UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Termination rates after prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome, spina bifida, anencephaly, and Turner and Klinefelter syndromes: a systematic literature review

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1rj1h8q6

Journal Prenatal Diagnosis, 19(9)

ISSN 0197-3851

Authors

Mansfield, Caroline Hopfer, Suellen Marteau, Theresa M

Publication Date

1999-09-01

DOI

10.1002/(sici)1097-0223(199909)19:9<808::aid-pd637>3.0.co;2-b

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Termination Rates After Prenatal Diagnosis of Down Syndrome, Spina Bifida, Anencephaly, and Turner and Klinefelter Syndromes: A Systematic Literature Review

Caroline Mansfield, Suellen Hopfer and Theresa M. Marteau* on behalf of a European Concerted Action: DADA (Decision-making After the Diagnosis of a fetal Abnormality)[†]

Psychology and Genetics Research Group, Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Medical School (King's College), Guy's Campus, London, SEI 9RT, UK

The aims of this systematic literature review are to estimate termination rates after prenatal diagnosis of one of five conditions: Down syndrome, spina bifida, anencephaly, and Turner and Klinefelter syndromes, and to determine the extent to which rates vary across conditions and with year of publication. Papers were included if they reported (i) numbers of prenatally diagnosed conditions that were terminated, (ii) at least five cases diagnosed with one of the five specified conditions, and (iii) were published between 1980 and 1998. 20 papers were found which met the inclusion criteria. Termination rates varied across conditions. They were highest following a prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome (92 per cent; CI: 91 per cent to 93 per cent) and lowest following diagnosis of Klinefelter syndrome (58 per cent; CI: 50 per cent to 66 per cent). Where comparisons could be made, termination rates were similar in the 1990s to those reported in the 1980s. Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

KEY WORDS: Down syndrome; Klinefelter syndrome; spina bifida; anencephaly; Turner syndrome; prenatal diagnosis; termination

INTRODUCTION

Many studies have been published documenting termination rates following the diagnosis of different types of fetal abnormalities, but these have most often

- Ségolène Aymé, INSERM SC11 'Gene Mapping and Clinical Research', 16 Avenue Paul Vaillant-Couturier, 94807 Villejuif Cedex, France.
- Martin Bobrow, Department of Medical Genetics, Box 238, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 2QQ, UK.
- Alan Cameron, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Glasgow University, The Queen Mother's Hospital, Yorkhill, Glasgow G3 8SJ, UK.
- Martina Cornel, Department of Medical Genetics, University of Groningen, Ant. Duesinglaan 4, 9713 AW Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Maria Feijóo, Servico de Genetica, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Rua da Junqueira 126, 1300 Lisbon, Portugal.
- Sixto García-Miñaur, Registro de Anomalias Congenitas de la CAV, Clinica Materno-Infantil, Hospital de Cruces. E-48903 Baracaldo, Spain.
- Janine Goujard, Director of the EUROCAT Registry of Paris, INSERM U 149, 123 Avenue de Port-Royal, 75014 Paris, France.
- Donna Kirwan, Fetal Centre, Liverpool Women's Hospital NHS Trust, Liverpool L8 7SS, UK.
- Patrick Leurquin, EUROCAT Central Registry, Institut d'hygiene et d'epidemiologie, Rue Juliette Wytsman 14, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium.
- Frank Louven, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Vesaliusweg 12–14, 4400 Münster, Germany.
- Karen McIntosh, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Glasgow University, The Queen Mother's Hospital, Yorkhill, Glasgow G3 8SJ, UK.

CCC 0197-3851/99/090808-05\$17.50

Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

been single studies from single countries, often from just one centre. While there do exist a number of population-based registers recording termination rates across geographical regions within a country (such as The Northern Region Congenital Malformations Register, in the UK) or across countries (such as EUROCAT) these data rarely are published, thus precluding unbiased ascertainment of all registers. There has, to our knowledge, been no attempt to summarize published findings systematically. Variability across conditions has been shown in published series from single centres (e.g. Pryde *et al.* (1993)). Such

- Irmgard Nippert, Institüt für Humangenetik, Medizinische Fakultät der Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Vesaliusweg 12–14, 4400 Münster, Germany.
- Margaret Reid, Department of Public Health, University of Glasgow, 2 Lilybank Gardens, Glasgow G12 8RZ, UK.
- Maria Šoares, Servico de Genetica, Hospital de Egas Moniz, Rua da Junqueira 126, 1300 Lisbon, Portugal.
- Peter Soothill, Department of Fetal Medicine, St Michael's Hospital, Bristol BS2 8EG, UK.
- Tjeerd Tymstra, Health Sciences Department, University of Groningen, Ant. Deusinglaan 1, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Mariet van Diem, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Academic Hospital Groningen, Postbox 30 001, NL-9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Corien Verschuuren, Department of Medical Genetics, University of Groningen, Ant. Deusinglaan 4, 9713 AW Groningen, The Netherlands.
- Stephen Walkinshaw, Fetal Centre, Liverpool Women's Hospital NHS Trust, Liverpool L8 7SS, UK.

Contract/grant sponsor: European Union; Contract/grant number: BioMed II programme.

Contract/grant sponsor: Wellcome Trust.

Received 30 November 1998 Revised 18 March 1999 Accepted 30 March 1999

^{*}Correspondence to: T. M. Marteau, Psychology and Genetics Research Group, Guy's, King's and St Thomas' Medical School (King's College), Guy's Campus, London, SE1 9RT, UK. E-mail: t.marteau@kcl.ac.uk

[†]Membership (in alphabetical order):

Peter Miny, Universitätskinderklinik, Römergasse 8, 4005 Basel, Switzerland.

series, however, rarely provide sufficiently large sample sizes to enable reliable estimations of termination rates. Data pooled across studies could also be used to examine the extent to which termination rates for particular conditions may be changing over time.

The aims of this systematic literature review are to describe termination rates for five conditions: Down syndrome, spina bifida, anencephaly, and Turner and Klinefelter syndromes, and to determine the extent to which they vary across conditions and year of publication. The conditions were chosen to comprise the more common prenatally diagnosed conditions, and to reflect a range in terms of severity and type of disability, ranging from a lethal condition (anencephaly) to one compatible with an average life expectancy (Klinefelter syndrome). They also ranged in terms of public awareness of the condition, from conditions that much of the public are familiar with, such as Down syndrome, to ones that are largely unfamiliar, such as Klinefelter syndrome.

METHOD

Selection criteria

Papers were included in the systematic review if they met the following criteria:

- (i) The number of women who had been diagnosed with a fetal abnormality and the number of these women who terminated their pregnancies were both reported.
- (ii) The fetal abnormality was one of the following five: (i) Down syndrome; (ii) spina bifida, (iii) anencephaly; (iv) Turner syndrome or (v) Klinefelter syndrome.
- (iii) A minimum of five cases involving a particular diagnosis were reported.

Search strategy

The following strategies were used:

- (i) searching computerized databases of psycINFO, Medline and Bath Information and Data Services (BIDS) Embase using the following MeSH headings: abortion, prenatal diagnosis, chromosome abnormalities and neural tube defects;
- (ii) references drawn from previously obtained papers;
- (iii) consultation with health professionals in the UK, Europe and the US with known expertise in the area under review.

Data extraction

Data relating to termination rates were transferred onto a data extraction sheet. Agreement concerning

Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

termination rates was reached in all cases by two raters (CM and SH or TMM).

Statistical analyses

Chi-square tests were used to test for associations between termination rates and (i) condition diagnosed, and (ii) year of publication.

RESULTS

20 papers were identified which met the inclusion criteria. Details of each of these are presented in the Appendix. Altogether, these papers included 37 data sets from 11 different countries.

Condition

Termination rates varied across conditions (Chi square=269; df=4; p<0.0001). The largest proportion of pregnancies was terminated for Down syndrome; the smallest proportion of pregnancies was terminated for Klinefelter syndrome (Table 1).

Time

The number of papers published in each year was insufficient to allow analysis based upon annual rates. Rates in papers published in the 1980s were therefore compared with those published in the 1990s (Table 2). Statistical comparisons were not made for neural tube defects given that confidence intervals could not be calculated for this condition from papers published in the 1980s. For Down syndrome and Turner and Klinefelter syndromes there was no difference in the rates of termination in 1980 compared with series reported in the 1990s.

DISCUSSION

Termination rates varied across conditions. They were highest following a prenatal diagnosis of Down syndrome and lowest following diagnosis of Klinefelter syndrome. Where comparisons could be made, termination rates were similar in the 1990s compared with those reported in the 1980s.

Before discussing the possible explanations for these findings, it is necessary to consider what termination rates reflect. It seems likely that they reflect a myriad of factors which may differ for different conditions, including the way tests are initially offered and to whom. They will also reflect values of the women undergoing tests as well as those of the health professionals providing any counselling. Thus, high rates might reflect thorough counselling and systematic decision-making before a diagnostic test is undergone, with all those not inclined to terminate a pregnancy affected by the condition being tested for, declining

Table 1-Systematic literature review based on 20 studies of trisomy 21, spina bifida, anencephaly and sex chromosome anomalies

	Study number ^a	Year of study	Total numbers terminating	Country	Total percentage terminating	Confidence intervals
Trisomy 21	1 3 10 13 20 2 19 17	1998 1992 1995 1990 1992 1985 1988 1982	4438/4824 6/6 76/76 5/5 4/5 42/43 13/15 14/14	UK New Zealand France UK (NI) Singapore US US US UK	92% 100% 100% 100% 80% 98% 87% 100%	92%-93% 62%-98% 96%-100% 78%-96%
	18 5	1990 1980	20/28 18/19 4636/5035	France US	71% 95% 92%	62%-80% 90%-100% 92%-93%
Spina bifida	7 7 7 7 11 15	1991 1991 1991 1991 1987 1995	73/119 1/5 38/60 4/5 6/6 9/9 131/204	UK Belgium France Italy US US	61% 20% 63% 80% 100% 100% 64%	57%-65% 2%-38% 53%-73% 62%-98% 61%-67%
Anencephaly	7 7 7 7 7 7 15	1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1991 1995	163/208 15/16 4/5 9/16 82/87 15/15 18/18 306/365	UK Belgium Denmark Holland France Italy US	78% 94% 80% 56% 94% 100% 100% 84%	75%-81% 88%-100% 62%-98% 44%-68% 92%-97% 82%-86%
Turner syndrome	4 9 16 19 8 14	1989 1987 1989 1988 1996 1984	5/7 6/6 4/9 35/47 71/100 5/7 126/176	UK UK and Finland US US Denmark Denmark	71% 100% 44% 74% 71% 71% 72%	54%-88%
Klinefelter syndrome	2 4 9 16 19 6 12 14	1985 1989 1987 1989 1988 1982 1984 1984	5/8 4/11 10/15 34/75 3/5 3/5 23/25 9/12 91/156	US UK UK and Finland US Australia German Denmark	63% 36% 67% 45% 60% 60% 92% 75% 58%	46%-80% 22%-51% 55%-79% 39%-51% 38%-82% 38%-82% 87%-97% 63%-88% 54%-62%

^aSee Appendix.

testing. Alternatively, they may reflect directive counselling from health professionals putting pressure on women to undergo a termination. Clearly the results of this review cannot address this. It is, however, important to avoid evaluating rates that are high or low as good or bad.

The results of this review confirm results from smaller series in showing that termination rates vary across conditions (Pryde *et al.*, 1993; Drugan *et al.*, 1990; Hassed *et al.*, 1993). The high rates for Down syndrome reflect the negative attitudes towards giving birth to a child with serious cognitive impairments (Faden *et al.*, 1987; Drake *et al.*, 1996). The lower rates for Klinefelter syndrome reflect the greater tolerance for giving birth to a child with relatively minor physical and cognitive impairments and the fact that this is a chance finding. There is a greater range of severity amongst spina bifida and Turner syndrome than for Down and Klinefelter syndromes. As severity of these diagnoses was not reliably reported in published series, it is difficult to comment upon how terminations may reflect severity of the diagnosed condition. In addition

Prenat. Diagn. 19: 808-812 (1999)

	Down syndrome	Spina bifida	Anencephaly	Turner syndrome	Klinefelter syndrome
1980s (study numbers: 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19) ^a Numbers diagnosed and terminated Termination rates (95 per cent CI)	87/91 96% (92–100%)	9/9 100%	0/0 0%	55/76 72% (62–82%)	91/156 58% (50–66%)
1990s (study numbers: 1, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13, 15, 18, 20) ^a Numbers diagnosed and terminated Termination rates (95 per cent CI)	4549/4944 92% (91–93%)	139/208 67% (61–73%)	306/365 84% (80–88%)	71/100 71% (62–80%)	0/0 0%

^aSee Appendix.

to severity, many other factors seem to affect decisions about whether or not to continue with a pregnancy affected by a fetal abnormality (Marteau and Mansfield, 1998). These include timing of diagnosis as well as the information parents receive about the diagnosed condition.

The data in this review suggest that termination rates have remained stable over the past 18 years. Fears have been expressed that increasingly widespread prenatal testing for fetal abnormalities may result in a lower tolerance of disability resulting in higher termination rates (Stacey, 1996). The results of this review suggest that, over a relatively short time period, these fears may be unfounded.

The strength of conclusions that can be made on the basis of this review are weakened by the sample sizes both in relation to the number of series that have been published and the relatively small numbers of cases reported in many of the papers. This makes it difficult to determine how much variability there is in termination rates within conditions across different centres within the same country and across countries. The strength of conclusion is further weakened by little or no information being provided on the representativeness of the women included in the series of prenatal diagnoses. While acknowledging these weaknesses, this review provides good estimates of termination rates following the diagnosis of more commonly diagnosed conditions. More precise estimates and fuller explanations for these will come from publication of existing registers containing large unselected series of prenatal diagnosis and outcomes.

APPENDIX. STUDIES IN THE SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

- Mutton D, Ide RG, Alberman E. 1998. Trends in prenatal screening for and diagnosis of Down's syndrome: England and Wales, 1989–97. *BMJ* 317: 922–923.
- 2. Benn P, Hsu L, Carlson A, Tannenbaum H. 1985. The centralized prenatal genetics screening pro-

Copyright © 1999 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

gram of New York City III: the first 7,000 cases. *Am J Med Genet* **20**: 369–384.

- Birdsall M, Fisher R, Beecroft D, Bailey R. 1992. Chorionic villus sampling in Auckland 1989–90. NZ Med J 105: 332–333.
- Clayton-Smith J, Andrews T, Donnai D. 1989. Genetic counselling and parental decisions following antenatal diagnosis of sex chromosome aneuploidies. J Obstet Gynaecol 10: 5–7.
- Crandall B, Lebherz T, Rubinstein L, Robertson R, Sample W, Sarti D, Howard J. 1980. Chromosome findings in 2,500 second trimester amniocenteses. *Am J Med Genet* 5: 345–356.
- Daniel A, Stewart L, Saville T, Brookwell R, Paull H, Purvis-Smith S, Lam-Po-Tang P. 1982. Prenatal diagnosis in 3,000 women for chromosome, X-linked & metabolic disorders. *Am J Med Genet* 11: 61–75.
- EUROCAT Working Group. 1991. Prevalence of neural tube defects in 20 regions of Europe and the impact of prenatal diagnosis, 1980–1986. *J Epidemiol Commun Health* 45: 52–58.
- 8. Hojbjerg Gravholt C, Juul S, Weis Naeraa R, Hansen J. 1996. Prenatal and postnatal prevalence of Turner's syndrome: a registry study. *BMJ* **312**: 16–21.
- Holmes-Siedle M, Rynanen M, Lindenbaum R. 1987. Parental decisions regarding termination of pregnancy following prenatal detection of sex chromosome abnormality. *Prenat Diagn* 7: 239– 244.
- Julian-Reynier C, Aurran Y, Dumaret A, Maron A, Chabal F, Giraud F, Aymé S. 1995. Attitudes towards Down's syndrome: follow up of a cohort of 280 cases. J Med Genet 32: 597–599.
- Lindfors K, McGahan J, Tennant F, Hanson F, Walter J. 1987. Midtrimester screening for open neural tube defects: correlation of sonography with amniocentesis results. *Am J Radiol* 149: 141– 145.
- 12. Murken J, Stengel-Rutkowski S. 1984. Klinefelter's syndrome in prenatal diagnosis: incidence and consequences for genetic counselling. In: Bandmann

H, Breit R (eds) *Klinefelter's syndrome*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 24–28.

- Nevin J, Nevin N, Dornan J, Sim D, Armstrong M. 1990. Early amniocentesis: experience of 222 consecutive patients, 1987–1988. *Prenat Diagn* 10: 79–83.
- Nielsen J, Videbech P. 1984. Diagnosing of chromosome abnormalities in Denmark. *Clin Genet* 26: 422–428.
- Roberts H, Moore C, Cragan J, Fernhoff P, Khoury M. 1995. Impact of prenatal diagnosis on the birth prevalence of neural tube defects, Atlanta, 1990–1991. *Pediatrics* 96: 880–883.
- Robinson A, Bender B, Linden M. 1989. Decisions following the intrauterine diagnosis of sex chromosome aneuploidy. *Am J Med Genet* 34: 552–554.
- Squire J, Nauth L, Ridler M, Sutton S, Timberlake C. 1982. Prenatal diagnosis and outcome of pregnancy in 2,036 women investigated by amniocentesis. *Hum Genet* 61: 215–222.
- Stoll C, Alembik Y, Dott B, Roth M. 1990. Epidemiology of Down syndrome in 118,265 consecutive births. *Am J Med Genet* 7 (Suppl.): 79–83.
- Verp M, Bombard A, Simpson J, Elias S. 1988. Parental decision following prenatal diagnosis of fetal chromosome abnormality. *Am J Med Genet* 29: 613–622.
- 20. Yeo G, Ali A. 1992. The effect of prenatal diagnosis on the incidence of Down syndrome livebirths in the Singapore General Hospital. *Singapore Med J* 33: 38–41.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was conducted as part of a concerted action: Decision-Making After the Diagnosis of a Fetal Abnormality (DADA), funded from the BioMed II programme of the European Union. Theresa Marteau is supported by the Wellcome Trust.

REFERENCES

- Drake H, Reid M, Marteau T. 1996. Attitudes towards termination for fetal abnormality: comparisons in three European countries. *Clin Genet* **49**: 134–140.
- Drugan A, Greb A, Johnson MP, Krivchenia EL, Uhlmann WR, Moghissi KS, Evans MI. 1990. Determinants of parental decisions to abort chromosome abnormalities. *Prenat Diagn* 10: 483–490.
- Faden RR, Chwalow AJ, Quaid K, Chase GA, Lopes C, Leonard CO, Holtzman NA. 1987. Prenatal screening and pregnant women's attitudes toward the abortion of defective fetuses. *Am J Pub Health* 77: 288–290.
- Hassed SJ, Miller CH, Pope SK, Murphy P, Quirk JG, Cunniff C. 1993. Perinatal lethal conditions: the effect of diagnosis on decision making. *Obstet Gynecol* 82: 37–42.
- Marteau TM, Mansfield CD. 1998. The psychological impact of prenatal diagnosis and subsequent decisions. In: O'Brien PMS (ed) *The Yearbook of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. London: RCOG Press; 186–193.
- Pryde PG, Drugan A, Johnson MP, Isada NB, Evans MI. 1993. Prenatal diagnosis: choices women make about pursuing testing and acting on abnormal results. *Clin Obstet Gynecol* 36: 496–509.
- Stacey M. 1996. The new genetics: a feminist view. In: Marteau TM, Richards M (eds) *The Troubled Helix: Social and Psychological Implications of the New Human Genetics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 331–349.