

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Incentivizing Care Coordination in Managed Care

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1rk4h4g9>

Journal

PEDIATRICS, 140(3)

ISSN

0031-4005

Authors

Chung, Paul J

Lerner, Carlos F

Publication Date

2017-09-01

DOI

10.1542/peds.2017-2090

Peer reviewed

Incentivizing Care Coordination in Managed Care

Paul J. Chung, MD, MS,^{a,b,c} Carlos F. Lerner, MD, MPhil^a

Care coordination for children remains one of the least-understood, widespread practice and policy interventions in pediatrics. In “Medicaid Managed Care Structures and Care Coordination: A National Cross-Sectional Analysis,” Gilchrist-Scott and colleagues examine the degree to which state penetrance of Medicaid managed care structures (specifically, health maintenance organizations [HMOs] versus primary care case management [PCCM]) may incentivize care coordination. They find that states with lower HMO and higher PCCM penetrance exhibited greater care coordination on 2 parent-reported National Survey of Children’s Health (NSCH) metrics: access to care coordination and receipt of care coordination when needed. Given that NSCH data were cross-sectional and penetrance data were aggregated by state, no causal inferences can be made, but the findings are intriguing nonetheless.

From low to high quintiles of HMO penetrance (and, conversely, from high to low quintiles of PCCM penetrance), access to care coordination fell roughly 5 percentage points, and receipt of care coordination when needed fell roughly 10 percentage points. This finding is not subtle and suggests that the choice of incentive structure may matter.

Nor is this finding surprising, given the incentive structures themselves. Unlike PCCM, fully capitated HMOs incentivize care coordination indirectly. The incentive exists only if there is a belief that care coordination creates downstream cost savings for the organization. However, over the entire population of children

(even when restricted to Medicaid-insured children), this belief is largely evidence-free. Although one might justifiably argue that care coordination improves important processes of care and patient experiences, whether these improvements create general reductions in health care use is unknown. For children who have few or noncomplex health problems, short- to intermediate-term financial savings seem unlikely to materialize. For them, the most defensible justification for care coordination may be quality for its own sake: adherence to principles and practices that maximize health care’s ability to contribute to lifelong health. Furthermore, some HMO care coordination practices aimed at reducing use may not even be visible to patients (eg, recommendations to physicians about patients who frequently use emergency department services). These efforts would not be captured in this study’s patient-centered care coordination measures. Therefore, the finding that care coordination is lower in states with high HMO penetrance seems entirely logical, given the populations being considered, the care coordination being measured, and the incentives in play.

The same is not necessarily true, however, for children with special health care needs (CSHCN), especially children with medical complexity (CMC). A growing body of literature suggests that care coordination, in conjunction with other components of the patient-centered medical home, not only may improve health outcomes for CMC and some CSHCN but may also produce substantial health care cost

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, David Geffen School of Medicine and Mattel Children’s Hospital, and ^bDepartment of Health Policy & Management, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California; and ^cRAND Health, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, California

Opinions expressed in these commentaries are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the American Academy of Pediatrics or its Committees.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2017-2090>

Accepted for publication Jun 22, 2017

Address correspondence to Paul J. Chung, MD, MS, Department of Pediatrics, University of California – Los Angeles, 10833 LeConte Ave, B2-433 MDCC, Los Angeles, CA 90095. E-mail: paulchung@mednet.ucla.edu

PEDIATRICS (ISSN Numbers: Print, 0031-4005; Online, 1098-4275).

Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE: The authors have indicated they have no financial relationships relevant to this article to disclose.

FUNDING: No external funding.

POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST: The authors have indicated they have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

COMPANION PAPER: A companion to this article can be found online at www.pediatrics.org/cgi/doi/10.1542/peds.2016-3820.

To cite: Chung PJ and Lerner CF. Incentivizing Care Coordination in Managed Care. *Pediatrics*. 2017;140(3):e20172090

savings in capitated environments.¹⁻⁴ For these children, HMO incentives may align with care coordination much better than for children as a whole.

For HMOs, then, the most cost-effective system might entail targeted care coordination for CMC and certain CSHCN who frequently use emergency departments and are admitted to hospitals; in a typical Medicaid HMO, this group might constitute only 5% to 10% of children. Depending on how PCCM incentives are structured, similar targeting in PCCM systems might also prove cost-effective.

In practice, care coordination in most systems may already be aimed at chronically ill children. The growing number of complex care programs, for instance, suggests not only that care coordination is increasingly being directed toward the most complex patients but also that it is increasingly being directed away from noncomplex ones. Gilchrist-Scott and colleagues may have inherently incorporated some of this targeting into their own study; they used 2 NSCH care coordination measures that were legitimately skipped by more than half of respondents because of a lack of parent-expressed need for care coordination.

If a care coordination system built on a goal of cost savings will, for now at least, funnel HMOs (and perhaps some PCCMs) into care coordination

targeted at patients who frequently use emergency departments and are admitted to hospitals, then we must advance the national discussion regarding long-term goals of care coordination policies. What are we trying to achieve, and for whom? Is care coordination for patients who frequently use emergency departments and are admitted to hospitals the best use of limited resources? Or is it an intermediate way station on the road to a universal patient-centered medical home? If so, then what outcomes outside of health care cost savings should we measure to justify that larger investment? Or does the patient-centered medical home mean something different for different groups of children? Should care coordination for other children revolve instead around coordination between health care providers and social service providers, education providers, legal aides, or financial counselors? If so, then any cost savings will accrue far outside the boundaries of any traditional health care structure, and an entirely different set of financial incentives will be needed.

It is one thing to say that states with high PCCM penetrance provide more access to care coordination than states with high HMO penetration. It is another thing to say that such states are better able to provide the right types of care coordination to the right people in the right ways to improve population health.

ABBREVIATIONS

CMC:	children with medical complexity
CSHCN:	children with special health care needs
HMO:	health maintenance organization
NSCH:	National Survey of Children's Health
PCCM:	primary care case management

REFERENCES

1. Palfrey JS, Sofis LA, Davidson EJ, Liu J, Freeman L, Ganz ML; Pediatric Alliance for Coordinated Care. The Pediatric Alliance for Coordinated Care: evaluation of a medical home model. *Pediatrics*. 2004;113(suppl 5):S1507-S1516
2. Homer CJ, Klatka K, Romm D, et al. A review of the evidence for the medical home for children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(4). Available at: www.pediatrics.org/cgi/content/full/122/4/e922
3. Boudreau AA, Perrin JM, Goodman E, Kurowski D, Cooley WC, Kuhlthau K. Care coordination and unmet specialty care among children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(6):1046-1053
4. Mosquera RA, Avritscher EB, Samuels CL, et al. Effect of an enhanced medical home on serious illness and cost of care among high-risk children with chronic illness: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2014;312(24):2640-2648

Incentivizing Care Coordination in Managed Care
Paul J. Chung and Carlos F. Lerner
Pediatrics; originally published online August 24, 2017;
DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-2090

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: /content/early/2017/08/22/peds.2017-2090.full
References	This article cites 4 articles, 2 of which can be accessed free at: /content/early/2017/08/22/peds.2017-2090.full.html#ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Advocacy /cgi/collection/advocacy_sub Child Health Financing /cgi/collection/child_health_financing_sub
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: /site/misc/Permissions.xhtml
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: /site/misc/reprints.xhtml

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™



PEDIATRICS®

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS

Incentivizing Care Coordination in Managed Care

Paul J. Chung and Carlos F. Lerner

Pediatrics; originally published online August 24, 2017;

DOI: 10.1542/peds.2017-2090

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:

[/content/early/2017/08/22/peds.2017-2090.full](http://content.early/2017/08/22/peds.2017-2090.full)

PEDIATRICS is the official journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics. A monthly publication, it has been published continuously since 1948. PEDIATRICS is owned, published, and trademarked by the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, 60007. Copyright © 2017 by the American Academy of Pediatrics. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0031-4005. Online ISSN: 1098-4275.

American Academy of Pediatrics

DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN™

