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# ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION 

Degeneracy Loci in Grassmannians<br>By<br>Leesa Bantad Anzaldo<br>Doctor of Philosophy in Mathematics<br>University of California, Irvine, 2015<br>Professor Vladimir Baranovsky, Chair

The Thom-Porteous formula allows one to compute the cohomology class of a degeneracy locus of maps between vector bundles, given that certain codimension conditions are satisfied. It is known that the Hilbert scheme on projective space may be expressed as a degeneracy locus in a Grassmannian, and in a similar fashion, so can the Quot scheme. Here we determine cases in which the expected codimension agrees with the actual codimension and evaluate the cohomology class. We also give exact conditions for the existence of isotropic subspaces of Schur modules.

## Introduction

Given a map between vector bundles over some variety, a degeneracy locus is the collection of points such that the rank of the map is bounded by a given integer. We examine two different topics in this thesis:

The first part of this dissertation examines the Hilbert scheme and Quot scheme of projective space. We can realize them as degeneracy loci in a Grassmannian, and under certain codimension conditions outlined by the Thom-Porteous formula, we have a formula for their cohomology class in terms of Chern classes. Moreover, we can use tools from the combinatorics of symmetric functions to more easily compute these classes. Here, we give some results for the computation of the class of these schemes, as well as ask the question of whether the expected codimension, as stated in the Thom-Porteous formula, agrees with the actual codimension. (However, it is still useful in applications to assume that the codimension requirement is always satisfied.) See Theorem 3.1.5 and Theorem 3.2.2.

The second part examines a particular case of the degeneracy locus, namely the zero set of a section of bundle. In E.A. Tevelev's paper [21] on isotropic subspaces of polylinear forms, he asks when isotropic subspaces of a finite dimensional vector space $V$ over an algebraically closed field of a certain dimension exist. We define a subspace $W$ with respect to some $s \in S^{d} V^{*}$ or $\Lambda^{d} V^{*}$ to be isotropic if $\left.s\right|_{W}=0$. He
gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such subspaces.

Here, we generalize his result to the case of Schur modules. Since symmetric polylinear forms correspond to single row partitions and skew-symmetric polylinear forms correspond to single column partitions, it is natural to ask what happens in the case of general partitions, i.e. for Schur modules. This also provides a geometric application to flag varieties: for a generic $s \in S_{\lambda} V^{*}$, when does there exist a subspace $W$ of $V$ such that $\operatorname{Flag}_{\lambda}(W) \subset \operatorname{Flag}_{\lambda}(V)$ is in the zero locus of $s$ ? Another interpretation of our result is a nice way of computing whether the top Chern class of certain vector bundles is nonzero. See Theorem 4.0.5, Corollary 4.0.7, and Corollary 4.0.9,

## Chapter 1

## Grassmannians and Degeneracy <br> Loci

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional complex vector space. The Grassmannian, denoted $G r(k, V)$ or $G r(k, n)$, is the set of all $k$-dimensional linear subspaces of $V$. We can represent an element in $G r(k, n)$ by a $k \times n$ matrix $A$ of rank $k$, and this representation is unique up to the action of $\mathbf{G L}_{n}$ acting by column operations.

Let $I$ be a size $k$ subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $V_{I^{o}}$ be the subspace of $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ spanned by $\left\{e_{i}: i \notin I\right\}$, and define $U_{I}=\left\{\Lambda \in G r(k, n): \Lambda \cap V_{I^{o}}=\{0\}\right\}$. Then $U_{I}$ is the set of $\Lambda \in G r(k, n)$ that can be represented by $\Lambda^{I} \in G r(k, n)$ where the $I$ th $k \times k$ minor is $I_{k}$.

Example 1.0.1. Take $I=\{1,3\}$ for $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$. Any $\Lambda \in \operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$ can be represented by either $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 1 & *\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & *\end{array}\right]$, or $\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & * & 0 & * \\ 0 & * & 0 & *\end{array}\right]$, so $\Lambda \cap V_{I^{o}}=\{0\}$ iff the $I$ th $2 \times 2$ minor is $I_{2}$.

Since every $k \times n$ matrix whose $I$ th $k \times k$ minor is $I_{k}$ represents a unique element of $U_{I}$,
the map $\varphi_{I}: U_{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{k(n-k)}$ is a bijection. These maps give an atlas of charts making $G r(k, n)$ a complex manifold [7, p.193]. Moreover, every $\operatorname{Gr}\left(k, \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Gr}\left(n-k,\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)^{*}\right)$ by the map that sends a $k$-dimensional subspace $W \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ to $\left(\mathbf{C}^{n} / W\right)^{*} \subset\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)^{*}$.

### 1.1 Plücker Embedding, Plücker Relations

The Plücker embedding is the map $p: G r(k, n) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{k} \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)=\mathbf{P}^{\binom{n}{k}-1}$ defined by $p(\Lambda)=\left[v_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge v_{k}\right]$ where $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}$ form a basis for $\Lambda\left(\right.$ or $p(\Lambda)=\left[d_{1}: \cdots: d_{\binom{n}{k}}\right]$ where the $d_{i}$ 's, called the Plücker coordinates, are the determinants of the $k \times k$ submatrices of $A$ representing $\Lambda)$. Since $p(\Lambda)$ is independent of the choice of basis, the map is well-defined, and since the span of $v_{i}$ 's in an element of $\mathbf{P}\left(\wedge^{k} \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ will generate a unique subspace in $G r(k, n)$, the map is injective [8, p.63-64].

The image of $G r(k, n)$ under $p$ is a projective variety defined as the zero set of polynomials, called the Plücker relations of $G r(k, n)$. Consider the case for $\operatorname{Gr}(2, n)$. The following map gives a one-to-one correspondence between $\operatorname{Gr}(2, n)$ and the set of $n \times n$ skew symmetric matrices of rank 2 modulo the equivalence relation $A=\lambda A$ for $\lambda \neq 0:$ take $\left[e_{k} \wedge e_{l}\right]$ to the $n \times n$ matrix $\left(a_{i j}\right)$ where $a_{k l}=1, a_{l k}=-1$, and $a_{i j}=0$ otherwise; extend linearly to obtain the image for $\Lambda \in G r(2, n)$ with $p(\Lambda)=\left[v_{1} \wedge v_{2}\right]$. For skew symmetric matrices, the determinant is a square and we call the square root its Pfaffian [13, p.586-589].

Example 1.1.1. $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$
A general $\Lambda \in G r(2,4)$ can be represented by $A=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} \\ -x_{12} & 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} \\ -x_{13} & -x_{23} & 0 & x_{34} \\ -x_{14} & -x_{24} & -x_{34} & 0\end{array}\right]$. One
can compute that $\operatorname{det} A=\left(x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}\right)^{2}$, so $\operatorname{Pf} A=x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+$ $x_{14} x_{23}$. Since $\operatorname{rank} A=2, \operatorname{Pf} A=0$ and the Plücker relation of $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$ is $x_{12} x_{34}-$ $x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}=0$.

Example 1.1.2. $G r(2,5)$
A general $\Lambda \in G r(2,5)$ can be represented by $A=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & x_{12} & x_{13} & x_{14} & x_{15} \\ -x_{12} & 0 & x_{23} & x_{24} & x_{25} \\ -x_{13} & -x_{23} & 0 & x_{34} & x_{35} \\ -x_{14} & -x_{24} & -x_{34} & 0 & x_{45} \\ -x_{15} & -x_{25} & -x_{35} & -x_{45} & 0\end{array}\right]$.
The Plücker relations are precisely the Pfaffians of the minors $M_{i i}$ of $A$, namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pf} M_{1,1}=x_{23} x_{45}-x_{24} x_{35}+x_{25} x_{34} \\
& \operatorname{Pf} M_{2,2}=x_{13} x_{45}-x_{14} x_{35}+x_{15} x_{34} \\
& \operatorname{Pf} M_{3,3}=x_{12} x_{45}-x_{14} x_{25}+x_{15} x_{24} \\
& \operatorname{Pf} M_{4,4}=x_{12} x_{35}-x_{13} x_{25}+x_{15} x_{23} \\
& \operatorname{Pf} M_{5,5}=x_{12} x_{34}-x_{13} x_{24}+x_{14} x_{23}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, the rank 2 skew-symmetric matrices are defined by the $4 \times 4$ Pfaffians. The general form of the Plücker relations are

$$
x_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}} x_{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}}-\sum x_{i_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{d}^{\prime}} x_{j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\prime}}=0
$$

where the sum is taken over all $j_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, j_{d}^{\prime}$ obtained by replacing $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{d}$ by a size $k$ subset of $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{d}$, and likewise for $i_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, i_{d}^{\prime}$.

### 1.2 Cell Decomposition

If $V=\left(V_{1} \subset V_{2} \subset \cdots \subset V_{n}\right)$ is a flag in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$, then the Schubert cycles of $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ are the subvarieties of the form

$$
\sigma_{a}(V)=\left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{n-k+i-a_{i}}\right) \geq i\right\}
$$

for $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ where $a$ is a nonincreasing sequence of integers between 0 and $n-$ $k$. If $\sigma_{a}=\sigma_{a, 0,0, \ldots}$, then $\sigma_{a}$ is a special Schubert cycle. These generate $H_{*}(G r(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$ and give $G r(k, n)$ the structure of a $C W$-complex with only cells of even dimension.

Example 1.2.1. $\operatorname{Gr}(2,4)$
The Schubert cycles of $G r(2,4)$ are as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{0,0}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{3}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{4}\right) \geq 2\right\}=G r(2,4), \text { codim } 0 \\
\sigma_{1,0}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{2}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{4}\right) \geq 2\right\}=\left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{2}\right) \geq 1\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{codim} 1 \\
\sigma_{1,1}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{2}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{3}\right) \geq 2\right\}=\left\{\Lambda: \Lambda \subset V_{3}\right\}, \text { codim } 2 \\
\sigma_{2,0}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{1}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{4}\right) \geq 2\right\}=\left\{\Lambda: V_{1} \subset \Lambda\right\}, \text { codim } 2 \\
\sigma_{2,1}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{1}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{3}\right) \geq 2\right\}=\left\{\Lambda: V_{1} \subset \Lambda \subset V_{3}\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{codim} 3 \\
\sigma_{2,2}(V)= & \left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{1}\right) \geq 1, \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{2}\right) \geq 2\right\}=\left\{\Lambda: V_{1} \subset \Lambda=V_{2}\right\}, \\
& \operatorname{codim} 4
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.2.1 Cellular Homology

Let $X$ be a topological space and $\Delta^{n}$ be the standard $n$-simplex, i.e. an $n$-dimensional polytope which is the convex hull of $n+1$ vertices $e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}$. Then a singular $n$-simplex is a continuous map from $\Delta^{n}$ to $X$; the set of all such maps generates a free abelian group denoted by $C_{n}(X)$. Let $\partial_{n}: C_{n} \rightarrow C_{n-1}$ be defined by

$$
\partial_{n} \sigma_{n}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}(-1)^{k}\left[\sigma_{n}\left(e_{0}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{n}\left(e_{k-1}\right), \sigma_{n}\left(e_{k+1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{n}\left(e_{n}\right)\right]
$$

where $\sigma_{n} \in C_{n}$. Then $\left(C_{\bullet}(X), \partial_{\bullet}\right)$ is the singular chain complex. If $A \subset X$, then we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(X) \rightarrow C_{\bullet}(X) / C_{\bullet}(A) \rightarrow 0$ and we call $H_{n}\left(C_{\bullet}(X) / C_{\bullet}(A)\right)$ the relative homology, denoted by $H_{n}(X, A)$ [11, p.115].

Since cells in complex Grassmannians have only even dimension, $H_{*}(G r(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$ has a basis which is in one-to-one correspondence with the Schubert cells. By Poincaré duality, which is defined below, the Schubert cycles form a basis for $H^{*}(\operatorname{Gr}(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$ [11, p.137-140].

### 1.2.2 Cup Product

If $\alpha \in C^{n}(X), \beta \in C^{m}(X)$ are cochains, then the cup product of $\alpha \smile \beta \in C^{n+m}(X)$ is the cochain defined by $(\alpha \smile \beta)(\sigma)=\alpha\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{\left[e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]}\right) \beta\left(\left.\sigma\right|_{\left[e_{n}, \ldots, e_{n+m}\right]}\right)$ for $\sigma \in C_{n+m}(X)$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are cocycles and $\gamma$ is a coboundary map, then one can show

$$
\gamma(\alpha \smile \beta)=\gamma \alpha \smile \beta+(-1)^{n} \alpha \smile \gamma \beta
$$

This implies that the cup product of two cocycles is a cocycle and the cup product of a cocycle and a coboundary (or vice-versa) is a coboundary. Then we get the
induced map of the cup product from $H^{n}(X) \times H^{m}(X)$ to $H^{n+m}(X)$. Therefore, the cohomology $H^{*}(G r(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$ of the Grassmannian has a ring structure [11, p.206-207].

### 1.3 Poincaré Duality

An oriented manifold is one with a fixed orientation, i.e. an atlas such that at every point of the manifold, the Jacobian of the transition map is positive. Complex manifolds are always oriented because for any linear map $f: \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n}$, using the one-to-one correspondence $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \leftrightarrow\left(x_{1}+i y_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}+i y_{n}\right)$ we have the linear map $g: \mathbf{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2 n}$ which is orientation-preserving since $\operatorname{det} g=|\operatorname{det} f|^{2}$.

Let $X$ be a space and $R$ be a ring. For $\alpha: \Delta^{m} \rightarrow X$ and $\beta \in C^{n}(X ; R)$ such that $m \geq n$, define the cap product $\frown: C_{m}(X ; R) \times C^{n}(X ; R) \rightarrow C_{m-n}(X ; R)$ by $\alpha \frown \beta=\left.\beta\left(\left.\alpha\right|_{\left[e_{0}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]}\right) \alpha\right|_{\left[e_{n}, \ldots, e_{m}\right]}$. Since

$$
\delta(\alpha \frown \beta)=(-1)^{n}(\delta \alpha \frown \beta-\alpha \frown \delta \beta),
$$

the cap product takes a cycle and a cocycle to a cycle, a cycle and a coboundary to a boundary, and a boundary and a cocycle to a boundary. So we get the induced cap product from $H_{m}(X ; R) \times H^{n}(X ; R)$ to $H_{m-n}(X ; R)$ [11, p.239-240].

If $M$ is an $n$-dimensional oriented, compact, and connected manifold, then

$$
H_{n}(M ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z} .
$$

Since $H_{m}(M ; \mathbf{Z})=0$ for $m \notin\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$, we call a generator (which corresponds to a choice of orientation) for the top homology $H_{n}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$ a fundamental class for $M$, denoted $[M]$. So Poincaré duality can be phrased as the following:

Theorem 1.3.1 (Poincaré duality, [11, p.236,241]). Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional oriented compact connected manifold with fundamental class $[M] \in H_{n}(M ; \mathbf{Z})$. For all $k$, the map

$$
\begin{aligned}
D: H^{k}(M ; \mathbf{Z}) & \rightarrow H_{n-k}(M ; \mathbf{Z}) \\
\alpha & \mapsto[M] \frown \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

is an isomorphism.

### 1.4 Vector Bundles

### 1.4.1 Sheaves

If $X$ is a topological space, then a presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of abelian groups on $X$ is given by the following:

- an abelian group $\mathcal{F}(U)$ for every open $U \subset X$, and
- a morphism of abelian groups $\rho_{U V}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$ for every inclusion of open sets $V \subset U \subset X$.

The following conditions must be satisfied:

- $\mathcal{F}(\varnothing)=0$;
- $\rho_{U U}: \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)$ is the identity map;
- and $\rho_{V W} \circ \rho_{U V}=\rho_{U W}$ if $W \subset V \subset U \subset X$ are all open.

A presheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf if for any open set $U \subset X$ and open cover $\left\{V_{i}\right\}$ of $U$, then the following condition is satisfied:

- if for each $i$ there exists $s_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\left(V_{i}\right)$ such that $\left.s_{i}\right|_{V_{i} \cap V_{j}}=\left.s_{j}\right|_{V_{i} \cap V_{j}}$ for all $i, j$, then there exists a unique $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ satisfying $\left.s\right|_{V_{i}}=s_{i}$ for all $i$; by $\left.s\right|_{V_{i}}$ we mean $\rho_{U V_{i}}(s)$ [10, p.61].


### 1.4.2 Vector Bundles

If $\mathcal{E}$ and $M$ are topological spaces, then a complex vector bundle of rank $n$ over $M$ is $\mathcal{E}$ with $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, a continuous surjective map, such that $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is an $n$ dimensional complex vector space for every $p \in M$; and local triviality is satisfied, i.e. for every $p \in M$, there exists a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of $p$ and homeomorphism $\varphi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that $\pi=\pi_{1} \circ \varphi$, where $\pi_{1}: U \times \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow U$ is the projection onto $U$, and $\left.\varphi\right|_{\pi^{-1}(q)}: \pi^{-1}(q) \rightarrow\{q\} \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is a linear isomorphism for all $q \in U$. The total space is $\mathcal{E}$ and the base space is $M$. A rank 1 vector bundle is called a line bundle [14, p.103-104].

If we start with a smooth manifold $M$ and an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$, then we have a smooth rank $n$ vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, where $\pi$ is surjective, if there exist smooth homeomorphisms $\varphi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that $\pi=\pi_{1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha}$ for each $\alpha \in A$, whose transition functions are $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}=\tau_{\alpha, \beta}: U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \rightarrow G L_{n}$ satisfying $\tau_{\alpha, \beta}(p) \tau_{\beta, \gamma}(p)=\tau_{\alpha, \gamma}(p)$ for all $p \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}$ [14, p.121].

Equivalently, a rank $n$ vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of $M$ consists of an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and isomorphisms $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}: \mathbf{C}^{n} \times\left(U_{\alpha} \times U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n} \times\left(U_{\beta} \times U_{\alpha}\right)$ for each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ such that $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}$ fixes fibers; $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}=\rho_{\beta, \alpha}^{-1}$; and $\left.\rho_{\alpha, \beta} \rho_{\beta, \gamma}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}}=\left.\rho_{\alpha, \gamma}\right|_{U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta} \cap U_{\gamma}}$ for all $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ in $A$.

We'll deal with vector bundles where $\mathcal{E}$ and $M$ are algebraic varieties and $\pi$ is a morphism. If $U \subset M$ is open, then a section of $\mathcal{E}$ over $U$ is a morphism $s: U \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$
such that $\pi \circ s=i d_{U}$. We denote the set of all sections of $\mathcal{E}$ over $U$ by $\mathcal{E}(U)$. The zero section is $s: M \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ where $s(p)=\varphi^{-1}(p, 0)$ for any neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and homeomorphism $\varphi$ defined above, or in other words, $s$ takes $x \in U$ to the zero element in $\pi^{-1}(x)$. A global section of $\mathcal{E}$ is a section of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ of $\mathcal{E}$ over $M$. The space of all global sections is denoted $H^{0}(M, \mathcal{E})$.

Here are some examples of vector bundles:

1. The trivial vector bundle is given by $\pi: M \times \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow M$ where $\pi\left(p, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)=p$.
2. For any vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, we can define the dual vector bundle to be $\pi^{*}: \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ where $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\cup_{x \in M}\left[\pi^{-1}(x)\right]^{*}$ such that $\left[\pi^{-1}(x)\right]^{*} \mapsto x$.
3. If $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$ is a projective variety, then the tautological bundle $\mathcal{O}_{X}(-1)$ over $X$ is $B$ with $\pi: B \rightarrow X$ where $B=\{(x, l): l \in X, x \in l\} \subset \mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $\pi$ is projection onto $X$.
4. By taking the dual of the tautological line bundle, we get the hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{H}$ on variety $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$. When $X=\mathbf{P}^{n}$, the sheaf of the hyperplane bundle on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(1)$ and its space of global sections is exactly the set of all linear polynomials in $\mathbf{C}\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. More generally, for the $k$ th power of a hyperplane bundle $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes k}$, the space of all global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(k)$ is the set of all degree $k$ homogeneous polynomials [19, p.122-127].
5. If $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle and $f: N \rightarrow M$ is continuous, then the pullback bundle or the induced bundle on $N$ is $f^{*}(\mathcal{E})=\left\{(x, v): x \in N, v \in \pi^{-1}(f(x))\right\}$.
6. If $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M, \pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$ are vector bundles and $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$, then the quotient bundle $\rho: \mathcal{F} / \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ is $\left\{(x, v): x \in M, v \in \pi^{\prime-1}(x) / \pi^{-1}(x)\right\}$ where $\rho$ is again projection onto $M$.
7. Let $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M, \pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$ be vector bundles of rank $n, m$, respectively, and $\mathcal{G}=\left\{(e, f) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{F}: \pi(e)=\pi^{\prime}(f)\right\}$. Then $\pi^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ where $(e, f) \mapsto \pi(e)=$ $\pi^{\prime}(f)$ is the rank $(n+m)$ Whitney sum vector bundle denoted by $\pi \oplus \pi^{\prime}$.
8. The tensor product bundle is defined similarly: for vector bundles $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, $\pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$, we have $\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}=\left\{(x, v): x \in M, v \in \pi^{-1}(x) \otimes \pi^{\prime-1}(x)\right\}$ where $\pi^{\prime \prime}: \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F} \rightarrow M$ is projection onto $M$. Likewise, the symmetric power bundle is $S^{k}(\mathcal{E})=\left\{(x, v): x \in M, v \in S^{k}\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$ and the exterior power bundle is $\wedge^{k}(\mathcal{E})=\left\{(x, v): x \in M, v \in \wedge^{k}\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$.

### 1.4.3 Universal Bundles

Take the trivial vector bundle $\pi: G r(k, n) \times \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow G r(k, n)$ over $G r(k, n)$ and let $\pi^{\prime}: S \rightarrow G r(k, n)$ be the subbundle such that $\pi^{\prime-1}(\Lambda)=\Lambda \times \Lambda$. We call $S$ the universal subbundle or the tautological subbundle of $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, which explicitly is $S=$ $\{(\Lambda, v): v \in \Lambda, \Lambda \in G r(k, n)\}$. We call the quotient bundle $Q=\left(G r(k, n) \times \mathbf{C}^{n}\right) / S$ the universal quotient bundle or the tautological quotient bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$. When $k=1$, the universal subbundle is the same as the tautological line bundle [7, p.207].

### 1.4.4 Fiber Bundles

A fiber bundle on $M$ consists of spaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $F$ with a continuous surjective map $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ such that there exist an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of $M$ and homeomorphisms of the form $\varphi_{\alpha}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow U_{\alpha} \times F$ such that $\pi=\pi_{1} \circ \varphi_{\alpha}$; we call $F$ the fiber. Therefore, a vector bundle is a fiber bundle where $F$ is a vector space. We can also define fiber bundles using the alternative definitions for vector bundles from above by replacing $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ with any space $F$. Here are a few examples of fiber bundles:

1. A projective bundle is a fiber bundle whose fiber is projective space. For any rank $n$ vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $M$, the projective bundle $P(\mathcal{E})$ on $M$ is a fiber bundle with fiber $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ where $P(\mathcal{E})$ is the space of all elements in $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ in all fibers of $\mathcal{E}$, i.e. the space obtained by gluing the patches $U_{\alpha} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ using the transition functions. In fact, any projective bundle on $M$ is equivalent to $P(\mathcal{E})=\left\{(x, l): x \in M, l \in \mathbf{P}\left(\pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$ for some vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ [11, p.375].

The set of complete flags of vector spaces in $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ form a projective variety called a flag variety, denoted by $\mathbf{F}^{n}$ [17, p.132-133]. We can generalize this to any $n$-dimensional vector space $E$, and for integers $0<d_{1}<\cdots<d_{k}<n$, we define a partial flag variety to be the set $\operatorname{Flag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, E\right)=\left\{W_{d_{1}} \subset \cdots \subset W_{d_{k}} \subset\right.$ $\left.E: \operatorname{dim} W_{d_{i}}=d_{i}\right\}$. Some partial flag varieties are isomorphic to projective bundles of quotient bundles: let $X_{k}=\operatorname{Flag}(1, \ldots, k, E)$, define $R_{k}=\left\{\left(e, W_{1} \subset\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\cdots \subset W_{k}\right) \in E \times X_{k}: e \in W_{k}\right\}$, and take the quotient bundle $Q^{k}=\left(E \times X_{k}\right) / R_{k}$. Since the fiber of $W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}$ in $Q^{k}$ is the fiber of $W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}$ in $E \times X_{k}$ $\bmod$ the fiber of $W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}$ in $R_{k}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(Q^{k}\right)=\left\{\left(W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}, l\right): W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k} \in X_{k},\right. \\
&\left.l \in \mathbf{P}\left(\pi^{-1}\left(W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \\
&=\left\{\left(W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}, l\right): W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k} \in X_{k},\right. \\
&\left.l \in \mathbf{P}\left(\left(E \times\left\{W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}\right\}\right) /\left(W_{k} \times\left\{W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}\right\}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \cong\left\{\left(W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}, l\right): W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k} \in X_{k}, l \in \mathbf{P}\left(E / W_{k}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $p: E \rightarrow E / W_{k}$ and $l \subset E / W_{k}$, then $\operatorname{dim} p^{-1}(l)=k+1$, so $W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k} \subset$ $p^{-1}(l) \in X_{k+1}$. The map $W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k} \subset p^{-1}(l) \mapsto\left(W_{1} \subset \cdots \subset W_{k}, l\right)$ gives the desired isomorphism, i.e. $X_{k+1} \cong P\left(Q^{k}\right)$.
2. A Grassmann bundle is a fiber bundle where the fiber is a Grassmannian. For any vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, we can construct a Grassmann bundle as follows: there exists an open cover $\left\{U_{\alpha}\right\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and isomorphisms $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}: \mathbf{C}^{n} \times\left(U_{\alpha} \cap\right.$ $\left.U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n} \times\left(U_{\beta} \cap U_{\alpha}\right)$, so for any $\alpha, \beta \in A$, we can define the isomorphism $f_{\alpha, \beta}: G r(k, n) \times\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right) \rightarrow G r(k, n) \times\left(U_{\beta} \cap U_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $(W, x) \mapsto$ $\left(\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(W), x\right)$ where $\rho_{\alpha, \beta}(W)=\left\{\left(\pi_{1} \circ \rho_{\alpha, \beta}\right)(v, x):(v, x) \in W \times\left(U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}\right)\right\}$. The Grassmann bundle is equivalent to the set $\operatorname{Gr}(k, \mathcal{E})=\{(x, l): x \in M, l \in$ $\left.G r\left(k, \pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$ and the Grassmann bundle $\rho: G r(k, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$ is projection map.

Given a vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, the pullback bundle

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{*}(\mathcal{E}) & =\left\{((x, l), v):(x, l) \in G r(k, \mathcal{E}), v \in \pi^{-1}(\rho(x, l))\right\} \\
& =\left\{((x, l), v):(x, l) \in G r(k, \mathcal{E}), v \in \pi^{-1}(x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a vector bundle on the Grassmann bundle $\rho: \operatorname{Gr}(k, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow M$. This gives an example the analog of trivial vector bundles to Grassmann bundles. The analog of tautological subbundles for Grassmann bundles is $R^{\mathcal{E}}=\{((x, l), v): v \in l \subset$ $\left.\pi^{-1}(x)\right\}$. Since $R^{\mathcal{E}} \subset \rho^{*}(\mathcal{E}), Q^{\mathcal{E}}=\rho^{*}(\mathcal{E}) / R^{\mathcal{E}}$ is the analog of the tautological quotient bundle to Grassmann bundles.

All partial flag varieties are isomorphic to Grassmann bundles of quotient bundles, i.e. $F \operatorname{lag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, d_{k}+a, E\right) \cong G r\left(a, Q^{d_{k}}\right)$, by an argument similar to the one above.
3. A flag bundle is a fiber bundle where the fiber is a flag variety, and a partial flag bundle is defined similarly. Given a rank $n$ vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$ and $k \leq n$, the partial flag bundle is equivalent to $F L\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right)=\{(x, l): x \in M, l \in$ $\left.F \operatorname{lag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, \pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$. Given a vector bundle $\pi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow M$, the analog of the trivial vector bundle to a partial flag bundle $\rho: F L\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right) \rightarrow M$ is again the pullback bundle $\rho^{*}(\mathcal{E})$, and the analogs of the tautological subbundles are
defined like above where $R^{\mathcal{E}, d_{i}}=\left\{\left(\left(x, l_{d_{1}} \subset \cdots \subset l_{d_{k}}\right), v\right): v \in l_{d_{i}}, l_{d_{1}} \subset \cdots \subset\right.$ $\left.l_{d_{k}} \in \operatorname{Flag}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}, \pi^{-1}(x)\right)\right\}$. Notice $R^{\mathcal{E}, d_{1}} \subset \cdots \subset R^{\mathcal{E}, d_{k}} \subset \rho^{*}(\mathcal{E})$, so the analog of tautological quotient bundles are $\rho^{*}(\mathcal{E}) / R^{\mathcal{E}, d_{i}}$ for $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{k}$.

### 1.4.5 Maps to $\mathrm{P}^{n}$

If $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $L$ is a complex line bundle on $X$, then a linear system on $X$ is a vector space spanned by a set of linearly independent sections of the vector space of the global sections of $L$. A complete linear system $|L|$ on $X$ is a linear system containing all global sections of $L$. If $|L|$ is a complete linear system generated by $s_{0}, \ldots, s_{n}$, then let $\widetilde{s_{i}}(x)$ be the projection of $\varphi\left(s_{i}(x)\right)$ on $\mathbf{C}$ where $x \in U$ and $\varphi: \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbf{C}$ is the local trivialization. The numbers $\widetilde{s_{i}}(x) \in \mathbf{C}$ depend on the choice of $\varphi$, but for any another local trivialization $\varphi^{\prime}$ with corresponding projection $\widetilde{s}_{i}^{\prime}$, the ratios $\widetilde{s}_{i}(x) / \widetilde{s}_{i}^{\prime}(x)$ are constant for all $i$. Therefore, we can define a partial map $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n}$ by $x \mapsto\left[\widetilde{s_{0}}(x): \ldots: \widetilde{s_{n}}(x)\right]$.

Conversely, for any partial map from $X$ to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, we can find a complete linear system and a line bundle on $X$ to define the maps as above. One can take the line bundle to be the pullback of $H$ and the linear system generated by the pullbacks of the coordinate functionals $x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}$ [19, p.130-133].

This map is not always defined on all of $X$ since it might occur that $\widetilde{s_{0}}(x)=\cdots=$ $\widetilde{s_{n}}(x)=0$ for some $x$. If no such $x$ exists, we call the linear system base-point free and the line bundle is globally generated. Notice that there is a natural map from the space of all sections $H^{0}(X, L) \times X \mapsto L$ via $s \times x \mapsto s(x)$ and this map is surjective if and only if the linear system is base-point free. Therefore, maps from $X$ to $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ are in one-to-one correspondence with surjective maps $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \times X \rightarrow L$ where $L$ is a line bundle [19, p.131].

### 1.4.6 Maps to Grassmannians

More generally, maps $X \rightarrow G r(k, n)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with rank $k$ vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$ on $X$ with an $n$-dimensional subspace $W \subset H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$ such that the sections of $W$ generate $\mathcal{E}$ at every $x \in X$. This is because on $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, we have the inclusion $S \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n} \times G r(k, n)$ and taking the dual, we have $\mathbf{C}^{n} \times G r(k, n) \rightarrow S^{*}$. By taking the pullback along the map $f: X \rightarrow G r(k, n)$, we get $\mathbf{C}^{n *} \times G r(k, n) \rightarrow f^{*} S^{*}$ and hence maps from $X \rightarrow G r(k, n)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with surjective maps $\mathbf{C}^{n} \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank $k$ vector bundle.

### 1.5 Chern Classes

If $X$ is a projective variety and $L$ is a complex line bundle on $X$, then the first Chern class is the unique element $c_{1}(L) \in H^{2}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ satisfying the following properties:

- $c_{1}\left(f^{*} L\right)=f^{*} c_{1}(L)$ for continuous $f: Y \rightarrow X$
- $c_{1}(L \otimes M)=c_{1}(L)+c_{1}(M)$ for complex line bundles $L, M$ on $X$
- if $h$ is the hyperplane class, i.e. the generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$, then $c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{n}}(-1)\right)=$ $-h$.

The first Chern class of the trivial line bundle is 0 . Since the $L \otimes L^{*}$ is the trivial bundle, additivity implies that $c_{1}\left(L^{*}\right)=-c_{1}(L)$.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a complex vector bundle of rank $k$ on $X$, then the total Chern class is $c(\mathcal{E}) \in$ $H^{*}(X)$ such that

- $c\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)=f^{*} c(\mathcal{E})$ for $f: Y \rightarrow X$ continuous
- $c(\mathcal{E} \oplus \mathcal{F})=c(\mathcal{E}) \cup c(\mathcal{F})$ for complex vector bundles $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$
- $c(L)=1+c_{1}(L)$ for any complex line bundle $L$.

The $2 n$th component of $c(\mathcal{E})$ in $\mathrm{H}^{2 n}(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is defined to be the $n$th Chern class $c_{n}(\mathcal{E})$. If $E$ has a complete flag $0=F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{m}=\mathcal{E}$, set $L_{i}=F_{i} / F_{i-1}$. Then the Chern roots of $c_{n}(\mathcal{E})$ are $x_{i}:=c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$, and by additivity, $c_{n}(\mathcal{E})$ is the $n$th elementary function $e_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$

Lemma 1.5.1. If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$, then $c\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\right)=c(\mathcal{E}) c\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}\right)$.

Lemma 1.5.2 (Gysin morphisms, projection formula, [17, p.156]). If $f: Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map between compact, connected, complex varieties, $\operatorname{dim} Y=m$, and $\operatorname{dim} X-n$, then the Gysin morphism $f_{*}$ is defined by the composition

$$
f_{*}: H^{q}(Y) \cong H_{m-q}(Y) \xrightarrow{f_{*}} H_{m-q}(X) \cong H^{q-(m-n)}(X)
$$

where the isomorphisms are given by Poincaré duality and $f_{*}$ is the induced map on cohomology, and the projection formula states that

$$
f_{*}\left(f^{*} \alpha \cup \beta\right)=\alpha \cup f_{*}(\beta) .
$$

Theorem 1.5.3. If $Q$ is the tautological quotient bundle on a Grassmannian and $\sigma_{k}$ is the special Schubert variety of codimension $k$, then $c_{k}(Q)=\sigma_{k}$.

Proof. Notice we can write $c_{k}(Q)=\sum_{|\lambda|=k} a_{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda}$ since the $\sigma_{\lambda}$ form a basis for $H^{2 k}(X, \mathbf{Z})$. Since $\sigma_{\lambda} \smile \sigma_{\mu}=\delta_{\mu, \hat{\lambda}}$ for any partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ which are both contained in an $m \times n$ rectangle such $|\lambda|+|\mu|=m n$, it suffices to show $c_{k}(Q) \smile \sigma_{\lambda}$ is 1 if $\lambda=\lambda(1, k)$, where $\lambda(1, k)$ is the $m \times n$ rectangle with the $1 \times k$ rectangle removed, and 0 otherwise.

Let $\lambda \neq \lambda(1, k)$ be a partition fitting in a $m \times n$ rectangle such that $|\lambda|=m n-k$. Then $\lambda_{m} \geq n-k+1$, so $n+m-\lambda_{m} \leq m+k-1$. This implies that for any flag in $\mathbf{C}^{m+n}$, if $\Lambda \in \sigma_{\lambda}(V)=\left\{\Lambda \in G r(m, m+n): \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{n+i-\lambda_{i}}\right) \geq i\right\}$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{n+m-\lambda_{m}}\right) \geq m$, so $\Lambda \in V_{m+k-1}$. Therefore, $\sigma_{\lambda}(V) \subset G r(m, m+k-1)$.

If we now work in $\operatorname{Gr}(m, m+k-1)$, notice that for any $\Lambda \in \sigma_{\lambda}$, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V_{m+k-1} / \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m+n} / \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{m+n} / V_{m+k-1} \rightarrow 0$. These give us fiber bundles, where the $V_{m+k-1} / \Lambda$ 's give a rank $k-1$ fiber bundle and $\mathbf{C}^{m+n} / V_{m+k-1}$ is constant and hence gives the trivial bundle on $G r(m, m+k-1)$. Let $Q$ be the universal quotient bundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(m, m+n)$ and let $Q^{\prime}$ be $Q$ restricted to $G r(m, m+k-1)$. Then $Q^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{C}^{m+n} / \Lambda: \Lambda \in \operatorname{Gr}(m, m+k-1)\right\}$. Since $\mathbf{C}^{m+n} / V_{m+k-1}$ is trivial, its Chern roots are all 0 , which implies that $c_{k}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=0$ because at most $k-1$ factors in each degree $k$ monomial is non-zero. Let $f$ be the inclusion map of $G r(m, m+k-1)$ into $G r(m, m+n)$. By the projection formula, $\alpha \smile f_{*}(\beta)=f_{*}\left(f^{*} \alpha \smile \beta\right)$, so $c_{k}(Q) \smile \sigma_{\alpha}=f_{*}\left(f^{*} c_{k}(Q) \smile\left[\sigma_{\lambda}\right]\right)=f_{*}\left(c_{k}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \smile\left[\sigma_{\lambda}\right]\right)=f_{*}\left(0 \smile\left[\sigma_{\lambda}\right]\right)=0$.

Now let $\lambda=\lambda(1, k)$. Then there is an isomorphism $g: \sigma_{\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(V_{m+k} / V_{m-1}\right)$. Let $Q^{\prime \prime}$ be the universal quotient bundle on $\mathbf{P}\left(V_{m+k} / V_{m-1}\right)$. For any $\Lambda \in \sigma_{\lambda}$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda / V_{m-1} \rightarrow V_{m+k} / V_{m-1} \rightarrow Q^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0$. Then again by the projection formula, $c_{k}(Q) \smile \sigma_{\lambda}=g_{*}\left(g^{*} c_{k}(Q) \smile\left[\sigma_{\lambda}\right]\right)=g_{*}\left(c_{k}\left(Q^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=1$ [17, p.108,121-124].

### 1.5.1 Splitting Principle

If $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ is a complex vector bundle of rank $n$, then there exists space $Y$ and a continuous map $f: Y \rightarrow X$ such that

- the pullback bundle $f^{*} \mathcal{E}$ on $Y$ has a filtration, that is, there is a full flag $0=$ $F_{0} \subset F_{1} \subset \cdots \subset F_{n}=f^{*} \mathcal{E}$
- $f^{*}: H^{*}(X) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y)$ is injective [17, p.122].

Let $L_{i}=F_{i} / F_{i-1}$ be a line bundle on $X$ using the notation above. Then $c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right) \in$ $H^{2}(Y, \mathbf{Z})$. Since $0 \rightarrow F_{i-1} \rightarrow F_{i} \rightarrow L_{i} \rightarrow 0$, then by Lemma 1.5.1, $c\left(F_{i}\right)=$ $c\left(F_{i-1}\right) c\left(L_{i-1}\right)$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ and hence $c\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} c\left(L_{i}\right)$. If we denote $c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$
by $x_{i}$, then $c_{i}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)=e_{i}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ where $e_{i}$ is the elementary symmetric function defined below. Furthermore, $c_{i}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{E}\right)=f^{*}\left(c_{i}(\mathcal{E})\right)$, so the elementary functions are in the image of $f^{*}$.

By the Splitting Principle, since $f^{*}$ is injective, we don't lose information when making calculations in $H^{*}(Y)$. This is convenient because we can now work with Chern roots in our calculations.

### 1.6 Symmetric Functions

A symmetric polynomial is of the form $f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ in $n$ variables such that

$$
f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}\right)
$$

for any $\sigma \in S_{n}$.

A monomial symmetric function is of the form

$$
m_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} x^{\lambda}
$$

where the sum ranges over all distinct permutations $\lambda$ of $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$.

Any monomial symmetric function where the nonzero parts are one, i.e. is of the form

$$
m_{(1, \ldots, 1,0, \ldots, 0)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=e_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1}<\cdots<i_{k} \leq n} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}
$$

is called a basic elementary symmetric function. The general elementary symmetric function is defined by $e_{\lambda}=e_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots e_{\lambda_{i}}$ for each partition $\lambda$.

A monomial symmetric function where the only nonzero part is $k$ is

$$
m_{(k, 0, \ldots, 0)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=p_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{k}
$$

called a basic power-sum symmetric polynomial. The general power-sum symmetric function is defined by $p_{\lambda}=p_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots p_{\lambda_{i}}$ for each partition $\lambda$.

Taking the sum of all monomials in $n$ variables of degree $k \geq 1$ gives another type of symmetric polynomial,

$$
h_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{n} \leq n} x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{n}},
$$

called a basic complete homogeneous symmetric function. The general complete homogeneous symmetric function is defined by $h_{\lambda}=h_{\lambda_{1}} \cdots h_{\lambda_{i}}$ for each partition $\lambda$.

A Schur function is of the form

$$
s_{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{a_{j}+n-j}\right)}{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{n-j}\right)}
$$

where $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ is a partition. The denominator is equivalent to the Vandermonde determinant, $\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq n}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)$ [20, p.334].

The symmetric polynomials $\Lambda_{n}=\oplus_{k=0}^{n} \Lambda_{n}^{k}$ in $n$ variables, where $\Lambda_{n}^{k}$ is the set of
homogeneous symmetric polynomials in $n$ variables of degree $k$, form a ring over $\mathbf{Z}$. The monomial symmetric functions, the basic elementary symmetric functions, the basic complete homogeneous symmetric functions, and the Schur functions each forms a basis for $\Lambda_{n}$ (but the power-sum symmetric functions only form a basis for $\Lambda_{n}$ after tensoring with Q) [17, p.7-10].

For $m \geq n$, define the map $\rho_{m, n}^{k}: \Lambda_{m}^{k} \rightarrow \Lambda_{n}^{k}$ such that $x_{i} \mapsto 0$ if $i>n$ and $x_{i} \mapsto$ $x_{i}$ otherwise. Take $\Lambda^{k}$ to be the inverse limit $\lim _{n} \Lambda_{n}^{k}$, that is, the set $\left\{\left(f_{i}\right): f_{i} \in\right.$ $\left.\Lambda_{i}^{k}, \rho_{i, i-1}^{k}\left(f_{i}\right)=f_{i-1}\right\}$. The ring of symmetric functions is $\Lambda=\oplus_{k \geq 0} \Lambda^{k}$ [15, p.10-12].

Theorem 1.6.1. The integral cohomology of $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ is isomorphic to a quotient of symmetric functions via the surjective map $\Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$ where $s_{\lambda} \mapsto \sigma_{\lambda} \in$ $H^{*}(G r(k, n), \mathbf{Z})$ if $\lambda$ fits in the $k \times n-k$ rectangle, and $s_{\lambda} \mapsto 0$ otherwise [4, p.152].

### 1.6.1 Pieri's Formula

If $a=a, 0,0, \ldots$, then for any $\lambda$,

$$
\left(\sigma_{a} \cdot \sigma_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{\lambda_{i} \leq c_{i} \leq \lambda_{i-1}, \sum_{i} c_{i}=a+\sum \lambda_{i}} \sigma_{c}
$$

[7, p.203]

Example 1.6.2. In $G r(3,8)$, we can compute $\sigma_{3} \cdot \sigma_{4,3,1}$ using Pieri's forumula. Since $4 \leq c_{1} \leq 5,3 \leq c_{2} \leq 4,1 \leq c_{3} \leq 3$ and $\sum_{i} c_{i}=11$, then $\sigma_{3} \cdot \sigma_{4,3,1}=\sigma_{4,4,3}+\sigma_{5,3,3}+$ $\sigma_{5,4,2}$.

### 1.6.2 Giambelli's Formula

$$
\sigma_{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sigma_{a_{1}} & \sigma_{a_{1}+1} & \sigma_{a_{1}+2} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{1}+d-1} \\
\sigma_{a_{2}-1} & \sigma_{a_{2}} & \sigma_{a_{2}+1} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{2}+d-2} \\
\vdots & & & & \vdots \\
\sigma_{a_{d}-d+1} & \sigma_{a_{d}-d+2} & \sigma_{a_{d}-d+3} & \cdots & \sigma_{a_{d}}
\end{array}\right|
$$

[7, p.205]

Example 1.6.3. To multiply $\sigma_{2,1} \cdot \sigma_{2,1}$ in $\operatorname{Gr}(3,8)$, we use Giambelli's formula to express $\sigma_{2,1}$ in terms of special Schubert cycles, then apply Pieri's rule. By Giambelli's formula

$$
\sigma_{2,1}=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} & \sigma_{4} \\
\sigma_{0} & \sigma_{1} & \sigma_{2} \\
0 & 0 & \sigma_{0}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{0}\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{0} & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\sigma_{2} & \sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{0} & \sigma_{1}
\end{array}\right|=\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3} \sigma_{0}
$$

Then by Pieri's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{2,1} \cdot \sigma_{2,1} & =\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1}-\sigma_{3} \sigma_{0}\right) \sigma_{2,1} \\
& =\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2,1}-\sigma_{3} \sigma_{0} \sigma_{2,1} \\
& =\sigma_{2}\left(\sigma_{3,1}+\sigma_{2,2}+\sigma_{2,1,1}\right)-\sigma_{3} \sigma_{2,1} \\
& =\sigma_{3,3}+\sigma_{4,2}+2 \sigma_{3,2,1}+\sigma_{2,2,2}+\sigma_{4,1,1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 1.6.4. Lines intersecting 4 generic lines in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$

Let $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, and $L_{4}$ be 4 generic lines in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$. Then the set of lines intersecting $L_{i}$ is $\sigma_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$. Since the lines are generic, the cohomology class of the set of lines intersecting every $L_{i}$ is

$$
\sigma_{1}^{4}=\sigma_{1}^{2}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdot \sigma_{1}\right)=\sigma_{1}^{2}\left(\sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1,1}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}+\sigma_{1} \sigma_{1,1}\right)=\sigma_{1}\left(2 \sigma_{2,1}\right)=2 \sigma_{2,2}
$$

Since $\int 2 \sigma_{2,2}=2$, then there are 2 lines intersecting $L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}$, and $L_{4}[7$, p.206].

### 1.7 Degeneracy Formulas for Maps of Vector Bundles

### 1.7.1 Degeneracy Locus

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be a morphism of vector bundles $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}$ on $X$ with ranks $e, f$, respectively. The degeneracy locus of $\varphi$ is

$$
D_{r}(\varphi)=\{x \in X: \varphi(x): \mathcal{E}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x) \text { has rank } \leq r\}
$$

for $r \leq f$. This is a closed subvariety of $X$.

Example 1.7.1. Let $\mathbf{C}^{m} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}^{n} \rightarrow Q$ and $\varphi=f \circ g$ be a morphism of these vector bundles on $X=G r(k, n)$. Since the kernel of $\varphi$ is $\mathbf{C}^{m} \cap S$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{0}(\varphi) & =\left\{x \in X: \mathbf{C}^{m}(x) \subset S(x)\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Lambda \in G r(k, n): \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V^{m}\right) \geq n\right\} \\
D_{1}(\varphi) & =\left\{x \in X: \varphi(x): \mathbf{C}^{m}(x) \rightarrow Q(x) \text { has rank } \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\left\{\Lambda \in G r(k, n): \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V^{m}\right) \geq n-1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and in general,

$$
D_{r}(\varphi)=\left\{\Lambda \in G r(k, n): \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V^{m}\right) \geq n-r\right\}
$$

So the degeneracy loci of $\varphi$ give us Schubert varieties of $\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$.

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $C^{\infty}$ and $Z$ be a subvariety of $Y$. We say $f$ is transverse to $Z$ if $\operatorname{codim}\left(d f\left(T_{x} X\right) \cap T_{f(x)} Z\right)=\operatorname{codim} d f\left(T_{x} X\right)+\operatorname{codim} T_{f(x)} Z$. If $E$ is a complex vector bundle on $X$ of rank $n$ and $s$ is a family $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$ of global sections of $E$, then the degeneracy locus is the set $D_{i, j}(s)=\left\{x \in X: \operatorname{dim}\left\langle s_{1}(x), \ldots, s_{i}(x)\right\rangle \leq i-j\right\}$ for $0 \leq i-j<n$ [17, p.125-127].

### 1.7.2 Gauss-Bonnet Formula

If $s$ is a global section of $\mathcal{F}$, transverse to the zero section, and if $X_{s}=s^{-1}(0)$, then $c_{f}(\mathcal{F})=\left[X_{s}\right]$ where $f=\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F}[17$, p.126].

Example 1.7.2. Lines on a generic cubic surface in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$

Let $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=0$ be a cubic surface in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ and let $V=\mathbf{C}^{4}$. Since $F$ is a homogeneous degree 3 polynomial, $F \in S^{3}\left(V^{*}\right)$. Similarly, for any subspace $W \subset V$, $\left.F\right|_{W} \in S^{3}\left(W^{*}\right)$. Since $S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)=\left\{(w, f): w \in G r(2, V), f \in S^{3}\left(W^{*}\right)\right\}$ is a vector bundle on $G r(2, V)$ where $R$ is the tautological subbundle on $\operatorname{Gr}(2, V)$ and $(w, f) \mapsto$ $w$, the map from $G r(2, V)$ to $S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)$ where $W \mapsto\left(W,\left.F\right|_{W}\right)$ is a global section of $S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)$. Since the dimension of the space of degree 3 homogeneous polynomials in 2 variables is $4, \operatorname{dim} S^{3}\left(W^{*}\right)=4$ and hence the rank of $S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)$ is 4 .

Let $g: G r(2, V) \rightarrow S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)$ where $\left.W \mapsto F\right|_{W}$. Then $X_{g}=\left\{W \in G r(2, V):\left.F\right|_{W} \equiv 0\right\}$, the set of lines on the surface defined by $F=0$. For a generic choice of $F, \operatorname{dim} X_{g}=$ $\operatorname{dim} G r(2, V)-\operatorname{rank} S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)=0$. (All smooth surfaces are generic in this sense.) By the Gauss-Bonnet formula, $\left[X_{g}\right]=c_{4}\left(S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)$. By 1.5.1, we can define $\left(L_{i}^{*}\right)^{j}=L_{i}^{-j}$
where the $L_{i}$ 's are line bundles. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)= & c\left(L_{1}^{-3}+L_{1}^{-2} L_{2}^{-1}+L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}^{-2}+L_{2}^{-3}\right) \\
= & \left(1-3 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\left(-2 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \cdot\left(1+\left(-c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-2 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right)\left(1-3 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the Chern roots of $R^{*}$ by $c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)=\left[L_{i}\right]$. Then the top Chern class is

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{4}\left(S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)\right) & =-3\left[L_{1}\right]\left(-2\left[L_{1}\right]-\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(-\left[L_{1}\right]-2\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(-3\left[L_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =18\left[L_{1}\right]^{3}\left[L_{2}\right]+45\left[L_{1}\right]^{2}\left[L_{2}\right]^{2}+18\left[L_{1}\right]\left[L_{2}\right]^{3} \\
& =18\left(\left[L_{1}\right]^{3}\left[L_{2}\right]+\left[L_{1}\right]\left[L_{2}\right]^{3}\right)+45\left[L_{1}\right]^{2}\left[L_{2}\right]^{2} \\
& =18\left[L_{1}\right]\left[L_{2}\right]\left(\left(\left[L_{1}\right]+\left[L_{2}\right]\right)^{2}-2\left[L_{1}\right]\left[L_{2}\right]\right)+45\left(\left[L_{1}\right]\left[L_{2}\right]\right)^{2} \\
& =18 e_{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(e_{1}^{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)-2 e_{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\right)+45 e_{2}^{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =18 c_{2}\left(R^{*}\right)\left(c_{1}^{2}\left(R^{*}\right)-2 c_{2}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)+45 c_{2}^{2}\left(R^{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G r(2, V) \cong G r\left(2, V^{*}\right), R^{*}$ on $G r(2, V)$ is isomorphic to $Q$ on $G r\left(2, V^{*}\right)$. The $k$ th Chern class of $Q$ is $\sigma_{k}$, so the $k$ th Chern class of $R^{*}$ is $\sigma_{1, \ldots, 1}$ since the induced map on cohomology from the isomorphism $R^{*} \cong Q$ transposes the partition, i.e. $\sigma_{\lambda} \mapsto \sigma_{\lambda^{T}}$. Therefore,

$$
c_{4}\left(S^{3}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)=18 \sigma_{1,1}\left(\sigma_{1}^{2}-2 \sigma_{1,1}\right)+45 \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=18 \sigma_{2,2}-36 \sigma_{2,2}+45 \sigma_{2,2}=27 \sigma_{2,2}
$$

Since $\int 27 \sigma_{2,2}=27$, there are 27 lines on the surface.
Example 1.7.3. Lines on a generic quintic surface in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$

Let $F\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)=0$ be a quintic surface in $\mathbf{P}^{4}$ and let $V=\mathbf{C}^{5}$. Let $g: G r(2, V) \rightarrow$ $S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)$ where $\left.W \mapsto F\right|_{W}$. As in the previous example, if $R$ is the tautological subbundle on $G r(2, V)$, then the rank of $S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)$ is 6 , so for generic choice of $F, \operatorname{dim} X_{g}=$
$\operatorname{dim} G r(2, V)-\operatorname{rank} S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)=0$. By the Gauss-Bonnet formula $\left[X_{g}\right]=c_{6}\left(S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)$, so using similar notation as above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)= & c\left(L_{1}^{-5}+L_{1}^{-4} L_{2}^{-1}+L_{1}^{-3} L_{2}^{-2}+L_{1}^{-2} L_{2}^{-3}+L_{1}^{-1} L_{2}^{-4}+L_{2}^{-5}\right) \\
= & \left(1-5 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)\right)\left(1+\left(-4 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right)\left(1+\left(-3 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-2 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right) \\
\cdot & \left(1+\left(-2 c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-3 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right)\left(1+\left(-c_{1}\left(L_{1}\right)-4 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right)\right)\left(1-5 c_{1}\left(L_{2}\right)\right) \\
c_{6}\left(S^{5}\left(R^{*}\right)\right)= & 5\left[L_{1}\right]\left(4\left[L_{1}\right]+\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(3\left[L_{1}\right]+2\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(2\left[L_{1}\right]+3\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(\left[L_{1}\right]+4\left[L_{2}\right]\right) 5\left[L_{2}\right] \\
= & 25 e_{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(e_{1}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)+3\left[L_{1}\right]\right)\left(2 e_{1}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)+\left[L_{1}\right]\right) \\
\cdot & \left(2 e_{1}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right),\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(e_{1}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right), 3\left[L_{2}\right]\right) \\
= & 25 e_{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\left(24 e_{1}^{4}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)+58 e_{1}^{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right) e_{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\right. \\
& \left.+9 e_{2}^{2}\left(\left[L_{1}\right],\left[L_{2}\right]\right)\right) \\
= & 25 c_{2}\left(R^{*}\right)\left(24 c_{1}^{4}\left(R^{*}\right)+58 c_{1}^{2}\left(R^{*}\right) c_{2}\left(R^{*}\right)+9 c_{2}^{2}\left(R^{*}\right)\right) \\
= & 25 \sigma_{1,1}\left(24 \sigma_{1}^{4}+58 \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}+9 \sigma_{1,1}^{2}\right) \\
= & 25\left(48 \sigma_{3,3}+58 \sigma_{3,3}+9 \sigma_{3,3}\right) \\
= & 2875 \sigma_{3,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int 2875 \sigma_{3,3}=2875$, there are 2875 lines on the surface.

### 1.7.3 Thom-Porteous Formula

If $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is a morphism from a rank $e$ vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ to a rank $f$ bundle $\mathcal{F}$, then
i) If $D_{k}(\varphi) \neq \varnothing$, then any irreducible component has codimension $\leq(f-k) \times(e-k)$.
ii) If equality holds for all components, then we have

$$
\left[D_{k}(\varphi)\right]=s_{(f-k) \times(e-k)}(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{E})=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{f-k-i+j}(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{E})\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq e-k}
$$

Here, we define $c(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{E})=c(\mathcal{F}) / c(\mathcal{E})$ [17, p.127].

## Chapter 2

## Hilbert Schemes and Quot Schemes

### 2.1 Hilbert Polynomials

Let $M$ be a finitely generated graded $S=K\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$-module where $K$ is a field. Then the Hilbert function $H_{M}$ of $M$ is

$$
H_{M}(i)=\operatorname{dim}_{k} M_{i}
$$

for $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. For example, $H_{S}(i)=\binom{i+n}{n}$ for $i \geq 0$. There is a unique polynomial $P_{M}(t) \in \mathbf{Q}[t]$, called the Hilbert polynomial of $M$, such that $P_{M}(t)=H_{M}(t)$ for $t \gg 0$. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\left\langle x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ and let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $S$. The saturation of $I$ is

$$
\left(I: \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}\right)=\left\langle f \in S: f \mathfrak{m}^{i} \subset I \text { for some } i>0\right\rangle .
$$

$I$ is saturated if $I=\left(I: \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}\right)$. [16, p.1-2]

### 2.2 Gotzmann's regularity theorem

Let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $S$ and

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{l} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{1} \rightarrow S \rightarrow S / I \rightarrow 0
$$

be the minimal free resolution of $S / I$ where $\mathbf{F}_{i}=\oplus_{j} S(-j)^{\oplus \beta_{i, j}}$ and $S(-i)$ denotes the grading shift $S(-i)_{j}=S_{j-i}$. If $k \geq \max _{i, j}\left\{j-i: \beta_{i, j} \neq 0\right\}$, then $S / I$ is $k$-regular. If $S / I$ is $k$-regular, then $I$ is generated in degree $\leq k+1$ and $H_{S / I}(k)=P_{S / I}(k)$.

Theorem 2.2.1 (Gotzmann's regularity theorem). Let $P$ be a Hilbert polynomial, so there exist $a_{1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{D} \geq 0$ such that

$$
P(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{D}\binom{t+a_{i}-i+1}{a_{i}}
$$

If $I$ is a saturated ideal such that $P_{S / I}(t)=P(t)$, then $S / I$ is $(D-1)$-regular. We call $D$ the Gotzmann number of $P$.

For $n, d \in \mathbf{N}$, there exist unique $k_{j}>k_{j+1} \geq 0$ such that

$$
n=\sum_{j=0}^{t}\binom{k_{j}}{d-j} .
$$

The Macaulay upper boundary of $n$ with respect to $d$ is

$$
n^{\langle d\rangle}=\sum_{j=0}^{t}\binom{k_{j}+1}{d-j+1} .
$$

Theorem 2.2.2 (Macaulay). Let $I$ be a homogeneous ideal of $S$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that all minimal generators of I have degree $<k$. Then $H_{S / I}(k+1) \leq H_{S / I}(k)^{\langle k\rangle}$.

Theorem 2.2.3 (Gotzmann's persistence theorem). Let I be a homogeneous ideal of
$S$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$ such that all minimal generators of I have degree $<k$. If $H_{S / I}(k+1)=$ $H_{S / I}(k)^{\langle k\rangle}$, then $H_{S / I}(t+1)=H_{S / I}(t)^{\langle t\rangle}$ for $t \geq k$.

In particular, if $D$ is the Gotzmann number of $P$, then

$$
P(t+1)=P(t)^{\langle t\rangle} \text { for all } t \geq D
$$

Corollary 2.2.4. If $I$ is a homogeneous ideal of $S$ generated in degrees $\leq D$ such that $H_{S / I}(D)=P(D)$ and $H_{S / I}(D+1)=P(D+1)$, then $P_{S / I}=P$.

### 2.3 Hilbert schemes

The Hilbert scheme $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ is a space that parameterizes subschemes of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ with Hilbert polynomial $P$. There is a 1-1 correspondence between $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ and $X / \sim$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=\left\{I: I \text { is a homogeneous ideal of } S \text { and } P_{S / I}=P\right\} \\
& I \sim J \text { if }\left(I: \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}\right)=\left(J: \mathfrak{m}^{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P$ be a Hilbert polynomial with Gotzmann number $D$ and let $\mathcal{G}_{D}=G r\left(H_{S}(D)-\right.$ $\left.P(D), S_{D}\right)$. There is a 1-1 correspondence between $X / \sim$ and the following set

$$
\left\{L \in \mathcal{G}_{D}: \operatorname{dim}\left(S_{1} L\right) \leq H_{S}(D+1)-P(D+1)\right\}
$$

given by the maps

$$
L \mapsto\langle L\rangle, I \mapsto I_{D} .
$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ is a subscheme of a Grassmannian with a certain dimension condition.

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the tensor product bundle of the trivial bundle $S_{1} \times \mathcal{G}_{D}$ with the tautological subbundle of $\mathcal{G}_{D}, \mathcal{F}$ be the trivial bundle $S_{D+1} \times \mathcal{G}_{D}$, and $\varphi: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ be the morphism defined by multiplication. Then

$$
\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)=D_{H_{S}(D+1)-P(D+1)}(\varphi)
$$

Let $j$ be the embedding of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ into $G r(k, n)$. If $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ has the appropriate codimension and $\alpha_{i}=j^{*}\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ for cohomology classes $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ of $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right), \operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, respectively, then

$$
\int_{H i l b\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)} \alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{k}=\int_{\operatorname{Gr}(k, n)} \beta_{1} \ldots \beta_{k} \cdot\left[D_{k}(\varphi)\right]
$$

where $\left[D_{k}(\varphi)\right]$ is evaluated using the Thom-Porteous formula. Even if $\operatorname{Hilb}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}\right)$ does not have the required codimension, the result from applying the Thom-Porteous formula is still useful in applications.

### 2.4 Quot Schemes

Let $S=k\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ where $k$ is a field and $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}$. Let $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ be the space of quotients of $\mathcal{O}^{r}$ with Hilbert polynomial $P$. Let $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow S^{\oplus N} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. The construction of the quotient scheme is similar to that of the Hilbert scheme. By [1, p.4-9], quotients with Hilbert polynomial $P$ have a similar type of Gotzmann number $D$ by regularity. A coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ is $d$-regular if for all $i>0$, $H^{i}\left(\mathbf{P}^{n}, \mathcal{F}(d-i)\right)=0$.

Theorem 2.4.1 (Dellaca). If $F$ is a rank r free $S$-module with module generators having degree at most $0, N$ is a graded submodule of $F$, and $M=F / N$, with Gotzmann representation

$$
P_{M}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{D}\binom{t+a_{i}-i+1}{a_{i}}
$$

then the associated sheaf $\widetilde{N}$ is D-regular.

By a similar persistence theorem for this sheaf, the dimensions stabilize for large enough values.

Corollary 2.4.2 (Dellaca). If $\mathcal{F}$ is a coherent sheaf on $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ and $a \in \mathbf{Z}^{\geq 0}$ such that $\mathcal{F}(a)$ is generated by global sections and $\mathcal{F}(a)$ has Gotzmann regularity $D$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is $D+a$-regular.

So we can also realize $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ as a subscheme of a Grassmannian with certain dimension conditions.

Theorem 2.4.3 (Dellaca).

$$
\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right) \cong\left\{F \in G r\left(S_{D}^{r}, P(D)\right): \operatorname{codim} F \cdot S_{1}=P(D+1)\right\}
$$

## Chapter 3

## Cohomology Classes of $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$

### 3.1 Quot Scheme of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$

### 3.1.1 Thom-Porteous Formula for $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$

By the work of Dellaca, if $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ has Hilbert polynomial $P(t)=k(t+1)+m$, then the Thom-Porteous formula gives $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ an expected dimension of $(r-$ $k) k+r m$, where the degeneracy locus is of $G r\left((r-k)\left(\frac{r(r+1)}{2}+m+1\right)-m, r\left(\frac{r(r+1)}{2}+\right.\right.$ $m+1)$ ). To compute the actual dimension of $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$, a subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}^{r}$ is split into the sum of standard line bundles, $\oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-t_{i}\right)$ where $\sum t_{i}=m$. The dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-t_{i}\right), \mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ is $r(r-k)-r m$. However, the identification of $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ with a subset of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-t_{i}\right), \mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ is not unique, so one needs to mod out by $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-t_{i}\right)\right)$. The dimension of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\oplus \mathcal{O}\left(-t_{i}\right)\right)$ is bounded below by $(r-k)^{2}$ and hence the dimension of $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r}\right)$ is bounded above by $r(r-k)-r m-(r-k)^{2}=$
$(r-k) k+r m$. In this case, the expected dimension agrees with the actual dimension. [1, p.9-11]

### 3.1.2 Computing the class $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$

We first recall two facts:

Theorem 3.1.1. [15, p.72] If $s_{\lambda}(x, y)$ is a Schur function corresponding to a partition $\lambda$ in the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, y_{1}, y_{2} \ldots$, then

$$
s_{\lambda}(x, y)=\sum_{\mu, \nu} c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} s_{\mu}(y) s_{\nu}(x) .
$$

Theorem 3.1.2 (Schubert's Duality Theorem). [4, p.149] For Schubert cycles $\sigma_{\lambda}, \sigma_{\mu}$ satisfying $|\lambda|+|\mu|=r n$,

$$
\sigma_{\lambda} \sigma_{\mu}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \lambda_{i}+\mu_{r+1-i}=n \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq r \\ 0 & \text { if } \lambda_{i}+\mu_{r+1-i}>n \text { for any } i\end{cases}
$$

Consider the Quot scheme $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}^{r} \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ with Hilbert polynomial $P(t)=s(t+1)+k$. Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{r} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$ and twist by large enough $n$, so $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{r}(n)\right)=$ $r(n+1), \operatorname{dim} H^{0}(\mathcal{F}(n))=s(n+1)+k$, and $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(\mathcal{K}(n))=(r-s)(n+1)-k$. In $G r((r-s)(n+1)-k, r(n+1))$, let $\varphi$ be the map between universal and trivial vector bundles $\mathcal{R} \otimes S_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \otimes S_{n+1}^{r}$ with ranks $e=2(r-s)(n+1)-2 k$ and $f=r(n+2)$, respectively. Given the condition $\operatorname{rank} \varphi \leq(r-s)(n+2)-k$ (and call this rank $l)$, the Quot scheme is a degeneracy locus in $\operatorname{Gr}((r-s)(n+1)-k, r(n+1))$ by Dellaca's theorem [1, p.9-11]. If $Q$ is the quotient bundle of the Grassmannian, then
$c(\mathcal{R})=c(Q)^{-1}$ (by 1.5.1), so by the Thom-Porteous formula,

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[D_{l}(\varphi)\right] } & =s_{(f-l) \times(e-l)}(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{E}) \\
& =s_{(f-l) \times(e-l)}(Q \oplus Q) \\
& =\sum_{\mu, \nu} c_{\mu \nu}^{(f-l) \times(e-l)} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{\nu}  \tag{3.1.3}\\
& =\sum_{\mu, \nu} \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu \nu}^{(f-l) \times(e-l)} c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu \mu^{c}}^{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda} . \tag{3.1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is of size $(f-l)(e-l)$ and fits in a $((r-s)(n+1)-k) \times(s(n+1)+k)$ box, and $\mu^{c}$ denotes the complement of $\mu$ in the rectangle $(f-l) \times(e-l)$. Line (3.1.3) and line (3.1.4) are applications of Theorem 3.1.1 and Theorem 3.1.2, respectively. Therefore, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1.5. Using the notation above, the cohomology class of $\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}^{r}\right)$ as a degeneracy locus in $G r((r-s)(n+1)-k, r(n+1)$ ), where $P(t)=s(t+1)+k$, is given by

$$
\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\lambda} c_{\mu \mu^{c}}^{\lambda} \sigma_{\lambda}
$$

Example 3.1.6. To compute $\left[\operatorname{Quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\right)\right]$ in $G r(2,4)$ where $P(t)=2$, then $r=1$, $s=0$, and $k=2$. Since the Gotzmann number is $\frac{r(r+1)}{2}+k$ by [1, p.10], taking $n=3$ is large enough. The only partitions that fit in a $2 \times 2$ box and are of size $(f-l)(e-l)=2$ are $\lambda_{1}=(2)$ and $\lambda_{2}=(1,1)$, so we need only compute $c_{\varnothing(1,1)}^{\lambda_{i}}$ and $c_{(1)(1)}^{\lambda_{i}}$. Therefore,

$$
\left[\operatorname{quot}_{P}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{1}}\right)\right]=\sigma_{2}+3 \sigma_{1,1} .
$$

## $3.2 \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$

Consider the Veronese embedding $v_{n}: \mathbf{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}\left(\right.$ Sym $\left.^{n} V\right)$ where $\operatorname{dim} V=3$, and let $N=\binom{n+2}{2}$. We can define a map $f$ on $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ in the following way: for an ideal $I$ corresponding to a length 2 subscheme of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, either $I$ vanishes at two distinct points in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ or $I$ vanishes at a single point with multiplicity 2. (For example, we could take $I=\left\langle x_{0} x_{1}, x_{2}\right\rangle$ in the former case and $I=\left\langle x_{0}, x_{1}^{2}\right\rangle$ in the latter.) Then there is an embedding of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ into $G r\left(2, S y m^{n} V\right)$ defined as follows:

- If $I$ vanishes at two distinct points $x$ and $y$, then consider the unique line passing through both points $v_{n}(x)$ and $v_{n}(y)$; this is a line in $\mathbf{P}\left(S y m^{n} V\right)$ and hence an element of $G r\left(2, S y m^{n} V\right)$
- Otherwise, notice $\mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2} \subset I \subset \mathfrak{m}_{x}$. Since $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x} / I_{x}\right)=2$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}\right)=$ 1 , $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{x} / I_{x}\right)=1$. The dimension of the cotangent space $\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}$ is 2 , and therefore $\operatorname{dim}\left(I_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right)=1$. Thus, the kernel of the quotient map $\left(\mathfrak{m}_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right)^{*} \rightarrow$ $\left(I_{x} / \mathfrak{m}_{x}^{2}\right)^{*}$ is a line in $T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{2}$. The image of this line under the embedding from $T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{2}$ into $T_{v_{n}(x)} \mathbf{P}\left(S y m^{n} V\right)$ gives a line in $\mathbf{P}\left(S y m^{n} V\right)$.

Lemma 3.2.1. Let $\mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}(V)$. Then the map $f: \operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow G r\left(2, S^{2} m^{n} V\right)$ defined above is an embedding.

Proof. The map $f$ is injective: first we claim that $v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ contains no lines. If not, let $L \in v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ and $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbf{P}^{N-1}$. Let $C=H \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$; then $v_{n}^{-1}(C)$ is a degree $n$ curve in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ for some $n>1$. If the degree of $v_{n}^{-1}(L)$ is r , then $v_{n}^{-1}(L) \cap v_{n}^{-1}(C)$ contains $r n$ points. Then $v_{n}$ maps $r n$ points to one point since $C$ and $L$ intersect at exactly one point in $v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$. This is a contradiction since $v_{n}$ is an embedding.

Thus, if $L$ is a chord of $v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, then $L \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ and has multiplicity at least 2. Since the ideal $I\left(v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right)$ is generated by quadrics, $L \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ is the common zero set of
these quadrics restricted to $L$. Then $L \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ has multiplicity at most 2 , and hence exactly 2 . This implies chords of $v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ intersect at a 0 -dimensional subscheme of multiplicity 2 , so $f$ is injective.

Moreover, by [18, Theorem 18.29], if $I$ is the ideal sheaf associated with a subscheme in $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, then the tangent space of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ at $I$ is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}}\left(I, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} / I\right)$. By construction, the tangent space of the image of $I$ in $G r\left(2, S y m^{n} V\right)$ is

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(H^{0}\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} / I\right)(n)\right)^{*}, H^{0}(I(n))^{*}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(H^{0}(I(n)), H^{0}\left(\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} / I\right)(n)\right)\right)
$$

Since $I$ is generated by polynomials of degree at most 2, we see that the restriction of a nonzero map $I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}} / I$ to any degree $n \geq 2$ is also nonzero (some generator of $I$ has nonzero image; multiply it by a suitable power of a linear function to get a nonzero element in the image in degree $n$ ). So the map on tangent spaces is also injective.

Theorem 3.2.2. Let $\mathbf{P}^{2}=\mathbf{P}(V)$ and $N=\binom{n+2}{2}$. Using the map $f$ in the Lemma 3.2.1

$$
\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]=(a-3 b+c) \sigma_{\lambda_{1}}+(b-c) \sigma_{\lambda_{2}}+c \sigma_{\lambda_{3}}
$$

where $\sigma_{\lambda_{i}}$ are Schubert classes in $\operatorname{Gr}\left(\operatorname{Sym}^{n}\left(V^{*}\right), 2\right)=G r\left(2, \operatorname{Sym}^{n} V\right)$ defined by

$$
\lambda_{1}=(2 \times(N-2)) \backslash(4), \lambda_{2}=(2 \times(N-2)) \backslash(3,1), \lambda_{3}=(2 \times(N-2)) \backslash(2,2)
$$

and

$$
a=3\left(n^{4}-4 n^{2}+4 n-1\right), \quad b=\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}, \quad c=\frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{2} .
$$

Proof. $\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]$ can be written as a linear combination of Schubert classes:

$$
\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]=\alpha \sigma_{\lambda_{1}}+\beta \sigma_{\lambda_{2}}+\gamma \sigma_{\lambda_{3}} .
$$

By Poincaré duality, we can find these coefficients by computing:

$$
\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \cdot \sigma_{1}^{4}=a, \quad\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \cdot \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=b, \quad\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \cdot \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=c
$$

Recall $\sigma_{1}=\left[\left\{\Lambda: \operatorname{dim}\left(\Lambda \cap V_{N-2}\right) \geq 1\right\}\right]$ and $\sigma_{1,1}=\left[\left\{\Lambda: \Lambda \subset V_{N-1}\right\}\right]$, where $V_{N-1}, V_{N-2}$ are any subspaces of $S y m^{n} V$ of dimension $N-1, N-2$, respectively. Alternatively

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{1} & =\left[\left\{L: L \text { is a line in } \mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \cap \mathbf{P}\left(V_{N-2}\right) \neq \varnothing\right\}\right] \\
\sigma_{1,1} & =\left[\left\{L: L \text { is a line in } \mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \in \mathbf{P}\left(V_{N-1}\right)\right\}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

To compute $a$, consider the maps

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ is the blowup along the diagonal $\Delta$. If $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the Plücker line bundle, then $\sigma_{1}=c_{1}(\mathcal{O}(1))$. Consider the surjective map $i^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(\Delta)$. If $x=\left[\ell \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right], y=\left[\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \ell\right]$ are hyperplanes and we let $w=\sigma_{1}$, then $i^{*}$ is the map $\mathbf{Z}[x, y] /\left\langle x^{3}, y^{3}\right\rangle \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[w] /\left\langle w^{3}\right\rangle$ that takes $x, y \mapsto w$. By [12, Theorem 1, p.571],

$$
H^{*}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right) \cong \frac{H^{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)[t]}{\left(P(t), t \cdot \operatorname{ker} i^{*}\right)}
$$

where $P(t)$ is a polynomial whose constant term is $[\Delta]$ and whose restriction to $H^{*}(\Delta)$
is the Chern polynomial of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$, i.e.

$$
i^{*} P(t)=t^{2}+t c_{1}(\mathcal{N})+c_{2}(\mathcal{N})
$$

The isomorphism is induced by $\pi^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)$ by sending $-t$ to $[E]$ where $E$ is the exceptional divisor.
$[\Delta]=d x^{2}+e x y+f y^{2}$ for some $d, e, f$, so we can solve for the coefficients by computing $[\Delta] y^{2},[\Delta] x y,[\Delta] x^{2}$. Notice $x^{3}=y^{3}=x^{4}=y^{4}=0$, so $[\Delta] y^{2}=d x^{2} y^{2},[\Delta] x y=$ $e x^{2} y^{2},[\Delta] x^{2}=f x^{2} y^{2}$. Since $[\Delta] y^{2},[\Delta] x y,[\Delta] x^{2}$, and $x^{2} y^{2}$ are all a class of a point, then $d=e=f=1$ and hence $[\Delta]=x^{2}+x y+y^{2}$.

To evaluate $c_{1}(\mathcal{N})$, notice $\mathcal{N}=T_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}=Q(1)$, where $Q$ is the quotient bundle. If $x_{1}, x_{2}$ are the Chern roots of $Q$, then the Chern roots of $Q(1)$ are $x_{1}+\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2}+\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)$. Then $c_{1}(\mathcal{N})=c_{1}(Q(1))=3\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)=3 w$. Therefore

$$
i^{*} P(t)=t^{2}+3 w t+i^{*}[\Delta] \quad \text { so } \quad P(t)=t^{2}+3 x t+x^{2}+x y+y^{2}
$$

Since $\operatorname{ker}\left(i^{*}\right)=\langle x-y\rangle$,

$$
H^{*}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}\right)=\mathbf{Z}[x, y, t] /\left\langle t^{2}+3 x t+x^{2}+x y+y^{2}, t x-t y, x^{3}, y^{3}\right\rangle
$$

Notice $j$ is a 2: 1 map and $\sigma_{1}=c_{1}\left(\mathcal{O}(-E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(n, n)\right)=t+n x+n y$. Since a point in $\widetilde{\mathbf{P}^{2} \times \mathbf{P}^{2}}$ corresponds to $x^{2} y^{2},\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \sigma_{1}^{4}$ is $\frac{1}{2}$ times the coefficient of $x^{2} y^{2}$ in $(t+n x+n y)^{4}$. Using Macaulay2,
$R=f r a c(Q Q[n])[x, y, t]$
$I=$ ideal $\left(x^{\wedge} 3, y^{\wedge} 3, t^{\wedge} 2+(3 * x) * t+\left(x^{\wedge} 2+x * y+y^{\wedge} 2\right), t * x-t * y\right)$
$S=R / I$
we find that the coefficient of $x^{2} y^{2}$ is $6\left(n^{4}-4 n^{2}+4 n-1\right)$. Therefore, $a=3\left(n^{4}-\right.$ $\left.4 n^{2}+4 n-1\right)$.

To compute $b$, first multiply $\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]$ by $\sigma_{1,1}$ by choosing some hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbf{P}^{N-1}$. The intersection of $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ with $\left\{L: L\right.$ is a line in $\left.\mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \in H\right\}$ is the set of chords of $H \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=C$. Then, to multiply by $\sigma_{1}^{2}$, choose two codimension 2 subspaces $W_{1}, W_{2}$ of $\mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ that do not intersect $C$, and take the intersection of the set of chords in $C$ with $\left\{L: L\right.$ is a line in $\left.\mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \cap W_{1} \neq \varnothing\right\} \cap\left\{L: L\right.$ is a line in $\mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \cap$ $\left.W_{2} \neq \varnothing\right\}$. This is just the set of chords in $C$ intersecting both $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$. The intersection of $H$ with $v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ is a degree $n$ curve $C$ of genus $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ [7, 220].

Let $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ be the set of all hyperplanes containing $W_{1}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{P}^{1}}$ be the set of all hyperplanes containing $W_{2}$. If $H_{i}(x)$ is the hyperplane containing the point $x$ and $W_{i}$, then there is a bihomogeneous map $F: C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1} \times \widehat{\mathbf{P}^{1}}$ defined by $x \mapsto\left(H_{1}(x), H_{2}(x)\right)$. Then a chord between points $x, y$ in $C$ intersects both $W_{1}$ and $W_{2}$ if and only if $H_{i}(x)=H_{i}(y)$ for $i=1,2$. Then counting these chords is the same as counting pairs $(x, y)$ such that $F(x)=F(y)$; in order words, we want to count the singularities of $F(C)$. We can count this number by computing the arithmetic genus of $F(C)$ :

Notice that the number of points in $F(C) \cap\left(\mathbf{P}^{1} \times\left\{H_{2}(x)\right\}\right)$ is equivalent to the number of points in $C \cap H_{2}(x)$; this number is $n^{2}$ because the degree of the Veronese map is $n^{2}$ in this case. Then, $F$ has bidegree $\left(n^{2}, n^{2}\right)$, and hence the arithmetic genus of $F(C)$ is $\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. Therefore, $b=\left(n^{2}-1\right)\left(n^{2}-1\right)-\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$.

To compute $c$, we multiply $\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]$ by $\sigma_{1,1}^{2}$ by choosing two hyperplanes $H_{1}, H_{2}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{N-1}$. Then the intersection of $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ with $\left\{L: L\right.$ is a line in $\left.\mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \in H_{1}\right\} \cap$
$\left\{L: L\right.$ is a line in $\left.\mathbf{P}^{N-1}, L \in H_{2}\right\}$ is the set of chords of $H_{1} \cap H_{2} \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$. Since $H_{1} \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=C_{1}$ and $H_{2} \cap v_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)=C_{2}$ are both degree $n$ curves, they intersect at $n^{2}$ points. Thus, the number of chords of $C_{1} \cap C_{2}$ is $\frac{n^{2}\left(n^{2}-1\right)}{2}$.

Finally, we can solve for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ by solving the system of equations obtained by multiplying $\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]=\alpha \sigma_{\lambda_{1}}+\beta \sigma_{\lambda_{2}}+\gamma \sigma_{\lambda_{3}}$ by each of $\sigma_{1}^{4}, \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}, \sigma_{1,1}^{2}$. Since $\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \sigma_{1}^{4}=a,\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=b,\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right] \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=c$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{\lambda_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{4}=1, & \sigma_{\lambda_{2}} \sigma_{1}^{4}=3, \quad \sigma_{\lambda_{3}} \sigma_{1}^{4}=2 \\
\sigma_{\lambda_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=0, & \sigma_{\lambda_{2}} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=1, \quad \sigma_{\lambda_{3}} \sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{1,1}=1 \\
\sigma_{\lambda_{1}} \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=0, & \sigma_{\lambda_{2}} \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=0, \quad \sigma_{\lambda_{3}} \sigma_{1,1}^{2}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

then $\alpha=a-3 b+c, \beta=b-c$, and $\gamma=c$.

Example 3.2.3. When $n=3$, one can compute $a=168, b=63$, and $c=36$, so

$$
\left[\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)\right]=15 \sigma_{\lambda_{1}}+27 \sigma_{\lambda_{2}}+36 \sigma_{\lambda_{3}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hilb}^{2}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}\right)$ is embedded in $G r\left(2, S y m^{3} V\right)$.

## Chapter 4

## Isotropic Subspaces of Schur

## Modules

Let $V=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ be the tautological subbundle of $G r(k, V)$. Recall that for a partition $\lambda$, the Schur module $S_{\lambda} M$ is a functor with respect to a module $M$, namely the image of the Schur map [5, 76]. For $s \in H^{0}\left(G r(k, V), S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)$, then a $k$-dimensional subspace $W$ of $V$ is isotropic with respect to $s$ if $s(W)=0$. Moreover, we say $s$ is $k$-isotropic if there exists a subspace $W$ of $V$ that is isotropic with respect to $s$. Recall the following theorem (see [22, Corollary 4.1.9])

Theorem 4.0.1 (Borel-Weil). If $\lambda$ is a partition, then as representations of $\mathbf{G L}(V)$,

$$
H^{0}\left(G r(k, V), S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}
$$

Tevelev used the Borel-Weil theorem to generalize the notion of isotropic subspace for $S y m^{2} V^{*}$ and $\Lambda^{2} V^{*}$ : given $s \in S y m^{d} V^{*}$ or $s \in \Lambda^{d} V^{*}$, a subspace $W$ of $V$ is isotropic with respect to $s$ if $\left.s\right|_{W}=0$. We generalize the definition even further for Schur
modules (which is compatible with the definition for polylinear forms):

Definition 4.0.2. Let $\lambda$ be a partition. For $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$, a subspace $W$ of $V$ is isotropic with respect to $s$ if $\left.s\right|_{S_{\lambda} W}=0$.

In this chapter, we answer the following question: for generic $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$, when does there exist an isotropic subspace $W$ of $V$ with respect to $s$ ? Tevelev gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of isotropic subspaces with respect to symmetric or skew-symmetric polylinear forms in his theorem below 4.0.4). One could answer this question using the fact that $s \in H^{0}\left(G r(k, V), S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)$ is $k$-isotropic if and only if $c_{\text {top }}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right) \neq 0$, but computing the top Chern class in general is hard:

Example 4.0.3. Let $V=\mathbf{C}^{7}$ and $k=5$, and take $s \in \Lambda^{3} V^{*}$. By the splitting principle, there exist line bundles $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{5}$ from the flag bundle associated with the tautological subbundle of $\operatorname{Gr}(5,7)$ such that

$$
c\left(\Lambda^{3} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=c\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 5} L_{i}^{-1} L_{j}^{-1} L_{k}^{-1}\right) .
$$

If the Chern roots of $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ are denoted by $x_{i}$ 's, then

$$
c_{t o p}\left(\Lambda^{3} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=\prod_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 5}\left(x_{i}+x_{j}+x_{k}\right) .
$$

The Borel presentation of the cohomology ring of $\operatorname{Gr}(5,7)$ gives us

$$
H^{*}(G r(5,7)) \otimes \mathbf{Q}=\mathbf{Q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}\right]^{S_{5} \times S_{2}} / I
$$

which is the ring of invariant polynomials where $S_{5}$ acts on $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{5}$ and $S_{2}$ acts on $x_{6}, x_{7}$, which we then mod out by the ideal $I$ of all positive degree symmetric functions [17, 138] Therefore, it is enough to determine whether $c_{\text {top }}\left(\Lambda^{3} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)$ is in $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{7}\right\rangle$,
the ideal generated by the power sum symmetric polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{7}$. This is easily answered using Macaulay2, but difficult by hand:

```
QQ[x_1..x_7]
p = k -> sum(apply(7,i->x_(i+1)^k))
f = product(apply(subsets(toList(1..5), 3), s->x_(s_0) + x_(s_1)
    + x_(s_2)));
I = ideal(f)
J = ideal(apply(7, i-> p(i+1)));
isSubset(I,J)
```

The output is true, and hence the top Chern class is 0 , so there does not exist a 5-dimensional isotropic subspace of $V$ with respect to a generic $s$. We arrive at the same conclusion using Tevelev's theorem below:

Theorem 4.0.4 (Tevelev). Let $s \in S^{d} V^{*}$ or $s \in \Lambda^{d} V^{*}$ be a form in general position. The space $V$ contains a $k$-dimensional isotropic subspace with respect to $s$ if and only if

$$
n \geq \frac{\binom{d+k-1}{d}}{k}+k \quad \text { or } \quad n \geq \frac{\binom{k}{d}}{k}+k, \quad \text { respectively }
$$

with the following exceptions:

1. if $s \in S^{2} V^{*}$ or $s \in \Lambda^{2} V^{*}$ is a form in general position, then $V$ contains a $k$-dimensional isotropic subspace if and only if $n \geq 2 k$;
2. if $s \in \Lambda^{n-2} V^{*}$ is in general position and $n$ is even, then $V$ contains a $k$ dimensional isotropic subspace if and only if $k \leq n-2$;
3. if $s \in \Lambda^{3} V^{*}$ is in general position and $n=7$, then $V$ contains a $k$-dimensional isotropic subspace if and only if $k \leq 4$.

We give a similar criterion for all partitions $\lambda$ not included in Tevelev's theorem (except for $\lambda=\varnothing$, which is not interesting).

Theorem 4.0.5. Let $V$ be an n-dimensional vector space, $\lambda$ be a partition, and take $k \geq 3$ such that $2 \leq \ell(\lambda)<k$ and $\lambda_{1} \geq 2$. Then a generic $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$ is $k$-isotropic if and only if

$$
n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k
$$

Notice that when rearranged, the inequality can be written as

$$
\operatorname{dim}(G r(k, n)) \geq \operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)
$$

Example 4.0.6. Let $V=\mathbf{C}^{6}$ and $k=3$, and take $s \in S_{(2,1)} V^{*}$. By the splitting principle, we can write

$$
c\left(S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=c\left(\sum_{T} L_{1}^{-T(1)} L_{2}^{-T(2)} L_{3}^{-T(3)}\right)
$$

where the $L_{i}$ 's are line bundles, $T$ is a semistandard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ with entries in $\{1,2,3\}$ (see 4.0.11 for the definition), and $T(i)$ is the number of boxes in $T$ labeled with $i$. If the Chern roots of $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ are denoted by $x_{i}$ 's, then we can find out if the top Chern class is 0 by determining whether the product

$$
\left(2 x_{1}+x_{2}\right)\left(2 x_{1}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{1}+2 x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1}+x_{2}+x_{3}\right)^{2}\left(x_{1}+2 x_{3}\right)\left(2 x_{2}+x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2}+2 x_{3}\right)
$$

is in the ideal generated by the power sum symmetric polynomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}$ : $\left\langle p_{1}, \ldots, p_{6}\right\rangle$.

This can be answered using Macaulay2:

```
QQ[x_1..x_6];
p = k -> sum(apply(6,i->x_(i+1)^k));
f = (2*x_1+x_2)*(2*x_1+x_3)*(x_1+2*x_2)*(x_1+x_2+x_3)^2
    *(x_1+2*x_3)*(2*x_2+x_3)*(x_2+2*x_3);
I = ideal(f);
J = ideal(apply(6, i-> p(i+1)));
isSubset(I,J)
```

Our final output is false and hence there exists a 3 -dimensional isotropic subspace with respect to a generic $s$. This verifies the conclusion of Theorem 4.0.5 since

$$
\operatorname{dim}(G r(3,6))=9 \geq 8=\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{(2,1)} \mathbf{C}^{3}\right)
$$

For a geometric interpretation of Theorem 4.0.5, recall that the more general version of the Borel-Weil theorem [22, Theorem 4.1.8] says $H^{0}\left(\operatorname{Flag}_{\lambda}(V), \mathcal{L}(\lambda)\right)=\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$, where $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is a line bundle. Then the zero locus of $s$, denoted by $Z(s)$, is a subvariety of $F l a g_{\lambda}(V)$. Therefore, we have the following consequence:

Corollary 4.0.7. Let $V$ be an n-dimensional vector space and $\lambda$ be a partition. For a generic $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$, there exists a $k$-dimensional subspace $W$ of $V$ such that $\operatorname{Flag}_{\lambda}(W) \subset \operatorname{Flag}_{\lambda}(V)$ is in the zero locus of $s$ if and only if

$$
n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k
$$

The forward direction of Theorem 4.0 .5 is implied by the following general fact, denoted here by Lemma 4.0.8, which Tevelev also uses in his proof. In the case of our theorem, $X$ is our Grassmannian and $\mathcal{E}=S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ in the lemma below. Notice $S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ is generated by global sections, i.e. for any $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n)$, the map
$H^{0}\left(G r(k, n), S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right) \rightarrow\left(S_{\lambda} W\right)^{*}$, where $\left.s \mapsto s\right|_{S_{\lambda} W}$, is surjective. This is true because $H^{0}\left(G r(k, n), S_{\lambda} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)^{*}$ and $S_{\lambda} W \hookrightarrow S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{n}$ is injective.

Lemma 4.0.8. Let $X$ be a connected variety of dimension $n$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be a rank $r$ vector bundle on $X$. Assume $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by global sections. If $r>n$, then $Z(s)=\varnothing$ for almost all $s \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Define $Z=\left\{(s, x) \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E}) \times X: s(x)=0\right\}$, and let $\pi_{1}: Z \rightarrow H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$, $\pi_{2}: Z \rightarrow X$ be projection maps. Let $e v_{x}: H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x}$ take $s \mapsto s(x)$ for $x \in X$. By definition, for any $s \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$ and $x \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}^{-1}(s) \cong Z(s) \\
& \pi_{2}^{-1}(x)=\left\{x \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E}): s(x)=0\right\}=\operatorname{ker} e v_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by global sections, $e v_{x}$ is surjective and hence

$$
\operatorname{dim} \pi_{2}^{-1}(x)=\left(\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})\right)-r
$$

Since $(0, x) \in Z$ for all $x \in X, \pi_{2}$ is a surjective map between irreducible varieties,

$$
\operatorname{dim} Z=\operatorname{dim} X+\max _{x \in X}\left\{\operatorname{dim} \pi_{2}^{-1}(x)\right\}=n+\left(\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})\right)-r
$$

so $\operatorname{dim} Z<\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$. This implies $\pi_{1}$ is not surjective, and hence $\overline{\pi_{1}(Z)}$ is a closed proper subvariety of $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E})$. Hence, if $s$ is in the open subset $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{E}) \backslash$ $\overline{\pi_{1}(Z)}$, then $Z(s)=\pi_{1}^{-1}(s)=\varnothing$.

Notice that in the proof of Lemma 4.0.8, $\pi_{2}$ is a projective map because it can be factored as $Z \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{n} \times X \rightarrow X$ where the first map is an isomorphism of $Z$ onto a
closed subvariety of $\mathbf{P}^{n} \times X$, and the second map is the projection of $\mathbf{P}^{n} \times X$ onto $X$. Therefore, $\pi_{2}(Z)$ is closed, so we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.0.9. Under the same assumptions as Theorem 4.0.5. if $n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k$, then every $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}$ is $k$-isotropic.

To prove the reverse direction of Theorem 4.0.5, we use a general version of a lemma by Tevelev [21, p.849].

Lemma 4.0.10 (Tevelev). Let $V$ be an n-dimensional vector space and $\lambda$ be a partition. If

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i}\right) \leq(k-i)(n-k-i)
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, \min \{k, n-k\}$, then for generic $s \in\left(S_{\lambda} V\right)^{*}, V$ contains a $k$ dimensional isotropic subspace with respect to $s$.

In order to show that the inequalities above are satisfied, we compute $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i}\right)$ by evaluating a Schur polynomial $s_{\lambda}$ in $(1,1, \ldots, 1)$ and applying tools from combinatorics.

Definition 4.0.11. For a partition $\lambda$, a semistandard Young tableau is a Young diagram of shape $\lambda$ filled with some positive integers so that rows are weakly increasing from left to right and columns are strictly increasing from top to bottom. If $\lambda$ is a partition, then $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)$ is the number of semistandard Young tableaux with the shape $\lambda$ and filled with entries from $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

If $b$ is any box in $\lambda$, then the content of $b$ is $j-i$ if $b$ is in the $i$ th row from top to bottom and the $j$ th column from left to right; this is denoted by $c(b)$. The hook length at $b$ is the number of squares below and to the right of $b$, including $b$ once, denoted by $h(b)$.

Example 4.0.12. These are all possible semistandard Young tableaux of shape $\lambda=$ $(2,1)$ filled with entries from $\{1,2,3\}$ :

Therefore, $s_{(2,1)}(1,1,1)=8$. The values for hook length and content for boxes of $\lambda$ are filled in below:

$$
h: \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline 3 & 1 \\
\hline 1 &
\end{array} \quad c: \begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 1 \\
\hline-1 & \\
\hline
\end{array} .
$$

Theorem 4.0.13 (Hook-Content Formula). Let $\lambda$ be a partition and $b$ be any box in $\lambda$. Then

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)=\prod_{b \in \lambda} \frac{n+c(b)}{h(b)} .
$$

We use the following well-known result [5, p.77]:

Theorem 4.0.14. Let $\lambda$ be a partition. Then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{C}^{n}\right)\right)=s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)
$$

We can prove that $n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k$ implies $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i}\right) \leq(k-i)(n-k-i)$ for most values of $i \in\{0, \ldots, \min \{k, n-k\}\}$ by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i}\right)}{k-i} \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i-1}\right)}{k-i-1}+1 \tag{4.0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Tevelev uses induction to prove 4.0.15; for example, he gives the following lemma used for Sym $^{d} V^{*}$ where $d \geq 3$ :

Lemma 4.0.16. If $d \geq 3$ and $\alpha \geq 2$, then

$$
\frac{\binom{d+\alpha-1}{d}}{\alpha} \geq \frac{\binom{d+\alpha-2}{d}}{\alpha-1}+1
$$

However, this quickly becomes difficult for general partitions. This can be seen in the following examples of hooks and rectangular partitions because $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{n}\right)$ is no longer a single binomial coefficient. One can perform a painful induction in particular cases, but it is hard to generalize.

Example 4.0.17. If $\lambda=(d, 1)$ where $d \geq 2$, then by the Hook-Content Formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right) & =\frac{n}{d+1} \cdot \frac{n+1}{d-1} \cdot \frac{n+2}{d-2} \cdots \frac{n+d-1}{1} \cdot \frac{n-1}{1} \\
& =\frac{(n+d-1)(n+d-2) \cdots(n-1)}{(d+1)(d-1)!} \\
& =\frac{d(n-1)}{d+1}\binom{n+d-1}{d} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 4.0.18. If $\lambda=(d, d)$ where $d \geq 2$, then

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)=\frac{n+d-1}{(n-1)(d+1)}\binom{n+d-2}{d}^{2} .
$$

More generally, if $\lambda=(d, \ldots, d)$ have $l$ parts where $d, l \geq 2$, then

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{n}\right)=\left((l-1)!\binom{n+d-l}{d}\right)^{l} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1}(n-j)^{j-l}\left(\frac{n+d-j}{j(d+l-j)}\right)^{j} .
$$

Now, we give inequalities that will assist in proving 4.0.15.

Lemma 4.0.19. Let $\lambda$ be a nonempty partition.

1. For $k \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k} \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1} \tag{4.0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. If, in addition, $2 \leq \ell(\lambda) \leq k-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k} \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+\frac{1}{k} . \tag{4.0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. To prove the second part of the lemma, notice 4.0.21) is equivalent to

$$
k\left(s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)-s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)\right) \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)+k-1 .
$$

Let $g_{\lambda}(k)$ be the number of semistandard Young tableaux with shape $\lambda$ with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ and labeled with at least one $k$. Since

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)=g_{\lambda}(k)+s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)
$$

we can prove the equivalent statement

$$
(k-1) g_{\lambda}(k) \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)+k-1
$$

Let $\mu$ be the subpartition of $\lambda$ obtained by removing the box in the last column of the last row of $\lambda$. Let $\nu$ be the partition obtained by adding a box to the end of the
first row of $\mu$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-1) g_{\lambda}(k) & \geq(k-1) s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& =s_{1}\left(1^{k-1}\right) s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)+s_{\nu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)+k-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we label a partition of shape $\mu$ with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, reattach a box to $\mu$ in order to obtain $\lambda$, and label this new box with $k$, then we obtain a semistandard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$; this proves the first line above. The second line is obvious. Since $\ell(\lambda) \geq 2, \lambda \neq \nu$, so the third line follows by Pieri's rule. Since $\ell(\lambda) \leq k-1$, this implies that $\ell(\nu) \leq k-1$, so $s_{\nu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \neq 0$. Moreover, we obtain a semistandard Young tableau if the last box in the first row of $\nu$ is filled with any integer in $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$; the remaining boxes in the first row are labeled with 1 ; and for the remaining rows, the boxes in the $i$ th row are labeled with $i$. Therefore, we have at least $k-1$ semistandard Young tableaux of shape $\nu$ with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, proving the fourth line.

Now we prove the first part of the lemma. It is clearly true when $\lambda=(1)$. Otherwise, we again choose $\mu$ to be the subpartition of $\lambda$ obtained by removing the box in the last column of the last row of $\lambda$. Notice that (4.0.21) is equivalent to

$$
(k-1) g_{\lambda}(k) \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)
$$

Using a similar reasoning as above, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
(k-1) g_{\lambda}(k) & \geq(k-1) s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& =s_{1}\left(1^{k-1}\right) s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& \geq s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 4.0.22. Let $\lambda$ be a partition. If $\mu$ is a subpartition of $\lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu$ is a skew shape whose columns contain at most one box each, then $\lambda / \mu$ is a horizontal strip. We denote the collection of all horizontal strips by $H S$.

The following is a well-known fact:

Proposition 4.0.23. For any partition $\lambda$,

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)=\sum_{\lambda / \mu \in H S} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. We can partition the collection of all semistandard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ into subsets based on the placement of $k$ 's. Since $k$ can appear at most once in each column of $\lambda$, the size of such a subset is the same as the number of semistandard Young tableau of some unique $\mu \subset \lambda$ such that $\lambda / \mu \in H S$ and labeled with entries in $\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$.

Lemma 4.0.24. Let $\lambda$ be a partition and take $k \geq 3$. If $1 \leq \ell(\lambda) \leq k-2$ and $\lambda \neq(1),(2),(1,1)$, then

$$
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k} \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+1
$$

Proof. We perform induction on $k$. The case when $k=3$ corresponds to symmetric forms, which was proved by Tevelev's lemma 4.0.16.

Now let $k>3$ and $\lambda$ be a partition satisfying $1 \leq \ell(\lambda) \leq k-2$ and not equal to (1), (2), or ( 1,1 ). By induction, we suppose that for any partition $\mu$ not equal to (1), (2), or $(1,1)$, and satisfying $1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3$, then

$$
\frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1} \geq \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-2}\right)}{k-2}+1
$$

If $\ell(\lambda)=1$, then we can use Lemma 4.0.16. Otherwise, we use Proposition 4.0.23 several times in the computation below.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k}=\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\lambda / \mu \in H S} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu)=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\mu=(1),(2),(1,1)}} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right) \\
& =\frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+\frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu)=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}} \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1} \\
& +\frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\mu=(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1} \\
& \geq \frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}}\left(\frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-2}\right)}{k-2}+1\right)+\frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}}\left(\frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-2}\right)}{k-2}+\frac{1}{k-1}\right) \\
& +\frac{k-1}{k} \sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\mu=(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-2}\right)}{k-2}  \tag{4.0.26}\\
& =\frac{k-1}{k(k-2)} \sum_{\lambda / \mu \in H S} s_{\mu}\left(1^{k-2}\right)+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{k-1}{k}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu)=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}} \frac{1}{k} \\
& =\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{k(k-2)} \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{k-1}{k}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu)=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}} \frac{1}{k} \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
1 \leq \ell(\mu) \leq k-3 \\
\mu \neq(1),(2),(1,1)}} \frac{k-1}{k}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda / \mu \in H S \\
\ell(\mu)=k-2 \\
\mu \neq(1,1)}} \frac{1}{k}  \tag{4.0.27}\\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+\frac{k-1}{k}+\frac{1}{k}  \tag{4.0.28}\\
& =\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+1 \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Line (4.0.26) follows by the induction hypothesis on the first sum and Lemma 4.0.19 applied to the remaining sums. After rearranging terms and noticing $(k-1)^{2}>$ $k(k-2)$, we have line 4.0.27). In Line 4.0.27), the first sum has at least one summand because we can let $\mu$ be the subpartition of $\lambda$ obtained by removing the last row of $\lambda$; and the second sum has at least one summand because we can take $\mu$ to be $\lambda$. This proves line 4.0.28.

We can now prove finish the proof of the main theorem:

Proof of Theorem 4.0.5. Since $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{j}\right)=0$ for $j<\ell(\lambda)$, by Tevelev's Lemma 4.0.10 it suffices to show

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k-i}\right) \leq(k-i)(n-k-i)
$$

for all $i=0, \ldots, k-\ell(\lambda)$. Since $i \neq k$, if we assume

$$
n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k
$$

then using the Lemma 4.0.24,

$$
\begin{aligned}
n & \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k}+k \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-1}\right)}{k-1}+k+1 \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k-2}\right)}{k-2}+k+2 \\
& \vdots \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)+2}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)+2}+k+k-\ell(\lambda)-2 \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)+1}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)+1}+k+k-\ell(\lambda)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the inequality for $i=0, \ldots, k-\ell(\lambda)-1$.

If $\lambda$ is a rectangle, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)=s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)=1 \leq \ell(\lambda)(n-\ell(\lambda))
$$

because $\ell(\lambda)>1$, so the inequality for $i=k-\ell(\lambda)$ is true.

If $\lambda$ is not a rectangle, then let $\mu$ be the partition obtained by removing all columns of height $\ell(\lambda)$ from $\lambda$; therefore, $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)=s_{\mu}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)$. If $\mu=(1)$, then

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)=s_{\mu}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)=\ell(\lambda) \leq \ell(\lambda)(n-\ell(\lambda))
$$

because $\ell(\lambda)<k \leq n$. If $\mu=(2)$ or $(1,1)$, then our assumption, $n \geq \frac{\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{\lambda} \mathbf{C}^{k}\right)}{k}+k$, says

$$
n \geq \frac{3 k+1}{2}, \quad n \geq \frac{3 k-1}{2}
$$

which imply the desired inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n \geq \frac{3 \ell(\lambda)+1}{2}=\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)}+\ell(\lambda), \\
& n \geq \frac{3 \ell(\lambda)-1}{2}=\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)}+\ell(\lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Otherwise, we can prove 4.0.15 for our remaining case

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)+1}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)+1} & \geq \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)+1}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)+1} \\
& \geq \frac{s_{\mu}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)}+1 \\
& =\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{\ell(\lambda)}\right)}{\ell(\lambda)}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first inequality is true because given a semistandard Young tableau of shape $\mu$ filled with entries from $\{1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)+1\}$, one can obtain a semistandard Young tableau of shape $\lambda$ filled with entries from $\{1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)+1\}$ in the following way: adjoin a rectangle to the left of $\mu$ in order to obtain the shape $\lambda$, and label the entire $i$ th row of the rectangle with $i$. Since $\ell(\mu) \leq \ell(\lambda)-1$, we can apply Lemma 4.0.24 to obtain the second inequality. The last line follows because the rectangle removed from $\lambda$ in order to obtain $\mu$ must be constant along rows when filled with integers $1, \ldots, \ell(\lambda)$, and this is done in exactly one way. Therefore, we've proved the case when $i=k-\ell(\lambda)$.
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