

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from a Mid-September 2020 Survey of California Likely Voters about Four of the Propositions on the November 2020 Statewide Election Ballot

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1p22n9ws>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2020-09-23



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from a Mid-September 2020 Survey
of California Likely Voters about Four
of the Propositions on the November 2020
Statewide Election Ballot**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

September 9-15, 2020

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. The poll was administered online in English and Spanish September 9-15, 2020 among 7,198 California registered voters, of whom 5,942 were considered likely voters in the November 2020 general election.

The survey was administered by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wishing to participate and not wanting to receive further email invitations.

Samples of registered voters with email addresses were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California. The email addresses of voters were derived from information contained on the state's official voter registration rolls. Prior to the distribution of the emails, the overall sample was stratified by age and gender in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across all major segments of the registered voter population.

To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. Post-stratification weights were applied to align the sample of the registered voters responding to the survey to population characteristics of the state's registered voters. Likely voters were identified based on a voter's stated interest in and intention to vote in the upcoming presidential election and factoring in their history of voting in past elections.

The sampling error associated with the results from the survey are difficult to calculate precisely due to the effects of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that the results from the overall sample of registered voters as well as the sample of likely voters in the November 2020 general election are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/- 2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll, which is disseminated widely, seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

September 2020 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 1: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Area			Region								Party Registration				Sex	
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female
unweighted n	5942.00	4519.00	1423.00	1383.00	674.00	436.00	526.00	302.00	786.00	1547.00	288.00	3564.00	1094.00	1036.00	248.00	2732.00	3210.00
weighted n	5514.00	3898.00	1617.00	1379.00	521.00	451.00	561.00	259.00	943.00	1170.00	231.00	2657.00	1409.00	1174.00	274.00	2611.00	2904.00
Yes	0.49	0.52	0.42	0.54	0.46	0.39	0.44	0.47	0.41	0.58	0.49	0.68	0.12	0.51	0.43	0.48	0.50
No	0.34	0.31	0.42	0.29	0.36	0.43	0.44	0.37	0.41	0.24	0.36	0.15	0.74	0.29	0.40	0.38	0.31
Undecided	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.18	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.20	0.16	0.15	0.19

Table 2: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Age							Race				Latino by Language		Nativity		
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Native-born	Foreign-born
unweighted n	5942.00	748.00	992.00	928.00	1738.00	1010.00	526.00	3791.00	222.00	576.00	91.00	1197.00	976.00	221.00	5031.00	910.00
weighted n	5514.00	648.00	873.00	836.00	1575.00	1036.00	547.00	3069.00	412.00	730.00	70.00	1308.00	928.00	380.00	4419.00	1095.00
Yes	0.49	0.61	0.58	0.53	0.42	0.44	0.44	0.45	0.62	0.56	0.41	0.55	0.52	0.61	0.48	0.54
No	0.34	0.21	0.25	0.30	0.40	0.40	0.42	0.39	0.24	0.26	0.47	0.27	0.29	0.20	0.36	0.27
Undecided	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.18	0.17	0.16	0.14	0.16	0.14	0.18	0.12	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.16	0.19

Table 3: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Ideology						Education						Income					Rent/Own		Children in HH		Union in HH		
	Total	Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+ Refused	Own home	Rent/other	None	1+	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5942.00	404.00	778.00	1632.00	1615.00	1496.00	63.00	387.00	1473.00	2085.00	1925.00	328.00	557.00	639.00	1279.00	1906.00	1055.00	178.00	3498.00	2444.00	4103.00	1807.00	1297.00	4626.00
weighted n	5514.00	542.00	913.00	1723.00	1274.00	1040.00	192.00	895.00	1762.00	1647.00	997.00	401.00	682.00	665.00	1289.00	1569.00	752.00	157.00	3129.00	2385.00	3716.00	1765.00	1125.00	4370.00
Yes	0.49	0.10	0.17	0.46	0.66	0.82	0.41	0.43	0.45	0.52	0.59	0.53	0.54	0.51	0.48	0.47	0.50	0.30	0.43	0.57	0.49	0.49	0.55	0.47
No	0.34	0.76	0.68	0.35	0.14	0.05	0.36	0.38	0.38	0.32	0.26	0.25	0.28	0.31	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.42	0.41	0.25	0.34	0.33	0.30	0.35
Undecided	0.17	0.13	0.15	0.19	0.19	0.13	0.23	0.20	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.22	0.19	0.17	0.16	0.16	0.14	0.28	0.16	0.18	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.18

Table 4: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. (Likely Voters)

	Area				Region							Party Registration				Sex	
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female
unweighted n	5942.00	4519.00	1423.00	1383.00	674.00	436.00	526.00	302.00	786.00	1547.00	288.00	3564.00	1094.00	1036.0	248.00	2732.00	3210.00
weighted n	5514.00	3898.00	1617.00	1379.00	521.00	451.00	561.00	259.00	943.00	1170.00	231.00	2657.00	1409.00	1174.0	274.00	2611.00	2904.00
Yes	0.33	0.37	0.26	0.37	0.33	0.28	0.30	0.31	0.23	0.43	0.32	0.49	0.09	0.3	0.25	0.32	0.35
No	0.41	0.37	0.51	0.35	0.40	0.50	0.48	0.48	0.52	0.30	0.43	0.20	0.78	0.4	0.56	0.47	0.35
Undecided	0.26	0.27	0.23	0.28	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.25	0.28	0.25	0.32	0.12	0.3	0.19	0.21	0.30

Table 5: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. (Likely Voters)

	Age							Race					Latino by Language		Nativity	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Native-born	Foreign-born
unweighted n	5942.00	748.00	992.00	928.00	1738.00	1010.00	526.00	3791.00	222.00	576.00	91.00	1197.00	976.00	221.00	5031.00	910.00
weighted n	5514.00	648.00	873.00	836.00	1575.00	1036.00	547.00	3069.00	412.00	730.00	70.00	1308.00	928.00	380.00	4419.00	1095.00
Yes	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.39	0.32	0.32	0.32	0.28	0.51	0.42	0.23	0.40	0.35	0.51	0.31	0.42
No	0.41	0.41	0.36	0.36	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.46	0.28	0.33	0.55	0.33	0.37	0.23	0.43	0.33
Undecided	0.26	0.26	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.24	0.24	0.26	0.20	0.26	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.27	0.26	0.25

Table 6: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. (Likely Voters)

	Ideology						Education						Income					Rent/Own		Children in HH		Union in HH		
	Total	Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgraduate	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+ Refused	Own home	Rent/other	None	1+	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5942.00	404.00	778.00	1632.00	1615.00	1496.00	63.00	387.00	1473.00	2085.00	1925.00	328.00	557.00	639.00	1279.00	1906.00	1055.00	178.00	3498.00	2444.00	4103.00	1807.00	1297.00	4626.00
weighted n	5514.00	542.00	913.00	1723.00	1274.00	1040.00	192.00	895.00	1762.00	1647.00	997.00	401.00	682.00	665.00	1289.00	1569.00	752.00	157.00	3129.00	2385.00	3716.00	1765.00	1125.00	4370.00
Yes	0.33	0.08	0.12	0.29	0.45	0.60	0.33	0.26	0.30	0.35	0.45	0.31	0.34	0.40	0.31	0.32	0.37	0.24	0.31	0.37	0.33	0.35	0.36	0.33
No	0.41	0.80	0.75	0.42	0.21	0.13	0.39	0.49	0.44	0.38	0.33	0.41	0.37	0.33	0.43	0.44	0.40	0.45	0.45	0.36	0.41	0.40	0.38	0.42
Undecided	0.26	0.12	0.13	0.30	0.34	0.27	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.32	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.26

Table 7: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Area			Region								Party Registration				Sex	
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female
unweighted n	5942.00	4519.00	1423.00	1383.00	674.00	436.00	526.00	302.00	786.00	1547.00	288.00	3564.00	1094.00	1036.00	248.00	2732.00	3210.00
weighted n	5514.00	3898.00	1617.00	1379.00	521.00	451.00	561.00	259.00	943.00	1170.00	231.00	2657.00	1409.00	1174.00	274.00	2611.00	2904.00
Yes	0.37	0.39	0.33	0.42	0.34	0.34	0.36	0.40	0.30	0.41	0.31	0.51	0.13	0.34	0.40	0.36	0.38
No	0.37	0.35	0.42	0.32	0.39	0.44	0.41	0.39	0.43	0.33	0.41	0.19	0.73	0.35	0.38	0.43	0.32
Undecided	0.26	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.23	0.21	0.27	0.26	0.29	0.30	0.14	0.31	0.22	0.21	0.30

Table 8: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Age							Race					Latino by Language		Nativity	
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Native-born	Foreign-born
unweighted n	5942.00	748.00	992.00	928.00	1738.00	1010.00	526.00	3791.00	222.00	576.00	91.00	1197.00	976.00	221.00	5031.00	910.00
weighted n	5514.00	648.00	873.00	836.00	1575.00	1036.00	547.00	3069.00	412.00	730.00	70.00	1308.00	928.00	380.00	4419.00	1095.00
Yes	0.37	0.45	0.46	0.42	0.35	0.30	0.27	0.33	0.59	0.38	0.34	0.45	0.42	0.54	0.36	0.41
No	0.37	0.25	0.26	0.34	0.42	0.44	0.48	0.41	0.22	0.37	0.43	0.28	0.30	0.23	0.38	0.36
Undecided	0.26	0.30	0.28	0.24	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.20	0.25	0.23	0.27	0.28	0.23	0.26	0.23

Table 9: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education						Income					Rent/Own		Children in HH		Union in HH		
		Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgraduate	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+ Refused	Own home	Rent/other	None	1+	Yes	No	
unweighted n	5942.00	404.00	778.00	1632.00	1615.00	1496.00	63.00	387.00	1473.00	2085.00	1925.00	328.00	557.00	639.00	1279.00	1906.00	1055.00	178.00	3498.00	2444.00	4103.00	1807.00	1297.00	4626.00
weighted n	5514.00	542.00	913.00	1723.00	1274.00	1040.00	192.00	895.00	1762.00	1647.00	997.00	401.00	682.00	665.00	1289.00	1569.00	752.00	157.00	3129.00	2385.00	3716.00	1765.00	1125.00	4370.00
Yes	0.37	0.15	0.18	0.34	0.45	0.63	0.32	0.36	0.37	0.38	0.38	0.48	0.45	0.43	0.37	0.34	0.29	0.19	0.28	0.50	0.38	0.37	0.43	0.36
No	0.37	0.71	0.68	0.38	0.22	0.10	0.44	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.25	0.25	0.29	0.38	0.43	0.47	0.50	0.47	0.25	0.37	0.38	0.33	0.38
Undecided	0.26	0.15	0.15	0.28	0.33	0.27	0.24	0.27	0.26	0.24	0.25	0.27	0.30	0.27	0.25	0.23	0.24	0.32	0.26	0.25	0.26	0.25	0.24	0.26

Table 10: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area			Region							Party Registration				Sex	
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female
unweighted n	5942.00	4519.00	1423.00	1383.00	674.00	436.00	526.00	302.00	786.00	1547.00	288.00	3564.00	1094.00	1036.00	248.00	2732.00	3210.00
weighted n	5514.00	3898.00	1617.00	1379.00	521.00	451.00	561.00	259.00	943.00	1170.00	231.00	2657.00	1409.00	1174.00	274.00	2611.00	2904.00
Yes	0.39	0.38	0.42	0.38	0.43	0.46	0.43	0.42	0.42	0.31	0.36	0.31	0.53	0.39	0.47	0.42	0.37
No	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.38	0.34	0.30	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.29	0.33	0.34	0.38	0.34
Undecided	0.25	0.24	0.26	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.24	0.22	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.27	0.18	0.28	0.19	0.20	0.29

Table 11: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age						Race					Latino by Language		Nativity	
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Native-born	Foreign-born
unweighted n	5942.00	748.00	992.00	928.00	1738.00	1010.00	526.00	3791.00	222.00	576.00	91.00	1197.00	976.00	221.00	5031.00	910.00
weighted n	5514.00	648.00	873.00	836.00	1575.00	1036.00	547.00	3069.00	412.00	730.00	70.00	1308.00	928.00	380.00	4419.00	1095.00
Yes	0.39	0.36	0.33	0.36	0.43	0.42	0.41	0.39	0.40	0.41	0.39	0.36	0.34	0.42	0.39	0.40
No	0.36	0.40	0.42	0.37	0.34	0.34	0.32	0.37	0.34	0.33	0.40	0.36	0.38	0.32	0.36	0.36
Undecided	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.27	0.23	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.26	0.26	0.20	0.28	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.24

Table 12: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. (Likely Voters)

	Ideology						Education						Income					Rent/Own		Children in HH		Union in HH		
	Total	Very con- ser- va- tive	Sw. con- ser- va- tive	Mod- er- ate	Sw. lib- eral	Very lib- eral	No HS	HS Grad	Some col- lege	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Refused	Own home	Rent/ other	None	1+	Yes	No
unweighted n	5942.00	404.00	778.00	1632.00	1615.00	1496.00	663.00	387.00	1473.00	2085.00	1925.00	328.00	557.00	639.00	1279.00	1906.00	1055.00	178.00	3498.00	2444.00	4103.00	1807.00	1297.00	4626.00
weighted n	5514.00	542.00	913.00	1723.00	1274.00	1040.00	192.00	895.00	1762.00	1647.00	997.00	401.00	682.00	665.00	1289.00	1569.00	752.00	157.00	3129.00	2385.00	3716.00	1765.00	1125.00	4370.00
Yes	0.39	0.52	0.55	0.46	0.30	0.18	0.44	0.36	0.41	0.42	0.34	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.28	0.41	0.37	0.39	0.39	0.32	0.41
No	0.36	0.33	0.25	0.29	0.39	0.57	0.36	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.41	0.33	0.35	0.34	0.37	0.36	0.39	0.33	0.35	0.38	0.36	0.36	0.44	0.34
Undecided	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.25	0.31	0.25	0.20	0.29	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.29	0.26	0.28	0.23	0.23	0.21	0.39	0.24	0.25	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25