## UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

## Title

Efficient Metabolic Exchange and Electron Transfer within a Syntrophic Trichloroethene-Degrading Coculture of Dehalococcoides mccartyi 195 and Syntrophomonas wolfei

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1rf7b895

**Journal** Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 81(6)

**ISSN** 0099-2240

## **Authors**

Mao, Xinwei Stenuit, Benoit Polasko, Alexandra <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2015-03-15

## 2013-03-

## DOI

10.1128/aem.03464-14

Peer reviewed

# Efficient Metabolic Exchange and Electron Transfer within a Syntrophic Trichloroethene-Degrading Coculture of Dehalococcoides mccartyi 195 and Syntrophomonas wolfei

Xinwei Mao, Benoit Stenuit, Alexandra Polasko, Lisa Alvarez-Cohen

DOI: 10.1128/AEM.03464-14

## ABSTRACT

Dehalococcoides mccartyi 195 (strain 195) and Syntrophomonas wolfei were grown in a sustainable syntrophic coculture using butyrate as an electron donor and carbon source and trichloroethene (TCE) as an electron acceptor. The maximum dechlorination rate  $(9.9 \pm 0.1 \mu mol day^{-1})$ and cell yield  $[(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{\circ} \text{ cells } \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ Cl}^{-}]$  of strain 195 maintained in coculture were, respectively, 2.6 and 1.6 times higher than those measured in the pure culture. The strain 195 cell concentration was about 16 times higher than that of *S. wolfei* in the coculture. Aqueous H<sub>2</sub>concentrations ranged from 24 to 180 nM during dechlorination and increased to 350 ± 20 nM when TCE was depleted, resulting in cessation of butyrate fermentation by S. wolfei with a theoretical Gibbs free energy of  $-13.7 \pm 0.2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Carbon monoxide in the coculture was around 0.06 µmol per bottle, which was lower than that observed for strain 195 in isolation. The minimum H<sub>2</sub> threshold value for TCE dechlorination by strain 195 in the coculture was 0.6 ± 0.1 nM. Cell aggregates during syntrophic growth were observed by scanning electron microscopy. The interspecies distances to achieve H<sub>2</sub> fluxes required to support the measured dechlorination rates were predicted using Fick's law and demonstrated the need for aggregation. Filamentous appendages and extracellular polymeric substance (EPS)-like structures were present in the intercellular spaces. The transcriptome of strain 195 during exponential growth in the coculture indicated increased ATP-binding cassette transporter activities compared to the pure culture, while the membranebound energy metabolism related genes were expressed at stable levels.

#### INTRODUCTION

Groundwater contamination by trichloroethene (TCE), a potential human carcinogen, poses a serious threat to human health and can lead to the generation of vinyl chloride (VC), which is a known human carcinogen (1). Strains of *Dehalococcoides mccartyi* are the only known bacteria that can completely degrade TCE to the benign end product ethene. Biostimulation of indigenous *Dehalococcoides* spp. and bioaugmentation using *Dehalococcoides*-containing cultures are recognized as the most reliable *in situ* bioremediation technologies resulting in the complete dechlorination of TCE to ethene (2). However, the mechanisms that regulate the activity of *D. mccartyi* within natural ecosystems and shape its functional robustness in disturbed environments are poorly understood due to multiscale microbial community complexity and heterogeneity of biogeochemical processes involved in the sequential degradation (3, 4). *D. mccartyi* exhibits specific restrictive metabolic requirements for a variety of exogenous compounds, such as hydrogen, acetate, corrinoids, biotin, and thiamine, which can be supplied by other microbial genera through a complex metabolic network (1, 5–8). Therefore, the growth of *D. mccartyi* is more robust within functionally diverse microbial communities that are deterministically assembled than in pure cultures (5, 8, 9). Previous studies have shown that *D. mccartyi* uses hydrogen as its sole electron donor for TCE dechlorination and outcompetes other terminal electron-accepting

processes such as methanogenesis and acetogenesis at low hydrogen partial pressures (10, 11). Interspecies hydrogen transfer between *D. mccartyi* and supportive organisms is a key process of electron flow that drives reductive dechlorination in the environment. Although dechlorinating microbial communities have been extensively studied over the past decades (4, 10, 12, 13), community assembly processes in the highly specialized ecological niche of *Dehalococcoides* and the network of interactions between *D. mccartyi*, its syntrophic partners and other coexisting community members have yet to be deciphered. The optimization of *D. mccartyi*-based bioremediation systems to treat TCE-contaminated groundwater would be facilitated by a system-level understanding of interspecies electron, energy and metabolite transfers that shape the structural and functional robustness of TCE-dechlorinating microbial communities.

To date, only a few studies of *D. mccartyi*-containing constructed cocultures and tricultures have been published (5, 7, 14–16). A study with D. mccartyi 195 (strain 195) revealed that its growth in defined medium could be optimized by providing high concentrations of vitamin B<sub>12</sub> and that, over the short term, the strain could be grown to higher densities in cocultures and tricultures with the fermenters Desulfovibrio desulfuricans and/or Acetobacterium woodii that convert lactate to generate the hydrogen and acetate required by *D. mccartyi* (5). Recent studies demonstrated interspecies corrinoid transfer between Geobacter lovleyi and D. mccartyistrains BAV1 and FL2 (16) and interspecies cobamide transfer from a corrinoid-producing methanogen and acetogen to D. *mccartyi* (7). Another study showed that strain 195 can grow in a long-term sustainable syntrophic association with Desulfovibrio vulgarisHildenborough (DvH) as a coculture, as well as with hydrogenotrophic methanogen Methanobacterium congolense (MC) as a triculture (15). The maximum dechlorination rates and cell yield of strain 195 were enhanced significantly in the defined consortia. Another unexpected syntrophic association has recently been discovered between carbon monoxide (CO)-producing strain 195 and CO-metabolizing anaerobes which enhance the growth and dechlorination activity of strain 195 by preventing the accumulation of toxic CO as an obligate by-product from acetyl coenzyme A (acetyl-CoA) cleavage (17). Although these studies demonstrated the robust growth of D. mccartyi-containing cocultures on tetrachloroethylene (PCE)/TCE with higher dechlorination activity and cell yields than isolates, a clear and mechanistic understanding of metabolic cross-feeding and electron transfer between D. mccartyi and its syntrophic partner(s) is needed to establish predictive models for dechlorination activity.

Butyrate fermentation is an endergonic reaction under standard conditions (Table 1) that can only be carried out for energy generation by syntrophic microorganisms growing with H<sub>2</sub> consumers (18–20). *Syntrophomonas wolfei* is a model butyrate fermenter that grows syntrophically with hydrogenotrophic methanogens (19–21). *S. wolfei* can also grow without a syntrophic partner on crotonate as the sole energy source through its disproportionation to acetate and butyrate (Table 1) (22). In previous studies, *Syntrophomonas* spp. have been detected in butyrate-fed dechlorinating enrichment cultures in significant relative abundance (13, 23). In the present study, a TCE- and butyrate-fed syntrophic coculture of strain 195 with *S. wolfei* was established and maintained to study the physiology and transcriptome of syntrophically growing *D. mccartyi*. The spatial architecture and physical proximity of the cells were analyzed in an *S. wolfei*-strain 195 coculture and in another syntrophic DvH-strain 195 coculture (15). The knowledge gained from this study provides us with a more fundamental understanding of the metabolic exchange and energy transfer among the key players of TCE-dechlorinating communities.

View popup

## TABLE 1

Stoichiometric energy generating reactions in the syntrophic coculture

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial cultures and growth conditions.** Bacterial cocultures of strain 195 and *S. wolfei* (5% [vol/vol] inoculation of each bacterium) were initially established in 160-ml serum bottles containing 100 ml

of defined medium (5) with TCE supplied at a liquid concentration of 0.6 mM (corresponding to 78 µmol of TCE per bottle), 10 mM crotonic acid, vitamin  $B_{12}$  at 100 µg liter<sup>-1</sup>, and an  $N_2/CO_2$  (90:10 [vol/vol]) headspace at 34°C with no agitation. Cultures were subsequently transferred (5% [vol/vol] inoculation) for growth on 4 mM butyric acid with 0.6 mM TCE as the electron acceptor. The established syntrophic coculture was continuously and stably maintained on butyrate in 100 ml of defined medium over 45 subculturing events before the experiments were performed. The electron donor-limited condition (on a hydrogen production/consumption basis) was achieved by feeding the coculture 0.25 mM butyrate (25 µmol per bottle that could theoretically generate 50 µmol of H<sub>2</sub> based on stoichiometry) and 0.6 mM TCE (78 µmol per bottle that requires 234 µmol of H<sub>2</sub> to reductively dechlorinate TCE to ethene). The strain 195 isolate was grown in defined medium with H<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>(90:10 [vol/vol]) headspace, 0.6 mM TCE as an electron acceptor, and 2 mM acetate as a carbon source. Pure *S. wolfei* was grown on crotonate in 160-ml serum bottles as described previously (22). A DvH and strain 195 coculture was grown in the same medium with the substitutions of 5 mM lactate. Pure culture and coculture purities were routinely checked by phase-contrast microscopy and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis.

**Chemical analysis.** Chloroethenes and ethene were measured by flame ionization detector (FID) gas chromatography using 100-µl headspace samples, and hydrogen and carbon monoxide were measured by reducing gas detector (RGD) gas chromatography using a 300-µl headspace sample as described previously (23, 24). Organic acids, including butyrate and acetate, were analyzed with a high-performance liquid chromatograph as described previously (23). The mass of each compound was calculated based on the gas-liquid equilibrium using Henry's law constants at 34°C: mass (µmol/bottle) = ( $C_1 \times V_1$ ) + ( $C_g \times V_g$ ) and  $k_{H^{cc}} = C_1/C_g$ , where  $C_1$  is the liquid phase concentration (in µM),  $V_1$  is the volume of liquid phase (in liters),  $C_g$  is the gas phase concentration (in µM),  $V_g$  is the volume of the gas phase (in liters), and  $k_{H^{cc}}$  is the Henry's law constant (unitless).

**SEM.**The cocultures (*S. wolfei* and strain 195 grown on butyrate; DvH and strain 195 grown on lactate) provided with 0.6 mM TCE were collected when cell growth had ceased and treated according to the following standard protocols for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observation (<u>http://em-lab.berkeley.edu/EML/protocols/psem.php</u>). Briefly, cultures were collected by slowly filtering 1 ml of fresh liquid sample through a 0.2-µm-pore-size GTTP filter (Isopore membrane, polycarbonate, hydrophilic, 25 mm in diameter [Millipore]), followed by chemical fixation, dehydration, critical point drying, and conductive coating (25). SEM images were obtained according to standard operation protocols (26). The maximum interspecies distances between strain 195 and *S. wolfei* that would enable the observed dechlorination rates for butyrate fermentation were estimated using Fick's diffusion law according to the procedure described by Ishii et al. (27):

$$J_{\rm H_2} = D_{\rm H_2} \times \frac{C_{\rm H_2 - sw} - C_{\rm H_2 - 195}}{d_{sw - 195}}$$
(1)

where  $J_{\text{H}_2} = \text{H}_2$  is the flux across the total surface areas ( $A_{\text{s,tot}}$ ) of *S. wolfei* (pmol m<sup>-2</sup> cell day<sup>-1</sup>) and  $A_{\text{s}}$ = 2.1 × 10<sup>-12</sup> m<sup>2</sup> cell<sup>-1</sup>, the *S. wolfei* surface area calculated based on the assumption of 0.25- by 2.5-µm cells (based on SEM observation). In addition,  $A_{\text{s,tot}} = A_{\text{s}} \times \text{the } S$ . *wolfei* cell number;  $D_{\text{H}_2} = 6.31 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , the molecular diffusion coefficient in water for hydrogen at 35°C (**28**);  $C_{\text{H}_2-SW}$  is the maximum H<sub>2</sub> concentration enabling exergonic fermentation (expressed in µM; the highest H<sub>2</sub> level at which *S. wolfei* can ferment butyrate);  $C_{\text{H}_2}$  - 195 is the theoretical minimum H<sub>2</sub> concentration useable by strain 195 for energy generation (expressed in µM; the lowest H<sub>2</sub> level at which strain 195 can dechlorinate TCE); and  $d_{\text{sw-195}}$  is the allowed interspecies distance for accomplishing syntrophic oxidation at an observed substrate utilization rate (in µm).

**DNA extraction and cell number quantification.** Liquid samples (1.5 ml) were collected for cell density measurements, and cells were harvested by centrifugation ( $21,000 \times g$ , 10 min at 4°C). Genomic DNA was extracted from cell pellets using Qiagen DNeasy blood and tissue kit according to the manufacturer's instructions for Gram-positive bacteria. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) using SYBR green-based detection reagents was applied to quantify gene copy numbers of each bacterium with *S. wolfei* 16S rRNA gene primers (forward primer, 5'-GTATCGACCCCTTCTGTGCC-3'; reverse primer, 5'-CCCAGGCGGGATACTTATT-3') (<u>19</u>), and *D. mccartyi tceA* gene primers (forward primer, 5'-ATCCAGATTATGACCCTGGTGAA-3'; reverse primer, 5'-GCGGCATATATTAGGGCATCTT-3'), as

previously described (29). The electron equivalents (in µmol) diverted to biomass were calculated based on empirical biomass formula  $C_5H_7O_2N$  (113 g mol<sup>-1</sup> cell, 20 e<sup>-</sup> eq mol<sup>-1</sup> cells).


**RNA preparation.** Cultures were sampled for RNA on day 6 during exponential growth when ca. 75% of 78 µmol of TCE was dechlorinated (~20 µmol of TCE remained). In order to collect sufficient material for transcriptomic microarray analysis, 18 bottles of pure strain 195 and 18 bottles of the coculture were inoculated and grown from triplicate bottles of the isolate and coculture, respectively. For each biological triplicate, cells from six bottles were collected by vacuum filtration on day 6 during active dechlorination for the coculture and day 12 for the strain 195 pure culture (200 ml of culture per filter, 0.2-µm-pore-size autoclaved GVWP filter [Durapore membrane; Millipore, Billerica, MA]). Each filter was placed in a 2-ml orange-cap microcentrifuge tube, frozen using liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further processing.

RNA was extracted using the phenol-chloroform method described previously (30) with the following minor modifications. The ratio of phenol (pH 4.0)-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol used for extraction was 25:24:1 (vol/vol), and the RNA pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of nuclease-free water. RNA samples were purified according to the manufacturer's instructions with an AllPrep DNA/RNA minikit (Qiagen). Additional DNA contamination was removed with Turbo DNA free kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The quality of RNA samples was checked by electrophoresis (a 1.0-µl RNA sample), and the concentrations of RNA samples were quantified using a nanophotometer (Implen, Westlake Village, CA). The  $A_{260}/A_{280}$  ratio for all samples was approximately between 1.80 and 2.0. Purified RNA was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C prior to further use.

**Transcriptomic microarray analysis.** A complete description of the Affymetrix GeneChip microarray used in the present study has been reported elsewhere (<u>4</u>). Briefly, the chip contains 4,744 probe sets that represent more than 98% of the open reading frames (ORFs) from four published *Dehalococcoides* genomes (strain 195, VS, BAV1, and CBDB1). cDNA was synthesized from 9 μg of RNA, and then each cDNA sample was fragmented, labeled, and hybridized to each array. All procedures were performed with minimal modifications to the protocols in section 3 of the GeneChip expression analysis technical manual (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The microarray data analysis methods were described previously (<u>15</u>, <u>31</u>).

#### RESULTS

Syntrophic coculture degradation characteristics. TCE did not inhibit growth of the S. *wolfei* isolate at concentrations up to 0.6 mM (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material); therefore, cocultures were maintained with 0.6 mM TCE and 4 mM butyrate. After this coculture was subcultured 45 times, the maximum dechlorination rate of strain 195 was ~2.6-fold (9.9  $\pm$  0.1  $\mu$ mol day<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 1a) greater than that of the strain 195 isolate  $(3.8 \pm 0.1 \mu \text{mol day}^{-1})$  (15). The calculation of strain 195 cell vield was based on the metabolic reductive TCE dechlorination to VC, and the cell vield of strain 195 was 1.6 times greater in the coculture  $[(1.1 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{\circ} \text{ cells } \mu\text{mol}^{-1} \text{ Cl}^{-}]$  (Fig. 1b) compared to the pure culture  $[(6.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^7$  cells  $\mu$ mol<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup>] (15), similar to results from a coculture containing a sulfate-reducing bacterium [(9.0 ± 0.5) × 10<sup>7</sup> cells  $\mu$ mol<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup>] (15) (Table 2). The initial concentration of butyrate (from 1 to 20 mM) did not affect the dechlorination rate (data not shown), showing that high butyrate concentrations are not inhibitory to dechlorination. Cell numbers of strain 195 [ $(1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{\circ}$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>] were consistently ~16 times higher than those of S. wolfei  $[(7.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{\circ} \text{cells ml}^{-1}]$  in the cocultures growing on butyrate. In contrast, when the coculture was maintained on crotonate, the cell number ratio was about 1.3:1 (see the supplemental material, part 1, and Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). In the syntrophic coculture with DvH growing on lactate, the cell number ratio of strain 195 to DvH was reported to be  $\sim$ 5:1 (**15**).



#### Download powerpoint

#### FIG 1

Coculture S. wolfei with D. mccartyi strain 195 growing with 78 µmol of TCE and 4 mM butyrate amendment. (a) TCE dechlorination profile of coculture during the feeding cycle ( $\bullet$ , TCE;  $\circ$ , cis-DCE;  $\bigtriangledown$ , VC;  $\triangle$ , ETH; ×, control). (b) Cell numbers of coculture ( $\Box$ , strain 195;  $\circ$ , S. wolfei). (c) H2 level and organic acid formation of coculture ( $\bullet$ , acetate;  $\circ$ , butyrate;  $\triangle$ , hydrogen;  $\bigtriangledown$ , control butyrate). (d) Graphical determination of fe values for strain 195 in the coculture in which the amounts of reducing equivalent H2 generated during butyrate fermentation were plotted against the amounts of electron acceptor reduced. The fe is indicated by the slope of the regression line. Values are averages of biological triplicates; error bars indicate the standard deviations.

#### View popup

#### TABLE 2


Dechlorination rates and cell yields of D. mccartyistrains in various coculture studies

When the ratio of butyrate to TCE in the coculture was maintained under electron acceptor limitation with 79 ± 6.7 µmol of TCE and 440 ± 29 µmol of butyrate (measured by high-pressure liquid chromatography) as the electron donor, the H<sub>2</sub> levels remained steady at about 24 to 180 nM during active dechlorination (from day 0 to day 7) and increased to  $350 \pm 20$  nM right after TCE and *cis*-DCE were consumed on day 8 (**Fig. 1c**; see also the supplemental material, part 2, and Table S2 in the supplemental material). This H<sub>2</sub>concentration was similar to the level (480 nM) that resulted in cessation of *S. wolfei* growth on butyrate in isolation (**32**). At the end of the experiment (day 18), 88.4% of the amended TCE could be accounted for in dechlorination products VC (7.4% ± 0.3% molar equivalents) and ethene (81.0 ± 0.1% molar equivalents). The missing 11.6% of initial TCE was likely due to the numerous sampling events during the experiment, as confirmed by losses in the abiotic controls (8.7% loss of initial TCE added). In addition, 115 ± 3.0 µmol of butyrate was consumed, while 234 ± 9 µmol of acetate and 3.9 ± 1.1 µmol of H<sub>2</sub> remained in the bottles (in the abiotic control, the amount of butyrate decreased 26 µmol with no production of acetate or H<sub>2</sub>). This indicates that ca. 88.6% of electrons (0.886, corresponding to an *f*<sub>e</sub>°

value [i.e., the proportion of electrons transferred to the electron acceptor for energy metabolism] of close to 0.84 [Fig. 1d]) in the form of H<sub>2</sub> generated from butyrate fermentation (ca. 230 µmol of H<sub>2</sub>) supported dechlorination. A calculation based on biomass cell numbers (assumed 0.5 g of dry weight per g of cell, i.e., water accounts for 50% of the cell weight). The cell formula was  $C_5H_7O_2N$ , with 20 electron equivalent per mol biomass, indicating that 7.4% (17 µmol of H<sub>2</sub>) of the electrons from butyrate fermentation (in the form of H<sub>2</sub>) were diverted to biomass production, giving a total electron recovery of ca. 98%. This result demonstrates that butyrate was efficiently used as the electron donor in the coculture with high electron transfer efficiency between the two bacteria.

The calculated Gibbs free energy of reaction ( $\Delta G_r$ ; i.e., butyrate fermentation and associated hydrogen generation by *S. wolfei*) was  $-21.7 \pm 0.3$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> on day 6 and decreased to  $-13.7 \pm 0.2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> on day 8 when TCE and *cis*-DCE were depleted. This number was smaller than the hypothetical minimum energy (-20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) required by a bacterium to exploit the free-energy change in a reaction and support growth (**33**) (for detailed calculations, see the supplemental material, part 2). After TCE and *cis*-DCE depletion, we observed a slight decrease in butyrate ( $36.0 \pm 6.6 \mu$ mol) during cometabolic VC dechlorination (Fig. 1c) with H<sub>2</sub> concentrations increasing to a stable level of  $1.2 \pm 0.3 \mu$ M after day 10, while the cell numbers of strain 195 and *S. wolfei* were observed to decrease (Fig. 1b and c). The calculated  $\Delta G_r$  of butyrate fermentation during the cometabolic process was less negative and reached  $-5.7 \pm 1.4$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> by the end of the experiment (see the supplemental material, part 2, and Fig. S3 in the supplemental material).

In order to determine the hydrogen threshold of strain 195 in the coculture, the strain was grown under electron donor-limited conditions (TCE fed in excess). The H<sub>2</sub> concentrations dropped to thresholds of 0.6  $\pm$  0.1 nM, at which point TCE was not further degraded by strain 195 and butyrate depletion ceased (see the supplemental material, part 3, and Fig. S4 in the supplemental material). In order to validate our method for quantifying the minimum H<sub>2</sub>-threshold concentration, we measured the hydrogen threshold of the sulfate reducing microorganism *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* Hildenborough (DvH) and obtained 15.2  $\pm$  1.4 nM, a value that is in agreement with the literature results (14.8 nM) for this bacterium (34). We tested the inhibitory effect of CO on *S. wolfei* by exposing cells to 0.6 to 8 µmol of CO per bottle and observed no significant effect on cell growth (Fig. 2a). CO accumulation for the *S. wolfei* isolate growing on crotonate was 0.02 µmol per bottle throughout the experiment (Fig. 2b), which was at the same level as that of the abiotic control (data not shown). In the coculture growing on butyrate, CO was measured at ~0.06 µmol per bottle. This value was lower than that detected for the strain 195 isolate (0.5  $\pm$  0.1 µmol per bottle after one dose of TCE [77 µmol] was depleted) (17). Furthermore, we found that pure *S. wolfei* could consume CO from 14.5  $\pm$  1.5 µmol to 0.4  $\pm$  0.1 µmol in 42 days (Fig. 2c) when grown on crotonate.




#### FIG 2

(a) Inhibitory effect of different CO concentrations on S. wolfei cell growth. (b) CO accumulation for the S. wolfei isolate and in coculture with strain 195 on butyrate. (c) CO consumption by the S. wolfei isolate. Values are averages of biological triplicates; error bars indicate the standard deviations.

**Formation of cell aggregates.** Strain 195 and *S. wolfei* isolates, as well as cocultures of *S. wolfei* and strain 195, maintained on butyrate were grown to late exponential to early stationary phase before samples were analyzed by SEM. Figure 3a and b show the specific cell morphology of the isolates

with strain 195 growing in individual coccus shapes with diameters of approximately 0.5 to 1  $\mu$ m, while most *S. wolfei* cells grew individually or in pairs as 0.25- to 0.5- $\mu$ m by 2.5- to 5- $\mu$ m rods, as reported in previous studies (<u>1</u>, <u>21</u>). When butyrate was amended to the coculture as an electron donor with TCE as the electron acceptor, the cells form cell aggregates that ranged in size from 2 to 10  $\mu$ m (Fig. 3c to e). In relatively small aggregates, cells were found connected with flagellum-like filaments (Fig. 3c and d). In large aggregates, extracellular polymeric substance (EPS)-like structures were observed (Fig. 3d and e). Cell aggregates were also observed during exponential growth phase (see the supplemental material, part 4, and Fig. S5 in the supplemental material). However, when strain 195 was grown as a coculture with DvH on lactate, the two strains grew together but did not form obvious cell aggregates (Fig. 3f).



Download powerpoint

#### FIG 3

(a) Monoculture of strain 195 growing on H2 gas plus acetate and TCE. (b) Monoculture of S. wolfeigrowing on crotonate. (c to e) S. wolfei and strain 195 coculture growing on butyrate plus TCE at various magnifications. (f) DvH and strain 195 coculture growing on lactate plus TCE. Arrows indicate flagellum-like filaments of S. wolfei.

In order to determine whether the cocultures may be capable of sharing electrons via direct interspecies electron transfer (DIET), the crotonate and butyrate cocultures were sent to D. R. Lovley's laboratory for conductivity testing (35). The cocultures exhibited 3 orders of magnitude lower conductivity than *Geobacter*, indicating that  $H_2$  rather than DIET is the transfer mechanism. In addition, since *S. wolfei* could potentially use both hydrogen and formate as electron carriers for interspecies electron transfer (36), we tested the expression levels of several formate dehydrogenase genes (*fdhA* [Swol\_0786, Swol\_0800, and Swol\_1825]) in cocultures grown on crotonate or butyrate and *S. wolfei* grown in isolation. The level of expression of *fdhA* subunits relative to that of the *gyrB*gene was generally in the range of 0.6 to 1.2 U for all three conditions (37), confirming that hydrogen rather than formate was the exclusive electron shuttle between these two bacteria.

The theoretical maximum interspecies distance for an observed rate of molecular transfer between two microorganisms can be calculated using Fick's diffusion law (20). Here, this distance was calculated for coculture growth on butyrate (Table 3, with detailed calculations in the supplemental material, part 4) by defining  $J_{H_2}$  as the hydrogen flux between *S. wolfei* and strain 195.  $J_{H_2}$  was calculated from the total surface areas of *S. wolfei* and substrate oxidation rate (hydrogen production rate = 2 × butyrate oxidation rate)


measured in the coculture experiment using equation 2 in Table 1.  $C_{\text{H}_2}$  - sw (0.35 ± 0.02 µM) is the maximum H<sub>2</sub> concentration immediately outside of an *S. wolfei* cell when fermentation by *S. wolfei* stops on butyrate and  $C_{\text{H}_2195}$  is the theoretical minimum H<sub>2</sub>concentration above which strain 195 can gain energy, estimated in the present study to be 0.6 ± 0.1 nM.  $d_{\text{syn-195}}$  is then the calculated maximum interspecies distance for accomplishing syntrophic oxidation at the observed substrate oxidation rate.

#### TABLE 3

#### Calculation of cell-cell distance

The theoretical mean cell-cell distance of randomly dispersed cells is calculated based on the total cell numbers (quantified using qPCR) suspended in the unstirred liquid culture (Table 3). In the present study, cell settling was not observed for the strain 195 isolate or cocultures growing on butyrate (strain 195 and S. wolfei) or lactate (strain 195 and DvH). Considering that the cell number ratio of strain 195 to S. wolfei was about 20:1 on day 4 (Fig. 1c), strain 195 cells account for the majority of all cells in the bottle, and the average cell-cell distance between suspended strain 195 and S. wolfei cells (i.e.,  $\gg$ 27.1 um, **Table 3**) would therefore be larger than the calculated theoretical maximum cell-cell distance for achieving butyrate fermentation (Table 3). In order to accomplish syntrophic butyrate oxidation at the rate observed, the average interspecies distance must be much less than the distance between randomly dispersed cells (Table 3), necessitating the formation of aggregates. In addition, according to the thermodynamically consistent rate law, the calculated free energy available for S. wolfei growth on day 4 was  $-27.4 \pm 1.1$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> which is small (see the supplemental material, part 2, and Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), indicating that close physical contact between the two species is particularly important for efficient syntrophic butyrate fermentation. In contrast, when strain 195 was grown with DvH as a coculture, the maximum calculated interspecies distance was large enough (755 µm) to enable interspecies hydrogen transfer between the two bacteria (see the supplemental material, part 4, and Table S3 in the supplemental material), and aggregates were not formed.

**Strain 195 transcriptome during syntrophic growth.** Transcriptomic microarray analysis comparing the coculture growing on butyrate and strain 195 growing in isolation identified 214 genes that were differentially transcribed (Fig. 4; see also Table S6 in the supplemental material). Among these differentially expressed genes, 18 were upregulated and 196 were downregulated in the syntrophic coculture compared to the strain 195 isolate. Among the upregulated genes, most significantly expressed genes (signal level, ca. 5,000 to 20,000) belonged to transport and metabolism functions, including several types of ATP binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Genes located within an operon of a Fec-type ABC transporter (from DET1173 to DET1176) which are involved in periplasmic iron-binding were upregulated 2.7 to 4.2 times, respectively. Genes (DET1491, DET1493) from a cluster encoding a peptide ABC transporter responsible for ATP binding were upregulated 2.1 and 2.3 times, respectively. Genes (DET0140, DET0141) encoding a phosphate ABC transporter and ATP-binding protein were upregulated 2.0 to 2.6 times, respectively. There were also a few genes with unknown functions upregulated at high signal levels compared to the isolate. DET1008, with highest homology to a gene encoding a cell division initiation protein (38), was upregulated 3.2 times, and acetyl-CoA synthase (DET1209) was upregulated 2.4 times at a high signal level (~20,000).





Microarray signal intensities of transcripts from strain 195 grown alone versus those grown in coculture with S. wolfei (gray-shaded points represent statistically significant differential transcription, average intensity >20 [P < 0.05], >2-fold difference, genes significantly upregulated [ $\blacktriangle$ ] or downregulated [ $\checkmark$ ] in coculture versus strain 195 monoculture). All measurements are averages from three biological replicates.

Genes associated with membrane-bound oxidoreductase complexes, which are related to energy metabolism, such as RDases, hydrogenases, molybdopterin oxidoreductases, and putative formate dehydrogenases, as well as NADH-oxidoreductases, showed no significant differential expression patterns between the coculture and pure culture throughout the experiment (see Table S6 in the supplemental material). Among the 196 downregulated genes in the coculture compared to the isolate, 75 were genes with signal levels lower than 1,000 in both treatments (suggesting low expression level), and most of them encode hypothetical proteins (see Table S6 in the supplemental material). Many of the downregulated genes were not related to energy metabolism, such as those encoding a phage domain protein (DET0354), mercuric reductase (DET0732), magnesium chelatase-like protein (DET0986), and virulence-associated protein E (DET1098).

#### DISCUSSION

In the strain 195 and *S. wolfei* syntrophic coculture studied here, strain 195 grew exponentially at the rate of 0.69 day<sup>-1</sup>, with a doubling time of 1.0 day, calculated from the cell numbers (*tceA* copies) from day 2 to day 6. This doubling time is shorter than previously reported for isolates of *D. mccartyi* (**30**, **39–41**). The dechlorination rate was 9.9 ± 0.1 µmol day<sup>-1</sup>, which is similar to the value observed with strain 195 and DvH cocultures (11.0 ± 0.01 µmol day<sup>-1</sup> [**15**]) and higher than the dechlorination rates observed with other *D. mccartyi*-containing cocultures (4.2 to 6.8 µmol day<sup>-1</sup> [**5**, 7, **14–16**]). The cell yield of strain 195 in this coculture [(1.1 ± 0.3) × 10<sup>8</sup> cells µmol<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup>released] was similar to that observed in other *D. mccartyi* coculture studies, e.g., (9.0 ± 0.5) × 10<sup>7</sup>cells µmol<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>-1</sup> (**15**) and (9.0 ± 1.4) × 10<sup>7</sup> cells µmol<sup>-1</sup> Cl<sup>-</sup> (**7**). Given that the minimum free energy change required for ATP synthesis is in the range of 15 to 25 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> for most syntrophic fermentations (**42**), only a small amount of energy saved as ATP is expected to be available during *S. wolfei* syntrophic butyrate fermentation (**19**). Indeed, *S. wolfei* in the coculture was growing very near the thermodynamic threshold ( $\Delta G$  from -41.9 to -5.7 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), and consequently the cell numbers remained at low levels (1:16 ratio with strain 195 cells) when grown on butyrate compared to growth on crotonate. The ratio of calculated free energy available for strain 195 to

reduce TCE to VC (-272.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and *S. wolfei*(-43.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>; see Table S2 in the supplemental material) growing on butyrate was about 6.2:1 at the beginning of the experiment. Considering that the cell size of *S. wolfei* is about 2.5 times larger than that of strain 195, the theoretical cell production ratio (based on free energy) of strain 195 to S. wolfei (15.6:1) is similar to our observation (16:1). While growing on crotonate, the ratio of available energy for strain 195 (-295.3kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) and *S. wolfei* (-465.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) was 0.6, and the theoretical cell production ratio of 1.2:1 is similar to our observation of 1.3:1 (see the supplemental material, part 1). Roden and Jin (43) found a linear correlation between microbial growth yields ( $Y_{xs}$ , g cell mol<sup>-1</sup> substrate) and estimated the catabolic  $\Delta G_r$  for the metabolism of short-chain fatty acids and H<sub>2</sub>-coupled metabolic pathways. Table 4 compares the growth yields calculated using the Roden and Jin (43) method ( $Y_{xs,cal}$ ) with observed growth yields ( $Y_{xs,obs}$ ) of syntrophs growing in a variety of cocultures. Our results confirmed that it is possible to estimate syntrophic cell yields by percentage error of less than 100% by applying an empirical equation for the listed syntrophic coculture studies (43), suggesting that the growth yield of syntrophic bacteria and the ratio maintained in the cocultures were mainly controlled by thermodynamics. Furthermore, we found  $H_2$  concentrations to be the key factor affecting the  $\Delta G_{c}$  value in the cocultures, which in turn affects predictions of microbial growth yields (see the calculations in Tables S2 and S5 in the supplemental material). View popup

#### TABLE 4

Estimation of syntrophic bacterial growth yields based on Gibbs free-energy (ΔGr) calculationsa

When TCE was supplied in excess to the coculture (on an H<sub>2</sub> production/consumption basis), the H<sub>2</sub> level dropped to the threshold concentration of  $0.6 \pm 0.1$  nM, and dechlorination ceased. Although the calculated  $\Delta G_r$  available for dechlorination by strain 195 was still negative (-145.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) at this concentration (see the supplemental material, part 5, and Table S5 in the supplemental material), it did not support growth. Thermodynamic calculations indicate that hydrogen concentrations would have to reach liquid concentrations of  $10^{-27}$  nM to make the  $\Delta G_{f}$  for strain 195 positive, a value that is unrealistic in the environment (44). Therefore, the minimum H<sub>2</sub>threshold for strain 195 cells is likely not based on thermodynamics but is rather based upon other factors such as enzyme binding affinity and specificity (45). This finding is consistent with previously published H<sub>2</sub> thresholds for dechlorination by *Dehalococcoides*-containing communities (10, 41) and falls in the same range as the thresholds for sulfate reduction (46). Other dechlorinating isolates have been reported to have minimum  $H_2$  thresholds of around 0.04 to 0.3 nM (11, 46, 47). In previous studies of S. wolfei growing with H<sub>2</sub>-oxidizing hydrogenotrophic methanogens, sulfate reducers, and nitrate reducers, the estimated energy available for *S. wolfei* ranged from 0.9 to 15.0 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (18) when butyrate fermentation ceased and the ratio of acetate to butyrate was  $\sim$ 900. Although in the present study we observed that the estimated energy available for *S. wolfei* was  $-13.7 \pm 0.2$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> with an acetate to butyrate ratio of 0.4:1, previous studies have shown that the calculated  $\Delta G_r$  value fell in the range (-10 to -15 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) observed in H<sub>2</sub>-dependent terminal electron-accepting processes under starvation conditions in sulfate-reducing bacteria and methanogenic archaea (48–50). Furthermore, the value obtained here was close to the average threshold value of butyrate metabolism ( $-13.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) reported in coculture Syntrophus aciditrophicus and Desulfovibrio strain G11 at different acetate/butyrate ratios by Jackson and McInerney (51).

It is interesting that the amount of butyrate in the bottles continued to decrease slightly (36 µmol) after TCE was depleted and that ethene was being produced from VC (from day 8 to day 18). According to thermodynamics, microbial metabolism ceases when the  $\Delta G_r$  available from a reaction becomes positive. At the beginning of the experiment, the  $\Delta G_r$  available for *S. wolfei* butyrate fermentation was negative (-41.9 ± 1.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>), and on day 6 this number increased to -21.7 ± 0.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and then on day 8 it increased further to -13.7 ± 0.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), which is less than the minimum energy (-20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) required by a bacterium to synthesize ATP (33). During this time, the cell numbers decreased, indicating cell death and lysis. It has been reported that butyrate fermentation by *S. wolfei* stops when aqueous H<sub>2</sub> concentrations reach 480 nM (32). In the present study, the

 $H_2$ concentration was  $350 \pm 20$  nM in the coculture on day 7 when all TCE was reduced to VC. However, the concentration of  $H_2$  steadily increased to  $1,200 \pm 300$  nM by the end of the experiment on day 18, indicating that additional butyrate fermentation occurred, although cell growth had ceased, suggesting that regulation of the fermentation enzymes may not be stringent. During active dechlorination,  $H_2$ concentrations remained at levels below 200 nM (Fig. 1b), indicating that the  $H_2$  generation rate was at about the same level as the consumption rate (Fig. 1d) and that the growth rates of the two species were strictly coupled by hydrogen transfer.

In strain 195, acetyl-CoA is cleaved in an incomplete Wood-Ljungdahl pathway to provide the methyl group for methionine biosynthesis, whereby carbon monoxide (CO) is produced as a by-product that accumulates and eventually inhibits *D. mccartyi*growth and dechlorination (17, 52). However, in microbial communities, CO can serve as an energy source for many anaerobic microorganisms (53). A previous study showed a high CO concentration (15%) to have an inhibitory effect on *S. wolfei* (36). Here, we found that not only did low levels of CO not exhibit inhibitory effects on *S. wolfei* cell growth, but *S. wolfei* consume CO (µmol per bottle) while growing on crotonate. CO levels in the coculture growing on butyrate were maintained at low levels during the feeding cycle rather than accumulating as it does with the 195 isolate. Therefore, it is possible that CO serves as a supplemental energy source for *S. wolfei* during syntrophic fermentation with strain 195. This finding is interesting since there were no anaerobic carbon monoxide dehydrogenase genes annotated in the *S. wolfei* genome (19). However, Swol\_1136 and Swol\_1818, which were originally annotated as iron-sulfur cluster binding domain-containing protein and 2Fe-2S binding protein in *S. wolfei*, were more recently annotated in the KEGG database (54) as carbon monoxide dehydrogenase small subunits for CO conversion to CO<sub>2</sub>, suggesting the genomic potential for CO metabolic ability in *S. wolfei*.

Formation of cell aggregates has been shown to be a distinctive feature of obligate syntrophic communities containing acetogenic bacteria and methanogenic archaea (20). Clustering of cells with decreasing intermicrobial distances leads to increased fluxes and increased specific growth rates (55). Previous studies reported that the syntrophic coculture of a propionate degrader and a hydrogenotrophic methanogen could form cell aggregates while growing on propionate for optimal hydrogen transfer (27, 56). A similar phenomenon was also observed in mixed cultures containing propionate degraders and methanogens enriched from an anaerobic biowaste digester where flocs formed showing that reducing the interspecies distances by aggregation was advantageous in complex ecosystems (57). In engineered dechlorinating systems. D. mccartvi species have been observed in biofilms within a membrane bioreactor (58) and in bioflocs maintained in a continuous flow bioreactor fed with butyrate (13). Based on the morphological observations of the present study, strain 195 cells grown in isolation are more likely to grow in planktonic form and, when grown with DvH as a syntrophic coculture on lactate, no significant aggregates were observed. However, when grown with *S. wolfei* on butyrate, the cocultures formed aggregates during syntrophic growth. Thermodynamic calculations show that syntrophic butyrate oxidation is endergonic unless the circumstantial H<sub>2</sub> partial pressure is maintained very low (Table 1 and see Table S2 in the supplemental material). Furthermore, *fdh* dehydrogenase expression in *S*. *wolfei* under different growth conditions confirmed that these genes were not upregulated in the coculture grown on butyrate compared to growth on crotonate or in isolation (37). Ours is the first study to report *D*. *mccartyi* forming cell aggregates with a syntrophic partner. In addition, because the average intermicrobial distances are smaller for syntrophic aggregates, the H<sub>2</sub> and metabolite flux between cells would be expected to increase, leading to higher material transfer efficiencies, which could partly explain the observed increased cell yields of strain 195. Another reason for the observed increased cell yields of strain 195 is likely due to the continuous removal of CO, which was shown to exert an inhibitory effect on *D. mccartyi* growth (17).

In a previous study of *Methanococcus maripaludis* growing in syntrophic association with *Desulfovibrio vulgaris* under hydrogen limitation in chemostats (59), *M. maripaludis* was growing close to the thermodynamic threshold for growth, and the acetyl-CoA synthase transcripts levels of *M. maripaludis* in the coculture decreased compared to the isolate. However, in our study, the acetyl-CoA

synthase transcripts levels of strain 195 in the coculture increased compared to the isolate. A recent study (60) showed that a division of metabolic labor and mutualistic interactions were the reasons for increased rates of growth in a vast majority of cross-feeding strains. The benefit of cross-feeding was larger than the cost (60, 61).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Michael McInerney at University of Oklahoma for kindly providing the pure *S. wolfei* strain used for this study. We also thank Nikhil S. Malvankar and Derek Lovley at the University of Massachusetts for carrying out the conductivity tests for the cocultures.

This study was funded through research grants from the NIEHS (P42-ES04705-14) and the NSF (CBET-1336709).

### FOOTNOTES

- Address correspondence to Lisa Alvarez-Cohen, <u>alvarez@ce.berkeley.edu</u>.
- \* Present address: Benoit Stenuit, Catholic University of Louvain, Earth and Life Institute, Applied Microbiology and Bioprocess Cluster, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium.
- **Citation** Mao X, Stenuit B, Polasko A, Alvarez-Cohen L. 2015. Efficient metabolic exchange and electron transfer within a syntrophic trichloroethene-degrading coculture of *Dehalococcoides mccartyi*195 and *Syntrophomonas wolfei*. Appl Environ Microbiol 81:2015–2024. doi:10.1128/AEM.03464-14.
- Supplemental material for this article may be found at <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03464-14</u>.

#### REFERENCES

#### 1.<u>←</u>

Maymó-Gatell X, Chien YT,

Gossett JM,

Zinder SH

. 1997.Isolation of a bacterium that reductively dechlorinates tetrachloroethene to ethene. Science 276:1568–1571.doi:10.1126/science.276.5318.1568.

Ab street (EDEE Full Taut Case als Cabeler

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

2.<del>Ľ</del>

McCarty PL

. 2010. Groundwater contamination by chlorinated solvents: history, remediation technologies, and strategies, p 1– 24. In Stroo HF, Ward CH (ed), In situremediation of chlorinated solvent plumes. Springer Science Publishing, New York, NY.

Google Scholar

3.<u>←</u>

Lu X,

Wilson JT,

Kampbell DH

. 2006. Relationship betweenDehalococcoides DNA in ground water and rates of reductive dechlorination at field scale. Water Res 40:3131–3140.doi:10.1016/j.watres.2006.05.030.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

4.<del>Ľ</del> Lee PKH, Warnecke F, Brodie EL, Macbeth TW. Conrad ME, Andersen GL, Alvarez-Cohen L . 2012. Phylogenetic microarray analysis of a microbial community performing reductive dechlorination at a TCEcontaminated site. Environ Sci Technol46:1044-1054. doi:10.1021/es203005k. CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar 5.<del>~</del> He J, Holmes VF, Lee PK, Alvarez-Cohen L . 2007. Influence of vitamin B12 and cocultures on the growth of Dehalococcoidesisolates in defined medium. Appl Environ Microbiol 73:2847-2853. doi:10.1128/AEM.02574-06. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 6.<del>~</del> Men YJ, Seth EC, Yi S, Allen RH, Taga ME, Alvarez-CohenL . 2014. Sustainable growth of Dehalococcoides mccartyi 195 by corrinoid salvaging and remodeling in defined lactatefermenting consortia. Appl Environ Microbiol 80:2133-2141.doi:10.1128/AEM.03477-13. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 7.<del>~</del> Yan J. Im J, Yang Y, Löffler FE . 2013. Guided cobalamin biosynthesis supports Dehalococcoides mccartyi reductive dechlorination activity. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci368:1-23. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0320. CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar 8.<del>~</del> Schipp CJ, Marco-Urrea E, Kublik A, Seifert J.

Adrian L

.2013. Organic cofactors in the metabolism of Dehalococcoides mccartyi strains. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 368:1– 12. doi:10.1098/rstb.2012.0321.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

9.<u>←</u>

Ziv-El M, Delgado AG, Yao Y, Kang D, Nelson KG, HaldenRU, Krajmalnik-Brown R . 2011. Development and characterization of DehaloR<sup>2</sup>, a novel anaerobic microbial consortium performing rapid dechlorination of TCE to ethene. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 92:1063-1071. doi:10.1007/s00253-011-3388-y. CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 10. Yang YR, McCarty PL . 1998. Competition for hydrogen within a chlorinated solvent dehalogenating anaerobic mixed culture. Environ Sci Technol 32:3591-3597. CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 11.<del><</del> Löffler FE, Tiedje JM, Sanford RA . 1999. Fraction of electrons consumed in electron acceptor reduction and hydrogen thresholds as indicators of halorespiratory physiology. Appl Environ Microbiol 65:4049-4056. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 12.← Duhamel M, Wehr SD, Yu L, Rizvi H, Seepersad D, Dworatzek S, Cox EE, Edwards EA . 2002. Comparison of anaerobic dechlorinating enrichment cultures maintained on tetrachloroethene, trichloroethene, cis-dichloroethene and vinyl chloride. Water Res 36:4193-4202. doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00151-3. CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar 13. Rowe AR, Lazar BJ, Morris RM. **Richardson RE** 

. 2008.Characterization of the community structure of a dechlorinating mixed culture and comparisons of gene expression in planktonic and biofloc-associated "Dehalococcoides" and Methanospirillumspecies. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:6709–6719.doi:10.1128/AEM.00445-08.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

14.<del>Ľ</del>

Cheng D,

Chow WL,

He J

. 2010. A Dehalococcoides-containing coculture that dechlorinates tetrachloroethene totrans-1,2-dichloroethene. ISME J 4:88–97.doi:10.1038/ismej.2009.90.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

15.<u>~</u>

Men YJ, Feil H,

Verberkmoes NC,

Shah MB,

Johnson DR,

Lee PK,

West KA,

Zinder SH,

Andersen GL,

Alvarez-Cohen L

.2011. Sustainable syntrophic growth of Dehalococcoides ethenogenes strain 195 with Desulfovibrio

vulgaris Hildenborough and Methanobacterium congolense: global transcriptomic and proteomic analyses. ISME J 6:410–421.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

16.<del>~</del>

Yan J,

Ritalahti KM,

Wagner DD,

Löffler FE

. 2012.Unexpected specificity of interspecies cobamide transfer fromGeobacter spp. to organohaliderespiringDehalococcoides mccartyi strains. Appl Environ Microbiol78:6630–6636. doi:10.1128/AEM.01535-12. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

17.<mark>←</mark>

Zhuang WQ, Yi S, Bill M, Brisson VL, Feng X, Men Y, Conrad ME, Tang YJ, Alvarez-Cohen L . 2014. Incomplete Wood-Ljungdahl pathway facilitates one carbon metabolism in organohalide-

respiring Dehalococcoides mccartyi. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:6419-6424. doi:10.1073/pnas.1321542111.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

18.<u>~</u>

Jin Q

. 2007. Control of hydrogen partial pressures on the rates of syntrophic microbial metabolisms: a kinetic model for butyrate fermentation. Geobiology 5:35–48. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4669.2006.00090.x.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar 19.<del>~</del> Sieber JR, Sims DR. Han C, Kim E. Lykidis A, Lapidus AL, McDonnald E, Rohlin L. Culley DE, Gunsalus R, McInerney MJ .2010. The genome of Syntrophomonas wolfei: new insights into syntrophic metabolism and biohydrogen production. Environ Microbiol 12:2289-2301. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02237.x. CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 20. Stams AJM, Worm P. Sousa DZ, Alves MM, Plugge CM .2012. Syntrophic degradation of fatty acids by methanogenic communities, p 127–142. In Hallenbeck PC (ed), Microbial technologies in advanced biofuels production. Springer, New York, NY. **Google Scholar** 21. McInerney MJ, Bryant MP, Hespell RB, Costerton JW .1981. Syntrophomonas wolfei gen. nov. sp. nov., an anaerobic, syntrophic, fatty acid-oxidizing bacterium. Appl Environ Microbiol41:1029-1039. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 22.<del><</del> Beaty PS, McInerney MJ . 1987. Growth of Syntrophomonas wolfei in pure culture on crotonate. Arch Microbiol 147:389-393. doi:10.1007/BF00406138. CrossRefGoogle Scholar 23.<del>~</del> Freeborn RA,

West KA, Bhupathiraju VK, Chauhan S, Rahm BG. Richardson RE, Alvarez-Cohen L . 2005. Phylogenetic analysis of TCE-dechlorinating consortia enriched on a variety of electron donors. Environ Sci Technol 39:8358-8368.doi:10.1021/es048003p. CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 24. Lee PKH, Johnson DR, Holmes VF, He J, Alvarez-Cohen L .2006. Reductive dehalogenase gene expression as a biomarker for physiological activity of Dehalococcoides spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:6161-6168. doi:10.1128/AEM.01070-06. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 25. Gorby YA, Yanina S, McLean JS, Rosso KM, Moyles D, Dohnalkova A, Beveridge TJ, Chang IS, Kim BH, Kim KS, CulleyDE, Reed SB, Romine MF, Saffarini DA, Hill EA, Shi L. Elias DA, Kennedy DW, Pinchuk G. Watanabe K, Ishii S, Logan B, Nealson KH, Fredrickson JK . 2006. Electrically conductive bacterial nanowires produced by Shewanella oneidensis strain MR-1 and other microorganisms. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A103:9536-9542. doi:10.1073/pnas.0603786103. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

#### 26.<mark>←</mark>

Dykstra MJ,

Reuss LE

. 1992. Biological electron microscopy: theory, techniques, and troubleshooting, p 223–245.In Scanning electron microscopy, 2nd ed. ASM Press,Washington, DC.

Google Scholar

Ishii S, Kosaka T.

Hori K,

27.<u>~</u>

Hotta Y,

Watanabe K

. 2005.Coaggregation facilitates interspecies hydrogen transfer betweenPelotomaculum

thermopropionicum and Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:7838-

7845. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.12.7838-7845.2005.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

28.<del><</del>

Haynes WM

. 2013. CRC handbook of physics and chemistry, 95th ed. CRC Press, Inc, Boca Raton, FL.

**Google Scholar** 

29.<mark>←</mark>

Johnson DR,

Lee PKH,

Holmes VF,

Alvarez-Cohen L

.2005. An internal reference technique for accurately quantifying specific mRNAs by real-time PCR with application to the tceAreductive dehalogenase gene. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:3866–3871. doi:10.1128/AEM.71.7.3866-3871.2005. <u>Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar</u>

30.<del>~</del>

Johnson DR,

Brodie EL,

Hubbard Alan E,

Andersen GL,

Zinder SH,

Alvarez-Cohen L

. 2008. Temporal transcriptomic microarray analysis of Dehalococcoides ethenogenes strain 195 during the transition into stationary phase. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:2864–2872. doi:10.1128/AEM.02208-07.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

31.<mark>←</mark>

West KA,

Lee PKH,

Johnson DR,

Zinder SH,

Alvarez-Cohen L

. 2013. Global gene expression of Dehalococcoideswithin a robust dynamic TCE-dechlorinating community under conditions of periodic substrate supply. Biotechnol Bioeng110:1333-1341. doi:10.1002/bit.24819.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

32.<del><</del>

Wallrabenstein C,

Schink B

. 1994. Evidence of reversed electron transport in syntrophic butyrate and benzoate oxidation by Syntrophomonas wolfei and Syntrophus buswellii. Arch Microbiol 162:136–142. doi:10.1007/BF00264387.

CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

33.<mark>←</mark>

Schink B

. 1997. Energetics of syntrophic cooperation in methanogenic degradation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 61:262–280.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

34.<del>~</del>

Cord-Ruwisch R,

Seitz HJ,

Conrad R

. 1988. The capacity of hydrogenotrophic anaerobic bacteria to compete for traces of hydrogen depends on the redox potential of the terminal electron acceptor. Arch Microbiol 149:350–357.doi:10.1007/BF00411655.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

35.<u>←</u>

Shrestha PM,

Rotaru AE,

Aklujkar M,

Liu F,

Shrestha M,

Summers ZM,

Malvankar N,

Flores DC,

Lovley DR

. 2013.Syntrophic growth with direct interspecies electron transfer as the primary mechanism for energy exchange. Environ Microbiol Rep 5:904–910. doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12093.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

36.<mark>←</mark>

Sieber JR,

Le HM,

McInerney MJ

. 2014. The importance of hydrogen and formate transfer for syntrophic fatty, aromatic and alicyclic metabolism. Environ Microbiol 16:177–188.doi:10.1111/1462-2920.12269.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

37.<mark>←</mark>

Crable BR

. 2013. Enzyme systems involved in interspecies hydrogen and formate transfer between syntrophic fatty and aromatic acid degraders and Methanospirillum hungatei. Ph.D. dissertation. University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK.

<u>Google Scholar</u> 38.<u>←</u> Ueda K, Yamashita A,

Ishikawa J, Shimada M, Watsuji TO, Morimura K. Ikeda H, Hattori M, Beppu T . 2004. Genome sequence of Symbiobacterium thermophilum, an uncultivable bacterium that depends on microbial commensalism. Nucleic Acids Res 32:4937-4944. doi:10.1093/nar/gkh830. CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 39.<del>~</del> He J, Sung Y, Krajmalnik-Brown R, Ritalahti KM, Löffler FE .2005. Isolation and characterization of Dehalococcoides sp. strain FL2, a trichloroethene (TCE)- and 1,2-dichlroethenerespiring anaerobe. Environ Microbiol 7:1442-1450.doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00830.x. CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar 40.<del>~</del> Sung Y, Ritalahti KM, Apkarian RP, Löffler FE . 2006. Quantitative PCR confirms purity of strain GT, a novel trichloroethene-to-ethenerespiring Dehalococcoides isolate.Appl Environ Microbiol 72:1980-1987.doi:10.1128/AEM.72.3.1980-1987.2006. Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar 41.<del><</del> Löffler FE, Yan J, Ritalahti KM, Adrian L, Edwards EA, Konstantinidis KT, Müller JA, Fullerton JAH, Zinder SH, Spormann AM . 2013. Dehalococcoides mccartyi gen. nov., sp. nov., obligately organohalide-respiring anaerobic bacteria relevant to halogen cycling and bioremediation, belong to a novel bacterial class, Dehalococcoidia classis nov., orderDehalococcoidales ord. nov. and family Dehalococcoidaceaefam. nov., within the phylum Chloroflexi. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol63(Pt 2):625-635. doi:10.1099/ijs.0.034926-0.

<u>CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar</u>

42.<u>←</u> Stams AJM, Plugge CM . 2009. Electron transfer in syntrophic communities of anaerobic bacteria and archaea. Nat Rev Microbiol 7:568– 577. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2166.

CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

43.<u>←</u>

Roden EE,

Jin Q

. 2011. Thermodynamics of microbial growth coupled to metabolism of glucose, ethanol, short-chain organic acids, and hydrogen. Appl Environ Microbiol 77:1907–1909. doi:10.1128/AEM.02425-10.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

44.<mark>←</mark>

Heimann AC,

Jakobsen R

. 2006. Experimental evidence for a lack of thermodynamic control on hydrogen concentrations during anaerobic degradation of chlorinated ethenes. Environ Sci Technol 40:3501–3507. doi:10.1021/es052320u.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

45.<mark>←</mark>

Dolfing J

. 2003. Thermodynamic considerations for dehalogenation, p 89–114. In Haggblom MM, Bossert ID (ed),Dehalogenation: microbial processes and environmental applications. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands. Google Scholar

46.<del>~</del>

Luijten ML,

Roelofsen W,

Langenhoff AA,

Schraa G,

StamsAJ

. 2004. Hydrogen threshold concentrations in pure cultures of halorespiring bacteria and at a site polluted with chlorinated ethenes. Environ Microbiol 6:646–650. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00608.x.

CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

47.<u>←</u>

Lu XX,

Tao S,

Bosma T,

Gerritse J

. 2001. Characteristic hydrogen concentrations for various redox processes in batch study. J Environ Sci Health A Tox Hazard Subst Environ Eng36:1725–1734. doi:10.1081/ESE-100106254.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

48.<del>~</del>

Hoehler TM,

Alperin MJ,

Alber DB,

Martens CS

. 2001.Apparent minimum free energy requirements for methanogenicArchaea and sulfate-reducing bacteria in an anoxic marine sediment. FEMS Microbiol Ecol 38:33–41. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2001.tb00879.x.

CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

Hoehler TM

. 2004. Biological energy requirements as quantitative boundary conditions for life in the subsurface. Geobiology 2:205–215. doi:10.1111/j.1472-4677.2004.00033.x.

CrossRefWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

50.<u>~</u>

Heimann A,

Jakobsen R,

Blodau C

. 2010. Energetic constraints on H2-dependent terminal electron accepting processes in anoxic environments: a review of observations and model approaches. Environ Sci Technol 44:24–33.doi:10.1021/es9018207.

CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar

51.<u>~</u>

Jackson BE,

McInerney MJ

. 2002. Anaerobic microbial metabolism can proceed close to thermodynamic limits. Nature415:454-

456. doi:10.1038/415454a.

CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

52.<u>~</u>

Tang YJ,

Yi S,

Zhuang W,

Zinder SH,

Keasling JD,

Alvarez-Cohen L

. 2009. Investigation of carbon metabolism in "Dehalococcoides ethenogenes" strain 195 by use of isotopomer and transcriptomic analyses. J Bacteriol 191:5224–5231.doi:10.1128/JB.00085-09.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

53.<mark>←</mark>

Oelgeschläger E,

Rother M

. 2008. Carbon monoxide-dependent energy metabolism in anaerobic bacteria and archaea. Arch Microbiol 190:257–269. doi:10.1007/s00203-008-0382-6.

CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

54.<u>~</u>

Kanehisa M,

Goto S,

Sato Y,

Kawashima M,

Furumichi M,

Tanabe M

. 2014. Data, information, knowledge and principle: back to metabolism in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 42:199–205.doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1076.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

55.<mark>←</mark>

Schink B,

Thauer RK

. 1988. Energetics of syntrophic methane formation and the influence of aggregation, p 5-

17. InLettinga G, Zehnder AJB, Grotenhuis JTC, Hulshoff LW (ed), Granular anaerobic sludge: microbiology and technology. Pudoc, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

Google Scholar

56.<u>~</u>

Ishii S,

Kosaka T,

Hotta Y,

Watanabe K

. 2006. Simulating the contribution of coaggregation to interspecies hydrogen fluxes in syntrophic methanogenic consortia. Appl Environ Microbiol72:5093–5096. doi:10.1128/AEM.00333-06.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

57.<u>←</u>

Felchner-Zwirello M,

Winter J,

Gallert C

. 2013. Interspecies distances between propionic acid degraders and methanogens in syntrophic consortia for optimal hydrogen transfer. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 97:9193-9205. doi:10.1007/s00253-012-4616-9.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

58.<del>~</del>

Chung J,

Krajmalnik-Brown R,

Rittmann BE

. 2008.Bioreduction of trichloroethene using a hydrogen-based membrane biofilm reactor. Environ Sci Technol 42:477–483.doi:10.1021/es702422d.

CrossRefPubMedWeb of ScienceGoogle Scholar

#### 59.<del>~</del>

Walker CB, Redding-Johanson AM, Baidoo EE, Rajeev L, He Z, Hendrickson EL, Joachimiak MP, Stolyar S, Arkin AP, Leigh JA, Zhou J, Keasling JD, Mukhopadhyay A, Stahl DA .2012. Functional responses of methanogenic archaea to syntrophic growth. ISME J 6:2045-2055.doi:10.1038/ismej.2012.60. CrossRefPubMedGoogle Scholar **60.**← Pande S.

Merker H,

Bohl K,

Reichelt M,

Schuster S,

de Figueiredo LF,

Kaleta C,

Kost C

. 2014. Fitness and stability of obligate cross-feeding interactions that emerge upon gene loss in bacteria. ISME J 8:953–962. doi:10.1038/ismej.2013.211.

CrossRefGoogle Scholar

## 61.<mark>←</mark>

Mee MT,

Collins JJ,

Church GM,

Wang HH

. 2014.Syntrophic exchange in synthetic microbial communities. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:2149-

2156.doi:10.1073/pnas.1405641111.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

62.

Traore AS,

Fardeau ML,

Hatchikian CE,

Legall J,

Belaich JP

.1983. Energetics of growth of a defined mixed culture of Desulfovibrio vulgaris and Methanosarcina barkeri: interspecies hydrogen transfer in batch and continuous cultures. Appl Environ Microbiol 46:1152–1156.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar

63.

Beaty PS,

McInerney MJ

. 1989. Effects of organic-acid anions on the growth and metabolism of Syntrophomonas wolfeiin pure culture and in defined consortia. Appl Environ Microbiol55:977–983.

Abstract/FREE Full TextGoogle Scholar