UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity is insufficient to explain late-life fecundity plateaus in *Drosophila melanogaster*.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1pm3k0qk>

Journal

Experimental gerontology, 40(8-9)

ISSN

0531-5565

Authors

Rauser, Casandra L
Abdel-Aal, Yasmine
Shieh, Jonathan A
et al.

Publication Date

2005-08-01

DOI

10.1016/j.exger.2005.06.006

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity is insufficient to explain late-life fecundity plateaus in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Cassandra L. Rauser¹, Yasmine Abdel-Aal, Jonathan A. Shieh, Christine W. Suen,
Laurence D. Mueller, Michael R. Rose*

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, 321 Steinhaus Hall, Irvine, CA 92697-2525, USA

Received 14 March 2005; received in revised form 25 June 2005; accepted 28 June 2005

Available online 8 August 2005

Abstract

Previous studies have demonstrated that fecundity, like mortality, plateaus at late ages in cohorts of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Although evolutionary theory can explain the decline and plateau in cohort fecundity at late ages, it is conceivable that lifelong heterogeneity in individual female fecundity is producing these plateaus. For example, consistently more fecund females may die at earlier ages, leaving only females that always laid a low number of eggs preponderant at later ages. We simulated fecundity within a cohort, assuming the two phenotypes described above, and tested these predictions by measuring age of death and age-specific fecundity for individual females from three large cohorts. We statistically tested whether there was enough lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity to produce a late-life plateau by testing whether early female fecundity could predict whether that female would live to lay eggs after the onset of the population fecundity plateau. Our results indicate that heterogeneity in fecundity is not lifelong and thus not likely to cause late-life fecundity plateaus. Because lifelong heterogeneity models for fecundity are based on the same underlying assumptions as heterogeneity models for late-life mortality rates, our test of this hypothesis is also an experimental test of lifelong heterogeneity models of late life generally.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fecundity; Heterogeneity; Fecundity plateau; Mortality-rate plateau; Aging; Late life

1. Introduction

Previous studies have found that fecundity, like mortality-rates, plateaus at late ages in several cohorts of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Rauser et al., 2003, 2005, in press). Although evolutionary theory based on the age-specific decline in the force of natural selection can explain the decline and plateau in fecundity at late ages (Hamilton, 1966; Rauser et al., in press), it is conceivable that life-long heterogeneity in individual female fecundity is causing a spurious plateau in average late-life fecundity. There are two obvious ways in which this might occur, among others. First, some females within a cohort may be more fecund

than other females, but die at earlier ages, leaving a subset of females at later ages that always laid fewer eggs. Second, in complete contrast with the first possibility, some females may be generally more robust and capable of sustaining egg-laying indefinitely at later ages. The first hypothesis is implicitly based on a trade-off between egg-laying and lifelong robustness, while the second is a generalization of the Vaupelian lifelong-robustness theory from mortality to all age-specific life-history characters (cf. Vaupel et al., 1979). Many other variations on these themes are conceivable.

All lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity hypotheses have in common the ability to infer late-life fecundity patterns from attributes of young individuals in a cohort, just as demographic theories of late-life mortality hypothesize that mortality rates plateau because of individual heterogeneity effects that are present throughout life (vid. Vaupel et al., 1998). To be specific, lifelong heterogeneity theories assume that individuals are imbued with life-long consistent levels of robustness that define their mortality rates. As a result, individuals within a cohort that are less robust

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 949 824 8121; fax: +1 949 824 2181.
E-mail address: mrrose@uci.edu (M.R. Rose).

¹ Present address: Eukaryotic Genome Maintenance Unit, Laboratory of Molecular Cell Biology, National Cancer Institute, Building 37, Room 6056D, Bethesda, MD 20892, USA.

throughout life die at earlier ages, leaving individuals with lifelong superiority in robustness predominant in the cohort at late ages, causing a slowing of mortality rates (Vaupel et al., 1979; Vaupel, 1988, 1990; Pletcher and Curtsinger, 2000). Note that this demographic heterogeneity is not the same as mere genetic or environmental variation within a population (cf. Carnes and Olshansky, 2001).

Mortality-rate plateaus only result when heterogeneity in robustness levels is extreme and sustained throughout life (Service, 2000a). Heterogeneity this extreme and this consistent has yet to be found experimentally (Curtsinger et al., 1992; Fukui et al., 1996; Brooks et al., 1994; Vaupel et al., 1994; Khazaeli et al., 1998; Drapeau et al., 2000; Arking and Giroux, 2001; see also Service, 2000b; Mueller et al., 2000, 2003). In addition, analyses of the statistical properties of lifelong heterogeneity theory are conflicting (Service, 2000a, 2004; Pletcher and Curtsinger, 2000; Mueller et al., 2003; Steinsaltz, 2005). A major problem with testing the heterogeneity theory with regards to mortality is that an individual's rate of aging with respect to mortality cannot be measured readily, so lifelong heterogeneity for robustness has only been studied indirectly. However, with fecundity this is not a difficulty, as individual age-specific fecundity over a lifetime can easily be measured within a cohort. Therefore, fecundity can be used to test the general concept of lifelong demographic heterogeneity because average population fecundity shows the same plateauing pattern at late ages as mortality rates. Such tests can be based on lifetime heterogeneity in fecundity with differential loss of more fecund females, for example.

Other studies of individual fecundity trajectories help motivate the present experimental strategy. Müller et al. (2001) looked at fecundity and death patterns in Medflies and found no apparent trade-off between reproductive output and lifespan. This is preliminary evidence against one version of the lifelong fecundity-heterogeneity theory, specifically the hypothesis that females that lay a high number of eggs should die at earlier ages. Novoseltsev et al. (2004) have shown that flies with short lifespans do not have higher mean fecundity during their midlife 'plateau' compared to flies that live a medium number of days. This is also not consistent with the predictions of the first type of heterogeneity theory for fecundity adduced above. However, they did show that the longest lived flies had a lower mean fecundity than the medium and short lived flies, though this difference was not always significant. Overall, it is not clear from the published literature whether or not any type of lifelong fecundity-heterogeneity theory is likely to be correct.

In the present study, we use computer simulations of a population having various levels of robustness in fecundity and mortality to demonstrate the a priori feasibility of the first type of lifelong heterogeneity, that based on trade-offs between reproduction and survival. We then test whether observable lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity can be used

to predict the properties of the late life of individual flies, including the survival of individual flies to the late-life period. We do this by measuring daily fecundity over the entire lifetime and age of death for 2828 individuals, then testing whether the age-specific fecundity of females that live to lay eggs at late ages differ significantly throughout life from the age-specific fecundity of females that die before the onset of the cohort's plateau in fecundity.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Simulations of lifelong fecundity effects on cohort composition

We examined the consequences for average population fecundity of a cohort with two levels of robustness in fecundity and mortality. We assumed that a phenotype with high fecundity was coupled with high mortality (H:H), and a phenotype with low fecundity was coupled with low mortality (L:L). A population consisting of just these two phenotypes is the simplest example of the trade-off version of a lifelong heterogeneity theory for fecundity. Specifically, we assume that more fecund individuals die earlier, leaving the less fecund individuals at later ages. We do not offer this example because we think that it is the only possible example of a theory of this kind. We are merely illustrating what the features of such theories are when they are formally explicit, in one case. Many models of this type can be invented.

We assumed that the H:H phenotype initially occurs at a frequency p , and thus L:L females are at a frequency of $1-p$. We modeled adult survival with the Gompertz equation. The probability of survival to age $-t$, l_t , is

$$\exp\left\{\frac{A(1 - \exp(\alpha t))}{\alpha}\right\},$$

where A is the age-independent mortality parameter and α is the age-dependent parameter. If we let the age-specific survival and fecundity of H:H females be l_t and m_t , respectively, and for L:L females $\tilde{l}_t$ and $\tilde{m}_t$, then the average fecundity of a cohort aged t days is

$$\frac{pl_t m_t + (1-p)\tilde{l}_t \tilde{m}_t}{pl_t + (1-p)\tilde{l}_t}. \quad (1)$$

2.2. Experimental population

This study used an outbred laboratory-selected population of *Drosophila melanogaster* selected for mid-life reproduction. The CO population employed is one of the five replicate CO populations derived in 1989 from five corresponding O populations (Rose, 1984). These populations are cultured using females 28 days of age (Rose et al., 1992) and had been maintained at effective population sizes of at least 1000 individuals for at least 170 generations

at the time of this study. Late-life mortality-rate plateaus and late-life fecundity plateaus have been observed in the CO populations (Rose et al., 2002; Rauser et al., in press). A large cohort of flies from the CO₁ replicate population was used in each of the three assays.

2.3. Culture and assay methods

Flies used in the assays were raised for two generations as larvae in 5 mL of standard banana-molasses food at 25 °C, constant light, and densities between 60 and 80 eggs per 8-dram vial. During this controlled density rearing, flies were kept on a 2-week generation time.

For each replicate assay, individual females were housed with two males in vials containing charcoal-colored medium and 5 mg of yeast. Fecundity was first measured at age 12 days from egg (all ages reported are in days from egg). Assays one and two started with 1111 females and twice as many males, to insure that all females were mated, while assay three started with 606 females and twice as many males. The three replicate assays were temporally staggered to reduce the large amount of work required in measuring daily fecundity for such a large number of females. Over all three cohorts, we collected lifetime daily fecundity data for 2828 females, with 3,169,101 eggs counted in total.

During the assays, we transferred flies to fresh yeasted vials daily and counted the number of eggs laid for each female until she died. Male flies were recombined between vials as they died, to ensure a supply of mates for females. We wanted to measure lifetime individual female fecundity for all females in each cohort and compare the lifelong age-specific fecundity of females that died before the onset of the late-life fecundity plateau with those females that live to lay eggs at very late ages. If lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity is sufficient to produce late-life plateaus in fecundity, then the observed fecundity at early ages should be sufficient to predict which females will survive and contribute to the eggs laid during the late-life fecundity plateau. Specifically, we expect females that live to, and lay eggs during, the plateau to lay significantly fewer eggs earlier in life compared to females that die before the onset of the plateau, if lifelong demographic heterogeneity based on trade-offs between reproduction and survival determines late-life fecundity patterns.

2.4. Statistical analysis of heterogeneity in fecundity

2.4.1. Choice of statistical model

We used our observations of individual female fecundity to classify females as either ‘plateau’ or ‘non-plateau’ females. If plateau females *always* lay fewer eggs, compared to non-plateau females, then we ought to be able to examine the age-specific patterns of fecundity early in life and predict whether a female will make it to the plateau, or not. That is, statistically we ask if we can

correctly make this prediction, given the longitudinal fecundity data, significantly more often than 50% of the time.

To properly classify each female into one of the two groups, we first determined the age at which average population fecundity stopped declining and plateaued in each of the three cohorts. The start of the fecundity plateau was determined in each cohort independently by fitting a 3-parameter, two-stage linear model, having a second stage slope of zero, to mid- and late-life population fecundity data (starting at age 30 days). Note that this model was not chosen to describe lifetime fecundity patterns of individuals or the population, but simply to determine at what age fecundity stops declining and plateaus at late ages. This model was previously used to test whether fecundity plateaus late in life in experimental *Drosophila* cohorts (Rauser et al., 2005, in press). Under the two-stage model the fecundity at age t days is

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 t, & \text{if } t < \varphi_3, \\ \varphi_1 + \varphi_2 \varphi_3, & \text{if } t \geq \varphi_3. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

This model was fit to the data using the non-linear least-squares package in the R-project for statistical computing (www.R-project.org). We wrote a self-starting R-function for the two-stage linear model that provided initial estimates for the parameter values as well as the predicted fecundity from Eq. (2).

From this model we determined the starting age of the fecundity plateau (φ_3), which we call ‘the breakday’, for each of the three cohorts. All females that died before the breakday were classified as ‘non-plateau’ females (individuals whose age of death is before φ_3), and females that died on or after the breakday were classified as ‘plateau’ females (individuals whose age of death is $\geq \varphi_3$).

Steinsaltz (2005) has shown that search routines for maximum likelihood estimates of the Gompertz mortality functions with a plateau do not always find the best estimates. We have explored this potential problem with model (2) and the non-linear regression package of R by doing extensive grid searches about the least squares estimates to determine if there were nearby parameter combinations which further reduced the sum of squares. We found that the *nls* routine of R almost always identified the best parameter estimates and in the single instance where a better combination was found it was for a combination of parameter values that were very close to the *nls* least squares estimates.

There are of course other ways in which age-specific fecundity cohort data could be fit statistically. Thus, we have compared the two-stage linear model with two other possible models. We believe this comparison illustrates additional advantages of the two-stage linear model, advantages that go beyond its primary purpose of estimating the age at which fecundity transitions from a rapid decline to a slow decline or plateau.

We have compared three models, each with three parameters, that predict the fecundity of a female aged t days. The first is the two-stage linear model already introduced as Eq. (2).

The second model we will call the ‘exponential model’

$$\phi_1 + \phi_2 \exp(\phi_3 t). \quad (3)$$

The third model has the shape of the right half of a normal distribution, so we will call it the Gaussian model

$$\phi_1 \exp(-(\phi_2 + \phi_3 t)^2). \quad (4)$$

We analyzed data from 19 different CO cohorts with respect to their ‘fit’ with all three of these statistical models. This sample included all five independent CO populations (cf. Rose et al., 2002; Rose et al., 2004; Rauser et al., in press) with at least two replicate cohorts sampled from each of the five populations. The entire data set contained 116,393 measurements of age-specific fecundity. We fit each model to the entire set of data assuming each population differs due to random variation using the non-linear mixed effects package of *R* (version 2.01). Goodness of fit was assessed by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC).

The results of this model-fitting comparison were qualitatively the same for AIC and BIC. The exponential model showed a 0.3% improvement in AIC relative to the two-stage linear model. The Gaussian model showed a 0.4% improvement relative to the two-stage model. These are modest improvements in statistical fit.

Therefore, the specific features of the three statistical models become of greater interest in choosing among them. Many females in this data set survived beyond 60 days, yet the exponential model fitting predicts negative fecundity values above this age. This property renders the exponential model essentially worthless.

The problems with the Gaussian statistical model are also profound. From the overall fitting of the three models, t -tests with 116,372 degrees of freedom can be used to determine if each parameter of each model is significantly different from zero. Table 1 shows that all three parameters are significantly different from zero for the two-stage and

exponential models. However, only one of the three parameters is significantly different from zero for the Gaussian model. This is due to the very large variation in parameter estimates across these 19 populations for the Gaussian model (Table 1). For instance, the ϕ_1 parameter shows an 1800-fold range of variation over the 19 CO populations, while the ϕ_2 parameter changes sign from one population to the next.

Consequently, we conclude that the values of at least two of the parameters in the Gaussian model are extremely sensitive to slight biological changes from replicate to replicate. The Gaussian model cannot be rehabilitated by simply removing the ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 parameters, since the resulting model then predicts age-specific fecundities no larger than $\exp(0)$ or 1. These difficulties clearly render the Gaussian statistical model a poor choice for data analysis.

In conclusion the two-stage model gives us the ability to objectively determine an age at which there is a substantial departure from the decline in fecundity that is apparent through much of mid-life in the age-specific fecundity data. In addition, our preferred statistical model has parameters that have simple interpretations in terms of evolutionary models, while avoiding the biological paradoxes exhibited by statistical models like the exponential and Gaussian. However, we cannot claim that there are not other conceivable statistical models that might do an even better job than our two-stage linear model does at data-fitting with only three parameters, and invite our colleagues to develop such models for future use.

2.4.2. Discriminant analysis

One way to test whether the age-specific fecundity patterns at earlier ages allow us to predict which females will be plateau females is with linear discriminant analysis on the age-specific fecundity of individual females. Let the number of eggs laid by the i th female, aged t days, be y_{it} . Suppose we have these data for k -consecutive days from females belonging to two groups: plateau females and non-plateau females. Using log transformed fecundity, $\tilde{y}_{it} = \ln(y_{it})$, we assumed a common $k \times k$ covariance matrix for $\tilde{y}$ in plateau and non-plateau females, S . Note that the mean vectors for plateau females, Y_p , may be different from the mean vector for non-plateau females, Y_n .

Given the age-specific fecundity for the i th female, $\tilde{Y}_i = (\tilde{y}_{i,1}, \tilde{y}_{i,2}, \dots, \tilde{y}_{i,k})^T$, we compute the Wald-Anderson classification statistic (Morrison, 1976, p. 232)

$$W = \tilde{Y}_i S^{-1} (Y_n - Y_p) - \frac{1}{2} (Y_n + Y_p)^T S^{-1} (Y_n - Y_p).$$

If $W > 0$, we classified the female as a non-plateau female, otherwise she was classified as a plateau female.

The difficult aspect of using this statistic is to determine how much better than 50% our predictive power must be in order to be considered statistically significant. We addressed this problem by using computer re-sampling to determine

Table 1

The top half of the table shows the P -value for the t -test of each model parameter for the three different statistical models fit to population-level age-specific fecundity data

	P-value		
Model	ϕ_1	ϕ_2	ϕ_3
Two stage	2.4×10^{-106}	8.0×10^{-75}	$< 10^{-300}$
Exponential	4.8×10^{-8}	6.4×10^{-15}	3.8×10^{-46}
Gaussian	0.93	0.79	4.1×10^{-08}
Range of parameter variation (largest to smallest)			
Two stage	$\times 3.6$	$\times 4.2$	$\times 1.5$
Gaussian	$\times 1805$	-3.4 to 2.09	$\times 4.5$

The bottom half of the table shows the range that the parameter estimates vary over the 19 different CO populations.

how successful discriminant analysis is, given the sample sizes used in our study. If the fecundity plateau within the cohort starts at age T days, then discriminant analysis can only be applied to fecundity records of females aged $T-1$ days or younger, since there are no non-plateau females at ages $\geq T$. In principle we could apply the discriminant analysis using only the fecundity data from the first age of reproduction, and a second analysis using days 1 and 2, and so on up to age $T-1$. Accordingly, we need to attach significance levels not just to a single test, but to the entire ensemble of $T-1$ tests that could be carried out on any data set. In addition, the problem of determining predictive power is further complicated by the availability of three independent cohorts of flies, because it is not reasonable to assume that the distribution of $\tilde{y}$ is the same in each cohort. However, we would like to consider the results from all three cohorts together.

We created 1000 artificial fecundity data sets that consisted of the same number of females as in our actual experiment, and with the same observed age at death. However, we assumed that the fecundity of any female of age i was independent of her fecundity at all other ages, and was sampled from a normal distribution with mean, $E(\tilde{y}_i)$, and variance, $\text{Var}(\tilde{y}_i)$, estimated from our observations of all females alive at age t . Because on the appropriate null hypothesis, there is no correlation between different ages, these artificial data sets contain no patterns in age-specific fecundity that could be used to predict whether a female will be a plateau female, or not. For each random data set, and at each age t less than T , we estimated the discriminant function and then determined the fraction of all females correctly classified, represented here as π_t .

The artificial data sets were then used to construct confidence bands to assess the statistical significance of our discriminant analysis of the observed fecundity data. If we rank order the 1000 values of π_t , and then let $\pi_{k,t}$ be the observed value of π_t that was greater than $k-1$ of the 1000 simulated values, we get a statistical test of significance for age $-t$. The test of significance would be if the observed value of π_t , $\pi_{t,\text{obs}}$, were greater than $\pi_{950,t}$. We have computed $\pi_{500,t}$, $\pi_{800,t}$, $\pi_{900,t}$, $\pi_{950,t}$ and for all ages $t < T$. These values correspond to 50, 80, 90, and 95% confidence bands, respectively. To assess the significance across all cohorts, we summed the fraction of females correctly placed for all ages and all cohorts that occurred above the respective confidence band and compared these results to the 1000 random sums created from the artificial data sets. With these results, we can determine if our observed results are significant at the 5 or 1% level of significance (i.e. were more extreme than 950 or 990 of the 1000 simulated data sets, respectively).

Our results suggested that age-specific patterns early in life are unable to distinguish plateau from non-plateau females. However, at ages closer to T the ability to make significant predictions improves dramatically. We were

therefore interested in determining at what age significant predictability first appears. To address this problem, we examined groups of ages less than T to determine the range of young ages that are not significant. We determined the fraction of females correctly classified over ages, starting at age one, then 1 and 2, and then 1, 2, and 3, etc. The observed sums, e.g. $\pi_{1,\text{obs}} + \pi_{2,\text{obs}} + \pi_{3,\text{obs}}$, were compared to the similarly computed 1000 random sums to determine statistical significance.

3. Results

3.1. Simulated cohort fecundity

The average population fecundity of a cohort with two levels of lifelong fecundity and mortality is high at early adult ages and decreases with age until it plateaus at low fecundity levels (Fig. 1). This plateau in fecundity at late ages occurs once almost all of the high-fecundity, high-mortality individuals have died. The results of this simulation demonstrate how two levels of heterogeneity within a cohort can result in the average population fecundity patterns we have observed (Rauser et al., 2003, 2005, *in press*). However, we are not asserting that this is the only conceivable lifelong heterogeneity model that has such properties.

Fig. 1. The average population fecundity within a cohort, assuming two phenotypes: high mortality with high fecundity (H:H, solid grey line), and low mortality with low fecundity (L:L, dashed grey line) using Eq. (1). Average fecundity starts high, and then declines with age until it stops declining at low levels at late ages. The onset of the plateau in average fecundity occurs once the H:H individuals have almost all died. These results assume the H:H and L:L types start at equal frequencies, $P=0.5$. The A and α parameters were assumed to be 9.13×10^4 and 0.123, respectively, for the H:H females, and 1.75×10^4 and 0.059 for the L:L females. These estimates were taken from actual mortality data from long- and short-lived fly populations (Nusbaum et al., 1996, Table 1). We assumed that H:H females had a constant high fecundity such that to $m_t=60$ eggs/day for all t , and likewise L:L females had a constant low fecundity with $m_t=4$ eggs/day. The solid and dashed grey lines represent the proportion of individuals alive at each age (survivorship) for the high and low mortality phenotypes, respectively.

Fig. 2. (a) Individual fecundity records for the 1111 females from the first assay. (b) Individual fecundity records of 1111 females from the second assay. (c) Individual fecundity records of 606 females from the third assay. Females were rank-ordered by age of death within each cohort on the y-axes and the individual age-specific fecundity patterns of each female are plotted horizontally on each graph along the x-axes. Female fecundity was divided into five categories and color-coded accordingly: 0 eggs, 1–9 eggs, 10–19 eggs, 20–49 eggs, 50–194 eggs. The zero category is black, and the shades get progressively lighter as the number of eggs increase.

3.2. Individual fertility and survival

A visual display of our experimental results has been created by plotting each female as a line whose length is proportional to the flies lifespan and is shaded to represent the age-specific fecundity of the female on each day (cf. Carey et al., 1998; see Fig. 2). These figures show visually that many females have very low fecundity just prior to death. This phenomena is examined in more detail in the next section.

3.3. Plateau and non-plateau fly fecundity is not sufficiently different in the actual data

Once the breakday was estimated for our experimental data from the two-stage linear model, we were able to divide each cohort into plateau and non-plateau females. The breakday for each of the three cohorts was determined to be ages 46, 45, and 46 days (Table 2). The number of females

alive at the start of the fecundity plateau was 354 (31.9%), 557 (50.1%), and 206 (34.0%) for cohorts 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Average fecundity of the plateau females was indistinguishable from that of the non-plateau females at early ages, but differences can be seen by mid-life (Fig. 3).

Table 2

Parameter estimates from the two-stage linear model fitted to mid- and late-life population fecundity data for each of the three CO cohorts (all derived from the CO₁ population)

Cohort	<i>N</i>	First-stage y-int (ϕ_1)	First-stage slope (ϕ_2)	Breakday (ϕ_3)
CO ₁₋₁	1111	76.47	−1.56	46.22
CO ₁₋₂	1111	81.01	−1.62	45.09
CO ₁₋₃	606	74.32	−1.48	46.27

The start of the fecundity plateau was very similar for all three cohorts and was used to classify individual females into either the plateau or non-plateau groups. Parameter estimates for ϕ_1 , ϕ_2 , and ϕ_3 were all significantly different from zero; $P < 0.001$.

Fig. 3. Average fecundity for females that lived to lay eggs in the plateau (black line), females that died before the onset of the plateau (grey line), and all of the females within each cohort (dotted line). The start of the fecundity plateau (b.d.) within each cohort was similar in all three cohorts. Note that early fecundity is indistinguishable between plateau and non-plateau females.

This pattern is not consistent with the assumptions of any demographic heterogeneity theory based solely on lifelong differences in fecundity. It might, however, be consistent with other types of heterogeneity models, especially models developed post hoc to mimic the present data.

The results of our linear discriminant analysis are based on 3,169,101 eggs from a total of 2828 females. This analysis indicates that at ages just before the onset of the fecundity plateau, approximately 60–70% of the females

Fig. 4. The fraction of correctly placed females at each age (solid circles) up until the breakday, determined from a linear discriminant analysis. Confidence bands are constructed from a discriminant analysis on artificial fecundity data sets. This figure indicates that fecundity at early ages is not sufficiently heterogeneous, but come mid-life heterogeneity in fecundity seems sufficient to predict whether an individual will be a plateau or non-plateau female.

could be placed correctly into plateau and non-plateau groups, while at earlier ages, females were placed correctly no better than 50% of the time (Fig. 4). That is, individual fecundity patterns at earlier ages did not predict whether a female would live and lay eggs during late life, but fecundity patterns in mid-life did. This suggests that there is not enough heterogeneity in fecundity at early ages to distinguish which females will survive to the plateau and which will not. However, come mid-life, the heterogeneity in fecundity does seem sufficient to make this prediction. Nonetheless, these results do not support heterogeneity

Table 3

The fraction of ages, up to the breakday, where the percent of females correctly placed was greater than that predicted by the confidence bands (c.b.) derived from a null hypothesis

Cohort	c.b. 50	c.b. 80	c.b. 90	c.b. 95
CO ₁₋₁	0.76	0.68	0.62	0.59
CO ₁₋₂	0.91	0.79	0.76	0.70
CO ₁₋₃	0.94	0.82	0.56	0.36
Total	0.87*	0.76*	0.64*	0.55*

The total number of ages above all of the confidence bands is significant (* $P < 0.01$).

theories of late life based on lifelong differences in fecundity, since variation in early age-specific fecundity is not predictive.

If we examine all the predictions in Fig. 4 from the three different experiments, using all the pre-plateau data, the fraction of females correctly placed was above the 50, 80, 90, and 95% confidence bands 88, 77, 65, and 56 times out of a possible 101, respectively. Table 3 shows the fraction of ages where the percent of females correctly placed was greater than that predicted by the confidence bands for each cohort independently. The total number of ages above all four of the confidence bands is significant ($P < 0.01$), which suggests that cumulative heterogeneity across all ages up until the breakday is great enough to accurately predict which females will live and lay eggs at late ages. However, this test depends on the individual fecundity for all ages up to the breakday. Although this test is significant, it does not tell us at what age heterogeneity in fecundity becomes significant.

Because our analysis suggests that there is not enough age-specific heterogeneity in fecundity to predict plateau membership until ages close to the breakday, we examined consecutive groups of ages, starting at the first age of reproduction. We found that there is not enough age-specific heterogeneity in fecundity between individuals to accurately predict which females will live and reproduce at late ages until age 23 days (with the threshold for statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$), or age 26 days (for $P < 0.01$) from egg. These ages occur 12 and 15 days after the start of reproduction, respectively. This result allows us to reject demographic heterogeneity hypotheses based strictly on observable lifelong heterogeneity in age-specific fecundity. It does not permit rejection of heterogeneity hypotheses that allow variation in the age-specific pattern of fecundity.

It is of interest to investigate in more detail the patterns of age-specific fecundity that contribute to the ability to predict plateau membership at ages close to the breakday. We noticed from a casual inspection of individual fecundity records that, for a few days just prior to death, many females show a rapid decline in fecundity. To study this in more detail, all females from the first cohort were divided into three categories: non-plateau females that died prior to day 33, non-plateau females that died between days 33 and 45,

Fig. 5. Fecundity from three age-classes of females during the 5 days preceding death compared to the average population fecundity of all females. The 5-day trends are placed at ages corresponding to when the deaths of the respective groups are taking place. The left end of a given group's trend corresponds to 5 days before death and the right end of the trend to the day before death. For each of these three groups the decline in fecundity is at a rate that is faster than the population as a whole suggesting that all females undergo a similar physiological decline just prior to death.

and plateau females who all died on day 46 or later. For each of these three groups we determined the average fecundity of females 5 days prior to their death, 4 days prior to their death and so on up to the day before their death.

These 5-day trajectories are shown alongside the average fecundity for all females in Fig. 5. There are two important features of these plots. Firstly, both plateau and non-plateau females show a rapid decline in fecundity prior to death. This is even true for non-plateau females that die relatively early in life. Secondly, this decline is at an accelerated rate relative to the total cohort decline in fecundity. For instance, the slope of declining fecundity for the entire cohort is -1.56 . The slope of the fecundity decline for the first group of non-plateau females in Fig. 5 is -5.51 and for the second group -2.97 . Both slopes are significantly less in value than the slope for the entire cohort ($P < 0.001$).

These observations provide us with a relatively simple explanation for the discriminant analysis results. At ages just prior to the breakday, most non-plateau females will, from the definition of the fecundity plateau, be close to death. Thus, their fecundity will be declining much more rapidly than the plateau females. Accordingly, we expect that the inspection of age-specific mortality patterns just prior to the breakday will reveal these differences between the remaining non-plateau females, which are dying, and the plateau females, giving a significant ability to distinguish between these two groups. However, this predictive capability is due to a feature common to all females, which on average show rapidly declining fecundity prior to death, and not to any lifelong characteristic of the non-plateau females. Furthermore, this age-specific property is not in keeping with the predictive properties necessitated by strictly lifelong heterogeneity models.

4. Discussion

If lifelong observable heterogeneity in fecundity causes late-life fecundity plateaus in experimental cohorts, it should be detectable from differences in egg laying between individual females at every phase of adult life, including early adulthood. For example, a cohort that shows life-long heterogeneity in egg laying with strong trade-offs between reproduction and survival should have females that consistently lay more eggs quickly and then die at earlier ages, leaving only females who have always laid eggs at a low rate preponderant among late ages. Alternatively, a cohort that has some members which show lifelong superiority with respect to all adult life-history characters, including all age-specific survival probabilities and all age-specific fecundities, should allow us to predict survival to late life from early fecundity data. But our analysis shows that neither of these hypotheses are likely to be correct, because early life fecundity does not predict late-life characteristics, as we will now explain.

We used the age of death and age-specific fecundity for individuals within three cohorts to test the predictions of lifelong fecundity-heterogeneity hypotheses. Our data suggest that there is a significant amount of age-specific variation in fecundity, but it has no predictive value until 12–15 days after the start of reproduction (Fig. 4). Therefore, we conclude that there is not enough heterogeneity in fecundity at early ages to distinguish which females will survive to lay eggs in the fecundity plateau and which will not. Therefore, we reject lifelong heterogeneity theories for fecundity. This result does NOT show that heterogeneity theories that allow age-specificity are incorrect; the evolutionary theory of late life based on the force of natural selection (vid. Mueller and Rose, 1996; Charlesworth, 2001) is just such a theory, and other theories of this general class are conceivable and unchallenged by our present results.

It was not until ages just prior to the onset of the plateau that we were able to accurately predict which females would be plateau and non-plateau females. This observation makes sense because the general pattern of fecundity right before death is the same regardless of whether that female is a plateau or non-plateau female. For both groups, individual female fecundity steeply declines to zero just prior to death (Fig. 5). This pattern of declining female fecundity just before death has been observed in both *Medflies* and *Drosophila* (Müller et al., 2001; Novoseltsev et al., 2003, 2004). Therefore, our increased ability to correctly place females within demographic categories using fecundity at ages just before the plateau probably arises simply from this dramatic decline in fecundity right before death. This idea is supported by the fact that plateau females have a three-fold greater fecundity compared to non-plateau females 5 days before the start of the fecundity plateau.

Further analysis of individual fecundity patterns for females at ages prior to the onset of the fecundity plateau

show that fecundity declines much faster for females about to die, compared to the population of females alive at these same ages. Therefore, it is apparent that our ability to correctly sort out plateau and non-plateau females increases when we examine age-specific fecundity data just before the breakday because the only non-plateau females alive at these ages are those about to die, and thus undergoing a rapid decline in fecundity.

Many heterogeneity theories proposed to explain the slowing of mortality rates at late ages assume that individuals within a cohort are still aging according to Gompertz' law, but that the differences between individual Gompertz functions is large (Vaupel, 1990; Kowald and Kirkwood, 1993). However, Abrams and Ludwig (1995) point out that the amount of heterogeneity assumed to make these models fit population mortality-rate data is extremely large, without precedent in actual data. In fact, the difference between the constant and exponential Gompertz parameters in our long and short-lived fly populations (Nusbaum et al., 1996) does not come close to the magnitude of heterogeneity required within a population to make heterogeneity models fit the data (Vaupel and Carey, 1993; Kowald and Kirkwood, 1993). Furthermore, we know that the demographic patterns of short-lived populations do not indicate the presence of individuals as long-lived as the typical member of the long-lived population. Similarly, our simple model for heterogeneity in fecundity required a 15-fold difference in fecundity between the high and low egg-layers in order to simulate accurately our observed cohort fecundity values. It would be interesting to see if average cohort fecundity plateaus at late ages in genetically homogenous cohorts. A plateau in fecundity under these circumstances would indicate whether age-specific, though not lifelong, genetic heterogeneity plays a role in late-life fecundity patterns, because it would not eliminate the contribution of age-specific environmental heterogeneity. However, it is unlikely that exogenous environmental heterogeneity has much of an effect on the existence of fecundity plateaus, as we have observed plateaus under both constant and varying environmental conditions (Rauser et al., 2005, in press).

Other studies of the fecundity trajectories of individual flies generally support our experimental findings, and do not specifically support the predictions of lifelong heterogeneity models for fecundity. Müller et al. (2001) looked at fecundity and death patterns in *Medflies* and found no apparent trade-off between reproductive output and lifespan, which is additional evidence against the type of model that we simulate here. A lifelong heterogeneity model for fecundity with strong trade-offs between reproduction and survival predicts just the opposite: females that lay a high number of eggs should die at earlier ages, which is equivalent to a trade-off between reproduction and lifespan.

Analysis of the phenotypic relationship between lifetime reproduction and lifespan in our flies indicates that long life is also coupled with increased lifetime reproduction (Fig. 6).

Fig. 6. Total lifetime reproduction for all 2828 flies. This pattern suggests that there is no overall phenotypic trade-off between lifespan and reproduction, which suggests that females that lived to lay eggs in the plateau were not simply laying a low number of eggs their entire life ($r = 0.7283$; $P < 0.0001$).

Novoseltsev et al. (2004) also show that flies with short lifespans do not have higher mean fecundity during their midlife 'plateau' compared with flies that live a medium number of days, which is not consistent with the predictions of the lifelong trade-off heterogeneity theory for fecundity (note that their 'plateau' is a midlife plateau for individual females, while our 'plateau' refers to average population fecundity at very late ages). However, they did show that the longest lived flies had a lower mean fecundity than the medium and short lived flies, but not always significantly lower. An analysis of the relationship between the mean number of eggs each female laid per day and lifespan in our flies suggests a similar relationship. That is, longer lived flies had a slightly lower mean number of eggs laid per day (Fig. 7), but not low enough compared to shorter lived flies to significantly improve our ability to predict which females would be long-lived plateau females, or not, at earlier ages.

Fig. 7. Average daily reproduction for all 2828 flies is only marginally lower in long-lived flies. This also suggests that plateau females were not simply laying a low number of eggs per day because there is only a weak negative association between mean daily fecundity and lifespan ($r = 0.2135$; $P < 0.0001$).

In conclusion, our analyses indicate that there is significant, predictive, age-specific heterogeneity in fecundity within large cohorts, which is to be expected in a genetically heterogeneous, outbred population. This heterogeneity is not lifelong, nor is it sufficient to cause late-life plateaus in average population fecundity. The most significant type of age-specific heterogeneity was between flies about to die vs. those that were not. Because lifelong heterogeneity in fecundity hypotheses are based on the same type of underlying assumptions as lifelong heterogeneity theories proposed to explain late-life mortality-rate plateaus, our test of such lifelong models for fecundity is relevant to the mortality models as well. If lifelong heterogeneity models are generally related to late life, then they should have passed this test. Our results refute at least one general class of heterogeneity theories, those based on fixed *lifelong* differences in fecundity.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank our undergraduate research students for assistance with fecundity assays. We are grateful to the reviewers of this manuscript for their suggestions concerning the improvement of our manuscript. This research was supported by a NSF-DDIG grant to M.R.R. and C.L.R. C.L.R. was supported by GAANN and AAUW Fellowships during portions of this study.

References

- Abrams, P.A., Ludwig, D., 1995. Optimality theory, Gompertz' law, and the disposable soma theory of senescence. *Evolution* 49, 1055–1066.
- Arking, R., Giroux, C., 2001. Antioxidant genes, hormesis, and demographic longevity. *J. Anti-Aging Med.* 4, 125–136.
- Brooks, A., Lithgow, G.J., Johnson, T.E., 1994. Genetic control of life span: age-specific mortality rate of a heterogeneous population of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science* 263, 668–671.
- Carey, J.R., Liedo, P., Müller, H.G., Wang, J.L., Vaupel, J.W., 1998. A simple technique for displaying individual fertility data and cohort survival: case study of 1000 Mediterranean fruit fly females. *Func. Ecol.* 12, 359–363.
- Carnes, B.A., Olshansky, S.J., 2001. Heterogeneity and its biodemographic implications for longevity and mortality. *Exp. Gerontol.* 36, 419–430.
- Charlesworth, B., 2001. Patterns of age-specific means and genetic variances of mortality rates predicted by the mutation-accumulation theory of ageing. *J. Theor. Biol.* 210, 47–65.
- Curtsinger, J.W., Fukui, H.H., Townsend, D.R., Vaupel, J.W., 1992. Demography of genotypes: failure of the limited life span paradigm in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* 258, 461–463.
- Drapeau, M.D., Gass, E.K., Simison, M.D., Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., 2000. Testing the heterogeneity theory of late-life mortality plateaus by using cohorts of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Exp. Gerontol.* 35, 71–84.
- Fukui, H.H., Ackart, L., Curtsinger, J.W., 1996. Deceleration of age-specific mortality rates in chromosomal homozygotes and heterozygotes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Exp. Gerontol.* 31, 517–531.
- Hamilton, W.D., 1966. The moulding of senescence by natural selection. *J. Theor. Biol.* 12, 12–45.

- Khazaeli, A.A., Pletcher, S.D., Curtsinger, J.W., 1998. The fractionation experiment: reducing heterogeneity to investigate age-specific mortality in *Drosophila*. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 105, 301–317.
- Kowald, A., Kirkwood, T.B.L., 1993. Explaining fruit fly longevity. *Science* 260, 1664–1665.
- Morrison, D.F., 1976. *Multivariate Statistical Methods*, second ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.
- Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., 1996. Evolutionary theory predicts late-life mortality plateaus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, 15249–15253.
- Mueller, L.D., Drapeau, M.D., Rose, M.R., 2000. Stress resistance, heterogeneity, and mortality plateaus: response by the authors. *Exp. Gerontol.* 35, 1089–1091.
- Müller, H.G., Carey, J.R., Wu, D., Liedo, P., Vaupel, J.W., 2001. Reproductive potential predicts longevity of female Mediterranean fruitflies. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 268, 445–450.
- Mueller, L.D., Drapeau, M.D., Adams, C.S., Hammerle, C.W., Doyal, K.M., Jazayeri, A.J., Ly, T., Beguwala, S.A., Mamidi, A.R., Rose, M.R., 2003. Statistical tests of demographic heterogeneity theories. *Exp. Gerontol.* 38, 373–386.
- Novoseltsev, V.N., Novoseltseva, J.A., Yashin, A.I., 2003. What does a fly's individual fecundity pattern look like? The dynamics of resource allocation in reproduction and ageing. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 124, 605–617.
- Novoseltsev, V.N., Carey, J.R., Novoseltseva, J.A., Papadopoulos, N.T., Blay, S., Yashin, A.I., 2004. Systemic mechanisms of individual reproductive life history in female Medflies. *Mech. Ageing Dev.* 125, 77–87.
- Nusbaum, T.J., Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., 1996. Evolutionary patterns among measures of aging. *Exp. Gerontol.* 31, 507–516.
- Pletcher, S.D., Curtsinger, J.W., 2000. The influence of environmentally induced heterogeneity on age-specific genetic variance for mortality rates. *Genet. Res.* 75, 321–329.
- Rauser, C.L., Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., 2003. Aging, fertility and immortality. *Exp. Gerontol.* 38, 27–33.
- Rauser, C.L., Hong, J.S., Cung, M.B., Pham, K.M., Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., 2005. Testing whether male age or high nutrition causes the cessation of reproductive aging in female *Drosophila melanogaster* populations. *Rejuven. Res.* 8, 86–95.
- Rauser, C.L., Tierney, J.J., Gunion, S.M., Covarrubias, G.M., Mueller, L.D., Rose, M.R., in press. Evolution of late-life fecundity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J. Evol. Biol.*
- Rose, M.R., 1984. Laboratory evolution of postponed senescence in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 38, 1004–1010.
- Rose, M.R., Passananti, H.K., Matos, M., Eds, 2004. *Methuselah Files: A Case Study in the Evolution of Aging*. World Scientific Publishing, Singapore.
- Rose, M.R., Vu, L.N., Park, S.U., Graves Jr., J.L., 1992. Selection on stress resistance increases longevity in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Exp. Gerontol.* 27, 241–250.
- Rose, M.R., Drapeau, M.D., Yazdi, P.G., Shah, K.H., Moise, D.B., Thakar, R.R., Rauser, C.L., Mueller, L.D., 2002. Evolution of late-life mortality in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evolution* 56, 1982–1991.
- Service, P.M., 2000a. Heterogeneity in individual mortality risk and its importance for evolutionary studies of senescence. *Am. Nat.* 156, 1–13.
- Service, P.M., et al., 2000b. Stress resistance, heterogeneity, and mortality plateaus: a comment on Drapeau et al.. *Exp. Gerontol.* 35, 1085–1087.
- Service, P.M., 2004. Demographic heterogeneity explains age-specific patterns of genetic variance in mortality rates. *Exp. Gerontol.* 39, 25–30.
- Steinsaltz, D., 2005. Re-evaluating a test of the heterogeneity explanation for mortality plateaus. *Exp. Gerontol.* 40, 101–113.
- Vaupel, J.W., 1988. Inherited frailty and longevity. *Demography* 25, 277–287.
- Vaupel, J.W., 1990. Relatives' risks: frailty models of life history data. *Theor. Pop. Biol.* 37, 220–234.
- Vaupel, J.W., Carey, J.R., 1993. Compositional interpretations of medfly mortality. *Science* 260, 1666–1667.
- Vaupel, J.W., Manton, K.G., Stallard, E., 1979. The impact of heterogeneity in individual frailty on the dynamics of mortality. *Demography* 16, 439–454.
- Vaupel, J.W., Johnson, T.E., Lithgow, G.J., 1994. Rates of mortality in populations of *Caenorhabditis elegans* (Technical Comment). *Science* 266, 826.
- Vaupel, J.W., Carey, J.R., Christensen, K., Johnson, T.E., Yashin, A.I., Holm, N.V., Iachine, I.A., Kannisto, V., Khazaeli, A.A., Liedo, P., Longo, V.D., Zeng, Y., Manton, K.G., Curtsinger, J.W., 1998. Biodemographic trajectories of longevity. *Science* 280, 855–860.