

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

EQUAL Score Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis 2021: a European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) tool to quantify guideline adherence

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1ts4z4q0>

Journal

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 77(1)

ISSN

0305-7453

Authors

Stemler, Jannik
Lackner, Michaela
Chen, Sharon C-A
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2021-12-24

DOI

10.1093/jac/dkab355

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

EQUAL Score Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis 2021: a European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) tool to quantify guideline adherence

Jannik Stemler^{1,2,3}, Michaela Lackner⁴, Sharon C.-A. Chen⁵, Martin Hoenigl ^{6,7,8} and Oliver A. Cornely ^{1,2,3,9*}

¹Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Department I of Internal Medicine, Excellence Center for Medical Mycology (ECMM), University of Cologne, Cologne, NRW, Germany; ²Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Chair Translational Research, Cologne Excellence Cluster on Cellular Stress Responses in Aging-Associated Diseases (CECAD), University of Cologne, Cologne, NRW, Germany; ³German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF), Partner Site Bonn-Cologne, Cologne, NRW, Germany; ⁴Institute of Hygiene and Medical Microbiology, Department of Hygiene, Medical Microbiology and Public Health, Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria; ⁵Centre for Infectious Diseases and Microbiology Laboratory Services, Institute of Clinical Pathology and Medical Research, New South Wales Health Pathology, Westmead Hospital and the University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia; ⁶Division of Infectious Diseases and Global Public Health, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA; ⁷Clinical and Translational Fungal Research—Working Group, University of California San Diego, San Diego, CA, USA; ⁸Division of Infectious Diseases, Medical University of Graz, Graz, Austria; ⁹University of Cologne, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, Clinical Trials Centre Cologne (ZKS Köln), Cologne, NRW, Germany

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Oliver.Cornely@uk-koeln.de

Received 13 June 2021; accepted 1 September 2021

Background: Invasive scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis are life-threatening fungal infections in immunocompromised patients with complex diagnostic and treatment patterns.

Objectives: To develop a scoring tool to facilitate and quantify adherence to current guideline recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of invasive scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis.

Methods: Experts from European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) excellence centres reviewed current guidelines for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis. Recommendations for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up were summarized, assembled and weighted according to their strength of recommendation and level of evidence (strongly recommended = 3 points; moderately recommended = 2 points; marginally recommended = 1 point; recommended against = 0 points). Additional items considered of high importance for clinical management were also weighted.

Results: A total of 170 recommendations were identified. A 21-item tool was developed and embedded into the EQUAL score card. Nine items for diagnosis with 18 achievable points were assembled. For treatment, three general recommendation items with a maximal score of 9 were identified, while for specific antifungal treatment the two fungal pathogens were separated. Three and four items were established for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis, respectively, with a maximum achievable score of 3 due to the separation of different treatment options with the maximum point value of 3 for voriconazole-based treatment. Follow-up comprised two items (4 points maximum). Key recommendations for clinical outcome were weighted accordingly.

Conclusions: We propose the EQUAL Score Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis to quantify adherence to current guideline recommendations for management of these rare infections. The score remains to be validated in real-life patient cohorts and correlated with patient outcome.

Introduction

Invasive fungal diseases (IFD) due to rare species, especially moulds, have become more frequent and cause substantial morbidity and mortality in patients.¹

Diagnosis and treatment of infections due to *Scedosporium* spp. and *Lomentospora* spp., with extremely high mortality rates, pose a particular challenge to treating physicians.^{2,3} At-risk patient groups comprise immunocompromised patients, including those

with haematological malignancy (HM), solid organ transplant (SOT), in particular lung transplant recipients, and cystic fibrosis (CF) patients and in the case of the *Scedosporium apiospermum* complex, also patients after near-drowning events.²⁻⁴ Clinical manifestations are most frequently pulmonary and cerebral, but disseminated disease with fungaemia and other forms have also been described.^{2,3,5}

Timely diagnosis with regard to the patient population at risk is the key element to avoid delays in treatment initiation and subsequently improve survival rates.⁵ However, the ideal diagnostic approach often depends on awareness of the treating physicians and the sites of infection. Furthermore, mycological diagnosis is highly complex and requires experienced laboratory-based mycologists, while in some cases appropriate samples may not even be shipped to a specialized medical mycology laboratory and pathologist.⁶

Treatment usually includes surgical debridement of infected sites, which may not always be feasible in seriously ill patients or in disseminated disease.⁷ Antifungal therapy should include an azole-based regimen and sometimes requires combination treatment due to severely compromised patients.^{2,3,8}

Guidance on clinical management of scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis remains scarce and is largely based on retrospective analyses in this rare infection.⁹

Moreover, it is questionable whether clinicians do have guidance documents at hand and it is unknown to what extent guideline adherence affects patient outcome at all.¹⁰

As a joint initiative, European Confederation of Medical Mycology (ECMM) experts reviewed existing guideline recommendations for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis to quantify adherence and propose this score to improve management of patients with these infections.¹¹

Methods

Five experts [four ECMM fellows (M.L., S.C.-A.C., M.H., O.A.C.) and one aspiring ECMM fellow (J.S.)] screened available guidance publications from four scientific societies involved in the field of infectious diseases (ESCMID), medical mycology (ECMM) and haematology and transplantation (Australasian Guideline Committee; guidelines of the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice, AST ID) on recommendations for the management of IFD due to scedosporiosis/lomentosporiosis.^{9,12-14} All recommendations were included in a first review.

Key recommendations relevant for clinical practice were selected and summarized. These were assembled into three parts: diagnosis, treatment and follow-up.

We weighted recommendations according to their strength of recommendation and level of evidence (strongly recommended = 3 points; moderately recommended = 2 points; marginally recommended = 1 point; recommended against = 0 points). Some aspects not mentioned specifically in the guidelines but of utmost clinical importance were also included as additional items in the score and weighted according to a consensus decision, such as consultation of an infectious disease physician upon diagnosis or follow-up imaging to evaluate treatment response.

A hypothetical patient should be followed along the aggregated items to facilitate and quantitate quality of patient management.

Results

A total of 118 recommendations from the ECMM guideline were identified (13 of those for children only).⁹ Additionally, 39

Scedosporium and *Lomentospora*-specific recommendations from the 2014 ESCMID-ECMM guideline, 6 from the AST ID (treatment only) and 7 (for treatment only) from the Australasian Guideline Committee were identified, resulting in an overall number of 170 recommendations.¹²⁻¹⁴

A 21-item tool was developed as an EQUAL score card and assembled as displayed in Figure 1.

Nine items for diagnosis with 18 achievable points were identified. Diagnosis should be based on culture and histopathology. Molecular and proteomic techniques are recommended but lack accuracy due to still incomplete databases for reference sequences and are therefore only marginally recommended. Antifungal susceptibility testing (AFST) using EUCAST or CLSI methodology should inform epidemiological purposes, but not guide antifungal treatment in general and a recommendation is supported with moderate strength in the current ECMM guideline due to missing clinical breakpoints.⁹ Imaging of all suspected sites of infection should be performed to evaluate the extent of IFD.

For treatment, three general recommendations with a maximal score of 9 were developed, while for specific antifungal treatment the two pathogens were separated. For scedosporiosis, three items, and for lomentosporiosis, four items were formulated, respectively. Appropriate first-line treatment comprises only one item with 3 points (voriconazole-based regimen), therefore this is the maximum achievable score for treatment. If voriconazole is not used, the total achievable score reduces to 7 for scedosporiosis and 8 for lomentosporiosis, respectively, as 3 points for strongly advised therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) for voriconazole will drop out.

Surgical debridement of all sites involved in invasive infection remains the cornerstone for successful treatment, as well as antifungal therapy with a voriconazole-based regimen. For lomentosporiosis, terbinafine 500-1000mg/day should be added. Amphotericin B monotherapy is not recommended and should be avoided. Immediate treatment initiation is not explicitly mentioned in guidelines, but any delay can be considered malpractice in such a rapidly progressive disease.

Follow-up measures comprise two items with a maximum of 4 points, including regular imaging and the reconstitution of immune competence of the patient. No recommendations for specific antifungal prophylaxis were identified. This results in a maximum score of 34 points, while it decreases to 29 and 30 points for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis, respectively, if voriconazole is not the first-line treatment (Figure 2).

Discussion

The EQUAL Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis Score is a 21-item card for management of patients with IFD due to *Scedosporium* spp. and *Lomentospora* spp., derived from current guidelines, aggregating and weighting the recommendations according to their strength.

Antifungal prophylaxis to prevent scedosporiosis/lomentosporiosis was not addressed since infections due to these agents remain too rare to propose specific prophylaxis. However, general recommendations for mould-active prophylaxis in high-risk patients should be followed.¹⁵ In other patient groups, such as colonized CF, lung transplant or near-drowned patients,

EQUAL Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis Score 2021		
Diagnosis ¹	- Imaging incl. CNS to document the extent of disease	2
	- ID and/or mycological reference laboratory consultation	3
	Infected tissue(s) or body fluids:	
	- Culture from BAL or any other tissue/fluid ² (morphology, physiology)	3
	- Direct microscopic examination with calcofluor white staining	2
	- Blood cultures (to rule out haematogenous dissemination)	2
	- Species complex/species identification (morphology, ITS-1/2)	1
	- Further molecular and/or proteomic analysis of clinical specimens or cultures (MALDI-TOF, β -tubulin sequencing, pan-fungal PCRs)	1
	- Histopathological examination of biopsy (Grocott's/PAS stain)	3
	- Antifungal susceptibility testing	1
Treatment	- Immediate treatment initiation	3
	- Surgical debridement of suspected infected sites (if possible)	3
	<i>Scedosporium (apiospermum)</i>	
	- Voriconazole-based therapeutic regimen (Day 1: 2 x 6 mg/kg/day; from Day 2: 2 x 4 mg/kg/day)	3
	- Isavuconazole- or posaconazole-based treatments	1
	- Amphotericin B (liposomal or lipid complex) monotherapy	-1
	<i>Lomentospora (prolificans)</i>	
	- Voriconazole + terbinafine 500–1000 mg/day \pm other antifungals	3
	- Voriconazole monotherapy	2
	- Isavuconazole or posaconazole in combination with other antifungal classes	1
- Amphotericin B (liposomal or lipid complex) monotherapy	-1	
- Therapeutic drug monitoring for voriconazole	3	
Follow-up	- Weekly response assessment via imaging of infected body site	2
	- Consider reducing immunosuppression	2

¹Consider mould-active antifungal prophylaxis in patients with neutropenia >10 days or allogeneic HSCT and recipients of donor lungs colonized with *Scedosporium* spp. or *Lomentospora* spp.

²Respiratory samples from CF patients: SceSel+ medium, incubation time minimum 7 days up to 14 days.

Figure 1. EQUAL Scedosporiosis/Lomentosporiosis Score with items and weights reflected by guideline recommendations. ID, infectious diseases; BAL, broncho-alveolar lavage; ITS, internal transcribed spacer; PAS, periodic acid Schiff; CF, cystic fibrosis. This figure appears in colour in the online version of JAC and in black and white in the print version of JAC.

prophylaxis may be considered, but has not received a general guideline recommendation so far due to lack of clinical studies assessing a potential benefit.¹⁶ Of note, for respiratory samples of CF patients, SceSel+ agar should be used with longer incubation times.^{17,18} However, the score is not designed to differentiate colonization and infection in this patient population.

Diagnosis seems over-represented in this score, with 18 points achievable. However, as correct diagnosis with determination of the causative pathogen is crucial to respond to such rapidly progressive IFD, this weighting seems reasonable when assuming

that diagnosis will be followed by immediate targeted treatment. AFST may inform epidemiology of scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis and can be of information for clinicians if empirical or first-line antifungal treatment fails.¹⁹ Serological assays for diagnosis are not generally recommended, and only marginally recommended for in-house tests.⁹

Novel laboratory-based diagnostic tools have become available more broadly and reference sequence databases for PCR-based identification of *Scedosporium* spp. and *Lomentospora* spp. have improved and may enhance diagnosis.²⁰

Maximum score			
		Scedosporiosis	Lomentosporiosis
Diagnosis		18	
Treatment		9	
If voriconazole is not used		6	
First-line treatment	3	3	3
Second-line treatment (if first line not available)	1/2	1	2
Follow-up		4	
Total	34 (36)	34 ¹	34 ²
Comments			
¹ Reduces to 29 points, if voriconazole is not used as first-line treatment.			
² Reduces to 30 points, if voriconazole is not used as first-line treatment.			

Figure 2. Maximum score achievable for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis. This figure appears in colour in the online version of *JAC* and in black and white in the print version of *JAC*.

Scedosporium (apiospermum) and *Lomentospora (prolificans)* were separated regarding treatment due to their different susceptibility profiles and outcomes.^{5,9}

The score point value for treatment results were rather low compared with diagnostics, which is due to the lack of treatment options and the lack of randomized studies regarding this rare disease, therapeutic concepts therefore mostly being based on retrospective studies.^{3,21} Treatment with voriconazole has been shown to improve outcomes compared with other regimens.²² For lomentosporiosis, the combination of voriconazole and terbinafine showed a higher survival probability compared with other antifungal combinations.²¹ In the case of progressive disease, combinations with other antifungal classes have been tried, but with lower success rates.³ A combination of azoles with terbinafine seems more recommendable than implementation of echinocandins.

It must be emphasized that one single misconception in treatment, such as ignoring recommendations of voriconazole TDM when drug levels are low, can lead to adverse outcomes. We therefore strongly encourage always consulting a reference laboratory or a clinical mycologist/infectious disease specialist once *Scedosporium spp.* or *Lomentospora prolificans* is identified in a clinically significant context. We included this aspect in the score even though this recommendation was not given in guidelines as it is considered a key element by leading mycologists.²³

Follow-up focuses on evaluation of treatment response and reducing immunosuppression if possible. Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF) for the reconstitution of immune competence of the infected patient has been discussed but remains controversial.²⁴ A final brain scan after stabilization of the patient and before treatment termination may be of use since CNS involvement often occurs later during the IFD course.

Limitations of the score comprise the overweighting of points for diagnostic items compared with treatment items, partially caused by the methodology of point value distribution of the EQUAL Scores and partially inherent to limited guideline recommendations. Furthermore, the score still needs to be applied in the clinical setting and to be validated independently. Additionally, the score is designed for invasive infection and does not display

guidance for other entities, such as allergic bronchopulmonary mycosis due to *Scedosporium spp.*⁶

EQUAL score cards have been developed for other IFDs such as candidaemia, invasive aspergillosis, mucormycosis, cryptococcosis and fusariosis and translated into 22 languages with open access availability.^{25–30} These scores have been validated by several research groups, based on real-life patient data, with reasonable results regarding their correlation with patient outcome.^{31–37}

We here present an additional EQUAL score card for scedosporiosis and lomentosporiosis to improve patient management of rare invasive fungal infections.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alireza Normohammadi for technical support with generating the EQUAL score card and Danila Seidel for graphic design.

Funding

This study was carried out as part of our routine work and received no additional funding, except for Martin Hoenigl whose efforts were supported by the NIH (Project # UL1TR001442-061) as well as investigator-initiated research grants from Astellas, MSD and Pfizer.

Transparency declarations

J.S. has received research grants from the Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) and from Basilea Pharmaceuticals Inc., outside the submitted work, and has received travel grants from the German Society for Infectious Diseases (DGI e.V.) and the Meta Alexander Foundation, outside the submitted work.

M.L. has received investigator-driven grants from F2G Ltd, outside the submitted work.

S.C.-A.C. reports untied educational grants from MSD Australia and F2G Ltd.

M.H. has received research funding from Astellas, Gilead, MSD, Pfizer and Scynexis.

O.A.C. reports grants and personal fees from Actelion, personal fees from Allegra Therapeutics, personal fees from Al-Jazeera Pharmaceuticals, grants and personal fees from Amplyx, grants and personal fees from Astellas, grants and personal fees from Basilea,

personal fees from Biosys, grants and personal fees from Cidara, grants and personal fees from Da Volterra, personal fees from Entasis, grants and personal fees from F2G, grants and personal fees from Gilead, personal fees from Grupo Biotoscana, personal fees from IQVIA, grants from Janssen, personal fees from Matinas, grants from Medicines Company, grants and personal fees from MedPace, grants from Melinta Therapeutics, personal fees from Menarini, grants and personal fees from Merck/MSD, personal fees from Mylan, personal fees from Nabriva, personal fees from NOXXON, personal fees from Octapharma, personal fees from Paratek, grants and personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from PSI, personal fees from Roche Diagnostics, grants and personal fees from Scynexis, personal fees from Shionogi, grants from DFG, German Research Foundation, grants from German Federal Ministry of Research and Education and grants from Immunic, outside the submitted work.

References

- Salmanton-García J, Koehler P, Kindo A *et al.* Needles in a haystack: extremely rare invasive fungal infections reported in FungiScope® global registry for emerging fungal infections. *J Infect* 2020; **81**: 802–15.
- Jenks JD, Seidel D, Cornely OA *et al.* Clinical characteristics and outcomes of invasive *Lomentospora prolificans* infections: analysis of patients in the FungiScope® registry. *Mycoses* 2020; **63**: 437–42.
- Seidel D, Meißner A, Lackner M *et al.* Prognostic factors in 264 adults with invasive *Scedosporium* spp. and *Lomentospora prolificans* infection reported in the literature and FungiScope®. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2019; **45**: 1–21.
- Katragkou A, Dotis J, Kotsiou M *et al.* *Scedosporium apiospermum* infection after near-drowning. *Mycoses* 2007; **50**: 412–21.
- Bronnimann D, García-Hermoso D, Dromer F *et al.* Scedosporiosis/lomentosporiosis observational study (SOS): clinical significance of *Scedosporium* species identification. *Med Mycol* 2021; **59**: 486–97.
- Ramirez-Garcia A, Pellon A, Rementeria A *et al.* *Scedosporium* and *Lomentospora*: an updated overview of underrated opportunists. *Med Mycol* 2018; **56**: 102–25.
- Seidel D, Hassler A, Salmanton-García J *et al.* Invasive *Scedosporium* spp. and *Lomentospora prolificans* infections in pediatric patients: analysis of 55 cases from FungiScope® and the literature. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; **92**: 114–22.
- Jenks JD, Reed SL, Seidel D *et al.* Rare mould infections caused by Mucorales, *Lomentospora prolificans* and *Fusarium*, in San Diego, CA: the role of antifungal combination therapy. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2018; **52**: 706–12.
- Hoenigl M, Salmanton-García J, Walsh TJ *et al.* Global guideline for the diagnosis and management of rare mould infections: an initiative of the European Confederation of Medical Mycology in cooperation with the International Society for Human and Animal Mycology and the American Society for Microbiology. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2021; **21**: e246–57.
- Hoenigl M, Gangneux JP, Segal E *et al.* Global guidelines and initiatives from the European Confederation of Medical Mycology to improve patient care and research worldwide: new leadership is about working together. *Mycoses* 2018; **61**: 885–94.
- Cornely OA, Lass-Flörl C, Lagrou K *et al.* Improving outcome of fungal diseases - guiding experts and patients towards excellence. *Mycoses* 2017; **60**: 420–5.
- Blyth CC, Gilroy NM, Guy SD *et al.* Consensus guidelines for the treatment of invasive mould infections in haematological malignancy and haemopoietic stem cell transplantation, 2014. *Intern Med J* 2014; **44**: 1333–49.
- Shoham S, Dominguez EA. Emerging fungal infections in solid organ transplant recipients: guidelines of the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. *Clin Transplant* 2019; **33**: e13525.
- Tortorano AM, Richardson M, Roilides E *et al.* ESCMID and ECMM joint guidelines on diagnosis and management of hyalohyphomycosis: *Fusarium* spp., *Scedosporium* spp. and others. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2014; **20** Suppl 3: 27–46.
- Mellinghoff SC, Panse J, Alakel N *et al.* Primary prophylaxis of invasive fungal infections in patients with haematological malignancies: 2017 update of the recommendations of the Infectious Diseases Working Party (AGIHO) of the German Society for Haematology and Medical Oncology (DGHO). *Ann Hematol* 2018; **97**: 197–207.
- Husain S, Camargo JF. Invasive aspergillosis in solid-organ transplant recipients: guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. *Clin Transplant* 2019; **33**: e13544.
- Blyth CC, Harun A, Middleton PG *et al.* Detection of occult *Scedosporium* species in respiratory tract specimens from patients with cystic fibrosis by use of selective media. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010; **48**: 314–6.
- Pham T, Giraud S, Schuliar G *et al.* Scedo-Select III: a new semi-selective culture medium for detection of the *Scedosporium apiospermum* species complex. *Med Mycol* 2015; **53**: 512–9.
- Lackner M, de Hoog GS, Verweij PE *et al.* Species-specific antifungal susceptibility patterns of *Scedosporium* and *Pseudallescheria* species. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2012; **56**: 2635–42.
- Chen SC, Halliday CL, Hoenigl M *et al.* *Scedosporium* and *Lomentospora* infections: contemporary microbiological tools for the diagnosis of invasive disease. *J Fungi (Basel)* 2021; **7**: 23.
- Jenks JD, Seidel D, Cornely OA *et al.* Voriconazole plus terbinafine combination antifungal therapy for invasive *Lomentospora prolificans* infections: analysis of 41 patients from the FungiScope® registry 2008–2019. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; **26**: 784.e1–5.
- Martin-Vicente A, Guarro J, González GM *et al.* Voriconazole MICs are predictive for the outcome of experimental disseminated scedosporiosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017; **72**: 1118–22.
- Koehler P, Denis B, Denning DW *et al.* European Confederation of Medical Mycology expert consult - an ECMM excellence center initiative. *Mycoses* 2020; **63**: 566–72.
- Rodríguez-Tudela JL, Berenguer J, Guarro J *et al.* Epidemiology and outcome of *Scedosporium prolificans* infection, a review of 162 cases. *Med Mycol* 2009; **47**: 359–70.
- Cornely OA, Koehler P, Arenz D *et al.* EQUAL Aspergillosis Score 2018: an ECMM score derived from current guidelines to measure QUALity of the clinical management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *Mycoses* 2018; **61**: 833–6.
- Koehler P, Mellinghoff SC, Lagrou K *et al.* Development and validation of the European QUALity (EQUAL) Score for mucormycosis management in haematology. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2019; **74**: 1704–12.
- Mellinghoff SC, Hoenigl M, Koehler P *et al.* EQUAL Candida Score: an ECMM score derived from current guidelines to measure QUALity of clinical candidaemia management. *Mycoses* 2018; **61**: 326–30.
- Guarana M, Nouér SA, Nucci M. EQUAL Fusariosis Score 2021: an European Confederation of Medical Mycology score derived from current guidelines to measure QUALity of the clinical management of invasive fusariosis. *Mycoses* 2021; 10.1111/myc.13321.
- European Confederation of Medical Mycology. EQUAL Scores. 2021. <https://www.ecmm.info/equal-scores/>.
- Spec A, Mejia-Chew C, Powderly WG *et al.* EQUAL Cryptococcus Score 2018: a European Confederation of Medical Mycology score derived from current guidelines to measure QUALity of clinical cryptococcosis management. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2018; **5**: ofy299.
- Bal AM. European Confederation of Medical Mycology quality of clinical candidaemia management score: a review of the points based best practice recommendations. *Mycoses* 2021; **64**: 123–31.

- 32** Bal AM, Palchoudhuri M. Candidaemia in the elderly: epidemiology, management and adherence to the European Confederation of Medical Mycology quality indicators. *Mycoses* 2020; **63**: 892–9.
- 33** Budin S, Salmanton-García J, Koehler P et al. Validation of the EQUAL Aspergillosis Score by analysing guideline-adherent management of invasive pulmonary aspergillosis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2021; **76**: 1070–7.
- 34** Huang HY, Lu PL, Wang YL et al. Usefulness of EQUAL Candida Score for predicting outcomes in patients with candidaemia: a retrospective cohort study. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2020; **26**: 1501–6.
- 35** Koehler P, Mellinshoff SC, Stemler J et al. Quantifying guideline adherence in mucormycosis management using the EQUAL Score. *Mycoses* 2020; **63**: 343–51.
- 36** Mellinshoff SC, Hartmann P, Cornely FB et al. Analyzing candidemia guideline adherence identifies opportunities for antifungal stewardship. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2018; **37**: 1563–71.
- 37** Nemer S, Imtiaz T, Varikkara M et al. Management of candidaemia with reference to the European Confederation of Medical Mycology quality indicators. *Infect Dis (Lond)* 2019; **51**: 527–33.