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ABSTRACT: An alternative rodent control technology is presented. The patented discovery that specific plant-derived structural carbohydrate polymers are inhibitory to the water retentive mechanisms of rodents is discussed. Specifically, it has been discovered that when natural complex structural carbohydrates are formulated into a palatable pellet, target species of rodents (rats, mice, and ground squirrels), after ingesting the polymers, become less active and eventually die after 3-10 days. Captivity and in situ tests on the Norway rat have indicated the lethal dose for rats to be approximately 35-50 g consumed over a period of 72-96 hours, whereas for house mice it is 7-10 g over the same period. Captive trials on California ground squirrels have indicated a similar lethal dosage to that of rats, specifically 35-50 g consumed over 72-96 hours. The commercial product is exempt from registration in many countries including the U.S. This paper discusses laboratory and field test results on rodents to date and field use experiences.
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INTRODUCTION

Conventional control of rodents generally relies heavily on anticoagulant rodenticides (Corrigan 2001). Anticoagulants rodenticides are classified as extremely hazardous or highly hazardous to humans and other non-target species (WHO 2003), and the newer second-generation anticoagulants have led to a dramatic increase in the incidence of accidental ingestion as reported to poison control centers in the United States. Sadly, 87% of those accidental exposures in the United States are to children under 6 years of age (Eisemann and Petersen 2002). Further, the widespread use of anticoagulants has led to the development of anticoagulant resistance in many parts of the world (Corrigan 2001, MacNicoll et al. 1996, Quy et al. 1998). The environmental impact of anticoagulants including effects on non-target species and secondary poisoning of predators and scavengers (Hegdal and Blaskiewicz 1984) recently led to the EPA promulgating the "Reregistration Eligibility Requirements" for selected rodenticides (US EPA 2003). These requirements were designed to reduce the risks associated with the use of anticoagulant rodenticides and embody certain proposals such as a reduction in the amounts of active ingredients in products and/or a reduction in their application rates. If ratified, broadcast baiting applications of certain anticoagulant rodenticides (such as diphacinone) would be restricted to an active ingredient content of 0.001%.

In California, the use of Proposition 65 pesticides (reproductive toxins) has declined by approximately 40% over the last 10 years, as has the use of cholinesterase-inhibiting pesticides. Concomitantly, there has been an increase in the use of "biopesticides" in California by over 70% in the last 10 years (Anon. 2003). The inherent toxicity of rodenticides requires that they are used in strict accordance with state and federal pesticide use guidelines, and as such, their application is highly regulated. Globally, the pesticide industry is increasingly seeking safer and less toxic alternatives as a result of an increasing consumer reluctance to accept high toxicity pesticides (Anon. 2002).

Of all the common rodents, the commensal rodents are by far the most damaging to mankind. Environmentally, rodent species are classified as highly invasive with a high potential to eliminate or displace indigenous species (Anon. 2004). Commensal rodents have a great ability to adapt to rapidly changing environments, which, in part, has led to their global success. Rodents have some unique physiological features such as the inability to vomit, the capacity to consume large quantities of food during a single feeding (measured as a percentage of body mass), and a greatly enlarged cecum (which allows for partial microbially-mediated cellulose digestion). Rodents are less efficient than ruminants at digesting plant-derived structural carbohydrates. Our research has determined that certain structural carbohydrates, when formulated correctly, will have a negative impact on commensal rodents, as well as on other rodents such as the California ground squirrel (Spermophilus beecheyi). In this paper, we report on studies of a novel, patented, non-poisonous, biodegradable rodent control technology called Rodetrol (Eradirat and Eradimouse in Europe). It is derived from specific plant structural carbohydrates and is administered in a chemically unaltered form. Rodetrol is a minimum-risk pesticide and qualifies for exemption from registration in accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) regulations. EPA's Pesticide Registration Notice (PR Notice 2000-6) identifies exempted active and inert ingredients (U.S. EPA 2000).
METHODS

Laboratory Studies

Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) efficacy tests were conducted at several laboratories around the world according to generally accepted rodenticide testing protocols during the period 1996 - 2003 (Quy 1996; Sayre 2001; Hoyer 2002; Morgan 2002a,b,c; Morgan and Eason 2003). In the tests described herein, we used similar methodologies for rats, mice and Ground squirrels involving the use of wild-caught Norway rats (Rattus norvegicus), feral house mice (Mus musculus), and California ground squirrels (Spermophilus beecheyi) following generally accepted guidelines for rodenticide testing (Anon 1990). Feeding studies were conducted with a minimum of 15 animals per treatment group, in individual cages. All animals were maintained on 12-hour diurnal cycles at temperature range between 21 - 25°C. Animals had free access to water for the entire duration of the tests. All animals were allowed several days (usually 7) to acclimatize to the cages, during which time they were fed either rodent pellets (Harlan Teklad Protein Rodent Maintenance Diet 2014, Avon, IN). Animals were divided into treatment groups and controls, with a similar proportion of males and females in each group. All animals used were within a 10% range of the average body mass per gender group. One treatment group was fed Rodetrol pellets (rat pellets for rats and ground squirrels, and mouse pellets for mice) in the absence of any other food. Control groups were either starved or fed the laboratory rat maintenance diet. All animals had free access to water. In the rat and mouse experiments, daily feed and water consumption was recorded, as well as body weight changes over the experimental period. At death, treated rats underwent an autopsal examination. Three animals from each control group were euthanized and also subjected to examination. In the ground squirrels tests, only mortality was recorded.

Field Studies

Eston, Natal, South Africa

A tomato packing facility was identified as having a persistent Norway rat infestation. Poison could not be used at this location, due to the risks associated with contamination of the food chain and non-target toxicity. The facility supported high numbers of rats because of the abundant harborage and food availability. Rat feces were abundant around the facility, which was a serious public health issue in regard to microbial contamination.

Areas of activity and heavy infestation were identified and noted. Night-vision cameras were installed to monitor rat activity at specific locations over a 10-day period. Rodetrol pellets (100 g/tray) in bait trays were placed in at least 20 locations around the facility (access points, identified rat trails). Rodetrol pellets (100 g) were also wrapped in paraffin (America National Can, Neenah, WI) and placed in the roof (30 bait packages) and in rat nest holes (25). Rat activity was monitored every night between 1900 and 2000 hrs. Rodetrol bait was replenished daily in the bait trays.

Cory Waste Processing, Southend, U.K.

A municipal refuse accumulation point, used as an accumulation point for primarily supermarket and grocery waste, had a recurrent Norway rat problem. Previous use of 0.005% bromadiolone (Deadline, Liphatech) had not been effective at eradicating the infestation. The site had abundant alternative food sources available. In 1998, pre-baiting was initiated at 19 baiting points (1,500 g oats/station) to assess the activity of the rats. After a first week of pre-baiting, Rodetrol was presented during the second, third, and fourth weeks (1,500 g/station). A final post-baiting period was initiated, again with oats, during the fifth week to assess residual rodent activity.

RESULTS

Laboratory Studies

All female rats in the Rodetrol treatments died (Table 1), whereas 14 of the 15 males died. Two days after presenting the Rodetrol bait, the rats’ fecal pellets became larger in size and lighter colored. One or two days prior to death, Rodetrol-treated animals developed body tremors and became lethargic, followed by comatosis. At death, the Rodetrol-treated rats had lost on average 42 g (male) and 34 g (female) of their body mass, whereas the control groups gained an average of 23 g (male) and 17 g (female). The starved controls lost an average of 19 g of body mass. One starved control animal died on Day 3 (Table 1), whereas all the other starved controls remained alive until the end of the experiment. In the Rodetrol treatments, weight loss was mainly as a result of water loss, as body fat deposits were still present in Rodetrol-treated animals, although less so than in the fed controls. All treated rats consumed less during the first few days of exposure to the Rodetrol, but intake rates recovered to pre-treatment rates 2 - 3 days prior to death. All animals exhibited a significant reduction in water consumption. Further, red blood cell densities were higher in the treated animals, indicative of blood hypovolemia. Autopsy results (Table 2) indicated cecal enlargement and compaction, reduced urine volume, blood hypovolemia, and liver tissue ischemia. In the mouse trials, all treated mice died (Table 3). At death, the Rodetrol-treated mice had lost on average 32% (male) and 27% (female) of their body mass, whereas the control groups lost an average of 3% (male) and 4% (female). The starved controls lost an average of 16% of body mass. Three starved control mice died by Day 6 (Table 3), whereas all the other starved controls remained alive until the end of the experiment. All treated ground squirrels died by Day 9 (Table 4), and at death both males and females had exhibited considerable mean weight loss (58 g and 46 g, respectively).

Field Trial

Eston, Natal, South Africa

The Rodetrol pellets were eaten at all locations in and around the tomato packing facility, although the applications in the nests appeared to be the most consistently consumed. After Rodetrol was applied, there was an initial increase in rat activity 3 to 5 days after application, followed by a reduction in rat activity after approximately 6 to 7 days post-application (Figure 1). By Day 10, there was no further activity observed, and a concomitant reduction in the damage to packed tomatoes in the facility was recorded (personal observation by the packhouse manager). Several burrows
Table 1. Mean daily feed intake, rat mortality, mean body mass change and mean daily intake in laboratory rats.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat Treatment Group</th>
<th>Total Mortality at Day 6</th>
<th>Mean Body Mass Loss or Gain at Day 6 or Death (- or + in g)</th>
<th>Mean Daily Water Consumption (ml)</th>
<th>Mean Daily Rodetrol or Feed Consumption (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female Treatment n=15</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>-34</td>
<td>8 a</td>
<td>7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>+17</td>
<td>17 b</td>
<td>19 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Treatment n=15</td>
<td>14 a</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>12 b</td>
<td>9 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>+23</td>
<td>37 c</td>
<td>27 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Starved Control n=15</td>
<td>1 b</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td>43 c</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from one another at P = 0.05 according to the standard Student’s t-test.

Table 2. Summary of the major autopsal observations on rats from laboratory tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment/Group</th>
<th>Body fat</th>
<th>Red blood cell density</th>
<th>Urine Production</th>
<th>Large Intestines</th>
<th>Cecum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodetrol treated male</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>9.5 x 10^12 cells/L</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>Full, blood present in some specimens</td>
<td>Distended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodetrol treated female</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>8.8 x 10^12 cells/L</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Distended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control male</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>5.8 x 10^12 cells/L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control female</td>
<td>Abundant</td>
<td>5.8 x 10^12 cells/L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Full</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control starved</td>
<td>Little</td>
<td>4.7 x 10^12 cells/L</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Empty</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mouse mortality, mean body mass change and mean daily feed intake in laboratory mice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse Treatment Group</th>
<th>Total Mortality at Day 6</th>
<th>Mean % Body Mass Loss or Gain at Day 6 or Death</th>
<th>Mean Days to Death</th>
<th>Mean Daily Rodetrol or Feed Consumption (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female Treatment n=15</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>-27</td>
<td>4.3 a</td>
<td>1.4 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Treatment n=15</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>-32</td>
<td>4.8 b</td>
<td>1.45 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Starved Control n=15</td>
<td>3 b</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>5.5 c</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from one another at P = 0.05 according to the standard Student’s t-test.

Table 4. Ground squirrel mortality and mean body mass change.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ground Squirrel Treatment Group</th>
<th>Total Mortality at Day 0</th>
<th>Mean Body mass loss or gain at Day 0 or death (- or +)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female Treatment n=15</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Treatment n=15</td>
<td>15 a</td>
<td>-58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Control n=15</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td>-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male Starved Control n=15</td>
<td>1 b</td>
<td>-34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
rodent control was achieved. Laboratory studies indicated that 20 - 30 g of the material is required over the course of 2 - 4 days to kill mature Norway rats. In these experiments, the lethal dose range required to kill a rat is 0.05 - 0.3 g/g body mass (mean LD₅₀ for male rats = 0.1 g/g). Whisson et al. (2000) reported that when California ground squirrels, similarly sized to the rats used in this test, were fed a 0.01% diphacinone bait, animals required up to 36 g/animal over 3 feedings before death ensued, 9 days after the first exposure. Interestingly, the overall mean mortality rates in these studies were <65%. Similarly for house mice (Mus musculus), previous tests measured mortality when fed chlorophacinone and diphacinone oat baits in no-choice tests (Rowe and Redfern 1968). In these tests, mouse mortality was less than 50% when fed for 3 days at 0.025% chlorophacinone or diphacinone. Lund (1971) fed groups of 20 mice 0.025% chlorophacinone oat bait. Mortality was <5% for feeding periods of 1 - 5 days, 90% for 10 days, and 95% after 21 days. One mouse consumed 906 mg/kg of bait and survived.

These studies indicated an acceptable level of field rat and mouse control when the Rodetrol pellets were applied. These studies concur with previous studies (Sayre 2001; Morgan 2002a, b; Hoyer 2002) in which comprehensive testing indicated acceptable levels of control of commensal rodents. Recently, preliminary field tests performed on California ground squirrels have indicated that the Rodetrol product is also effective at killing these important pests (Grech 2003, unpubl. data).

Unlike conventional rodenticides, which are based on compounds with high mammalian toxicity (Corrigan, 2001, World Health Organization 2003), Rodetrol thus far appears specific to rodents and is not toxic to non-target species (Morgan 2003c). The preliminary evidence indicates a mode of action based primarily on a disruption to the water retentive mechanisms of the cecum and hindgut (Morgan and Eason 2003). As such, acquired tolerance to this material is unlikely. Rodetrol offers an alternative non-toxic approach to rodent control.

LITERATURE CITED

Table 5. Field rat control trial. Field pre-baiting take, Rodetrol take, and post-baiting take recorded at a waste processing facility in the U.K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batting Week</th>
<th>Mean amount of oats consumed weekly (g) per bait station (n = 19)</th>
<th>Mean amount of Rodetrol consumed weekly (g) per bait station (n = 19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (prebait)</td>
<td>271 a</td>
<td>291 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>248 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>28 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 (postbait)</td>
<td>0 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values followed by the same letter in the same column are not significantly different from one another at P = 0.01 according to the standard Students t-test.

Cory Waste Processing, Southend, U.K.

Initial prebaiting at the refuse accumulation site resulted in a large bait take (>200 g/bait station). Subsequent Rodetrol bait take was equally large during the second week and third weeks (>200g/bait station). By the fourth week, the Rodetrol weekly take had been reduced to <30 g/bait station. The operator of the site commented on the reduction in visual rat activity. Post-baiting with oats during the fifth week resulted in zero bait take (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Laboratory trials showed that Rodetrol, when presented in no-choice tests, required approximately 5 - 7 days to kill Norway rats, 4 - 9 days to kill ground squirrels and 2 - 5 days to kill mice. Field studies indicated that rat control is satisfactory even where abundant alternative food sources are available.

These studies have indicated that Rodetrol bait pellets can kill rodents and are consistent with previous studies on field control of rats and mice with Rodetrol (Havers 2000a,b; Havers 2001; Spurr et al. 2004), where satisfactory field
HOYER, K. 2002. Effects of Eradim rat on rats when fed ad libitum. 40 CFR 160-FIFRA. GLP Study GLP31755, Celsis Laboratory Group, St. Louis, MO.