# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

**Recent Work**

# **Title**

Crossed-beam Reaction of Carbon Atoms with Hydrocarbon Molecules III: Chemical Dynamics of Propynylidyne (1-C{sub 3}H;  $X$ {sup 2}{Pi}{sub j}) and Cyclopropynylidyne (c-C{sub 3}H; X{sup 2}B{sub 2}) Formation from Reaction of C{sup 3}P{sub j}) with Acety...

# **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/1v34m9fq>

# **Journal**

Journal of Chemical Physics, 106(5)

**Author** Kaiser, R.I.

**Publication Date** 1996-10-01



# ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY

Crossed-Beam Reaction of Carbon Atoms with Hydrocarbon Molecules III: Chemical Dynamics of Propynylidyne (l-C  $3H; X^2\Pi$ j) and Cyclopropynylidyne (c-C3H; X2B2) Formation from Reaction of  $C(3P_i)$ with Acetylene,  $C_2H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$ 

R.I. Kaiser, C. Ochsenfeld, M. Head-Gordon, Y.T. Lee, and A.G. Suits 



#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

#### LBNL-39442 UC-401

# **Crossed-Beam Reaction of Carbon Atoms with Hydrocarbon Molecules III:** Chemical Dynamics of Propynylidyne  $(L-C_3H; X^2\Pi_i)$  and Cyclopropynylidyne (c-C<sub>3</sub>H;  $X^2B_2$ ) Formation from Reaction of  $C(^3P<sub>j</sub>)$ with Acetylene,  $C_2H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$

## R.I. Kaiser, C. Ochsenfeld, M. Head-Gordon, Y.T. Lee, a and A.G. Suits

#### Department of Chemistry University of California, Berkeley

and

Chemical Sciences Division Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720

October 1996

apresent address: Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei, 11529, Taiwan

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

#### **ABSTRACT**

The reaction between ground state carbon atoms and propylene,  $C_3H_6$ , was studied at average collision energies of 23.3 and  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> using the crossed molecular beam technique. Product angular distributions and time-of-flight spectra of  $C_4H_5$  at m/e = 53 were recorded. Forward-convolution fitting of the data yields a maximum energy release as well as angular distributions consistent with the formation of methylpropargyl radicals. Reaction dynamics inferred from the experimental results suggests that the reaction proceeds on the lowest  $3A$  surface via an initial addition of the carbon atom to the  $\pi$ -orbital to form a triplet methylcyclopropylidene collision complex followed by ring opening to triplet 1,2-butadiene. Within 0.3- 0.6ps, 1,2-butadiene decomposes through carbon-hydrogen bond rupture to atomic hydrogen and methylpropargyl radials. The explicit identification of  $1-C_4H_5$  under single collision conditions represents a further example of a carbon-hydrogen exchange in reactions of ground state carbon with unsaturated hydrocarbons. This versatile machine represents an alternative pathway to build up unsaturated hydrocarbons chains in combustion processes, chemical vapor deposition, and in the interstellar medium.

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

Sophisticated combustion models of oxidative hydrocarbon flames postulate that synthesis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and formation of soot particles are strongly related and initiated by stepwise reaction of smaller hydrocarbon radicals to cyclohexadienyl  $(1)-(2)$  or benzene  $(3)$  [1-2]:

(1) i/n-C.Jls + C2H2 ~ l-C6H7

$$
i/n-C_4H_5+C_2H_2 \rightarrow c-C_6H_7
$$

$$
1/n-C_6H_7 \rightarrow C_6H_6 + H.
$$

However, the explicit mechanism to form distinct  $C_4H_5$  isomers is still elusive. Wang and coworker [3] as well as Millar et al. [2] assume reaction of vinyl radicals with acetylene via a long-lived, rovibrationally excited (\*)  $C_4H_5$  adduct which fragments either to  $C_4H_4$  or is stabilized in a third body collision (M):

(4) 
$$
C_2H_3 + C_2H_2 \to C_4H_5^*
$$

$$
C_4H_5^* \to C_4H_4 + H
$$

$$
C_4H_5^* + M \rightarrow C_4H_5 + M
$$

Weissman et al. pointed out reaction (4) should lead exclusively to n-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub> [4], but isomerization might transform iso to normal isomers via (7) [2], Fig. 1:

(7) 
$$
i-C_4H_5 + H \rightarrow n-C_4H_5 + H.
$$

The spectroscopic identification of CH radicals even in oxidative hydrocarbon flames opens an alternative pathway to  $C_4H_5$  isomers [5], since atomic hydrogen reacts in these media with CH to atomic carbon and molecular hydrogen (8) followed by a likely addition of  $C(^3P_i)$  to the carbon-carbon double bond of a propylene to form an internally excited (\*) triplet  $C_4H_6$  isomer (9). This collision complex can decompose via hydrogen emission to  $C_4H_5$ :

(8) 
$$
CH(X^{2}\Pi_{1/2}) + H({}^{2}S_{1/2}) \rightarrow C({}^{3}P_{i}) + H_{2}(X^{1}\Sigma_{g}^{+})
$$

(9) 
$$
C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6 \rightarrow C_4H_6^* \rightarrow C_4H_5 + H.
$$

Besides their latent combustion relevance, propylene and  $C_4H_5$  isomers are expected to contribute to interstellar chemistry. Although neither  $C_3H_6$  nor  $C_4H_5$  have been identified in the interstellar medium (ISM) explicitly, the extraterrestrial propylene existence seems reasonable since unsubstituted  $C_2H_4$  was detected in the circumstellar envelope of the evolved carbon star IRC+10216 and the two lowest alkynes acetylene  $(C_2H_2)$  and methylacetylene (CH<sub>3</sub>CCH) are ubiquitous in the ISM [6]. Beyond its interstellar relevance, Voyager data depict propylene in Titan's and in the upper Neptunian atmosphere [7-10]. Recent MeV and keV-ion induced collision cascade simulations in hydrocarbon ices [11] as well as planetary atmospheres [12] show a production rate of, e.g., 70 suprathermal knock-on carbon atoms per impinging 12 keV carbon atom originating from the solar radiation field. Since the knock-on atoms are born with kinetic energies up to 1keV, they cannot form stable chemical bonds and survive reducing planetary atmospheres to kinetic energies less than ca. lOeV. At the endpoints of their trajectories, atomic carbon can react with unsaturated hydrocarbons such as propylene via reaction (9).

3

Despite the astrochemical and combustion potential, the characterization of the doublet  $C_4H_5$ potential energy surface (PES) has been neglected. Low and high pressure pyrolysis experiments indicate that 1- or 3-methylpropargyl (1)/(2) hold the global minimum  $(\Delta_f H(1) = 295 \pm 10 kJmol^{-1}; \Delta_f H(2) = 294 \pm 3 kJmol^{-1})$ [13-16]. Two less stable radicals, 1- and 3-butadienyl (3) and (4), follow with  $\Delta_f H(3)=344\pm10kJmol^{-1}$  and  $\Delta_f H(4)=357\pm 10$  kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively [3,16-17]. Additionally, the enthalpy of formation of the bicyclo[1.1.0]butyl, (5), as well as the butynyl-1 radical, (6), were determined to  $427\pm33$  and  $485\pm17$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> [18]. Due to their high reactivity, strained  $C_4H_5$  isomers (7)-(10) could only be identified in solid matrices at 77 K via ESR spectroscopy after irradiating distinct hydrocarbon precursors with  $Co<sup>60</sup>$ - $\gamma$  rays, but thermodynamical data are missing [19-24]. Finally, a methylallyl isomer which even resisted matrix trapping was stabilized by metal atoms as a  $\mu_3$ -diosmiumate complex (11) [25].

The work reported here is part of an ongoing project to elucidate the potential energy surfaces and chemical reaction dynamics of carbon atoms in their  $C(^3P_j)$  electronic ground state with unsaturated hydrocarbons under single collision conditions. Papers  $I - III$  disclosed precise information on the formation of propargyl (10) [26], butatrienyl/ $\alpha$ -ethinylvinyl (11) [27], and tricarbon-hydrides (12a/b) [28-30]:

(10) 
$$
C(^{3}P_{j}) + C_{2}H_{4}(X^{1}A_{g}) \rightarrow I-C_{3}H_{3}(X^{2}B_{2}) + H(^{2}S_{1/2})
$$

(11) 
$$
C({}^{3}P_{j}) + CH_{3}CCH(X^{\dagger}A_{1}) \rightarrow n-C_{4}H_{5}(X?) + H({}^{2}S_{1/2})
$$

(12a) 
$$
C({}^{3}P_{j}) + C_{2}H_{2}(X^{\frac{1}{2}}\Sigma_{g}^{+}) \rightarrow c-C_{3}H(X^{2}B_{2}) + H({}^{2}S_{1/2})
$$

$$
\rightarrow 1-C_3H(X^2\Pi_j) + H(^2S_{1/2})
$$

and initially formed triplet collision complexes. Here, we investigate the detailed chemical dynamics of  $C(^3P_i)$  reactive encounters with propylene at nominal collision energies of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup> and 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup> to tetracarbon hydrides C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>x</sub> (x = 0 - 5) of potential interstellar and combustion chemistry relevance.

#### **II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP**

Reactive scattering experiments are performed in a universal crossed molecular beam apparatus [31]. Briefly, a pulsed supersonic carbon beam was generated via laser ablation of graphite at 266 nm from a quadrupled Nd:YAG laser [32]. The present design of our carbon source differs slightly from ref. [32]. Both micro switches that triggered the polarity switch of the stepper motor were replaced by a resistance based position indicator interfaced to the rotating graphite rod. This improved version prevents shorting of the microswitch circuit as graphite condenses during the operation. The 30 Hz, 35-40mJ laser output is focused onto a rotating carbon rod, and ablated carbon atoms are seeded into neon (99.999%, Bay Area Gas) or helium (99.999%, Bay Area Gas) released by a Proch-Trickl pulsed valve. A four slot chopper wheel is mounted after the ablation zone and selects a 9.0 $\mu$ s segment of the seeded carbon beam. Table 1 compiles the

experimental beam conditions. The pulsed carbon beam and a continuous propylene (99.995 %, Matheson) beam with 558±11 torr backing pressure pass through skimmers and cross at 90° in the interaction region of the scattering chamber at relative collision energies of  $23.3$  and  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Reactively scattered products were detected in the plane of the beams using a rotatable detector with a Brink-type electron-impact ionizer [33], quadrupole mass filter, and a Daly ion detector [34] at different laboratory angles between  $5.0^0$  and  $60.0<sup>0</sup>$  with respect to the carbon beam. Velocity distributions of the products were recorded using the time-offlight (TOF) technique. Reference angles were chosen at  $55^{\circ}$  and  $40^{\circ}$  to calibrate fluctuating carbon beam intensities and mass dial settings at the quadrupole controller.

For the physical interpretation of the scattering data it is necessary to transform the laboratory data into the center-of-mass (CM) reference frame. A forward-convolution routine is used to fit the TOF spectra and the product angular distribution in the laboratory frame (LAB) [35-36]. This procedure initially guesses the angular flux distribution  $T(\theta)$  and the translational energy flux distribution  $P(E_T)$  in the center-of-mass system (CM). TOF spectra and LAB distribution are calculated from  $T(\theta)$  and  $P(E_T)$  and refined iteratively until a reasonable fit is achieved. The ultimate outcome is the generation of a velocity flux contour map showing the intensity as. a function of angle and velocity in the CM frame. This map serves as an image of the reaction and contains all information of the scattering process.

#### III. RESULTS

#### A. REACTIVE SCATTERING SIGNAL

Reactive scattering signal was only detected at  $m/e = 53$ , i.e. C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, c.f. Figs. 2-5. TOF spectra of lower m/e values between 52 and 48 were monitored and reveal identical patterns. Therefore, this signal originates in cracking of the  $C_4H_5$  parent in the ionizer, and exothermic channels 7 - 9 are absent within detection limits (Tab. 2). Additionally, no radiative association to  $C_4H_6$  (m/e=54) or higher masses were

observed. Endothermic channels 10 and 11 could not be opened at relative collision energies up to  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> employed in our experiments.

# B. LABORATORY ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS (LAB) AND TOF SPECTRA

Figures 2 and 3 show the most probable Newton diagrams of the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6 (X^1A^3)$ together with the laboratory angular distributions (LAB) of the  $C_4H_5$  product at collision energies of 23.3 and  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. At higher energy, the carbon beam contains contributions from electronically excited  $C^{1}D_{2}$ ) state, whose reaction dynamics with acetylene, ethylene, methylacetylene, and propylene are subject of a forthcoming article [37]. As the collision energy  $E_{coll}$  rises, the maximum of the LAB distribution shifts from the center-of-mass angle  $\theta_{CM}$ =52.7±0.9° (E<sub>coll</sub>=23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>) to a slightly forward position of about 40.0° versus  $\theta_{CM}$ =42.5±1.0° (E<sub>coll</sub>=45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>; C(<sup>3</sup>P<sub>j</sub>) contribution only). These data suggest a reduced lifetime of the decomposing  $C_4H_6$  complex with rising collision energy. Further, both LAB distributions are very broad and spread about  $50^0$  in the scattering plane. This finding proposes a large energy release into translational degrees of freedom of the  $C_4H_5$  and H products as well as a center-of-mass translational energy distribution peaking away from zero. Comparison of the scattering range at lower energy with the limit circle of the methylpropargyl isomers correlates with the signal cut off at 25° and suggests a significant contribution of these isomers to the reactive scattering signal.

## C. CENTER-OF-MASS TRANSLATIONAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTIONS,  $P(E_T)$

The translational energy distributions  $P(E_T)$  and the angular distributions  $T(\theta)$  in the center-of-mass frame are presented in Figs. 6-7. Best fits of TOF spectra and LAB distributions were achieved with  $P(E_T)$ s extending to  $E_{\text{max}} = 200{\text -}225 \text{kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}$  and 260-280kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These energy cut-offs can be utilized to identify the product isomer if their energetics are well separated. At lower collision energy,  $E_{\text{max}}$  suggests formation of methylpropargyl and/or 1,3-butadienyl radicals within the error limits., c.f. Fig 1 and Tab. 3. At

 $E_{\text{coll}}$  = 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, the maximum translational energy is consistent with the formation of methylpropargyl isomers. Further, the most probable translational energy yields an order-of-magnitude of the barrier height in the exit channel. Both  $P(E_T)$ s show a broad plateau between 15-60kJmol<sup>-1</sup> indicating a tight exit transition state as well as a significant electron density change from the  $C_4H_6$  complex to the products. A potential energy barrier in the exit channel is further indicated by the large fraction of total available energy released into translational motion of the products, i.e.  $31\pm 4$  % and  $34\pm 3$ % at 23.3 and 45.0 kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

#### **D. CENTER-OF-MASS ANGULAR DISTRIBUTIONS, T(8)**

As the collision energy rises, the shape of both  $T(\theta)$ s changes significantly. At lower collision energy, the T( $\theta$ ) is isotropic and symmetric around  $\pi/2$  suggesting that the decomposing C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> complex has a lifetime longer than its rotational period or that the exit transition state is symmetric [26, 38-39]. With rising collision energy, the center-of-mass angular distribution peaks forward with respect to the carbon beam and shows an intensity ratio at the poles of  $I(0^{\circ})/I(180^{\circ})=6\pm3$ . This trend is reflected in the center-of-mass flux contour maps  $I(\theta) \sim T(\theta) * P(E_T)$  as well, c.f. Figs. 8 -9. Our results strongly indicate a reduced lifetime of the C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>6</sub> intermediate and suggest an osculating complex: a complex formation takes place, but the well depth along the reaction coordinate is too shallow to allow multiple rotations, and the complex decomposes with a random lifetime distribution before one full rotation elapses. In section IV, we identify this complex and estimate its lifetime. Further, the forward peaking at higher collision energy requires that the carbon atom and the leaving hydrogen atom must be located on opposite sites of the rotation axis of the fragmenting complex.

The lack of polarization of the angular distribution at  $E_{coll}=23.3$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> is the result of a poor correlation between the initial and final angular momentum vectors, **L** and L', respectively [26, 38-39]. Calculating the maximum impact parameter  $b_{max}$  and the maximum orbital angular momentum  $L_{max}$  within the orbiting limit and approximating the Lennard-Jones coefficient  $C_6$  according to Hirschfelder et al. [40]

with the ionization potentials  $E_{C(3Pi)} = 11.76$  eV,  $E_{C3H6} = 10.36$  eV, and polarizabilities  $\alpha_{C(3Pi)} = 1.76*10^{-30}$ 

 $m^3$ ,  $\alpha_{\text{C}3H6} = 6.24 * 10^{-30} m^3$  [41],  $b_{\text{max}}$  yields  $b_{\text{max}}(23.3 \text{ kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}) = 3.7 \text{ Å}$ ,  $b_{\text{max}}(45.0 \text{ kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}) = 3.3 \text{ Å}$ ,  $L_{\text{max}}(23.3 \text{ kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}) = 122 \hbar$  and  $L_{\text{max}}(45.0 \text{ kJ} \text{mol}^{-1}) = 151 \hbar$ . If we compare this order-of-magnitude calculation with the final orbital angular momentum L' as derived from acceptable exit impact parameters, c.f. V. and Fig. 10, we find  $L < 0.2$  L'. Therefore, most of the initial orbital angular momentum channels into rotational excitation of the  $C_4H_5$  products to yield a flat angular distribution at lower collision energy. This result is the direct consequence of large impact parameters leading to  $C_4H_6$  complex formation and the inability of the hydrogen atom to carry away a significant amount of orbital angular momentum.

#### **V. DISCUSSION**

In this section, we investigate energetically feasible reaction pathways on the triplet  $C_4H_6$  PES via insertion of the electrophile carbon atoms into the C-H- and C-C-bonds of propylene as well as addition to the  $\pi$ -molecular orbital. The experimental CM angular and translational energy distributions are then compared to what is expected based on these postulated channels. Since no  $C_4H_6$  intermediate fulfills requirements for intersystem crossing [42], the discussion is restricted to the triplet surface. We establish that  $C(^3P_i)$  interacts with the  $\pi$ -electron density to form methylcyclopropylidene followed by ring opening to triplet 1,2-butadiene. This complex decomposes to a methylpropargyl radical and atomic hydrogen.

#### **A. INSERTION PATHWAY**

As outlined in the previous section, large impact parameters up to  $b = 3.7\text{\AA}$  contribute predominantly to the reactive scattering signal. If we compare this dimension with impact parameters to  $C(^3P_i)$  insertion into the olefinic C-H- and C-C as well as aliphatic C-H bonds of propylene, we estimate an upper limit of only

1.4, 0.9, and 1.5A. Therefore, any insertion can be very likely ruled out. This conclusion correlates strongly with related systems studied recently in our group. Here, no  $C^{3}P_i$ ) insertion into an aliphatic C-H-bonds of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  [43] and CH<sub>3</sub> group in methylacetylene was found [27]. Further, the chemical dynamics of the  $C(^3P_i)/C_2H_4$  system are solely determined by the interaction of the carbon atom with the  $\pi$ -electron density, and no insertion into the olefinic C-H-bond was verified [26]. Finally, the hydrogen atoms screen the aliphatic C-C-bond in propylene from insertion: even hot atom tracer experiments with  ${}^{11}C(^{3}P_{i})$  show no insertion into C-C-single bonds [ 44].

#### **B. ADDITION PATHWAY**

The reaction dynamics of the title reaction are controlled by addition of the carbon atom to the olefinic carbon-carbon bond of the propylene molecule under  $C_1$  symmetry to form methylcyclopropylidene, c.f. Fig. 10. This pathway does not require solely an on-axis approach of the  $C(^3P_i)$  p-orbital toward the  $\pi$ molecular orbital, but permits trajectories in which p-orbitals are skewed with respect to the propylene plane. This opens larger impact parameters than typical  $C_3H_6$  bond dimensions compared to the large b dominated opacity function as discussed in IV.D. Initially,  $C(^3P_i)$  attacks either the  $\alpha$ -carbon atom, i.e. the neighboring carbon atom to the CH<sub>3</sub> group, or the  $\beta$ -C atom holding the CH<sub>2</sub> unit prior to ring closure. If we apply the framework of regioselectivity of electrophilic attacks to substituted olefines [45], we discriminate between these two options. This concept predicts the electrophilic attack directed preferentially to the carbon center retaining the maximum spin density of the first excited  ${}^{3}\pi\pi*$  state. Since ab *initio* calculations show that the  $\beta$ -C-atom holds a spin density of 1.232 versus 1.216 at the  $\alpha$ -C, C(<sup>3</sup>P<sub>i</sub>) should attack preferentially the  $\beta$ position. In addition, the methyl group reduces the cone of acceptance on the  $\alpha$ -C-atom as well and directs

the approach even more to the  $\beta$ -position. The pathway to methylcyclopropylidene correlates with previous  ${}^{11}C(^{3}P_{i})$  bulk experiments, in which cyclopropylidene intermediates were trapped as spiranes [44].

The fate of the methylcyclopropylidene is governed either by hydrogen migration to methylcyclopropane isomers or conrotatory ring opening to cis/trans 1,2-butadiene. Any H rearrangement, however, can be excluded: the methylcyclopropane isomers would undergo a subsequent C-H-bond rupture to a carbontricycle. Even the energetically most favorable isomer, methylcyclopropenyl, is at least ca. 100kJmol<sup>-1</sup> less stable than methylpropargyl. Therefore, the reaction exothermicity of only 130kJmol<sup>-1</sup> cannot account for the high energy cut-offs of both translational energy distributions. Therefore, the conrotatory ring opening to 1,2-butadiene remains the only open path. This ring opening can proceed via a clockwise-clockwise (I) as well as counterclockwise-counterclockwise (II) rotation of the  $CH_2$  and  $CH(CH_3)$  units, c.f. Fig. 11. Pathway I leads solely to cis 1,2-butadiene, whereas II forms only the trans isomer. Since an enhanced repulsive potential between the CH3 group and the hydrogen atom is expected in pathway I versus two interacting H atoms (pathway II), the transition state in I is anticipated to be energetically less favorable. Hence, trans-1,2-F butadiene should be formed preferentially. Since no *ab initio* frequencies of the transition states are available, we cannot quantify the relative fraction of the clockwise versus counterclockwise direction.

1,2-butadiene either undergoes hydrogen rearrangement to 1,3 butadiene, dimethyacetylene, or ethylacetylene or fragments via C-H bond rupture to methylpropargyl. Since these H-migrations are symmetry allowed, reaction to 1,3-butadiene is expected to involve a barrier much less than at least  $140 \text{kJmol}^{-1}$  from. 1,2 butadiene to acetylene derivatives. However, both acetylene derivatives can likely be excluded from further discussion because the reaction proceeds via the lowest energy pathway. In addition, the rigorous identification of methylpropargyl at collision energy of  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> explicitly rules out a [1,3]-H-shift to 1,3butadiene, since the last would decompose solely to 1,3-butadienyl-112. Even at our lowest applied collision energy, the formation of 1,3-butadienyl-2 seems rather unlikely. First, only the lowest limit of our high

energy  $P(E_T)$  cut-off overlaps with the maximum error as expected from thermodynamical data. Further, an increase in collision energy by only  $20kJmol^{-1}$  hardly explains the formation of only 1,3-butadienyl-2 at lower, but methylpropargyl radicals at higher collision energy. Therefore, we conclude the reaction most likely proceeds via 1,2-butadiene and a carbon-hydrogen bond cleavage to methylpropargylene. Since no symmetry element is conserved from the initial addition to the final fragmentation step, the reaction proceeds on the  ${}^{3}$ A surface.

If we compare these dynamics with those of the reaction  $C(^3P_i) + C_2H_4$  to propargyl and atomic hydrogen  $[26]$ , we find that the additional modes of the CH<sub>3</sub> group in the triplet 1,2-butadiene intermediate enhance the lifetime of the decomposing complex as compared to triplet allene. This yields a symmetric center-of-mass angular distribution at  $23.3 \text{kJmol}^{-1}$  collision energy as the result of a decomposing 1,2butadiene complex holding a lifetime equal or exceeding its rotational period. As the collision energy increases, the angular distribution changes to a more forward peaked one as a consequence of the reduced 1,2-butadiene lifetime. The alternative interpretation of a symmetric exit transition state contributing to a symmetric angular distribution can be ruled out, since the fragmenting 1,2-butadiene belongs to the  $C_1$  point group, and no H-atoms can be interconverted to depart with equal probability into the center-of mass angles  $\theta$ and  $\pi$ - $\theta$ .

# C. ROTATION AXIS AND LIFETIME OF THE 1,2-BUTADIENE COMPLEX

In the following paragraph, we investigate the rotational motion of the cis/trans 1,2-butadiene complexes to discriminate between 1- and 3-methylpropargyl. Since the angular distribution is forward peaked at higher collision energy, this requires the attacking carbon atom and the leaving hydrogen to be located on opposite sites of the rotation axis. Therefore, trans 1,2-butadiene complexes excited to B and Clike rotations decompose solely through  $C-H_1$ -rupture to 3-methylpropargylene. On the other hand, the cis isomer can fragment via  $C-H<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>3</sub>$  (A-rotations) as well as  $C-H<sub>2</sub>-rupture$  (C-rotations; C-axis perpendicllar to the molecular plane) to 1-methylpropargyl, but via  $C-H_1$  cleavage (B-rotations) to 3-methylpropargyl.

We reduce the feasible rotational axis further, if we estimate the lifetime of 1,2-butadiene in terms of the osculating complex model. Based on the intensity ratio of 6±3 at the poles of the angular distribution, the lifetime is calculated to be approximately one third of a rotation period, i.e. 0.02-0.05ps (A-axis), and 0.3- 0.55ps (B/C-axes), c.f. Table 3. Since reaction with collision times less then 0.1ps follow direct dynamics [46],  $T(\theta)$  should be strongly forward peaked at the collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Therefore, rotations around the A axis of cis/trans 1,2-butadiene can be clearly ruled out and only B and/or C-like rotations account for the reactive scattering signal. Here, the almost in-plane C-like rotations give rise to extremely low K states of 1,2-butadiene. This in-plane rotation could be associated with preferentially low K values populated in the methylpropargyl product (V.D).

## **D. EXIT TRANSITION STATE AND ENERGY PARTITIONING**

Since both translational energy distributions  $P(E_T)$ s peak at 15-60kJmol<sup>-1</sup>, the C-H-bond rupture in triplet 1 ,2-butadiene does not represent an ideal RRKM system with a loose transition state. Our data rather indicate a significant geometry change from the fragmenting complex to the methylpropargyl radicals as evident from carbon-carbon bond lengths reduced by 0.1-0.2A. Further, the bond angle of the allene-subunit in 1,2-butadiene opens up by  $50-55^\circ$  to a linear C-C-C-chain. The order of magnitude of the exit barrier is consistent when compared to the reaction  $C(^3P_i) + C_2H_4 \rightarrow 1-C_3H_3 + H$  [26]. Here, the allene intermediate fragments to propargyl radicals and atomic hydrogen, and the barrier ranges between  $28-43$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup>.

In addition, we analyse the partition of total available energy into product rotation, vibration, and translation. This enables us to compare the collision energy dependent fractional energy release in the vibrational degrees of freedom. When these data are compared to the  $C/C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  system, the role of the

propylenic CH3 group to boost the lifetime of the fragmenting complex is elucidated. Since both methylpropargyl radicals represent prolate asymmetric tops with asymmetry parameters  $K = -0.99$  and  $-0.81$ . 3-and 1-methylpropargyl, respectively, their energy levels are approximated as those of an ideal, rigid symmetric top. Since our crossed beam experiments cannot resolve the rotational structure, no information on the K distribution can be supplied. Hence we estimate the maximum vibrational energy release choosing K=0. Our calculation reveals an almost constant fraction of  $57\pm2\%$  channeling into the vibration, c.f. Table 4. The reaction  $C^{3}P_1$ ) + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>  $\rightarrow$  l-C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub> + H [26], however, shows a decreasing fraction of vibrational energy release from 50 to 43 % in the triplet allene intermediate as the collision energy rises. These patterns clearly indicate an enhanced partition of the total available energy into vibration as the vibrational degrees of freedom rise from 15 (decomposing allene complex,  $C_3H_4$ ) to 24 (fragmenting 1,2-butadiene,  $C_4H_6$ ).

### **VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The reaction between ground state carbon atoms,  $C(^3P_i)$ , and propylene,  $C_3H_6(X^1A^3)$ , was studied at average collision energies of 23.3 and  $45.0$ kJmol<sup>-1</sup> using the crossed molecular beam technique. This reaction proceeds on the  $3A$  surface via an addition of the carbon atom to the  $\pi$ -orbital to form triplet methylcyclopropylidene, followed by ring opening to cis/trans-1,2-butadiene. Within 0.3-0.6 ps, this complex decomposes via carbon-hydrogen bond rupture to atomic hydrogen and methylpropargyl radicals. Compared to the  $C(^3P_i)$  $\mathcal{C}_2H_4$  system studied recently in our group [26], the methyl group enhances the lifetime of the decomposing intermediate and reduces the cone of acceptance of the approaching carbon atom to propylene. This effects the approach geometries significantly and closes the strongly forward-scattered rnicrochannel to high Kstates excited propargyl isomer,  $1-C_3H_3$ , as found in [26]. Finally, the CH<sub>3</sub> group breaks the symmetry of the reaction surface to <sup>3</sup>A vs <sup>3</sup>A" as found in  $C(^3P_i)/C_2H_4$  system. The explicit identification of l-C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>5</sub> under single collision conditions represents an additional example of a carbon-hydrogen exchange in reactions of

ground state carbon with unsaturated hydrocarbons. This versatile concept represents an alternative pathway to form hydrocarbon radicals in combustion processes, chemical vapor deposition, and the interstellar medium.

Further investigations of this reaction should focus on the hitherto unresolved isomer assignment, i.e. 1- versus 3-methylpropargyl. If the adiabatic ionization potentials differ by more than O.SeV, this system · resembles an ideal candidate to be investigated via VUV photon induced ionization on the Chemical Dynamics Bearnline at the Advanced Light Source (ALS). Further, photolysis of the reaction products at 193nm in the interaction region yields  $H + C_4H_4$  or  $C_2H + C_2H_4$  (1-methylpropargyl), but  $CH_3+C_3H_2$  and  $C_3H_3+CH_2$  in the case of 3-methylpropargyl. Finally, partially deuterated propylene represents an excellent option: if the 1,2-3-deutero-butadiene complex fragments via C-D-bond rupture, we will detect 1 methylpropargyl at  $m/e=53$ ; H atom loss, however, gives rise to  $m/e=54$  pattern. The mission continues ......

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

R.I.K. is indebted the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for a post-doctoral fellowship. We thank the electronics shop, Department of Chemistry, UC Berkeley, for designing the new resistance triggered polarity switchbox of our carbon source. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

- [1] P.R. Westmoreland, A.M. Dean, J.B. Howard, J.P. Longwell, J. Phys. Chern. 93, 8171 (1989).
- [2] J.A. Millar, C.F. Melius, Comb. Flame, 91, 21 (1992).
- [3] H. Wang, M. Frenklach, J.Phys. Chem., 98, 11465 (1994).
- [4] M.A. Weissman, S.W. Benson, J. Phys. Chem. 92, 4080 (1988).
- [5] W.C. Hung, M.L. Huang, Y.C. Lee, Y.P. Lee, J.Chem. Phys. 103, 9941 (1995).
- [6] E. Herbst, Angew. Chemie 102, 627 (1990).
- [7] Symposium on Titan, ESA, ESA-SP 338, (ESTEC, Noordwijk) 1992.
- [8] J.I. Lunine, CEN, 52 (1995).
- [9] D. Toublanc, J.P. Parisot, J. Brillet, D. Gautier, F. Raulin, C.P. McKay, Icarus 113, 2 (1995).
- [10] K.H. Baines, M.E. Mickelson, L.E. Larson, D.W. Ferguson, Icarus, 114, 328 (1995).
- [11] R.I.Kaiser, K.Roessler, Ap.J.(in press).
- [12] A.M. Mansour, H.A. Elkhalk, K. Roessler, Rep Jiil-3002 (1994).
- [13] K. Ohta, M. Shiotani, J. Sohma, A. Hasegawa, M.C.R. Symons, Chern. Phys.Let. 136,465 (1987).
- [14] T.T. Nguyen, K.D. King, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 3130 (1981).
- [15] T.T. Nguyen, K.D. King, Int. J. Chern. Kin., 14, 613 (1982).
- [16] R.D. Kern, H.J. Singh, C.H. Wu, Int. J. Chem. Kin., 20, 731 (1988).
- [17] R.P. Duran, V.. Amorebieta, A.J. Colussi, J. Phys. Chem., 92, 636 (1988).
- [18] P.K. Chou, S.R. Kass, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 113, 697 (1991).
- [19] P.J. Krusic, J.P. Jesson, J.K. Kochi, JACS., 91,4566 (1969).
- [20] C. Roberts, J.C. Watson, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin. Trans. 879 (1983).
- [21] F.W. King, H.. Schlegel, J. Magn. Res., 22, 389 (1976).
- [22] T.T. Nguyen, K.D. King, Int. J. Chern. Kinetics, 14, 613 (1982).
- [23] T.T. Nguyen, K.D. King, J. Phys. Chem., 85, 3130 (1981).
- [24] S. Olivena, A. Scole, J. Am. Chern. Soc., 113, 87 (1991).
- [25] H. Chen, B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, D. Braga, F. Grepioni, J. Oragnometallic Chem. 398, 159 (1990).
- [26] R.I. Kaiser, Y.T.Lee, A.G. Suits, J. Chem. Phys. (in press).
- [27] R.I. Kaiser, D. Stranges, Y.T. Lee, A.G. Suits, J. Chem. Phys. (in press).
- [28] R.I.Kaiser, Y.T. Lee, A.G. Suits, J. Chem. Phys. 103, 10395 (1995).
- [29] R.I. Kaiser, C.O. Ochsenfeld, M. Head-Gordon, Y.T. Lee, A.G. Suits, J. Chem. Phys. (submitted).
- [30] C. Ochsenfeld, R.I. Kaiser, Y.T.Lee, A.G. Suits, M. Head-Gordorn, J. Chern. Phys (submitted).
- [31] Y.T. Lee, J.D.McDonald, P.R.LeBreton, D.R. Herschbach, Rev. Sci. Instr40, 1402 (1969).
- [32] R.I. Kaiser, A.G. Suits, Rev. Sci. Instr. 66, 5405-5410 (1995).
- [33] G.O. Brink, Rev. Sci. Instr. 37, 857 (1966).
- [34] N.R. Daly, Rev. Sci. Instr. 31, 264 (1960).
- [35] M.S. Weis, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Berkeley (1986).
- [36] M. Vernon, LBL-Report 12422 (1981).
- [37] R.I. Kaiser, D. Stranges, Y.T. Lee, A.G. Suits, J. Chern. Phys. (to be submitted).
- [38] W.B. Miller, S.A. Safron, D.R. Herschbach, Discuss. Faraday. Society 44, 108, 291(1967).
- : [39] W.B. Miller, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge (1969).
	- [40] J.O. Hirschfelder, C.F. Curtiss, R.B. Bird, Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids, New York, Wiley (1954).
	- [41] Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, Boca Raton (1995).
	- [42] N.J. Turro, Modem Molecular Photochemistry, University Science Books, Milla Valley (1991).
	- [43] R.I. Kaiser, Y.T. Lee, A.G. Suits, unpublished.
	- [44] see discussion in ref. [26].
	- [45] S.S. Shaik, E. Canadell, J.Am. Chem. Soc. 112, 1446 (1990).
	- [46] R.D. Levine, and R.B. Bernstein, Molecular Reaction Dynamics and Chemical Reactivity,
		- Oxford University Press, Oxford (1987).

Fig. 1. Structures of distinct  $C_4H_5$  isomers. Enthalpies of formations are given in the text.

Fig. 2. Lower: Newton diagram for the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. The circle stands for the maximum center-of-mass recoil velocity assuming no internal excitation. Upper: Laboratory angular distribution of product channel at  $m/e = 53$ . Circles and  $1\sigma$  error bars indicate experimental data, the solid lines the calculated distributions for the upper and lower carbon beam velocity. C.M. designates the center-of-mass angle. The solid lines point to distinct laboratory angles whose TOFs are shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 3. Lower: Newton diagram for the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. The circle stands for the maximum center-of-mass recoil velocity assuming no internal excitation. Upper: Laboratory angular distribution of product channel at  $m/e = 53$ . Circles and  $1\sigma$  error bars indicate experimental data, the solid lines the calculated distributions for the upper and lower carbon beam velocity. Dotted lines show the contribution from  $C({^1D_2})$ , dashed lines from  $C({^3P_j})$ . C.M. designates the center-ofmass angle. The solid lines point to distinct laboratory angles whose TOFs are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 4. Time-of-flight data at rnle = 53 for laboratory angles 35.0, 40.0, 50.0, *52.5,* 55.0, and 60.0° at a collision energy of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Open circles represent experimental data, the solid line the fit. TOF spectra have been normalized to the relative intensity at each angle.

Fig. 5. Time-of-flight data at m/e = 53 for laboratory angles 25.0, 30.0, 35.0, 40.0, 45.0, and 50.0° at a collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Open circles represent experimental data, the solid line the fit. Dotted lines show the contribution from  $C^{1}D_{2}$ ), dashed lines from  $C^{3}P_{i}$ ). TOF spectra have been normalized to the relative intensity at each angle.

Fig. 6. Lower: Center-of-mass angular flux distribution for the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Upper: Center-of-mass translational energy flux distribution for the reaction  $C(^3P_j)$  +  $C_3H_6(X^1A')$  at a collision energy of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Dashed and solid lines limit the range of acceptable fits within  $1\sigma$  error bars.

Fig. 7. Lower: Center-of-mass angular flux distribution for the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Upper: Center-of-mass translational energy flux distribution for the reaction  $C(^3P)$  +  $C_3H_6(X^1A)$  at a collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>. Dashed and solid lines limit the range of acceptable fits within  $1\sigma$  error bars.

Fig. 8. Contour flux map distribution for the reaction  $C({}^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 23.3kJmol<sup>-1</sup>.

Fig. 9. Contour flux map distribution for the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^1A^r)$  at a collision energy of 45.0kJmol<sup>-1</sup>.

Fig. 10. Schematic representation of the lowest energy pathways on the triplet  $C_4H_6$  PES and structures of potentially involved collision complexes. ?: no information available [13-25, 41].

Fig. 11. Schematic representation of methycyclopropylidene ring opening to triplet cis/trans 1,2-butadiene. Top: clockwise-clockwise: bottom: counterclockwise-counterclockwise.

Tab. 1: Experimental beam conditions and  $1\sigma$  errors: most probable velocity  $v_0$ , speed ratio S, most probable relative collision energy with the propylene molecules,  $E_{coll}$ , center-of-mass angle,  $\theta_{CM}$ , and composition of the carbon beam. ?: no information available.



Tab. 2: Thermochemistry of the reaction  $C(^3P_j) + C_3H_6(X^TA)$  to  $C_4H_x$  products. Channels were calculated for the most stable isomer, i.e.  $C_4H_4$  (butatriene; channel 7),  $C_4H_3$  (butatrienyl/ $\alpha$ -ethinylvinyl; channel 8),  $C_4H_2$  (diacetylene; channel 9),  $C_4H$  (tetracarbonhydride; channel 10), and  $C_4$  (tetracarbon, channel 11). The symmetry of the electronic wave function of  $C_4H_3$  holds  $X^2B_2$  or $X^2A$ <sup>\*</sup>' (see discussion in reference [26])

#	exit channel	free reaction enthalpy at 0 K, $\Delta_R H(0 K)$ , kJmol <sup>-1</sup>
	HCCCHCH <sub>3</sub> (X <sup>2</sup> A'') + H ( <sup>2</sup> S <sub>1/2</sub> )	$-220 \pm 10$
2	$CH_3CCH_2(X^2B_1) + H(^2S_{1/2})$	$-221 \pm 3$
3	$H_2CHCCCH_2(X^2A')+H(^2S_{1/2})$	$-171 \pm 10$
	$H_2CHCHCHC (X^2A') + H(^2S_{1/2})$	$-158 \pm 10$
	c-C <sub>4</sub> H <sub>5</sub> (X <sup>2</sup> A')+ H ( <sup>2</sup> S <sub>1/2</sub> )	$-88 \pm 33$
6	$C_2H_5CC (X^2A')+H(^2S_{1/2})$	$-30 \pm 17$
	$C_4H_4(X^1A_g) + H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$	$-442 \pm 2$
8	$C_4H_3(X^2B_2/X^2A'')+H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)+H(^2S_{1/2})$	$-5 \pm 35$
9	$C_4H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$ + 2 $H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+)$	$-279 \pm 12$
10	$C_4H (X^2\Sigma^+) + 2 H_2(X^1\Sigma_g^+) + H(^2S_{1/2})$	$+243 \pm 5$
11	$C_4 (X^3 \Sigma_g) + 3 H_2 (X^1 \Sigma_g^+)$	$+232 \pm 5$

Tab. 3. Lifetime t<sub>rot</sub> in ps of triplet cis and trans 1,2-butadiene calculated for rotations about the A, B and C axis at collision energy of  $45.0$ Jmol<sup>-1</sup>. Errors of the lifetime are 28%. Units of principal moments of inertia are given in amu $\AA^2$ .

<i>somer</i>	TУ	1B	Ιc	$t_{rot}(A)$	$t_{rot}(B)$	$t_{rot}(C)$
<b>CIS</b>	14.5949	79.3413	93.9362	0.05	0.3	0.35
trans	6.7936	99.8642	106.6578	0.02	0.4	0.55

Tab. 4. Fractional energy release into the translational  $\langle E_T \rangle$ , rotational,  $\langle E_{rot} \rangle$ , and vibrational,  $\langle E_{vib} \rangle$  degrees of freedom calculated for K=O at both collision energies.







 $(4)$ 



 $(5)$ 









 $\mathsf C$ 

 $C_2H_5$ 





![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

 $\overline{\mathbf{22}}$ 

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

## ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE BERKELEY NAMONAL LABORATORY ONE OVOLOVION ROAD | BERKELEV, OALIFORNIA 94720

Repared for the U.S. Department of Bregy under Contrast No. DB-ACOB-ASTIONER