UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Cancer-Related Worry and Physical Well-Being in the Context of Perceived Stress in Young Adults with Testicular Cancer

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1p82w9z9

Journal Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology, 6(2)

ISSN 2156-5333

Authors Darabos, Katie Hoyt, Michael A

Publication Date

2017-06-01

DOI

10.1089/jayao.2016.0069

Peer reviewed

Cancer-Related Worry and Physical Well-Being in the Context of Perceived Stress in Young Adults with Testicular Cancer

Katie Darabos, MS^{1,2} and Michael A. Hoyt, PhD^{1,2}

Uncertainty associated with cancer can foster future-focused worry and ultimately diminish physical wellbeing, especially among young adult survivors. Stress perceptions might exacerbate the association of worry and physical well-being. Young adults with testicular cancer (N=171) completed measures of physical wellbeing, perceived stress, and future cancer-related worry. Perceived stress and future worry were both negatively associated with physical well-being. Perceived stress moderated the relationship; more perceived stress was related to lower physical well-being in those with high worry. Interventions aimed at worry reduction might benefit from reducing global stress perceptions.

Keywords: perceived stress, worry, uncertainty, physical well-being, testicular cancer

Introduction

PESTICULAR CANCER (TCa) is the most common cancer diagnosis in men aged 20–39 years.¹ Although 5-year survival rates for localized and regional disease are high (96%–99%),² young adults with TCa face potential longterm effects, including problems with fertility, masculinity threat, body image concerns, disrupted life goals, uncertainty about the future, and psychological distress. Although investigations of health-related quality of life and psychological well-being among TCa survivors suggest that most will not evidence severe long-term impairment, 24%-27% of TCa survivors report mild to extremely severe levels of depression, anxiety, and stress, as well as lower physical well-being than the general population.³ One particularly common long-term side effect that has been associated with negative implications for physical well-being among TCa survivors is fear of cancer recurrence.³ In fact, existing data suggest that 28%-31% of TCa survivors report difficulty with worry about the future.^{4,5} Moreover, this worry is associated with higher physical fatigue and lower physical health-related quality of life.⁵

Experiences of worry after cancer can take a variety of forms but typically centers around uncertainty about the future and fears of a cancer recurrence. Young adults are often focused on the attainment of educational, vocational, and relationship goals. Future uncertainty can emerge when cancer threatens or delays these future plans and goals. Consequently, young adults report uncertainty as a major concern across the cancer trajectory.^{6,7} Related is the worry that cancer will return, progress, or spread to other organs.^{8,9} Elevated fear of recurrence among young men with TCa is common and exacerbated by mental distress, poor coping ability, and low self-esteem.⁵

Given the global nature of stressors faced by young men with TCa, perceptions of stress play a central role in the extent to which worry about the future is associated with negative physical consequences. In fact, TCa survivors concomitantly report life stress concerns and fear of cancer recurrence, which are also associated with lower physical well-being.¹⁰ The extent to which perceived stress and future worries act independently or co-occur to influence physical well-being is unknown. It is likely that perceived stress, or appraisals that current demands exceed coping abilities, thwarts available coping resources and emotion regulatory efforts aimed at controlling worry about the future. Indeed, high levels of perceived stress are associated with greater use of negative coping strategies (e.g., denial).¹² Thus, diminished self-regulation in the presence of future worry could hinder functional ability for everyday activities. In contrast, individuals with lower perceived stress might have more available self-regulatory capacity and approach-oriented coping skills^{13,14} to buffer against the negative aspects of lower physical well-being (e.g., lack of energy and having trouble meeting the needs of one's family) when confronted with worry about the future.

No study has examined future worry in the context of perceived stress in young adults with TCa. To address this

¹The Graduate Center, City University of New York, New York, New York.

²Department of Psychology, Hunter College, City University of New York, New York, New York.

gap, this study examined relationships between future worry, perceived stress, and physical well-being in a sample of young adult men with TCa. We hypothesized that perceived stress and future worry will be negatively associated with physical well-being; we further hypothesized that perceived stress will moderate the relationship between future worry and physical well-being. Specifically, higher levels of perceived stress will be associated with lower physical wellbeing among those with relatively high future worry.

Methods

Participants and procedures

Participants were 171 English-speaking young adult (between 18 and 29 years of age) men with TCa identified by a state cancer registry and asked to participate in a study on "health-related quality of life after testicular cancer." To focus on post-treatment experiences, young adults with a history of TCa, rather than during diagnosis or treatment, were eligible to participate. This reflects a 59% response rate of invited men. Responders did not differ significantly from nonresponders on clinical or demographic variables. Participants were on average 25.21 (SD=3.33) years of age and 2.7 (SD=1.61) years from diagnosis (Table 1). Participants completed questionnaires by mail or in person and were compensated \$50. All participants provided informed consent and procedures were approved by the university institutional review board.

TABLE 1. PARTICIPANT CHARACTERISTICS (N=171)

Ethnicity White (non-Hispanic)77 (45.0) (45.0)Hispanic/Latino59 (34.5) Asian10 (5.8)Native American/Alaskan Native1 (0.6) (0.6)African American/Black1 (0.6) (0.6)Other23 (13.5)Education8 (4.7) High school/GEDLess than high school8 (4.7) High school/GEDYear degree66 (38.5) Graduate degreeGraduate degree16 (9.4)Income $41 (24.0)$ \$15,001-\$30,000\$30,001-\$60,00046 (26.9) \$60,001-\$75,000Stoppontom31 (17.8)Treatment Radical inguinal orchiectomy Chemotherapy125 (73.1) Bilateral orchiectomy Bilateral orchiectomyTreatment Radicalion26 (15.2) OtherOther14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship Live with parents77 (45.0) 84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Characteristic	n (%)
White (non-Hispanic) 77 (45.0) Hispanic/Latino 59 (34.5) Asian 10 (5.8) Native American/Alaskan Native 1 (0.6) African American/Black 1 (0.6) Other 23 (13.5) Education 8 (4.7) High school/GED 81 (47.4) 2- or 4-year degree 66 (38.5) Graduate degree 16 (9.4) Income 115,000 or less \$\$\frac{15,000}{33,000} 33 (19.3) \$\$30,001-\$\$60,000 46 (26.9) \$\$60,001-\$\$75,000 19 (11.1) \$\$75,001 or more 31 (17.8) Treatment Radical inguinal orchiectomy 125 (73.1) Bilateral orchiectomy 12 (7.0) Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection 41 (24.0) Chemotherapy 91 (53.2) Radiation 26 (15.2) Other 14 (8.2) Married/in a committed relationship 77 (45.0) Live with parents 84 (49.1) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9) History of anxiety disorder	Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino59 (34.5) Asian10 (5.8) Native American/Alaskan Native1 (0.6) African American/Black1 (0.6) Other23 (13.5) Education8 (4.7) Less than high school8 (4.7) High school/GED81 (47.4) 2- or 4-year degree66 (38.5) Graduate degree16 (9.4) Income11 (24.0) \$15,001 - \$30,00033 (19.3) \$30,001 - \$60,00046 (26.9) \$60,001 - \$75,00019 (11.1) \$75,001 or more31 (17.8) Treatment125 (73.1) Bilateral orchiectomy122 (7.0) Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0) Chemotherapy91 (53.2) Radiation26 (15.2) Other14 (8.2) Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0) Live with parents84 (49.1) History of clinical depression22 (12.9) History of clinical depression22 (12.9)	White (non-Hispanic)	77 (45.0)
Asian 10 (5.8) Native American/Alaskan Native 1 (0.6) African American/Black 1 (0.6) Other 23 (13.5) Education 8 (4.7) High school/GED 81 (47.4) 2- or 4-year degree 66 (38.5) Graduate degree 16 (9.4) Income 110 (5.8) \$\$15,000 or less 41 (24.0) \$\$15,001-\$\$30,000 33 (19.3) \$\$30,001-\$\$60,000 46 (26.9) \$\$60,001-\$\$75,000 19 (11.1) \$\$75,001 or more 31 (17.8) Treatment Radical inguinal orchiectomy 125 (73.1) Bilateral orchiectomy 12 (7.0) Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection 41 (24.0) Chemotherapy 91 (53.2) Radiation 26 (15.2) Other 14 (8.2) Married/in a committed relationship 77 (45.0) Live with parents 84 (49.1) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9)	Hispanic/Latino	59 (34.5)
Native American/Alaskan Native 1 (0.6) African American/Black 1 (0.6) Other 23 (13.5) Education 8 (4.7) High school/GED 81 (47.4) 2- or 4-year degree 66 (38.5) Graduate degree 16 (9.4) Income $115,000 \text{ or less}$ \$15,000 or less 41 (24.0) \$15,001-\$30,000 33 (19.3) \$30,001-\$60,000 46 (26.9) \$60,001-\$75,000 19 (11.1) \$75,001 or more 31 (17.8) Treatment Radical inguinal orchiectomy 125 (73.1) Bilateral orchiectomy 12 (7.0) Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection 41 (24.0) Chemotherapy 91 (53.2) Radiation 26 (15.2) Other 14 (8.2) Married/in a committed relationship 77 (45.0) Live with parents 84 (49.1) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9) History of clinical depression 22 (12.9)	Asian	10 (5.8)
African American/Black1 (0.6)Other23 (13.5)Education $23 (13.5)$ Less than high school8 (4.7)High school/GED81 (47.4)2- or 4-year degree66 (38.5)Graduate degree16 (9.4)Income $11 (24.0)$ \$15,000 or less41 (24.0)\$15,001-\$30,00033 (19.3)\$30,001-\$60,00046 (26.9)\$60,001-\$75,00019 (11.1)\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)TreatmentTreatmentRadical inguinal orchiectomy125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)	Native American/Alaskan Native	1 (0.6)
Other23 (13.5)Education8 (4.7)Less than high school81 (47.4)2- or 4-year degree66 (38.5)Graduate degree16 (9.4)Income $41 (24.0)$ \$15,000 or less41 (24.0)\$15,001-\$30,00033 (19.3)\$30,001-\$60,00046 (26.9)\$60,001-\$75,00019 (11.1)\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)Treatment125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	African American/Black	1 (0.6)
Education8 (4.7)Less than high school8 (4.7)High school/GED81 (47.4)2- or 4-year degree66 (38.5)Graduate degree16 (9.4)Income $$15,000$ or less\$15,000 or less41 (24.0)\$15,001-\$30,00033 (19.3)\$30,001-\$60,00046 (26.9)\$60,001-\$75,00019 (11.1)\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)Treatment125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Other	23 (13.5)
Less than high school $8 (4.7)$ High school/GED $81 (47.4)$ 2- or 4-year degree $66 (38.5)$ Graduate degree $16 (9.4)$ Income $15,000 \text{ or less}$ $\$15,001-\$30,000$ $33 (19.3)$ $\$30,001-\$60,000$ $46 (26.9)$ $\$60,001-\$75,000$ $19 (11.1)$ $\$75,001 \text{ or more}$ $31 (17.8)$ Treatment $125 (73.1)$ Bilateral orchiectomy $12 (7.0)$ Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection $41 (24.0)$ Chemotherapy $91 (53.2)$ Radiation $26 (15.2)$ Other $14 (8.2)$ Married/in a committed relationship $77 (45.0)$ Live with parents $84 (49.1)$ History of clinical depression $22 (12.9)$ History of anxiety disorder $17 (9.9)$	Education	
High school/GED $81 (47.4)$ 2- or 4-year degree $66 (38.5)$ Graduate degree $16 (9.4)$ Income $15,000 \text{ or less}$ $\$15,001-\$30,000$ $33 (19.3)$ $\$30,001-\$60,000$ $46 (26.9)$ $\$60,001-\$75,000$ $19 (11.1)$ $\$75,001 \text{ or more}$ $31 (17.8)$ Treatment $125 (73.1)$ Bilateral orchiectomy $125 (73.1)$ Bilateral orchiectomy $12 (7.0)$ Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection $41 (24.0)$ Chemotherapy $91 (53.2)$ Radiation $26 (15.2)$ Other $14 (8.2)$ Married/in a committed relationship $77 (45.0)$ Live with parents $84 (49.1)$ History of clinical depression $22 (12.9)$ History of anxiety disorder $17 (9.9)$	Less than high school	8 (4.7)
2- or 4-year degree $66 (38.5)$ Graduate degree $16 (9.4)$ Income $$15,000 \text{ or less}$ $41 (24.0)$ $$15,001-$30,000$ $33 (19.3)$ $$30,001-$60,000$ $46 (26.9)$ $$60,001-$75,000$ $19 (11.1)$ $$75,001 \text{ or more}$ $31 (17.8)$ Treatment $125 (73.1)$ Bilateral orchiectomy $12 (7.0)$ Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection $41 (24.0)$ Chemotherapy $91 (53.2)$ Radiation $26 (15.2)$ Other $14 (8.2)$ Married/in a committed relationship $77 (45.0)$ Live with parents $84 (49.1)$ History of clinical depression $22 (12.9)$ History of anxiety disorder $17 (9.9)$	High school/GED	81 (47.4)
Graduate degree16 (9.4)Income $$15,000 \text{ or less}$ 41 (24.0)\$15,001-\$30,00033 (19.3)\$30,001-\$60,00046 (26.9)\$60,001-\$75,00019 (11.1)\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)Treatment125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	2- or 4-year degree	66 (38.5)
Income $41 (24.0)$ \$15,000 or less $41 (24.0)$ \$15,001-\$30,000 $33 (19.3)$ \$30,001-\$60,000 $46 (26.9)$ \$60,001-\$75,00019 (11.1)\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)Treatment $125 (73.1)$ Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Graduate degree	16 (9.4)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Income	
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \$15,001-\$30,000 & 33 & (19.3) \\ \$30,001-\$60,000 & 46 & (26.9) \\ \$60,001-\$75,000 & 19 & (11.1) \\ \$75,001 \text{ or more} & 31 & (17.8) \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$	\$15,000 or less	41 (24.0)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	\$15,001-\$30,000	33 (19.3)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	\$30,001-\$60,000	46 (26.9)
\$75,001 or more31 (17.8)Treatment125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	\$60,001-\$75,000	19 (11.1)
Treatment125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	\$75,001 or more	31 (17.8)
Radical inguinal orchiectomy125 (73.1)Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Treatment	
Bilateral orchiectomy12 (7.0)Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Radical inguinal orchiectomy	125 (73.1)
Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection41 (24.0)Chemotherapy91 (53.2)Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Bilateral orchiectomy	12 (7.0)
Chemotherapy Radiation91 (53.2) 26 (15.2) 0therMarried/in a committed relationship77 (45.0) 84 (49.1)Live with parents84 (49.1) History of clinical depressionHistory of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection	41 (24.0)
Radiation26 (15.2)Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Chemotherapy	91 (53.2)
Other14 (8.2)Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Radiation	26 (15.2)
Married/in a committed relationship77 (45.0)Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Other	14 (8.2)
Live with parents84 (49.1)History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Married/in a committed relationship	77 (45.0)
History of clinical depression22 (12.9)History of anxiety disorder17 (9.9)	Live with parents	84 (49.1)
History of anxiety disorder 17 (9.9)	History of clinical depression	22 (12.9)
	History of anxiety disorder	17 (9.9)

Measures

Cancer-related wory. Future worry during the past week was measured using the two-item future perspective subscale of the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire for Testicular Cancer (EORTC QLQ-TC26)¹⁵ (e.g., *Do you feel uncertain about the future* and *Have you been anxious about possible recurrence of the disease?*). Responses are on a four-point scale from (0) *not at all* to (3) *very much.* Scores were linearly transformed to a 100-point scale with scores ranging from 0 to 100. Higher scores represented higher levels of future worry. Cronbach's alpha was 0.71.

Perceived stress. Perceived stress during the past week was measured using the 10-item Perceived Stress Scale,¹⁶ which was designed to measure how unpredictable, uncontrollable, and overloaded individuals find their lives (e.g., *How often have you felt nervous and stressed* and *How often have you felt things were going your way?*). Respondents were asked to rate their agreement with items on a five-point scale ranging from (0) *never* to (4) *very often*. A total score was calculated as sum of all items. Higher scores indicated higher perceived stress. Cronbach's alpha was 0.90.

Physical well-being. Physical well-being during the past week was measured using the seven-item physical well-being subscale of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G).¹⁷ The FACT-G is a validated and widely used measure within the cancer context and during the survivorship phase.^{18,19} Respondents were asked to rate their responses with items on a five-point scale ranging from (0) *not at all* to (4) *very much*. Sample items included "I have a lack of energy" and "I have pain." A total score was calculated as a sum of all items. All items were recoded so that higher scores indicate higher physical well-being. Cronbach's alpha was 0.86.

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics and bivariate correlations were examined. Demographic (age, ethnicity, partner status, income, education, and employment) and medical variables (treatment type and time since diagnosis) significantly correlated with the dependent variable were used as covariates in subsequent hypothesis testing. Multiple linear regression was used to test hypotheses. In the model, relevant covariates were entered in the first block, perceived stress and future worry in the second block, and the interaction term (perceived stress X future worry) in the third block. To avoid multicollinearity, variables were centered around the mean, and interaction terms were computed and probed in accordance with methods outlined by Aiken and West.²⁰ Simple slopes for the association between future worry and physical well-being were tested at low (-1 SD lower than the mean), average (mean), and high (+1 SD higher than the mean) levels of perceived stress.²

Results

Descriptive statistics and identification of covariates

The mean total score for perceived stress was 14.47 (SD = 8.21) and the total mean score for future worry was 40.14 (SD = 29.23). The mean score for physical well-being was

23.98 (SD=4.77). Perceived stress was positively correlated with future worry (r=0.58, p<0.01), suggesting that higher perceived stress is associated with more worry about the future. Perceived stress (r=-0.57, p<0.01) and future worry (r=-0.48, p<0.01) were both negatively correlated with physical well-being, suggesting that higher amounts of perceived stress and worry about the future negatively impact physical well-being.

Bivariate correlations of demographic and medical variables were computed. Education (r=0.35, p<0.001), income (r=0.28, p<0.001), employment (r=-0.30, p<0.001), history of diagnosed clinical depression (r=-0.28, p<0.001), and history of a diagnosed anxiety disorder (r=-0.30, p<0.001) were significantly associated with physical well-being and so were included as covariates in the subsequent model.

Hypothesis testing

Regression models revealed that higher levels of perceived stress (β =-0.36, p<0.001) and future worry (β =-0.16, p<0.05) were associated with lower physical well-being [F(8, 159)=16.27, R^2 =0.44]. These main effects were qualified by a significant perceived stress X future worry interaction (β =-0.17, p<0.01), which explained an additional 3% of the variance beyond the main effects (Table 2). Simple slope analyses revealed that for young adult men with high (β =-0.05, p<0.001) or average (β =-0.03, p=0.05) levels of perceived stress, high future worry was associated with lower physical well-being. The simple slope was nonsignificant at low levels of perceived stress (Fig. 1).

Discussion

This study revealed a negative association of future worry and perceived stress on physical well-being with support for the hypothesis that the context of stress exacerbates this relationship. Conceptual and empirical work on the regulation of repetitive thought processes, such as worry, suggests that worry requires self-regulatory effort and resources.²¹ Thus, high levels of perceived stress might

TABLE 2. PHYSICAL WELL-BEING REGRESSED ON PERCEIVED STRESS AND FUTURE WORRY

Variable	ΔR^2	В	SE	β
Block 1	0.25***			
Education		0.48	0.20	0.17*
Income		0.02	0.17	0.01
Employment		-0.33	0.11	-0.19**
Hx of depression		1.77	1.32	0.13
Hx of anxiety		-2.80	1.41	-0.18*
Block 2	0.18***			
Perceived stress		-0.21	0.05	-0.36***
Future worry		-0.03	0.01	-0.16*
Block 3	0.03**			
Perceived stress X Future worry	0100	-0.004	0.001	-0.17**
r ddare wonry	F(8, 1	59) = 16.2	27***, F	$R^2 = 0.44$

Regression coefficients reflect values at the end of block 3, with all variables entered into the model.

p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

Hx, history; SE, standard error.



FIG. 1. Perceived stress and future worry on indicators of physical well-being. *Note:* Simple slopes for the association between future worry and physical well-being were tested for low (-1 SD lower than the mean), average (mean), and high (+1 SD higher than the mean) levels of perceived stress. Dashed line indicates nonsignificant simple slopes.

deplete critical coping resources necessary to regulate persistent worry in cancer groups.²²

As suggested by the nonsignificant simple slope, future worry was not related to physical well-being at low perceived stress. In fact, future worry exhibits increasingly stronger relationships with lower physical well-being as stress increases. These observations might reflect that more successful selfregulation is possible when perceived stress is low. Accordingly, sufficient coping resources may be more available at lower levels of perceived stress. It is possible that those with low perceived stress also experience less worry, as evidenced by higher physical well-being scores among those reporting low perceived stress and low future worry, than those reporting average or high perceived stress levels. In this study, worry and perceived stress were moderately correlated, suggesting they co-occur but are distinct psychological processes.

Future worry (M=40.14, SD=29.23) was lower than observations of other samples of TCa survivors, in which mean scores on the EORTC QLQ-TC26 future worry scale ranged from 48 to 59.^{3,15,23} However, these samples tended to be closer in time since active treatment, with some including patients engaged in active treatment at time of participation. Worry about the future likely declines for most patients over time. Notably, norms for the future worry subscale of the QLQ-TC26 are not yet available.³

This study utilizes a diverse sample of young TCa survivors not well represented in health psychology research. However, some caution is needed in interpreting the current findings. These data were collected at a single point in time and so causal interpretations cannot be inferred. Although theoretically driven, our findings represent one set of possible relationships. It is also possible that physical health drives the psychological experience of worry and stress. Future studies should explore the longitudinal nature of the relationship between perceived stress, future worry, and physical well-being as it unfolds across time. The measures used in this study are self-reported, and although participants were reporting on recent experiences, recall bias could exist. Although our design allowed us to make observations among those in later survivorship phases when persistent problems may be more damaging, variability in time since treatment completion is notable. It is recommended that future research examines these relationships across the cancer trajectory. Finally, although no notable differences were detected between responders and nonresponders, it is possible that other biases related to selection exist.

These findings suggest that the high perceived stress presents a vulnerability that in combination with worry could present specific vulnerability to physical health. If so, future work should identify the mechanisms by which this unfolds to develop precise and targeted behavioral interventions. For instance, diminished self-regulation or impaired coping may be one possible pathway. This can be associated with patterns of emotional, physiological, and behavioral dysregulation that potentially has downstream physical health consequences. At present, few interventions have been developed or tested with young TCa survivors, yet this study highlights the need to identify patients at risk, reduce perceptions of stress, and control chronic worry. Other techniques to enhance self-regulation (e.g., emotion regulation, mindfulness, and behavioral activation) may also prove useful. More work that encompasses a multimethod approach by identifying biopsychological mechanisms of perceived stress and future worry is needed.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by funds from the UCLA Cousins Center for Psychoneuroimmunology, the LIVE-STRONG Foundation, and the National Cancer Institute (SC1CA187494).

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Richardson LC, Wingo PA, Zack MM, et al. Health-related quality of life in cancer survivors between ages 20 and 64 years: population-based estimates from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Cancer. 2008;112(6):1380–9.
- Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer Statistics Review 1975–2013. 2016. Accessed May 24, 2016 from: http://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975_2013
- 3. Smith AB, Butow P, Olver I, et al. The prevalence severity and correlates of psychological distress and impaired healthrelated quality of life following treatment for testicular cancer: a survivorship study. J Cancer Surviv. 2016;10(2):223–33.
- 4. Pedersen AF, Rossen P, Olesen F, et al. Fear of recurrence and causal attributions in long term survivors of testicular cancer. Psychooncology. 2012;21(11):1222–8.
- Skalli T, Fossa SD, Bremnes R, et al. Fear of recurrence in long-term testicular cancer survivors. Psychooncology. 2009; 18(6):580–8.
- Corbeil AM, Laizner AM, Hunter P, Hutchison N. The experience of uncertainty in young adults with cancer. Cancer Nurs. 2009;32(5):E17–E27.
- Decker CL, Haase JE, Bell CJ. Uncertainty in adolescents and young adults with cancer. Oncol Nurs Forum. 2007; 34(3):681–8.

- Simard S, Savard S, Ivers H. Fear of cancer recurrence: specific profiles and nature of intrusive thoughts. J Cancer Surviv. 2010;4(4):361–71.
- 9. Vickberg SM. The concerns about recurrence scales (CARS): a systematic measure of women's fears about the possibility of breast cancer recurrence. Ann Behav Med. 2003;25(1):16–24.
- Smith AB, King M, Butow P, et al. The prevalence and correlates of supportive care needs in testicular cancer survivors: a cross-sectional study. Psychooncology. 2013; 22(11):2257–64.
- Cohen S, Kessler RC, Gordon LU. Measuring stress: a guide for health and social scientists. New York: Oxford Press; 1995.
- 12. Curtis R, Groarke A, Coughlan R, Gsel A. Psychological stress as a predictor of psychological adjustment and health status in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Patient Educ Couns. 2005;59(2):192–8.
- Carver CS, Pozo C, Harris SD, et al. How coping mediates the effect of optimism on distress: a study of women with early stage breast cancer. J Pers Soc Psychol. 1993;65(2):375–90.
- Thornton AA, Owen JE, Kernstine K, et al. Predictors of finding benefit after lung cancer diagnosis. Psychooncology. 2012;21(4):365–73.
- Holzner B, Efficace F, Basso U, et al. Cross-cultural development of an EORTC questionnaire to assess healthrelated quality of life in patients with testicular cancer: the EORTC QLQ-TC26. Qual Life Res. 2013;22(2):369–78.
- Cohen S, Kamarck T, Mermelstein R. A global measure of perceived stress. J Health Soc Behav. 1983;24(4):385–96.
- 17. Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, et al. The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scale: development and validation of the general measure. J Clin Oncol. 1993;11(3):570–9.
- Kobayashi M, Ohno T, Noguchi W, et al. Psychological distress and quality of life in cervical cancer survivors after radiotherapy: do treatment modalities, disease stage, and self-esteem influence outcomes. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2009; 19(7):1264–8.
- Trask PC, Paterson AG, Fardig J, Smith DC. Course of distress and quality of life in testicular cancer patients before, during, and after chemotherapy: results of a pilot study. Psychooncology. 2003;12:814–20.
- Aiken LS, West SG. Multiple regression: testing and interpreting interactions. Newbury Park London: Sage; 1991.
- Watkins ER. Repetitive thought. In: Robinson MD, Watkins E, Harmon-Jones E (Eds). Handbook of cognition and emotion. New York: Guildford Press; 2013; pp. 383–400.
- 22. Kunal D, Kamala D. Perceived stress and coping in patients with head and neck cancer. Open J Psychiatry Allied Sci. 2015;6:112–6.
- Stoehr B, Schachtner L, Pichler R, et al. Influence of achieved paternity on quality of life in testicular cancer survivors. BJU Int. 2013;111(4 Pt B):E207–12.

Address correspondence to: Michael A. Hoyt, PhD Department of Psychology Hunter College City University of New York 695 Park Avenue Room 611-HN New York, NY 10065

E-mail: michael.hoyt@hunter.cuny.edu