

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

SOME THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF HIGH T_c SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1qm3x6qn>

Author

Kresin, V.Z.

Publication Date

1987-10-01

UC-406
LBL-25392 c1



Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Materials & Chemical Sciences Division

Presented at the Low Temperature Electronic Symposium
of the Electrochemical Society, Honolulu, Hawaii,
October 19-23, 1987

RECEIVED
LAWRENCE
BERKELEY LABORATORY

AUG 2 1988

LIBRARY AND
DOCUMENTS SECTION

Some Theoretical Aspects of High T_c Superconductivity

V.Z. Kresin

October 1987

For Reference

Not to be taken from this room



LBL-25392
c1

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

SOME THEORETICAL ASPECTS OF HIGH T_C SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

Vladimir Z. Kresin

Materials and Chemical Sciences Division
 Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
 University of California
 Berkeley, California 94720

INTRODUCTION

This paper is concerned with properties of new superconducting oxides discovered in [1,2] and with mechanisms of high T_C superconductivity. At present, there are many experimental data which allow us to conclude that we are dealing with very unusual systems. At the same time, we should stress that the new superconducting oxides display usual, BCS-type superconductivity. This means that: 1) the superconducting state is due to an effective electron-electron attraction caused by some intermediate field, 2) this attraction leads to Cooper pairing, and 3) the spectrum of excitations possesses an energy gap.

However, this state has many peculiar features, such as a small coherence length, non-phonon contribution to the pairing, a multigap structure, etc.

EXOTIC PROPERTIES OF NEW MATERIALS

A method allowing one to evaluate the main parameters of the new high T_C oxides has been developed by S. Wolf and the present author [3]. We have focused on the properties of the La-Sr-Cu-O compound. One should note that, according to the interesting paper [4], this compound and Y-Ba-Cu-O have a lot in common.

We have developed a method allowing one to use heat capacity data [5] in order to calculate the main parameters of the system. The reduced dimensionality was taken into account in a consistent way. We think that heat capacity measurements are the most reliable source of information, because these data are not sensitive to the mutual orientation of single crystals in a polycrystalline sample.

Consider a model containing 2D sheets. Each of them contains a 2D Fermi gas of carriers. There are a small number of interlayer transitions which are important if we are concerned with 2D fluctuations. But for our present purpose we can consider isolated 2D subsystems.

The effective mass can be determined directly from the equation

$$m^* = (3\hbar^2/\pi) k_B^{-2} a \gamma, \quad (1)$$

where a is the interlayer distance, and $\gamma = C_e/T$.

As a result, we obtain the following values for $\text{La}_{1.8}\text{Sr}_{0.2}\text{CuO}_4$: $m^* = 4m_e$, $\epsilon_F = 0.12$ eV, $\xi_0 = 23.5 \text{ \AA}$ (m^* , ϵ_F , and ξ_0 are the effective mass, the Fermi energy and the coherence length, correspondingly). We would like to stress two inter-related features of the system allowing one to consider it as a strongly correlated state. First of all, the value of the parameter $\alpha = \epsilon(0)/\epsilon_F$ ($\epsilon(0)$ is the energy gap at $T=0\text{K}$; the parameter α is negligibly small for conventional superconductors) appears to be large. This means that a large fraction of carriers are paired. In this sense, the new high T_C oxides differ in a striking way from the usual superconductors.

Secondly, the coherence length is small. Such a small value of ξ_0 allows one to meet the Anderson criterion $\xi_0 \ll \ell$, ℓ is the mean free path, and observe a multigap structure.

The same result can be obtained if we consider a 3D system with a cylindrically shaped Fermi surface with $P_{z\text{max}} = \pi/a$.

The new high T_C materials provide a unique opportunity to observe, under certain conditions, effects due to the presence of several gaps.

The two-gap model has been introduced by Suhl, Matthias and Walker [6]. Afterwards it has been studied by Geilikman, Zaitsev and the present author [7] and by the present author in ref. 8. The difficulty of observing multigap effects, as well as effects caused by gap anisotropy are due to the Anderson theorem. Namely, the inequality $\ell \ll \xi_0$ (ξ_0 is the coherence length, ℓ is the mean free path) results in the gaps averaging out into a single one. Interband transitions are the main mechanism of this averaging.

New high T_C oxides are characterized by a small value of ξ_0 (see above). As a result, for relatively clean samples the criterion $\xi_0 \leq \ell$ can be met. Hence, we have a case of very small ξ_0 and the opportunity to observe several gaps in the presence of overlapping bands becomes apparent. If we study, for example, a system with two gaps then even in the weak coupling approximation the ratios $\beta_i = \epsilon_i/T_C$ ($i = 1, 2$) can differ drastically from $\beta_{\text{BCS}} = 1.76$. A situation when $\beta_1 \ll \beta_{\text{BCS}}$ while $\beta_2 \ll \beta_{\text{BCS}}$ is perfectly realistic. Strictly speaking each subgroup of electrons is characterized by its value of $\xi_0^i = \hbar v_F^i (2\pi k T_C)^{-1}$.

Note that the Anderson criterion allows one to determine whether one is dealing with a multigap case. Indeed, additional doping of these materials will result in a decrease of ℓ and, subsequently, in ℓ becoming less than ξ_0 when a transition to the one-gap picture will take place. Such a transition can be observed experimentally, because the tunneling spectrum and the temperature dependence, e.g., of the kinetic coefficients are different in the one-gap and multigap cases.

Note that anisotropy of the energy gap and multigap structure are similar phenomena. Both of them are due to a deviation of the Fermi surface from the spherical shape. A layered structure in the absence of interlayer transitions has a cylindrical Fermi surface. The small number of transitions (these transitions are necessary in order to eliminate the contribution of fluctuations; fluctuations make it impossible for the superconducting state to appear in pure 2D and 1D cases) corresponds to a

very stretched ellipsoid with a large value of the effective mass along the "c" axis.

The anisotropy of the Fermi surface does not play a noticeable role in conventional superconductors because of the averaging into an isotropic energy gap. This averaging is connected with the same Anderson's criterion (see above) and is due to the large value of the coherence length.

The large anisotropy of the Fermi surface along with the small value of the coherence length make the energy gap anisotropy an important feature of the new high T_c materials.

A layered structure and large anisotropy do not mean that pairing occurs between carriers which belong to the same sheet with Josephson coupling between different sheets. Such a picture assumes that a 2D superconductor can exist by itself, but its superconducting state would be destroyed by fluctuations. In reality, pairing occurs in momentum space, that is, the states $(\vec{k}, k_z)$ and $(-\vec{k}, -k_z)$ are paired ($\vec{k}$ is 2D quasi-momentum); their wave functions are spread out over the entire crystal, and the anisotropy is manifested in the anisotropy of the Fermi surface.

Recent experimental data [9] show the presence of two energy gaps in Y-Ba-Au-O: $\epsilon_1 \approx 8 T_c$, $\epsilon_2 \approx 2 T_c$. It is interesting to note that the value $\epsilon \approx 8 T_c$ has been obtained recently by the infrared techniques [10]. This means that the authors [10] have observed the larger gap. The anisotropy of superconducting properties observed in [11] also is a manifestation of the small coherence length.

2D PLASMONS. COEXISTENCE OF THE PHONON AND PLASMON MECHANISM

The problem of coexistence of the usual phonon and non-phonon (plasmon) mechanisms has been discussed by the present author in ref. [12]. Here I would like to stress several important features of the plasmon mechanism.

1. Low dimensionality and a small carrier concentration makes the contribution of 2D plasmons very favorable. Reduced dimensionality along with the presence of overlapping energy bands leads to the appearance of two plasmon branches: 1) the branch $\omega(q) \sim q^{1/2} (q \rightarrow 0)$, which is specific to a 2D system. Note that in the short-wave region which is important for the pairing, the interlayer interaction is not essential; 2) acoustic branch $\omega \sim q$, which is due to the presence of several bands.
2. The presence of 2D plasmons is not an assumption. They exist and in the presence of electron-phonon interaction they can provide an additional contribution to the pairing. Note that 2D plasmons in layered systems similar to La-Sr-Cu-O have been observed experimentally in [13].
3. A hybridization of plasmons and phonons leads to an opportunity to observe a peak in the reflectance (see ref. 12b).
4. Electron-plasmon coupling increases with decreasing carrier concentration n .

T_C in the presence of both phonon and plasmon mechanisms is described by the expression [12]:

$$T_C = T_C^{ph} (\tilde{\omega}_{pl} / T_C^{ph})^r \quad (2)$$

where $r = \lambda_{pl} (\lambda_{ph} + \lambda_{pl})^{-1}$. I would like to emphasize that the large value of $\tilde{\omega}_{pl} / T_C^{ph}$, that is, large scale of the plasmon mechanism, leads to a noticeable change of T_C with respect to T_C^{ph} even for relatively small λ_{pl} . Indeed, if $\lambda_{ph} \approx 2$, $\tilde{\omega} = 150K$, we obtain $T_C^{ph} = 21K$ (see ref. [14]). Putting then $\tilde{\omega}_{pl} / T_C^{ph} \approx 20$ and assuming $\lambda_p \approx 0.5$, we obtain $T_C \approx 1.8 T_C^{ph} \approx 38K$. Hence, the coexistence of the phonon and plasmon contributions may provide the observed high T_C of the La-Sr-Cu-O system.

CONCLUSION

The new high T_C materials are highly anisotropic. A large value of the ratio ϵ_0/ϵ_F and the smallness of the coherence length makes these systems unique. They provide an opportunity to observe multigap structure and energy gap anisotropy.

High T_C is caused by a coexistence of the phonon and plasmon mechanisms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the U. S. Office of Naval Research under Contract No. N00014-86-F0015 and carried out at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory under Contract No DE-AC03-76SF00098.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bednorz and K. Müller, Z. Phys. B66, 189 (1986)
2. M. Wu et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 908 (1987)
3. V. Z. Kresin and S. A. Wolf, Solid State Comm., 63, 1141 (1987)
4. S. Sridhar, C. Shiffman, and H. Hamdeh (preprint)
5. N. Phillips et al, in Novel Superconductivity, ed. by S. Wolf and V. Kresin, p. 739 Plenum, New York (1987); Batlogg et al, Phys. Rev. B 35, 5340 (1987)
6. H. Suhl, B. Mattis, and L. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett., 3, 552 (1959)
7. B. Geilikman, R. Zaitsev, and V. Kresin, Sov. Phys. - Solid State 9, 642 (1967)
8. V. Z. Kresin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 11, 519 (1973)
9. W. Warren Jr et al. (preprint)
10. Z. Schlesinger et al. (preprint)
11. T. Worthington et al, see Ref. 5, p. 781
12. a) V. Z. Kresin, Phys. Rev. B 35, 8716 (1987); see Ref. 5, p. 309;
b) V. Z. Kresin and H. Morawitz, Ref. 5, p. 445
13. J. Bassat et al, Phys. Rev. B 35, 7126 (1987)
14. V. Z. Kresin, Phys. Lett. A 122, 434 (1987)

*LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY
TECHNICAL INFORMATION DEPARTMENT
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94720*