ that displays high solid-phase \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) signatures during the spring flooding event that occurred just before sampling. This fraction is thought to be small as U concentration in the well is very low (0.08 mg/L) compared to the NRZ pore waters (0.19 to 3.30 mg/L, Figure 3). A major fraction of the aqueous U remains within the fine-grained NRZ and is subsequently reduced, with substantial fractionation as observed in pore waters. This corroborates the results of Noël et al.,(11) which suggested that oxic geochemical conditions in fine-grained NRZs significantly resolubilize U with limited export from the NRZ pore waters to groundwater.

Isotopic Fractionation as a Measure of U Reduction

The accumulated U in NRZ sediments may originate from two main sources: geochemical background and tailings of the mining or milling site. Background U represents the major fraction of the samples with low U concentrations, of the order of 1 μg/g, in accordance with measurements in U.S.A. surface soils that showed a background value of \(\sim 1.5 \text{ μg/g (1.0 pCi/g)(68)}\). Similarly, background \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) is expected to be similar to the \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) value of \(-0.29 \pm 0.03‰\) corresponding to the Bulk Silicate Earth (BSE) and continental crust estimated by Tissot and Dauphas.(69) Uranium from tailings is thought to represent the major component of U accumulation. Its isotopic signature remains unknown but is expected to be in the range of low-temperature sandstone-type U ores that were mined in the region. Sandstone-type deposits in the U.S.A. are variable but have an average isotopic ratio of \(0.35 \pm 0.41‰.\) The \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) value of the uranium ore concentrate we analyzed (0.07 ± 0.21‰) suggests that the bulk tailings may have been on the low-end of this range.

The evolution pattern of U isotope fractionation during solid-phase U accumulation may be described by the relationship between U concentration and \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) (Figure 4): at low U concentrations (0–10 μg/g), \(\delta^{238}\text{U}\) values remain below \(\sim 0.05‰\) (Figure 4A,B, quadrant IV), whereas high U accumulation in NRZs (>10 μg/g) is associated with substantial \(^{238}\text{U}\) enrichment in the solid
fraction (Figure 4A,B, quadrant II). No other U accumulation pathways seem to occur as samples do not plot in quadrants I (low U accumulation and high δ²³⁸U) and III (high U and low δ²³⁸U) shown in Figure 4A,B.

When highly reducing conditions occur in NRZs, resulting in high U accumulation (>10 μg/g), we observe a strong positive isotope fractionation (Figure 4B, quadrant II). Considering that the main primary source of uranium (mine tailings) is the same as for low U concentration sediments, the observed fractionation indicates that U reduction is not quantitative. If the entire U pool was reduced, δ²³⁸U values would be equal to that of the source. This observation also suggests that reduction in NRZs occurs from a plentiful dissolved U reservoir that leads to high accumulation rates, up to more than 200 μg/g. These conclusions are consistent with our observations in Shiprock pore waters (Figure 3). Additionally, the inaccessible quadrant III in Figure 4B shows that high U accumulation rates require reducing immobilization of U with high supply.
Outside NRZs, less U accumulation and fractionation are observed (Figure 4A,B, quadrant IV), with δ²³⁸U values lower than the range observed for U ores. In the case of Rifle, CO, we observe a strong mixing trend between two main sources of U (Figure 4C, linear relationship between δ²³⁸U and inverse concentration) that may correspond to nonfractionating mixing between background and tailings U. Background U is represented by the local range of low concentration samples (0.9–1 μg/g) and has an approximate δ²³⁸U signature of −0.3‰, consistent with the BSE value. Uranium in concentrated samples from the fine-grained NRZ displays a higher signature of ~+0.2‰, consistent with the U source. Uranium accumulation in Rifle sediments could be entirely attributed to mixing between pre-existing U and contaminated U that was weathered and transported from tailings, without any significant fractionation due to U reduction. Uranium reduction at Rifle may thus be driven by mixing and/or by quantitative U reduction that results in lower effective fractionation.

Non-NRZ samples from Naturita, Grand Junction, and Shiprock also display low δ²³⁸U but no obvious mixing trend. The minimal to inverse fractionation observed outside of the NRZs, along with the low accumulation, may be partly explained by quantitative reduction of fractionated U that was transported out of the NRZs. Other factors that could suppress fractionation, such as shifting Ca concentrations and pH,(47) are unlikely to vary appreciably. However, adsorption of U(VI)ₐₗ, which is thought to be on the order of a few percent of the total U pool,(9) may result in a slight decrease of δ²³⁸U.(47–50) In addition, these nonreducing sediments are more affected by seasonal oxidation events than NRZs. These intense variations in redox conditions may cause substantial recycling of U that tends to attenuate potential isotope fractionation arising from reduction. This is consistent with the absence of high δ²³⁸U in low U content sediments (Figure 4B, quadrant I). This mechanism could also explain the absence of significant U fractionation in the NRZ of GJAST15B, where the coarse-grained texture allows for penetration of oxidants.(9) Although we cannot exclude differences in the fractionation within the sediments, U that accumulates outside NRZs appears to partially originate from U release from NRZs that act as secondary U sources.

The highest U concentrations are observed in the fine-grained NRZ of Shiprock. This NRZ is subject to intense internal redox cycling, where noncrystalline U(IV) phases are thought to be seasonally oxidized to U(VI)ₐₗ, subsequently forming stable U(VI)-bearing minerals or U(VI) trapped in insoluble evaporite minerals under saturated conditions.(11) The isotopic fractionation due to incorporation of U(VI) into secondary minerals is negligible for both experimental U(VI) coprecipitation with phosphate(46) and biological carbonate precipitation,(55,69,73,74) whereas U(VI) in abiotic experimental carbonate precipitates can be ca. ~0.11‰ to 0.23‰ heavier than the dissolved U(VI), depending on carbonate speciation.(75) Building on the model of Noël et al.,(11) U cycling at Shiprock may thus be described by
a sequence of (i) accumulation of $^{238}$U-enriched U(IV)$_{\text{sol}}$ due to U reduction, followed by (ii) oxidation of U(IV)$_{\text{sol}}$ phases with no attendant fractionation and (iii) mixing of recently dissolved U with high $\delta^{238}$U and preexisting aqueous U(VI) with lower $\delta^{238}$U values. Subsequent adsorption (iv) of U(VI)$_{\text{aq}}$ is followed by (v) precipitation of U(VI) minerals with $\delta^{238}$U values similar to the intermediate-$\delta^{238}$U U(VI)$_{\text{aq}}$ reservoir. Thus, the total “surface” U has a lower $\delta^{238}$U relative to U(IV)$_{\text{sol}}$. A substantial amount of solid-associated U is then dissolved (vi) during the spring flooding event, resulting in U(VI)$_{\text{aq}}$ heavier than before (i); (vii) a new step of reduction eventually occurs, enriching the new U(VI)$_{\text{sol}}$ fraction in $^{238}$U. This cycle results in an overall increase in the $\delta^{238}$U of the total U fraction with time that is limited by the presence of solid U(VI) with a lower $\delta^{238}$U than solid U(IV). The incoming flux of $^{238}$U, satisfying isotope and mass balance, is supported by the continuous and slow groundwater flow (with a relatively high $\delta^{238}$U of 0.09‰ at well SR618).

In NAT-M8-1, similar $\delta^{238}$U values are measured in two different coarse-grained NRZs, one of which is seasonally saturated and another that is predominantly saturated (Figure 2A). A comparable pattern is observed in the Grand Junction NRZ, which has similar characteristics. This suggests that seasonal variability in NRZ moisture does not significantly influence the fractionating processes in these coarse-grained NRZs. Within the transiently saturated fine-grained NRZ in SR-DM-02, similar $\delta^{238}$U values are observed in the zone of variable saturation regardless of U concentration (Figure 2C). This pattern may be due to attenuation of the fractionation along with accumulation (isotope distillation). Another possible explanation is that mixing effects may homogenize the U pool: the NRZs are close in depth (∼60 cm), and small-scale water table depth variations, caused by one-time events (such as intense precipitation) as well as annual water table variations, may induce a substantial vertical water flow in tandem with dissolution–precipitation processes.

Model for U Cycling in the NRZs

We propose that redox cycling of U in NRZs mirrors that of S and Fe described by Noël et al. Iron sulfides can be partly oxidized into sulfates, elemental sulfur, and iron oxides during low water table conditions and then subsequently reduced back to Fe-sulfides during saturated periods. Reduction of U(VI)$_{\text{aq}}$ within NRZs, likely microbially mediated, enriches $^{238}$U in the U(IV)$_{\text{sol}}$ product. In contrast, U oxidation does not cause any significant fractionation as shown above and in Jemison et al. Furthermore, U oxidation is thought to be slow and retarded by a combination of low permeability and the presence of biomass, which fuels microbial sulfate reduction, and solutes that act as reductants, such as Fe(II) and S(-II).

At all sites, the spring flooding causes a substantial increase in dissolved U, produced by mobilization of labile U in overlying sediments and/or in the
upgradient aquifer. This event is immediately followed by a decrease in dissolved U concentration down to the background level, due to dilution of groundwater by continuous water flow and advection. This cycle is expected to partly affect Rifle and Shiprock NRZs,(11,26) with the mobility of dissolved U being limited by the low permeability and high reducing capacities of fine-grained sediments.(9,10,26)

Uranium accumulation generally occurs to a greater extent in fine-grained/low-permeability NRZs (Rifle and Shiprock) compared to coarse-grained/high-permeability NRZs (Naturita, Grand Junction). Moreover, maximum U accumulation is observed in transiently saturated NRZs, showing that the combination of (i) low permeability and (ii) intense redox cycling controls U accumulation.

Annual variations in the depth of the water table therefore cause deposition of $^{238}$U-enriched U(IV)$_{sol}$ (mainly as noncrystalline U(IV) and to a lesser extent as weakly sorbed U(IV) or uraninite(9)) during late spring/early summer reductive saturation periods. Subsequently, this pool of U(IV)$_{sol}$ may be remobilized slowly during late summer/fall/winter and faster at the time of the spring flooding event, remobilizing aqueous U with similar isotopic composition to the $^{238}$U-enriched U(IV). After U release, the dissolved U pool is then slightly enriched in heavy isotopes compared to the previous year. This accumulation cycle of U should lead to significant accumulation of $^{238}$U in the solid fraction, consistent with the high $\delta^{238}$U we observe in the bulk sediment and in accordance with known fractionation factors.(42−46) This model can also be applied to Shiprock, assuming U(VI) mineral precipitation does not significantly affect U isotope ratios. In summary, considering that U reduction is the only significant fractionating mechanism, redox cycling ultimately leads to the accumulation of heavy isotopes in the solid fraction, while pore waters inherit low $\delta^{238}$U that is exported outside the NRZs.

We conclude that U cycling occurs within NRZs that are subject to dynamic redox conditions.(7) The persistence of U contamination is thus partly a function of episodic U release/dissolution and sorption/precipitation that releases small amounts of dissolved U each year when NRZs undergo oxidation.

Environmental Implications

The behavior of U isotopes provides new insights about the fate of U in contaminated sediments across the UCRB floodplain. NRZs appear to be active locations for U redox transformations. U accumulation into NRZs is driven by a combination of reduction pathways depending on the hydrologic conditions at the sites, with mixing of U from background and tailings that is variably overprinted by redox fractionation. U release is controlled by the NRZ texture and redox cycling.
Nonetheless, there remains a crucial need for experiments combining microbial and abiotic oxidation to refine the existing model and to improve our understanding of U cycling.

The localization of U cycling in the transiently saturated zone suggests potential improvements in U remediation strategies, such as focusing remediation efforts on this zone to increase efficiency. When remediation plans involve microbial reduction of U to decrease the dissolved U(VI)\text{aq} fraction,(8,15,52−56) further studies that monitor the evolution of U isotope signatures from sediments may help to track remediation progress.
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