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Abstract

Virtually, all existing theoretical works on turbulent poloidal momentum transport are based on quasilinear
theory. Nonlinear poloidal momentum flux $\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n} \tilde{v}_\theta \rangle$ is universally neglected. However, in the strong turbu-
lence regime where relative fluctuation amplitude is no longer small, quasilinear theory is invalid. This is true
at the all-important plasma edge. In this work, nonlinear poloidal momentum flux $\langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n} \tilde{v}_\theta \rangle$ in strong elec-
trostatic turbulence is calculated using Hasegawa-Mima equation, and is compared with quasilinear poloidal
Reynolds stress. A novel property is that symmetry breaking in fluctuation spectrum is not necessary for
a nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. This is fundamentally different from the quasilinear Reynold stress.
Furthermore, the comparison implies that the poloidal rotation drive from the radial gradient of nonlinear
momentum flux is comparable to that from the quasilinear Reynolds force. Nonlinear poloidal momentum
transport in strong electrostatic turbulence is thus not negligible for poloidal rotation drive, and so may be
significant to transport barrier formation.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that poloidal rotation plays a crucial role in suppressing microturbulence through its
impact on $E \times B$ shear.1,2 The $E \times B$ flow shear is linked to poloidal rotation via $E_r$, which is determined
by the radial force balance equation, $E_r = \nabla P_i / eZ + v_\theta B_\theta - v_\phi B_\phi$. Since poloidal rotation can be significant for
triggering the formation of transport barriers through $E \times B$ flow shear and leading to improvement of
confinement and fusion performance, there have been intensive theoretical and experimental investigations
into understanding of poloidal momentum transport and poloidal rotation generation.2,8 Most theoretical
works have been developed based on neoclassical calculations for both core and edge plasmas and for different
collisionality.9,12
In some experiments, such as MAST and NSTX, the measured poloidal rotation is consistent with neoclassical predictions. This is likely due to strong neoclassical damping in spherical tokamaks. In contrast, for conventional tokamaks, such as JET, DIII-D, found deviation of poloidal flows from neoclassical prediction for various regimes of plasmas. It is interesting to explore possible explanations for the discrepancy between neoclassical predictions and experimental observations in conventional tokamaks, which is referred as anomalous poloidal rotation. Turbulent drive associated with drift wave turbulence might be a promising candidate, since the anomalous transport of particle, heat and toroidal rotation is usually thought to result from drift wave turbulence. Turbulent residual stress driving intrinsic toroidal rotation has been intensively studied.

Similar to the total flux of parallel (toroidal) momentum, the total poloidal momentum flux driven by electrostatic turbulence can be written as:

$$\Pi_{r, \theta} = \langle \hat{n} \rangle \langle \hat{\nu}_r \hat{\nu}_\theta \rangle + \langle \hat{\nu}_\theta \rangle \langle \hat{\nu}_r \hat{n} \rangle + \langle \hat{\nu}_r \hat{\nu}_\theta \hat{n} \rangle. \tag{1}$$

Here, on the right hand side (RHS), the first term is the poloidal Reynolds stress, the second term is convection, due to the particle flux, and the last triplet term is the nonlinear flux, which is driven by processes such as mode-mode coupling and turbulence spreading. There are many theoretical works on poloidal rotation driven by poloidal Reynolds stress based on quasilinear theory. For a radial asymmetric spectrum of turbulence, a significant turbulent driven poloidal flow was predicted. In linking Reynolds force to the potential vorticity flux leads to Charney-Drazin non-acceleration theorem. Later, this work was generalized to a three-dimensional drift-ion acoustic wave system and to electromagnetic turbulence. Poloidal Reynolds stress driven poloidal rotation has also been observed in experiments. However, the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux has been universally neglected in most existing theoretical works and simulation codes. Usually, a Boussinesq approximation implemented in fluid codes and a moment of the quasilinear flux used in gyrokinetic simulation lead to neglecting the triplet. There are no works retained the triplet and compared to the usual quadratic stress. Taking into account of Reynolds stress at the level of quasilinear theory is invalid in strong turbulence regime where the relative fluctuation amplitude is large, i.e., since $\hat{n}/n_0 \to 1$, the nonlinear damping rate is larger than the frequency mismatch. Furthermore, recent experiments on ASDEX-U found that the triplet term could make a significant contribution to the total poloidal momentum flux for H-mode edge turbulence. This implies that neglecting the effects of nonlinear poloidal momentum flux on poloidal rotation is not reasonable. The nonlinear parallel momentum flux is shown to be significant to intrinsic parallel rotation in strong electrostatic turbulence. For tokamak edge turbulence, the relative fluctuation amplitude is large, so it is possible to drive a significant nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, as well. Therefore, study of the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux in the strong turbulence edge regime seems necessary for comprehensive understanding anomalous poloidal rotation and transport barrier formation physics. The rate of Reynolds work, $\langle \hat{\nu}_r \hat{\nu}_\theta / \langle \nu_\perp \rangle$ is shown to play a key role for L-H transition on HL-2A, DIII-D and EAST experiments. Its nonlinear counterpart $\langle \hat{n} \hat{\nu}_r \hat{\nu}_\theta / \langle \nu_\perp \rangle$ might be also worth investigations.
In this work, we calculate the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux using the Hasegawa-Mima (H-M) equation \([32]\), which is a popular drift wave model and can be reduced from Hasegawa-Wakatani model \([33]\) for the adiabatic electron limit. We also compare it with the quasilinear Reynolds stress presented in \([22]\). It is found that the turbulent poloidal rotation drive from nonlinear poloidal momentum flux is comparable to that from quasilinear Reynolds stress, particularly in steep density gradient regions. We also find that symmetry breaking in fluctuation spectrum is not required for the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, which is fundamentally different from the Reynolds stress. Therefore, taking account of the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux effects on poloidal rotation is important in the strong turbulence edge regime. The results presented in this work indicate that turbulent Reynolds stress is incomplete for explaining the poloidal rotation in edge plasmas. Expanding the models of describing the physics of edge plasma dynamics and transport barriers formation is worthwhile.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Sec. II presents the minimal model and expressions for nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. We compare the nonlinear turbulent drive with the quasilinear Reynolds force in Sec. III. Finally, we summarize our work and discuss the implications for anomalous poloidal rotation in Sec. IV. The detailed calculation is presented in the Appendix.

II. MINIMAL THEORETICAL MODEL AND NONLINEAR POLOIDAL MOMENTUM FLUX

In this section, we intend to briefly introduce the minimal theoretical model and present the expressions for nonlinear poloidal momentum flux leaving the tedious calculations in the Appendix. The interested readers can refer to our previous work \([30]\), in which nonlinear parallel momentum flux for strong electrostatic turbulence is calculated. We will adopt a similar theoretical approach in this work.

The nonlinear poloidal momentum flux can be written as:

\[
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n} \tilde{v}_\theta \rangle + \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^{(c)} \tilde{v}_\theta \rangle + \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^{(c)} \tilde{v}_\theta^{(c)} \rangle \right). 
\]  

Here, \(\tilde{n}\) is the density fluctuation, \(\tilde{v}_r\) and \(\tilde{v}_\theta\) are the radial and poloidal fluctuating \(E \times B\) drift velocities, respectively. The superscript \((c)\) denotes the coherent component of the beat mode. For simplicity, we use the adiabatic approximation, i.e., \(\tilde{n}_0 = e \tilde{\phi}/T_e\) and the corresponding coherent response \(\tilde{n}^{(c)}_0 = e \tilde{\phi}^{(c)}/T_e\). To obtain the coherent components, \(\tilde{\phi}^{(c)}\), we adopt the popular drift wave model, i.e., H-M equation \([32]\)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \rho_s^2 \nabla_\perp^2 \phi - \phi \right) + \rho_s^4 \omega_{ci} \hat{z} \cdot \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla \nabla_\perp^2 \phi - i \omega_{ce} \rho_s^2 \phi = 0. 
\]  

Here, \(\hat{z}\) is the unit vector in the parallel magnetic field direction, \(\nabla_\perp\) denotes the gradient operator perpendicular to the magnetic field direction. \(\omega_{ci} = eB/(m_i c)\) is the ion gyrofrequency, \(c_s\) is the ion acoustic velocity, and \(\rho_s = \frac{e \tilde{\phi}}{\omega_{ci}}\) is the ion Larmor radius at the electron temperature. We have used the standard normalization for electric potential fluctuation \(\phi \equiv \tilde{\phi}/T_e\). For the spatial scale, we consider two-scale approach, i.e., \(\nabla_\perp = i \mathbf{k}_\perp + \partial/\partial r\), where \(\mathbf{k}_\perp\) denotes wave number of the fast spatial fluctuations, and \(\partial/\partial r\) describes
modulation of the wave envelope, which occurs on a slowly varying spatial scale \( \omega_{*n} = k_y \rho_s c_s / L_n \). \( \omega_{*n} \) is the electron diamagnetic drift frequency with \( L_n = - (\partial \ln n / \partial r)^{-1} \) density gradient scale length.

Taking the Fourier transformation of Eq. (5) and neglecting higher order terms related to slow spatial variation \( \partial^2 / \partial r^2 \) yields

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi_k + i \omega_k \phi_k = \sum_{k=k'+k''} M_{k,k',k''}, \tag{4}
\]

where \( \omega_k = \frac{\omega_{*n}}{1 + k^2_1 \rho^2_s} \), and the nonlinear term is

\[
M_{k,k',k''} = \frac{\omega_{ci}}{2(1 + k^2_1 \rho^2_s)} \rho^4_s \left\{ \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k'}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k''}_\perp \left( k''_1^2 - k''_1^2 \right) \phi_{k'} \phi_{k''} \\
+ i \phi_{k'} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''} \left[ k''_y \left( k''_1^2 - k''_1^2 \right) - 2 k''_x \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k'}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k''}_\perp \right] \\
- i \phi_{k'} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''} \left[ k''_y \left( k''_1^2 - k''_1^2 \right) - 2 k''_x \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k'}_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k''}_\perp \right] \right\}. \tag{5}
\]

Solving Eq. (4) directly, with help of the eddy-damped quasi-normal Markovian (EDQNM) theory, the coherent component of beat mode can be obtained

\[
\phi^{(c)}_k(t) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \exp \left[ (i \omega_k + \gamma^N_{k'})(t' - t) \right] M_{k,k',k''}. \tag{6}
\]

Here, \( \gamma^N_k \) is the nonlinear damping rate, which is larger than the frequency mismatch for strong turbulence. After calculating the coherent component, the problem left is to close the forth order moment. Using the approximation of quasi-Gaussian statistics (i.e., the assumption of almost statistically independent fluctuations), the forth order moment can be factored into a product of quadratic moments, i.e.,

\[
\langle \phi_{k'}(t) \phi_{k''}(t') \phi_{k'''}(t') \phi_{k''''}(t') \rangle = \langle \phi_{k'}(t) \phi_{k''}(t') \rangle \langle \phi_{k'''}(t) \phi_{k''''}(t') \rangle. \tag{7}
\]

Then, with the Markovian approximation, the two-time correlation function can be expressed by one-time correlation function as

\[
\langle \phi^{(c)}_k(t') \phi_k(t) \rangle = \exp [i \omega_k (t' - t) - \gamma^N_k |t' - t|] \langle \phi^{(c)}_k(t') \phi_k(t) \rangle. \tag{8}
\]

All the essentials for calculation of the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux have been obtained.

The expressions for nonlinear poloidal momentum flux are presented here directly without showing the tedious calculations. The details of calculation can be found in the Appendix. The first nonlinear flux can be written as

\[
\Pi^{NL,1}_{r,\theta} = \langle \bar{v}^{(c)}_r \bar{v}^{(c)}_\theta \rangle \\
= n_0 c_s^2 R \sum_{k=k'+k''} i k_y \rho^2_s \left[ \phi^{(c)}_k \phi^{(c)}_{k'} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''} + i k''_y \phi_{k''} \right) \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 c_s^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} \tau_{\omega_{ci}} L_{k''}^2 \left( A_{k',k''} \rho^2_s L_i^2 + B_{k',k''} \right). \tag{9}
\]
Here, \( \tau_c \) is the triad interaction time for vorticity equation, and is estimated by the inverse of nonlinear damping rate, i.e., \( \tau_c = \frac{R}{\kappa (\omega_k + \omega_{k'} + \omega_{k''}) + (\gamma_k^{NL} + \gamma_{k'}^{NL} + \gamma_{k''}^{NL})} \). For the reason that the nonlinear damping rate is much larger than the frequency mismatch for strong turbulence. This is opposite to the quasi-linear limit. \( I_k = |\phi_k|^2 \) is the fluctuation intensity and \( L_I^{-1} = \frac{1}{I_k} \) is the intensity gradient scale length, \( A_{k',k''} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ k_y'^2 (k_y'^2 - k_y'^2 + k_y''^2) + k_y'^2 (k_y'^2 - k_y'^2 + 2k_y'^2) \right] \rho_s^2 \), and \( B_{k',k''} = (k_y'^2 k_x^2 - k_y''^2 k_x^2) \left( k_y'^2 - k_y''^2 \right) \rho_s^6 \). Similarly, the second and the third nonlinear fluxes are written as

\[
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL,2} = \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n}^{(c)} \tilde{v}_\theta \rangle = n_0 c_s^2 R \sum_{k = k' + k''} -i k_y' \rho_s^2 \phi_k^{(c)*} \phi_k' \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k_y'' \phi_{k''}(t) \right),
\]

and

\[
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL,3} = \langle \tilde{v}_r \tilde{n} \tilde{v}_\theta^{(c)} \rangle = n_0 c_s^2 R \sum_{k = k' + k''} -i k_y' \rho_s^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_k^{(c)*} (t) + i k_y' \phi_k^{(c)*} (t) \right) \phi_{k''} n_{k''},
\]

respectively. Then, the total nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, Eq. (2), can be obtained by taking summation of the above three nonlinear fluxes

\[
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL} = -\frac{1}{6} n_0 c_s^2 \sum_{k = k' + k''} \tau_c \omega_c \frac{I_k I_{k''}}{1 + k_y'^2 \rho_s^2} B_{k',k''}.
\]

This is the final expression for nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. We note that the terms related to turbulence intensity gradient cancel with each other. It is seen that the symmetry breaking in fluctuation spectrum is not required for non-zero nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, which is fundamentally different from the case of the Reynolds stress.

### III. COMPARISON OF POLOIDAL ROTATION DRIVE BY NONLINEAR POLOIDAL MOMENTUM FLUX AND QUASILINEAR REYNOLDS STRESS

To illustrate the significance of nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, we compare it with the quasilinear Reynolds stress. According to [35], for strong turbulence limit, the nonlinear damping rate is estimated as \( \gamma_k^{NL} \sim \frac{k_y^2 \rho_s^2}{1 + k_y \rho_s |k_y| c_s} |k_y| c_s^{1/2} \). It follows that \( \tau_c \sim (\gamma_k^{NL})^{-1} \), and so gives nonlinear poloidal momentum flux

\[
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL} \sim -\frac{1}{6} n_0 c_s^2 \frac{B_{k',k''}}{k_y^2 \rho_s^2 |k_y| \rho_s} \left| I_k \right|^{3/2}.
\]

As for the Reynolds stress, [22] showed that the divergence of Reynolds stress is equivalent to the turbulent radial current driven Lorentz force, and the radial current is calculated using quasilinear theory, \( J_r \sim n_0 e c_s k_y \rho_s \frac{I_x}{L_x} I_k \). For convenience of comparison, we rewrite both nonlinear
and quasilinear poloidal rotation drive in terms of force density. So, the quasilinear Reynolds force density driven by Lorentz force can be written as

\[ F_{QL} \sim -J_r B_t/c \sim -m_i n_0 k_y \rho_s c^2 L_n I_k. \] (13)

The nonlinear force density is obtained from the negative radial gradient of nonlinear poloidal momentum flux

\[ F_{NL} \sim \frac{1}{6} m_i \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( n_0 c_s^2 \frac{B_k', k''}{k^2 \rho_s^2 |k_y| \rho_s} I_k^{3/2} \right). \] (14)

Note that nonlinear force requires radial variation of the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux, although a non-zero nonlinear flux does not require any radial variation of turbulence intensity. The sign of the quasilinear force obtained in [22] is negative. The sign of the nonlinear force depends on the profile of nonlinear flux. A positive (negative) gradient corresponds to positive (negative) nonlinear force, which is against (additive to) the quasilinear force.

We use the standard mixing length estimate for fluctuation intensity \( I_k \sim \frac{c^2}{L_n} \frac{L_s}{L_n} \) with \( L_s \) being the magnetic shear scale length [22]. Other typical parameters are: \( n_0 \sim 10^{19} m^{-3}, B_t \sim 1 T, T_i \sim T_e \sim 100 eV, L_n \sim 0.05 m, q \sim 3, R \sim 1.5 m, L_s \sim qR, k_\perp \rho_s \sim 1 \). For nonlinear force density, one needs to make an estimate for the radial scale length of nonlinear flux, which is denoted by \( L_{\Pi} \). We take mesoscale, i.e., \( L_{\Pi} \sim \sqrt{L_n \rho_s} \). It is useful to estimate the predicted poloidal flow velocity, \( V_\theta \) as well. Neoclassical magnetic pumping drag is assumed to balance the turbulent flow drive due to both quasilinear and nonlinear force, i.e., \( V_\theta \approx (F_{QL} + F_{NL}) / (\mu m_i n_0) \), where \( \mu = q v_{th}/R \). Here, the plateau regime neoclassical viscous damping rate was used [22]. The results for comparisons of turbulent flow drive, predicted poloidal flow velocity and corresponding Mach number are listed in Table I. Here, as mentioned before, positive (negative) gradient of nonlinear flux corresponds to positive (negative) nonlinear force, which acts as a damping (driving) regarding to the quasilinear Lorentz force. The order of magnitude of the nonlinear driving/damping and corresponding poloidal flow velocity can be comparable to those of quasilinear case. The ratio of nonlinear force density to quasilinear one is

\[ \frac{|F_{NL}|}{|F_{QL}|} \sim \frac{1}{6} \frac{\rho_s}{L_{\Pi}} \left( \frac{L_s}{L_n} \right)^{3/2}. \] (15)

We can see that the nonlinear poloidal rotation drive tends to be important in steep density gradient regions, such as in internal transport barrier (ITB) and edge transport barrier (ETB). Here, the fluctuation intensity was estimated by using the standard mixing length theory for slablike drift wave. Extension to toroidal plasmas, particularly for ITB case, one may need to be careful about the magnetic field structure, i.e., weak magnetic shear effects on mode structure and turbulent rotation drive. Magnetic shear has been found to play an important role in toroidal intrinsic torque reversal from both experiments [37] and gyrokinetic simulations [38].
TABLE I: Comparison of the poloidal flow drive and the predicted poloidal flow velocity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Force ($N/m^3$)</th>
<th>$V_\theta$ (km/s)</th>
<th>Mach number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quasilinear</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonlinear</td>
<td>± 32</td>
<td>± 11</td>
<td>± 0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we have calculated nonlinear poloidal momentum flux in strong electrostatic turbulence using Hasegawa-Mima drift wave model. EDQNM theory has been used for dealing with the nonlinear coupling term and solving the coherent component of beat mode. We adopt the quasi-Gaussian approximation for closure modelling of the forth order moment. In contrast to the quasilinear Reynolds stress, we find that symmetry breaking in turbulence spectrum is not required for non-zero nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. However, the poloidal rotation drive by the divergence of nonlinear momentum flux requires a radial inhomogeneity of nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. Our theoretical predictions indicate that nonlinear poloidal momentum flux can be significant to poloidal rotation drive in strong turbulence as compared to the quasilinear Reynolds stress \[22\], particularly in steep density gradient regions.

Experimental observations on ASDEX-U indicate that nonlinear poloidal momentum flux is dominated by the ELM burst \[29\]. The anomalous poloidal rotation driven by Reynolds stress and its connection to ITB formation was studied in \[18\]. However, there are no simulation codes retained the nonlinear poloidal momentum flux and compared to the usual Reynolds stress. According to our theoretical results, in steep density gradient regions, it may be needed to take into account of nonlinear momentum flux for comprehensive understanding poloidal rotation and its effects on transport barrier formation. For strong burst phenomena, such as blobs in the L mode and ELMs in H mode plasmas, nonlinear flux may be important. Therefore, it is also interesting to investigate how statistical feature of blobs affect the nonlinear flux and the nonlinearly driven flow effects on subsequent burst events.

We note that poloidal rotation contribution to $E \times B$ flow shear can suppress the fluctuation level and the associated turbulent drive for nonlinear poloidal momentum flux. Therefore, our future work will focus on self-consistent calculation of nonlinear poloidal rotation drive and its effects on turbulence suppression. Finally, as we mentioned in the end of our previous work \[30\], investigation on the higher order contributions to poloidal momentum flux for weak turbulence may be worthwhile as well. Hence, we also plan to calculate the nonlinear resonant poloidal momentum transport, and study its effects on poloidal rotation drive.
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Calculation of nonlinear poloidal momentum flux

The detailed process of calculation is given in this appendix for the interested readers. Substituting the coherent component $\phi^{(c)}_k$, Eq. (3), into Eq. (2), with help of Eqs. (7) and (8), and we can calculate the three nonlinear fluxes one by one. The first one can be written as

$$
\Pi^{NL}_{r,\theta} = \langle \hat{\phi}_r^{(c)} \hat{n} \phi_\theta \rangle 
$$

$$
= n_0 c_s^2 \Re \sum_{k=|k'+k''|} i k_y \rho_\perp^2 \phi^{(c)*}_k \phi_k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''} + i k_{z''} \phi_{k''} \right) 
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 c_s^2 \Re \sum_{k=|k'+k''|} 2 k_y \rho_\perp^2 \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \exp \left[ (-i \omega_k + \gamma_k^{NL}) (t' - t) \right] M^*_{k,k',k''}(t') \phi_k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''} + i k_{z''} \phi_{k''} \right) 
$$

$$
+ k' \leftrightarrow k'' \right] 
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 c_s^2 \Re \sum_{k=|k'+k''|} \frac{i k_y \rho_\perp^2}{1 + k_\perp^2 \rho_\perp^2} \left[ \omega_{ci} \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \exp \left\{ i (-\omega_k + \omega_k' + \omega_k'') + (\gamma_k^{NL} + \gamma_k^{NL} + \gamma_k^{NL}) \right\} (t' - t) 
$$

$$
\times \left[ \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k}'_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \right] \left( \phi'^*_k(t) \phi_k(t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k_{z''} \phi_{k''}(t) \right) 
$$

$$
- i \left[ k'_y \left( k'^2_\perp - k^2_\perp \right) - 2 k'_{z'} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k}'_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp 
$$

$$
\times \left( \phi'^*_k(t) \phi_k(t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k_{z''} \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \right) 
$$

$$
+ i \left[ k'_y \left( k'^2_\perp - k^2_\perp \right) - 2 k'_{z'} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{k}'_\perp \cdot \mathbf{k}_\perp \right] \left( \frac{\partial \phi'^*_k(t)}{\partial r} \phi_k(t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k_{z''} \phi_{k''}(t) \right) 
$$

$$
+ k' \leftrightarrow k'' \right] 
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 c_s^2 \sum_{k=|k'+k''|} \frac{\rho_\perp^2}{1 + k_\perp^2 \rho_\perp^2} \left[ \omega_{ci} \left( k'^2_\perp - k^2_\perp + 2 k'^2_{z'} \right) I_k \left( \frac{\partial \phi'^*_k(t)}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \phi_{k''}(t)}{\partial r} \right) 
$$

$$
+ k'^2_\perp k_{z'}^2 \left( k'^2_\perp - k^2_\perp \right) I_{k'} I_{k''} + k'^2_\perp k_{z'}^2 \left( k'^2_\perp - k^2_\perp + 2 k'^2_{z'} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi'^*_k(t)}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi_{k''}(t)}{\partial r} \right) \right] 
$$

$$
+ k' \leftrightarrow k'' \right] 
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 c_s^2 \sum_{k=|k'+k''|} \omega_{ci} \left( A_{k',k''} \frac{\rho_\perp^2}{L_I^2} + B_{k',k''} \right). \quad (16)
$$
Here, the definition of $I_k$, $L_I$, $A_{k',k''}$ and $B_{k',k''}$ have been given in the text before. In the same way, the second nonlinear flux can be written as

$$
\Pi_{r,\theta}^{NL,2} = \langle \hat{v}_r \hat{n}^{(c)} \hat{v}_\theta \rangle 
= n_0 e^2 \Re \sum_{k=k'+k''} -i k'_y \rho_s^2 \left\{ \phi_k^{(c)*} \phi_{k'} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k''_x \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \right\} 
= \frac{1}{2} n_0 e^2 \Re \sum_{k=k'+k''} \left\{ -i k'_y \rho_s^2 \frac{1}{1 + k'_l \rho_s^2} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \exp \left\{ i (-\omega_k + \omega'_k + \omega''_k) + \left[ \gamma_{NL}^{(1)} k + \gamma_{NL}^{(2)} k + \gamma_{NL}^{(3)} k \right] (t' - t) \right\} \left[ \hat{z} \times k'_l \cdot k''_l \left( k''_l^2 - k'_l^2 \right) \right. \left. \langle \phi_k^{(c)*}(t) \phi_{k'}(t) \rangle \langle \phi_{k''}^*(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k''_x \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \rangle \right. \left. -i \left[ k''_y \left( k''_l^2 - k'_l^2 \right) - 2 k''_x \hat{z} \times k'_l \cdot k''_l \right] \langle \phi_k^{(c)*}(t) \phi_{k'}(t) \rangle \langle \phi_{k''}^*(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k''_x \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \rangle \right. \left. + i \left[ k''_y \left( k''_l^2 - k'_l^2 \right) - 2 k''_x \hat{z} \times k'_l \cdot k''_l \right] \langle \frac{\partial \phi_k^{(c)}(t)}{\partial r} \phi_{k'}(t) \rangle \langle \phi_{k''}^*(t) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{k''}(t) + i k''_x \phi_{k''}(t) \right) \rangle \right. \left. + k' \leftrightarrow k'' \right\} \right\} 
= -\frac{1}{2} n_0 e^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} \tau_0 \omega_c l \frac{I_k I_{k'}}{1 + k'_l \rho_s^2} \times \left( \frac{1}{2} A_{k',k''} \rho_s^2 \frac{l}{l} + B_{k',k''} \right). \quad (17)
$$
The third nonlinear flux can be written as

$$\Pi_{NL,3}^{c,\theta} = \langle \hat{\nu}_r \tilde{n}_\theta^{(c)} \rangle$$

$$= n_{0c} e_s^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} -i k_y' \rho_s^2 \left\langle \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_k^{(c)*}(t) + i k_x \phi_k^{(c)+}(t) \right) \phi_{k'} n_{k''} \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} n_{0c} e_s^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} \left\{ -i k_y' \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \int_{-\infty}^t dt' \exp \left\{ i (-\omega_k + \omega_k' + \omega_k'') + (\gamma_k + \gamma_k' + \gamma_{k''}) \right\} (t' - t) \right\} \times \hat{z} \times \hat{k}' \cdot \hat{k}''$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} n_{0c} e_s^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} \tau_e \omega_{ci} \frac{I_{k'}}{1 + k_1^2 \rho_s^2} \left\{ \right.$$  

$$\left. \left( \frac{k_y}{k_1} - k_y'' \right) \right\} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_k^{*}(t) \right) + \frac{1}{2} A_{k',k''} \rho_s^2 + B_{k',k''} \right) \right\}.$$

Then, the total nonlinear poloidal momentum flux can be obtained by taking summation of the above three nonlinear fluxes

$$\Pi_{NL}^{c,\theta} = \frac{1}{3} \left( \langle \hat{\nu}_r \tilde{n}_\theta^{(c)} \rangle + \langle \hat{\nu}_r \tilde{n}_\theta^{(c)} \hat{\nu}_\theta \rangle + \langle \hat{\nu}_r \tilde{n}_\theta^{(c)} \rangle \right)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{6} n_{0c} e_s^2 \sum_{k=k'+k''} \tau_e \omega_{ci} \frac{I_{k'}}{1 + k_1^2 \rho_s^2} B_{k',k''}.$$
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